Hawks Push Regime Change in North Korea

Jim Lobe

Hawks Push Regime Change in North Korea

by Jim Lobe

 $\label{eq:washington} WASHINGTON \mbox{ - The coalition of foreign-policy hawks that promoted the}$

2003 invasion of Iraq is pressing US President George W Bush to

adopt a more coercive policy toward North Korea, despite strong

opposition from China and South Korea.

By most accounts, North Korea ranked high in bilateral talks between

Bush and Northeast Asian leaders, including Chinese President Hu

Jintao, at the summit of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation

(APEC) forum in Santiago, Chile, this past weekend, although the

final communique did not address the issue.

Bush reportedly tried to make clear that his patience with Pyongyang

and its alleged efforts to stall the ongoing "six-party talks" was

fast running out and that Washington will soon push for stronger

measures against North Korea in the absence of progress toward an

agreement under which Pyongyang would dismantle its alleged

nuclear-arms program.

Bush claimed on Sunday that his interlocutors, who include the

leaders of the four other parties to the talks - Russia, China,

Japan and South Korea - agreed with him, but Hu and South Korean

President Roh Moo-hyun have not backed down publicly from their

strong opposition to a harder line toward Pyongyang.

Indeed, just before the weekend summit, Roh told an audience in Los

Angeles that a hardline policy over North Korea's nuclear weapons

would have "grave repercussions", adding, "There is no alternative

left in dealing with this issue except dialogue."
The South Korean

leader also denounced the idea of an economic embargo against

Pyongyang.

That the hawks back in Washington are indeed mobilizing became clear

on Monday when William Kristol, an influential neo-conservative who

also chairs the Project for the New American Century (PNAC), faxed a

statement titled "Toward Regime Change in North Korea" to reporters

and various "opinion leaders" in the capital.

PNAC issues statements relatively infrequently, so its formal

statements are carefully noted. PNAC boasts Vice President Dick

Cheney, Pentagon chief Donald Rumsfeld, Deputy Defense Secretary

Paul Wolfowitz and Cheney's powerful chief of staff, I Lewis Libby,

among a dozen other senior Bush national security officials, as

signers of its 1997 charter.



"It's clear that they see the transition [between the Bush

administration's two terms] and before any new round of the

six-party talks, as the time to try to set policy direction," one

veteran analyst told Inter Press Service on Monday.

Kristol's statement referred in particular to two recent articles,

including one published last week by Nicholas Eberstadt, a Korea

specialist at the American Enterprise Institute (AEI), that appeared

in the neo-conservative The Weekly Standard, which is edited by Kristol.

The article, "Tear Down This Tyranny", called for the implementation

of a six-point strategy aimed at ousting North Korean Chairman Kim

Jong-il, in part by "working around the proappeasement crowd in the

South Korean government", which apparently includes President Roh himself.

The second article, published on Sunday in The New York Times,

detailed a number of recent indications cited by right-wing

officials and the press in Japan - including high-level defections

and the reported circulation of anti-government pamphlets - that

Kim's hold on power may be slipping.

The article noted in particular a recent statement by Shinzo Abe,

secretary general of Japan's ruling Liberal Democratic Party (LDP),

that "regime change" was a distinct possibility and that "we need to

start simulations of what we should do at that time".

"Recent reports suggest the presence of emerging cracks in the

Stalinist power structure of North Korea, and even the emergence of

serious dissident activity there," wrote Kristol.
"This should

remind us that one of President Bush's top priorities in his second

term will have to be dealing with this wretch[ed] regime," he went

on, citing Eberstadt's strategy as "useful guidance for an improved North Korean policy".

Eberstadt's article, which criticized Korea policy in Bush's first

term for being both "reactive" and "paralyzed by infighting",

proceeds from the explicit assumption that efforts to persuade North

Korea to give up its nuclear program - which US intelligence

believes may already include as many as eight nuclear weapons - are almost certainly futile.

"We are exceedingly unlikely to talk - or to bribe - the current

North Korean government out of its nuclear quest," wrote Eberstadt

in an implicit rejection of the basic goal of the six-party talks.

Moreover, he wrote, the nuclear crisis and the North Korean

government are essentially one and the same: "Unless, and until, we

have a better class of dictator running North Korea, we will be

faced with an ongoing and indeed growing North Korean crisis."

To achieve the desired "regime change", Eberstadt called first for a

purge of US State Department officials who had argued for engaging

Pyongyang during Bush's first term. Washington, according to



Eberstadt, should also increase "China's 'ownership' of the North

Korean problem" by making clear to Beijing that it "will bear high

costs if the current denuclearization diplomacy failed".

At the same time, US officials must recognize that South Korea has,

under Kim and the "implacably anti-American and reflexively

pro-appeasement" core of his government, become a "runaway ally" -

"a country bordering a state committed to its destruction, and yet

governed increasingly in accordance with graduate-school 'peace studies' desiderata".

"Instead of appeasing South Korea's appeasers (as our policy to date

has attempted to do, albeit clumsily)," wrote Eberstadt, "America

should be speaking over their heads directly to the Korean people,

building and nurturing the coalitions in South Korean domestic

politics that will ultimately bring a prodigal ally back into the fold."

Washington should also ready "the non-diplomatic instruments for

North Korean threat reduction," he wrote, arguing that preparing for

the deliberate use of such options - presumably an economic embargo

or even military strikes - "will actually increase the probability

of a diplomatic success".

Finally, echoing Shinzo Abe, of Japan's LDP, Eberstadt called for

planning for a "post-Communist Korean Peninsula" with other

interested parties, "to maximize the opportunities and minimize the

risks in that delicate and potentially dangerous process".

Eberstadt's strategy, according to a number of analysts, largely

echoes the views of John Bolton, under secretary of state for arms

control and international security, a former American Enterprise

Institute vice president who is openly campaigning to become deputy secretary of state under Condoleezza Rice.

Bolton, perhaps the administration's most extreme hardliner, has

strong support in Cheney's office and other right-wing strongholds,

including The Weekly Standard and on the editorial page of The Wall Street Journal.

On Saturday, Tokyo's right-wing Governor Shintaro Ishihara, who

claims to be on friendly terms with Bolton, told Fuji Television

that Bolton wants to impose economic sanctions against North Korea,

which in the US official's view, would lead to Kim's ouster "within one year".

This was written for Inter Press Service, November 25, 2004.

Jim Lobe is Inter Press Service's correspondent in Washington, DC.