

Egg consumption and insulin metabolism in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS)

Chee-Tin Christine Lee¹, Angela D Liese², Carlos Lorenzo³, Lynne E Wagenknecht⁴, Steven M Haffner⁵, Marian J Rewers⁶ and Anthony J Hanley^{1,7,8,*}

¹Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, FitzGerald Building, 150 College Street Room 341, Toronto, ON M5S 3E2, Canada; ²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA; ³Division of Clinical Epidemiology, University of Texas Health Science Centre, San Antonio, TX, USA; ⁴Division of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA;

⁵Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; ⁶Barbara Davis Center for Diabetes, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA; ⁷Department of Medicine and Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ⁸Leadership Sinai Centre for Diabetes, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada

Submitted 20 December 2012: Final revision received 7 May 2013: Accepted 9 May 2013: First published online 19 June 2013

Abstract

Objective: To examine the association between egg consumption and measures of insulin sensitivity (S_I), acute insulin response (AIR) and metabolic clearance rate of insulin (MCRI).

Design: Cross-sectional analysis.

Settings: Egg consumption, categorized as <1/week, 1 to <3/week, 3 to <5/week and ≥ 5 /week, was measured using a validated FFQ. S_I , AIR and MCRI were determined from frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance tests.

Subjects: Non-diabetic participants (n 949) in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS).

Results: Egg consumption was inversely associated with S_I and MCRI, and positively associated with fasting insulin in regression models adjusted for demographic, socio-economic, lifestyle and dietary factors ($\beta = -0.22$, 95% CI -0.38 , -0.045 , $P = 0.05$ for S_I ; $\beta = -0.20$, 95% CI -0.34 , -0.055 , $P = 0.005$ for MCRI; $\beta = 0.35$, 95% CI 0.15 , 0.54 , $P = 0.002$ for fasting insulin; all P values for linear trend). These associations remained significant after additionally adjusting for energy intake or dietary saturated fat, although dietary cholesterol and BMI attenuated these associations to non-significance. Egg consumption was not associated with AIR.

Conclusions: Dietary cholesterol and BMI appear to mediate the inverse association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance. Alternatively, egg consumption may be clustered with other dietary behaviours which increase BMI, hence negatively impacting on insulin sensitivity and clearance.

Keywords

Egg consumption
Insulin sensitivity
Insulin clearance
Pancreatic β -cell function

Frequent egg consumption has been linked to increased risk of CVD and mortality in diabetes^(1,2). Recent observational evidence has also suggested that eating eggs regularly is associated with a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes^(3–5) and gestational diabetes⁽⁶⁾. However, this association has not been consistently demonstrated across different populations. For example, egg consumption was not associated with incident diabetes in older individuals with limited egg intake⁽⁷⁾. To date, little is known regarding the potential mechanisms that may explain these reported associations. Since egg consumption is common, it is important to explore how this modifiable exposure is associated with the metabolic traits underlying type 2 diabetes. To our knowledge, only

one previous study has investigated the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity⁽⁷⁾. In a cross-sectional analysis of the Cardiovascular Health Study, higher egg consumption was significantly associated with higher fasting blood glucose, fasting insulin and homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance⁽⁷⁾. The association of egg consumption with β -cell function or insulin clearance has not been examined.

Because of the inconsistent associations of egg consumption with incident diabetes and the limited available evidence on potential mechanisms implicating eggs in diabetes pathogenesis, we aimed to examine the association between egg consumption and detailed measures of insulin sensitivity (S_I), acute insulin response (AIR) and

*Corresponding author: Email anthony.hanley@utoronto.ca

metabolic clearance rate of insulin (MCRI) from a frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test (FSIGTT) in the multi-ethnic cohort of the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS).

Participants and methods

Study population

The present cross-sectional study used data from the IRAS, which recruited 1625 participants from four clinical centres located in San Antonio, TX, San Luis Valley, CO, Oakland, CA and Los Angeles, CA between October 1992 and April 1994. The study design and research methods have been published in detail⁽⁸⁾. The institutional review boards of the clinical centres approved the study protocol and all participants provided written informed consent. The sample size of the present analysis was 949 participants, after excluding those with prevalent diabetes at baseline (n 537), as well as those with missing values of egg consumption, S_I , AIR or MCRI (n 139).

