

A. Rios-Landeo: None Declared, G. Ortega-Hernandez Speakers bureau of: GOH has received speaker honorariums from Rubió., E. Ros-Cucurull Speakers bureau of: ERC has received speaker honorariums from Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Pfizer, Lilly, Servier, Rovi, Juste., C. Daigre: None Declared, M. Perea-Ortueta: None Declared, L. Grau-Lopez Speakers bureau of: LGL has received fees to give talks for Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Servier, Otsuka, and Pfizer., J. Ramos-Quiroga Speakers bureau of: JARQ has been on the speakers' bureau and/or acted as consultant for Janssen-Cilag, Novartis, Shire, Takeda, Bial, Shionogi, Sincrolab, Novartis, BMS, Medice, Rubió, Uriach and Raffo.

## EPP0204

### The Role of Personalization in Virtual Reality Exposure Therapy During the Treatment of Alcohol Use Disorder

V. J. A. Buwalda<sup>1,2\*</sup>, B. Jansen<sup>3</sup>, M. van Gisbergen<sup>3</sup> and S. R. Rashnoodi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Psychiatry, GGD, Amsterdam; <sup>2</sup>Psychiatry, Novadic Kentron, Vught and <sup>3</sup>Academy of AI, Games, and Media, BUas, Breda, Netherlands

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.403

**Introduction:** In Cue-Exposure-Therapy (CET), clients are exposed to triggers through objects, people and environments that arouse craving (Sinha et al. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2009;34 1198–1208). Virtual Reality Exposure therapy (VRET) is used to experience these triggers in a realistic, safe, and personalized way. VR has been used successfully in the treatment of psychiatric disorders. It has not yet been developed and sufficiently tested as an adjuvant in the clinical post-detoxification phase of treatment of alcohol use disorders (AUD) (e.g. Bordnick et al. *Addict.Behav* 2008;33 743-756; Hone-Blanchet et al. *Front.Hum.Neurosci.* 2014; 8(844) 1-15). Additionally, these treatment methods have been tested for effect, but not for effectiveness around different VR technologies (Ghita & Gutierrez-Maldonado. *Addict.Behav* 2018; 81 1-11; ). This study focuses on VRET-Recovery to examine to what extent VR worlds could be personalized in an effective manner to help treat AUD as well as clarifying on the ways in which the VR worlds could be optimized to achieve its goal.

**Objectives:** The primary objectives of this study are to assess the necessity of personalization in VR environments for AUD treatment, identify the critical elements for personalization, and examine their impact on craving in AUD patients.

**Methods:** The study included 10 AUD patients diagnosed according to DSM-V criteria, aged between 18 and 65, who were in the final week of clinical detoxification at a large addiction clinic in The Netherlands. A controlled experiment was conducted using the Recovery 1.0 VR system on Samsung Gear VR and Samsung Galaxy S9. The experiment involved exposure to various VR scenes (CG and 360o), including a neutral setting, a bar scene, and a home situation, with the duration and sequence controlled by a therapist. Data collection consisted of pre- and post-exposure questionnaires, heart rate and blood pressure measurements, and interviews.

**Results:** Craving was remarkably low in the VR bar scene, primarily due to its unsociable context, limited alcohol visibility, and absence of peer pressure. Technical limitations, such as suboptimal resolution, also affected the feeling of presence. Positive results were

shown that craving was predominantly stimulated in the apartment scene, driven by the presence of alcohol-related visual cues and social elements, resembling relaxed drinking with others.

**Conclusions:** This study underscores that some degree of personalization is needed on all craving dimensions with clear preference was given to CG or 360°. The environments were dependent on the personal history and associations they represent to different levels of alcohol visibility (messy or clean), and types of drink (based on past drinking behavior), and different emotional contexts are needed (positive and negative).

**Disclosure of Interest:** None Declared

## Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

## EPP0206

### The prevalence of self-injury in adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis

B. F. Farkas<sup>1\*</sup>, Z. K. Takács<sup>2,3</sup>, N. Kollárovics<sup>1</sup> and J. Balázs<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Doctoral School, Semmelweis University; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Education, Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Budapest, Hungary; <sup>3</sup>School of Health in Social Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Psychology, Department of Developmental and Clinical Child Psychology, Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Budapest, Hungary and <sup>5</sup>Oslo New University College, Oslo, Norway

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.404

**Introduction:** Self-injurious behavior (SIB) among adolescents has become a hot topic in psychiatry. Despite the consensus that the prevalence of SIB is high, 26-22% among adolescence, there are conflicting results about whether it has increased in the 21st century and about the global distribution of the prevalence.

**Objectives:** The aim of the current study was to make a systematic search and meta-analysis of publications from the last 5 years on the prevalence of SIB in adolescents and to examine definitions and assessments of SIB, gender, continental, and year differences. The hypotheses were the following: 1) the prevalence of SIB did not change over time between the examined period for both girls and boys; 2) girls reported a higher prevalence of a history of SIB than boys.

**Methods:** The systematic search was made in June 2020. Six databases were used. The main search terms were “self-injurious behavior”, “prevalence” and “adolescence”. First the titles and abstracts of the relevant articles were checked, then the full texts were read and collected those papers that met the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were the following: published between 01/01/2015, and 06/18/2020, focused on community sample, and written in English. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software was used to conduct the analyses.

**Results:** In sum, a total of 97 articles were included in the meta-analysis with data from 439 818 participants. The overall average SIB prevalence was 16.0% in these studies. The first hypothesis was only partially confirmed. When all data that were published between 2015 and 2018 were considered, a significant increase was found in the prevalence of SIB between 1998 and 2018. However, when the analysis was restricted to the time frame between 2013 and 2018, no change in prevalence was found. The second