Data collection

Participants attended two visits at the baseline examination and again at 5-year follow-up examination. The two visits at each examination occurred approximately one week apart. They were asked to fast for 12 h, to abstain from alcohol and heavy exercise for 24 h before each visit, as well as to abstain from smoking on the morning of the examination. Height and weight were measured to the nearest 0.5 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres. Waist and hip circumferences were measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a steel tape. All measurements were taken in duplicate following standardized procedures and we used the averages of these measurements in the analyses. Blood pressure was measured using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer after participants were rested for 5 min. We used the average of the second and the third readings for analysis. Participants reported demographic and socio-economic information (e.g. age, sex, ethnicity, education, income) as well as lifestyle factors (e.g. smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity) in standardized questionnaires⁽⁸⁾.

Measurement of egg consumption

At baseline, centrally trained interviewers conducted interviews to complete a semi-quantitative FFQ. The FFQ was designed to assess usual dietary intake in the past year. The 114-item FFQ was adapted from the National Cancer Institute Health Habits and History Questionnaire (NCI-HHHQ) and the food items were expanded to reflect the dietary intake of the diverse IRAS populations. The FFQ was validated against eight 24 h dietary recalls. The IRAS investigators used the HHHQ-DIETSYS analysis

software (version 3.0, 1993) to analyse nutrient intake from the FFQ⁽⁹⁾. Each item of the FFQ provided nine frequency options, from 'never or less than once a month' to 'six or more times per day'. Participants were asked to indicate how often they consumed each item and to specify whether they consumed a small, medium or large portion compared with individuals in similar age and sex groups. To calculate the intake of each item, the frequency of intake was weighted by the portion size indicated, using a factor of 0.5, 1 and 1.5 for small, medium and large, respectively. The FFQ included one question regarding egg consumption, including omelettes and frittata. We divided egg consumption into four categories: less than one medium egg per week, one to less than three medium eggs per week, three to less than five medium eggs per week and five or more medium eggs per week.

Measurement of insulin sensitivity, β -cell function and insulin clearance

A modified FSIGTT was used to measure insulin sensitivity, β -cell function and insulin clearance⁽¹⁰⁾. Modifications to the original protocol included: (i) insulin, instead of tolbutamide, to ensure adequate levels of plasma insulin to calculate insulin sensitivity accurately across a broad range of glucose tolerance⁽¹¹⁾; and (ii) a reduced sampling protocol, using twelve instead of thirty samples, because of the large number of participants⁽¹²⁾. Insulin resistance, expressed as S_I , was calculated using minimal model analysis (MINMOD version 3.0)⁽¹³⁾. A higher value of S_I indicates an increase in insulin sensitivity. β -Cell function was measured by AIR defined as the average increase in plasma insulin at time points 2 and 4 min after infusing glucose⁽¹⁴⁾. A higher value of AIR indicates an increase in insulin secretion. MCRI was calculated as the ratio of the insulin dose over the incremental area under the curve of insulin from 20 min to infinity⁽¹⁵⁾ using the following equation:

$$\text{MCRI (l/min)} = \frac{\text{Dose} \times 1000}{\int_{t=20}^{\infty} (\text{Ins}(t) - \text{Ins}(0)) dt}$$

where Dose represents the amount of insulin injected at 20 min, $\text{Ins}(t)$ the plasma insulin concentration in standard units at each FSIGTT sampling point and $\text{Ins}(0)$ the fasting plasma insulin concentration determined before injecting glucose in the FSIGTT. A higher value of MCRI indicates an increase in insulin clearance.

Biochemical analysis

Plasma glucose was measured using the glucose oxidase technique on an auto-analyser. Plasma insulin level was determined with the dextran-charcoal RIA⁽⁸⁾. Measurements of plasma lipids and lipoproteins were determined at the central IRAS laboratory using the Lipid Research Clinics methods⁽¹⁶⁾.

Statistical analysis

We described the characteristics of participants at baseline, stratified by categories of egg consumption, using means and standard deviations for normally distributed continuous variables, medians and interquartile ranges for skewed continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. We used ANOVA, Kruskal–Wallis tests and χ^2 tests to determine whether continuous and categorical variables differed across the distribution of egg consumption. We described the association of egg consumption with potential mediators (e.g. dietary cholesterol, dietary saturated fat, energy intake and BMI) and food choices which may cluster with egg consumption using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

We modelled measures of insulin sensitivity, β -cell function and insulin clearance, including S_I , fasting insulin, AIR and MCRI, as continuous outcome variables. The distributions of these outcome variables were skewed; therefore, we natural log-transformed them for normality. For S_I , we added a constant of one to all values before the log-transformation because of the presence of zero values in the data. We used unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted linear regression to explore the association between egg consumption and each outcome measure. We reported the regression coefficients and their 95% confidence intervals in each model, and presented the *P* value for linear trend across categories of egg consumption.

We included covariates in multivariable models if they were risk factors for diabetes based on previous literature, if they were significantly correlated with egg consumption in the IRAS data or if they were of *a priori* clinical relevance. Potential confounders included age, sex, ethnicity, education, income, smoking, alcohol consumption, energy expenditure, family history of diabetes, and consumption of processed meats, fast foods, red meats, dairy products, vegetables, whole grains, coffee and tea.

We used a staged approach to enter covariates into the regression model. We first adjusted for demographics (i.e. age, sex, ethnicity, study centre), followed by socio-economic status (i.e. education, income), lifestyle factors (i.e. smoking, alcohol consumption, energy expenditure), family history of diabetes and food items (processed meats, fast foods, red meats, dairy products, vegetables, whole grains, coffee and tea). In this multivariable-adjusted model, we then entered dietary factors (i.e. energy, saturated fat and cholesterol), BMI and waist:hip ratio (WHR) one variable at a time to determine if any of these variables had an impact on the associations observed. We used Sobel–Goodman tests, which bootstrap the standard errors of the mediated effects, to determine whether dietary cholesterol and BMI mediated the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance⁽¹⁷⁾. Regression models were based on 949 participants with data on egg consumption S_I , AIR

and MCRI; sample sizes in full multivariable-adjusted models ranged from 937 to 942 due to occasional missing values on covariates. We examined the interaction between egg consumption and age, sex, ethnicity or BMI status on all outcome variables. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software package STATA 12.0.

Results

Characteristics of the study population, stratified by categories of egg consumption, are shown in Table 1. The median age of the participants was 54 years (range 40–69 years) and 45% were men. Among these participants, 40% were Caucasians, 26% were African Americans and 34% were Hispanics. Approximately 50% of the study population reported consuming less than one egg per week. More Hispanics reported eating five or more eggs per week. Participants with higher income and higher educational level reported eating eggs less frequently. Participants who ate eggs regularly were more likely to be male and to smoke. In addition, they had higher values of BMI and WHR, as well as higher dietary intakes of energy, saturated fat and cholesterol. Frequent consumption of eggs was associated with lower values of S_I and MCRI and higher values of AIR and fasting insulin. There was no significant difference in fasting blood glucose and 2-h post-load blood glucose related to the number of eggs consumed per week. Egg consumption was positively and significantly correlated with dietary cholesterol, dietary saturated fat, energy intake, BMI and WHR, as well as consumption of processed meats, fast foods, red meats, dairy products, vegetables, whole grains, coffee and tea (Table 2).

Egg consumption was inversely associated with S_I and MCRI, and positively associated with fasting insulin and 2-h post-load blood glucose, in unadjusted regression models and in models adjusted for potential confounders, including demographic, socio-economic, lifestyle and dietary factors and family history of diabetes. Egg consumption was not associated with S_I -adjusted AIR and fasting blood glucose in unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted regression models. There was no interaction between egg consumption and age, sex, ethnicity and BMI status on any of the outcomes (Table 3).

In a series of regression models to explore the potential mediating/confounding effects, we observed that the associations of egg consumption with S_I , fasting insulin, MCRI and 2-h post-load blood glucose remained statistically significant after adjusting for energy intake, dietary saturated fat or WHR. However, all of these associations were attenuated to non-significance by dietary cholesterol and BMI (Table 4). The Sobel–Goodman mediation tests showed that dietary cholesterol and BMI are intermediates in the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance (Table 5).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of non-diabetic participants (*n* 949) in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS) by distribution of egg consumption

	Egg consumption (number consumed per week)								<i>P</i> value
	<1		1 to <3		3 to <5		≥5		
	Mean or median	SD or IQR	Mean or median	SD or IQR	Mean or median	SD or IQR	Mean or median	SD or IQR	
<i>n</i> (%)	469	49.4	343	36.1	87	9.2	50	5.3	
Age (years)	54.7	8.4	55.2	8.5	53.4	8.8	53.9	8.7	0.3
Male (%)	38.6		48.7		55.2		60.0		<0.001
Ethnicity (%)									
Caucasian	42.4		43.4		31.0		16.0		
African American	33.9		17.5		17.2		16.0		<0.001
Hispanic	23.7		39.1		51.7		68.0		
Education >high school (%)	64.8		57.4		49.4		46.0		0.004
Annual income ≥\$US 40 000 (%)	50.3		45.5		35.6		22.0		<0.001
Current smoker (%)	13.9		15.7		21.8		32.0		0.04
Current drinker (%)	73.1		76.0		74.7		74.0		0.9
Energy expenditure (kJ/kg per d)	157	147–174	163	150–184	167	150–192	162	149–204	0.0005
Family history of diabetes (%)	36.5		38.8		50.6		46.0		0.07
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.9	5.2	28.4	5.4	29.6	7.0	30.8	6.8	0.0004
Waist:hip ratio	0.84	0.081	0.87	0.085	0.89	0.089	0.91	0.082	<0.0001
Energy intake (kJ/d)	6694	2774	8431	3163	10 334	3724	11 602	3778	<0.0001
Saturated fat intake (g/d)	20.6	11.7	29.4	13.9	38.8	15.2	44.9	19.6	<0.0001
Cholesterol intake (mg/d)	184	92.4	311	109	497	121	751	211	<0.0001
Fasting insulin (pmol/l)	12	8–17	13	9–19	15	9–23	18	13–27	0.0001
Insulin sensitivity (×10 ⁻⁴ l/μU·min)	1.7	1.0–3.1	1.6	0.9–2.9	1.3	0.7–2.6	1.0	0.5–2.1	0.001
Acute insulin response (μU/ml)	50.0	27.5–81.0	48.0	27.5–81.0	73.5	35.0–98.0	75.8	42.0–108	0.02
Metabolic clearance of insulin (l/min)	5.5	4.1–7.1	5.1	3.8–7.0	4.6	3.5–6.5	4.2	3.1–5.9	0.0009
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	97	90–105	98	91–106	96	90–105	100	93–105	0.5
2-h Post-load blood glucose (mmol/l)	122	98–144	120	96–151	123	102–149	136	116–165	0.06

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation, percentage, or median and interquartile range (IQR).

ANOVA, Kruskal–Wallis tests and χ^2 tests were used to determine whether continuous and categorical variables differed across the distribution of egg consumption.

Table 2 Spearman correlation analysis between egg consumption and indices of obesity and food items among non-diabetic participants (*n* 949) in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS)

	Egg consumption	
	ρ	<i>P</i> value
Dietary cholesterol	0.75	<0.0001
Dietary saturated fat	0.48	<0.0001
Energy intake	0.43	<0.0001
BMI	0.10	0.002
Waist:hip ratio	0.18	<0.0001
Processed meats*	0.50	<0.0001
Fast foodst,‡	0.33	<0.0001
Red meats	0.47	<0.0001
Dairy products	0.23	<0.0001
Fish	0.03	0.4
Fruits	0.02	0.6
Vegetables	0.10	0.003
Whole grains	0.15	<0.0001
Coffee & tea	0.14	<0.0001

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to describe the correlation of egg consumption with indices of obesity and food items.

*Processed meats refer to ham, sausage, hot dogs, bacon and bologna.

†Fast foods refer to burgers, French fries, fried chicken, fried fish and pizza.

‡Dairy products refer to cheese, milk and yoghurt.

Discussion

The present cross-sectional study extends the scientific literature on the association of egg consumption with the risk of diabetes. Specifically, while egg consumption was inversely associated with direct measures of insulin sensitivity and clearance, these associations were mediated by dietary cholesterol or BMI in the non-diabetic multi-ethnic population of the IRAS.

Limited evidence is available to describe the association between egg consumption and the metabolic traits underlying type 2 diabetes. The Cardiovascular Health Study reported a significant positive association of egg consumption with fasting blood glucose and surrogate measures of insulin resistance (i.e. fasting insulin and homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance); however, as suggested by the authors of that paper, the small absolute differences they reported were unlikely of any clinical significance⁽⁷⁾. No previous studies have examined these associations using detailed measures of insulin sensitivity, secretion and clearance.

Table 3 Estimated regression coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals) on the association between egg consumption and insulin metabolism and blood glucose among non-diabetic participants (*n* 949) in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS)

	Egg consumption						<i>P</i> for linear trend
	1 to <3/week v. <1/week		3 to <5/week v. <1/week		≥5/week v. <1/week		
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	
Log S_I							
Unadjusted	-0.04	-0.12, 0.03	-0.10	-0.22, 0.02	-0.30	-0.46, -0.14	<0.001
Model 1	-0.04	-0.12, 0.03	-0.08	-0.21, 0.04	-0.25	-0.41, -0.10	0.003
Model 2	-0.05	-0.12, 0.03	-0.07	-0.20, 0.05	-0.27	-0.43, -0.11	0.002
Model 3	-0.03	-0.11, 0.05	-0.03	-0.16, 0.10	-0.22	-0.38, -0.05	0.05
Log fasting insulin							
Unadjusted	0.09	0.00, 0.18	0.16	0.02, 0.30	0.45	0.27, 0.63	<0.001
Model 1	0.09	0.01, 0.18	0.13	-0.01, 0.28	0.40	0.21, 0.58	<0.001
Model 2	0.09	0.00, 0.18	0.12	-0.02, 0.27	0.42	0.24, 0.61	<0.001
Model 3	0.07	-0.02, 0.16	0.08	-0.07, 0.23	0.35	0.15, 0.54	0.002
Log MCRI							
Unadjusted	-0.06	-0.12, 0.01	-0.14	-0.24, -0.03	-0.24	-0.37, -0.11	<0.001
Model 1	-0.07	-0.13, 0.00	-0.13	-0.23, -0.02	-0.21	-0.35, -0.08	<0.001
Model 2	-0.07	-0.14, -0.01	-0.12	-0.23, -0.02	-0.24	-0.38, -0.11	<0.001
Model 3	-0.05	-0.12, 0.01	-0.09	-0.20, 0.02	-0.20	-0.34, -0.06	0.005
Log S_I -adjusted AIR							
Unadjusted	0.00	-0.10, 0.11	0.13	-0.04, 0.30	0.11	-0.11, 0.34	0.2
Model 1	0.00	-0.11, 0.10	0.07	-0.11, 0.24	0.02	-0.20, 0.24	0.6
Model 2	0.00	-0.10, 0.11	0.07	-0.11, 0.24	-0.07	-0.23, 0.22	0.7
Model 3	0.00	-0.11, 0.11	0.06	-0.12, 0.24	-0.03	-0.27, 0.20	0.9
Fasting blood glucose							
Unadjusted	0.44	-1.14, 2.02	0.77	-1.83, 3.36	2.13	-1.18, 5.43	0.2
Model 1	0.79	-0.78, 2.36	1.26	-1.30, 3.83	2.62	-0.67, 5.92	0.08
Model 2	0.68	-0.88, 2.24	0.85	-1.72, 3.41	2.70	-0.60, 5.99	0.1
Model 3	0.50	-1.11, 2.12	0.55	-2.16, 3.25	2.10	-1.40, 5.60	0.3
2-h Post-load blood glucose							
Unadjusted	1.26	-3.47, 5.98	3.17	-4.60, 10.94	14.37	4.47, 24.28	0.02
Model 1	1.59	-3.18, 6.36	4.98	-2.83, 12.80	15.92	5.90, 25.93	0.005
Model 2	1.75	-2.99, 6.48	3.66	-4.12, 11.44	16.46	6.48, 26.45	0.006
Model 3	1.31	-3.57, 6.20	3.12	-5.05, 11.29	13.74	3.16, 24.32	0.04

S_I , insulin sensitivity; MCRI, metabolic clearance of insulin; AIR, acute insulin response.

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, study centre.

Model 2: Model 1 + education, income, smoking, alcohol consumption, energy expenditure, family history of diabetes.

Model 3: Model 2 + dietary factors (processed meats, fast foods, red meats, dairy products, fruits, whole grains, coffee and tea).

Unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted linear regression analyses were used to explore the association between egg consumption and each outcome measure.

Table 4 Estimated regression coefficients (and 95% confidence intervals) on the association between egg consumption and insulin metabolism and blood glucose, adjusted for potential mediators, among non-diabetic participants (*n* 937 to 942) in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS)

	Egg consumption						<i>P</i> for linear trend
	1 to <3/week v. <1/week		3 to <5/week v. <1/week		≥5/week v. <1/week		
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	
Log S_i							
+ energy	-0.02	-0.10, 0.06	-0.01	-0.14, 0.12	-0.19	-0.36, -0.03	0.01
+ saturated fat	-0.02	-0.10, 0.05	-0.03	-0.16, 0.10	-0.22	-0.39, -0.05	0.05
+ cholesterol	-0.04	-0.13, 0.05	-0.08	-0.26, 0.10	-0.31	-0.61, -0.01	0.2
+ BMI	-0.09	-0.07, 0.07	0.02	-0.10, 0.14	-0.09	-0.24, 0.06	0.6
+ WHR	-0.04	-0.11, 0.03	-0.02	-0.14, 0.10	-0.16	-0.31, -0.00	0.04
Log fasting insulin							
+ energy	0.07	-0.03, 0.17	0.05	-0.10, 0.21	0.32	0.13, 0.52	0.007
+ saturated fat	0.07	-0.03, 0.16	0.06	-0.09, 0.21	0.33	0.13, 0.53	0.005
+ cholesterol	0.06	-0.05, 0.16	0.03	-0.17, 0.24	0.26	-0.09, 0.60	0.3
+ BMI	0.04	-0.04, 0.12	0.00	-0.14, 0.13	0.21	0.04, 0.38	0.08
+ WHR	0.08	0.00, 0.16	0.05	-0.09, 0.19	0.29	0.11, 0.47	0.005
Log MCRI							
+ energy	-0.04	-0.11, 0.02	-0.07	-0.18, 0.04	-0.17	-0.31, -0.03	0.02
+ saturated fat	-0.04	-0.11, 0.02	-0.07	-0.18, 0.04	-0.18	-0.32, -0.04	0.02
+ cholesterol	-0.04	-0.12, 0.03	-0.06	-0.21, 0.09	-0.15	-0.40, 0.11	0.3
+ BMI	-0.03	-0.08, 0.03	-0.03	-0.12, 0.07	-0.08	-0.21, 0.04	0.2
+ WHR	-0.06	-0.12, 0.00	-0.07	0.17, 0.03	-0.15	0.28, -0.02	0.01
Log S_i -adjusted AIR							
+ energy	0.00	-0.11, 0.11	0.06	-0.13, 0.24	-0.04	-0.27, 0.20	0.9
+ saturated fat	0.00	-0.11, 0.11	0.05	-0.14, 0.23	-0.05	-0.29, 0.19	1.0
+ cholesterol	0.03	-0.10, 0.15	0.13	-0.12, 0.38	0.11	-0.31, 0.53	0.4
+ BMI	0.00	-0.11, 0.10	0.06	-0.12, 0.24	-0.04	-0.28, 0.19	1.0
+ WHR	0.00	-0.10, 0.11	0.07	-0.12, 0.25	-0.03	-0.27, 0.20	0.9
Fasting blood glucose							
+ energy	0.35	-1.26, 1.97	0.25	-2.47, 2.97	1.75	-1.77, 5.26	0.4
+ saturated fat	0.40	-1.22, 2.03	0.46	-2.29, 3.20	2.00	-1.54, 5.54	0.3
+ cholesterol	0.02	-1.83, 1.86	-0.65	-4.36, 3.06	-0.27	-6.49, 5.96	0.9
+ BMI	0.17	-1.36, 1.71	-0.48	-3.06, 2.11	0.37	-2.96, 3.71	1.0
+ WHR	0.58	-1.00, 2.15	0.21	-2.44, 2.86	1.39	-2.03, 4.80	0.4
2-h Post-load blood glucose							
+ energy	1.21	-3.70, 6.11	2.64	-5.60, 10.88	13.19	2.53, 23.85	0.05
+ saturated fat	1.67	-3.25, 6.59	4.18	-4.12, 12.49	14.99	4.27, 25.70	0.02
+ cholesterol	0.96	-4.63, 6.55	2.11	-9.13, 13.35	11.64	-7.21, 30.50	0.6
+ BMI	0.09	-4.57, 4.74	0.48	-7.37, 8.32	8.77	-1.35, 18.89	0.3
+ WHR	1.62	-3.03, 6.27	2.05	-5.77, 9.87	10.91	0.83, 20.99	0.03

S_i , insulin sensitivity; HR, waist:hip ratio; MCRI, metabolic clearance of insulin; AIR, acute insulin response.

Base model adjusted age, sex, ethnicity, study centre, education, income, smoking, alcohol consumption, energy expenditure, family history of diabetes and dietary factors; each row represents the addition to this model of the individual variable indicated.

Unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted linear regression analyses were used to determine the association between egg consumption and each outcome measure.

Table 5 Sobel–Goodman mediation analysis for the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance among non-diabetic participants (*n* 937 to 942) in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS)

Potential mediator	Outcome	Proportion of total effect that is mediated (%)	<i>P</i> value
Dietary cholesterol	S_i	47	0.02
	Fasting insulin	75	0.004
	MCRI	61	<0.001
BMI	S_i	54	<0.001
	Fasting insulin	42	<0.001
	MCRI	53	<0.001

S_i , insulin sensitivity; MCRI, metabolic clearance of insulin.

Sobel–Goodman mediation tests were used to determine whether dietary cholesterol or BMI mediates the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance.

Our findings suggest a strong mediating role of dietary cholesterol in the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance. Dietary cholesterol has been shown to be associated with an increased risk of

incident type 2 diabetes^(3,18) and gestational diabetes⁽⁶⁾. Egg consumption has traditionally been accompanied by food choices that are high in fat and cholesterol, excessive dietary cholesterol could result in the accumulation

of hepatic cholesterol esters and TAG, which in turn activate liver X receptors (LXR), nuclear receptors that regulate hepatic lipid metabolism⁽¹⁹⁾. LXR activation decreases insulin receptor β -subunit mass, reduces insulin receptor substrate-1 tyrosine phosphorylation and increases protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B protein mass; all of these metabolic derangements interrupt hepatic insulin signalling and aggravate insulin resistance⁽²⁰⁾. Experimental evidence has shown that treating insulin-resistant mice with synthetic LXR ligands improves glucose tolerance and increases peripheral glucose uptake⁽²¹⁾.

A number of previous prospective studies have shown an independent association between egg consumption and incident diabetes^(3–6). While these studies have adjusted for a wide range of potential confounders in the regression analyses, only one of them has accounted for dietary cholesterol⁽³⁾. In the Women's Health Study, the association between egg consumption and type 2 diabetes was attenuated after additional adjustment for dietary cholesterol⁽³⁾.

BMI also mediates the association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance. As discussed earlier, egg consumption is often accompanied by food choices that are high in fat and cholesterol in Western countries; thus, it is plausible that excessive intakes of these high-fat and energy-dense foods increase the risk of diabetes through obesity which leads to insulin resistance, inflammation and other disorders in the pathogenesis of diabetes. We have previously reported in the IRAS cohort that higher egg consumption was clustered in a dietary pattern with red meat, low-fibre bread and cereals, tomato vegetables, fried potatoes and cheese, which in turn increased the risk of incident diabetes⁽²²⁾. To support this notion, a recent study demonstrated that intake of restaurant meals was associated with risk of incident diabetes and this association was mediated by weight gain and obesity⁽²³⁾. In addition, further adjustment for the consumption of processed meats and fast foods attenuated the associations of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance, which provides further support that these associations were mediated by food choices which are characterized by high dietary intake of cholesterol. Lastly, egg consumption may cluster with other lifestyle factors that impact on insulin sensitivity and clearance. We have adjusted for alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity and a wide range of food items that were correlated with egg consumption in IRAS. However, we could not rule out the possibility of residual confounding by factors that we did not measure or measured imprecisely.

The strengths of the present study included the well-characterized multi-ethnic population of the IRAS cohort and the detailed measurements of insulin sensitivity, secretion and clearance. Our study has potential limitations. Egg consumption was measured by self-report which increases the chance of measurement error and bias.

If the measurement errors were random, then the inverse association of egg consumption with insulin sensitivity and clearance would be stronger than we observed. However, any systematic difference in reporting egg consumption based on obesity status or social desirability would bias the association in either direction. We did not have information on cooking methods. Individuals who habitually consume poached or boiled eggs may be characterized by different lifestyle patterns which may modify their risk of diabetes. Finally, our results may not be generalizable to populations with other ethnic backgrounds.

Conclusion

The associations that we observed suggest that egg consumption is associated with lower insulin sensitivity and clearance, but that these associations are mediated by dietary cholesterol or BMI. Our findings reinforce public health recommendations to limit dietary intake of cholesterol and to reduce weight as preventive strategies for type 2 diabetes.

Acknowledgements

Sources of funding: C.C.L. is supported by a postdoctoral research fellowship from the Banting & Best Diabetes Centre, University of Toronto, Canada. A.J.H. holds a Tier II Canada Research Chair in Diabetes Epidemiology. IRAS was supported by grants U01-HL47892, U01-HL47902, DK-29867 and R01-58329 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and by grant M01-RR-43 from the National Institutes of Health. *Conflicts of interest:* The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript. *Authors' contributions:* L.E.W., S.M.H. and M.J.R. designed the study. C.C.L. and A.J.H. analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the discussion and approved the contents of the manuscript.

References

1. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB *et al.* (1999) A prospective study of egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease in men and women. *JAMA* **282**, 1387–1394.
2. Djoussé L & Gaziano JM (2008) Egg consumption in relation to cardiovascular disease and mortality: the Physicians' Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **87**, 964–969.
3. Djoussé L, Gaziano JM, Buring JE *et al.* (2009) Egg consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in men and women. *Diabetes Care* **32**, 295–300.
4. Shi Z, Yuan B, Zhang C *et al.* (2011) Egg consumption and the risk of diabetes in adults, Jiangsu, China. *Nutrition* **27**, 194–198.
5. Radzevičienė L & Ostrauskas R (2012) Egg consumption and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case-control study. *Public Health Nutr* **15**, 1437–1441.

6. Qiu C, Frederick IO, Zhang C *et al.* (2011) Risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in relation to maternal egg and cholesterol intake. *Am J Epidemiol* **175**, 649–658.
7. Djoussé L, Kamineni A, Nelson TL *et al.* (2010) Egg consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in older adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* **92**, 422–427.
8. Wagenknecht LE, Mayer EJ, Rewers M *et al.* (1995) The insulin resistance atherosclerosis study (IRAS) objectives, design, and recruitment results. *Ann Epidemiol* **5**, 464–472.
9. Mayer-Davis EJ, Vitolins MZ, Carmichael SL *et al.* (1999) Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency interview in a multi-cultural epidemiologic study. *Ann Epidemiol* **9**, 314–324.
10. Bergman RN, Finegood DT & Ader M (1985) Assessment of insulin sensitivity *in vivo*. *Endocr Rev* **16**, 45–86.
11. Welch S, Gebhart SSP, Bergman RN *et al.* (1990) Minimal model analysis of intravenous glucose tolerance test derived insulin sensitivity in diabetic subjects. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **71**, 1508–1518.
12. Steil GM, Volund A, Kahn SE *et al.* (1993) Reduced sample number for calculation of insulin sensitivity and glucose effectiveness from the minimal model: suitability for use in population studies. *Diabetes* **42**, 250–256.
13. Pacini G & Bergman RN (1986) MINMOD: a computer program to calculate insulin sensitivity and pancreatic responsiveness from the frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* **23**, 113–122.
14. Lorenzo C, Wagenknecht LE, D'Agostino RB Jr *et al.* (2010) Insulin resistance, β -cell dysfunction, and conversion to type 2 diabetes in multiethnic population: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care* **33**, 67–72.
15. Polonsky KS, Pugh W, Jaspan JB *et al.* (1984) Relationship between peripheral concentrations of C-peptide and insulin and their secretion rates in the dog. *J Clin Invest* **74**, 1821–1829.
16. Howard BV, Mayer-Davis EJ, Goff D *et al.* (1998) Relationships between insulin resistance and lipoproteins in nondiabetic African Americans, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic whites: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Metabolism* **47**, 1174–1179.
17. Mackinnon DP, Warsi G & Dwyer JH (1995) A simulation study of mediated effect measures. *Multivariate Behav Res* **30**, 41–62.
18. Meyer KA, Kushi LH & Jacob DR Jr *et al.* (2001) Dietary fat and incidence of type 2 diabetes in older Iowa women. *Diabetes Care* **24**, 1528–1535.
19. Basciano H, Miller AE, Naples M *et al.* (2009) Metabolic effects of dietary cholesterol in an animal model of insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **297**, E462–E473.
20. Basciano H, Miller AE, Baker C *et al.* (2009) LXR α activation perturbs hepatic insulin signaling and stimulates production of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* **297**, G323–G332.
21. Commerford SR, Vargas L, Dorfman SE *et al.* (2007) Dissection of the insulin-sensitizing effect of liver X receptor ligands. *Mol Endocrinol* **21**, 3002–3012.
22. Liese AD, Weis KE, Schulz M *et al.* (2009) Food intake patterns associated with incident type 2 diabetes: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care* **32**, 263–268.
23. Krishnan S, Coogan PF, Boggs DA *et al.* (2010) Consumption of restaurant foods and incidence of type 2 diabetes in African-American women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **91**, 465–471.