A Meeting of the Nutrition Society, hosted by the Scottish Section, was held at The Teacher Building, 14 St Enoch Square, Glasgow on 5–6 April 2011

70th Anniversary Conference on 'Nutrition and health: from conception to adolescence'

Symposium I: Consequences of obesity and overweight during pregnancy Improving pregnancy outcome in obese women

Fiona C. Denison* and Carolyn Chiswick
MRC/University of Edinburgh Centre for Reproductive Health, The Queen's Medical Research Institute, 47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4TJ, UK

The global pandemic of maternal obesity presents a major challenge for healthcare providers, and has significant short- and long-term implications for both maternal and fetal health. Currently, the evidence-base underpinning many of the interventions either currently in use or recommended to improve pregnancy outcome in obese women is limited. The nature and timing of these interventions vary widely, ranging from simple advice to more intensive dietary and exercise programmes, cognitive behavioural therapy and drug trials. In addition, a growing number of very severely obese women now enter pregnancy having had surgical interventions. Although surgical interventions such as gastric bypass or banding may be associated with improved pregnancy outcomes, these women have particular nutritional requirements, which need to be addressed to optimise pregnancy outcome. Until the outcomes of ongoing current trials are reported and provide a firm evidence base on which to base future intervention strategies and guide evidence based care for obese pregnant women, pregnancy outcome is best optimised by high-risk antenatal care delivered by healthcare providers who are experienced in supporting these high-risk women.

Obesity: Pregnancy: Interventions

Obesity is now the commonest antenatal co-morbidity and affects one in five pregnant women in the UK. The exponential rise in obesity across the developed world has prompted the World Health Organisation to describe it as one of the most important global health problems today[1]. Maternal obesity is associated with increased morbidity and mortality for both mother and offspring. Antenatal risks include gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorders including pre-eclampsia and thromboembolic complications[2–4]. In the UK, the most recent Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health reported that 78% of women who died of a thromboembolism were overweight or obese (BMI>25 kg/m^2)[5]. Peripartum, women are more likely to face induction of labour, operative delivery and postpartum haemorrhage[3,6]. High pregravid BMI and excessive gestational weight gain are also important predictors of short-term postpartum morbidity[7] and higher postpartum weight retention[8] with the latter being associated with increased risks for future pregnancy and lifelong obesity[9]. Offspring of obese mothers tend to be large for gestational age at birth and are at higher risk of congenital anomaly, late fetal death and admission to the neonatal unit. Maternal obesity also increases the lifetime risk of obesity in offspring and a tendency to develop metabolic syndrome in childhood and adolescence[10], thus perpetuating the cycle of obesity and its adverse consequences into the next generation. There is, therefore, an urgent need to develop interventions that improve pregnancy outcomes and long-term health for both mother and baby. This review will summarise the current evidence base for interventions aimed at improving pregnancy outcome for obese women.

---

*Corresponding author: Dr Fiona C. Denison, fax + 44 131 242 6441, email Fiona.Denison@ed.ac.uk

Overview of interventions

The following key principles inform design, delivery and effectiveness of interventions aimed at improving pregnancy outcome for obese women. These will be outlined before considering the evidence for specific interventions.

What is (are) the aim(s) of the intervention and who is it aimed at?

Does the intervention target direct (e.g. maternal weight gain, dietary and/or cardiovascular fitness) or indirect (e.g. pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, caesarean section, fetal macrosomia and/or offspring obesity) consequences of obesity?

Many trials use limitation of maternal weight gain during pregnancy as their primary outcome[11]. Maternal obesity is a significant determinant of gestational weight gain, which if excessive is an independent predictor of adverse maternal and fetal outcome for pregnancy, with consequent lifelong health implications. However, the optimal gestational weight gain for women of different BMI categories remains to be established. Although guidelines, such as those generated by the Institute of Medicine[12], now provide recommendations for gestational weight gain, dependent on maternal weight or BMI at antenatal booking, there are no recommendations to inform weight gain for very severely obese women with a BMI>$40 \, kg/m^2$, who comprise an increasing proportion of the antenatal population. In addition, guidelines are only relevant for the population for whom they are generated. For example, the Institute of Medicine guidelines are intended for use in the USA. Although they may be relevant to other developed countries, they are not applicable to other countries where the antenatal population are either heavier or lighter, have different demographics or ethnic origin to women in the USA. If gestational weight gain is being used as a trial outcome, it is therefore, important that guidelines used are relevant to the study population. Furthermore, whether limiting maternal weight gain actually improves maternal and infant health remains to be established due to the lack of high-quality information from randomised trials[11].

Intervention trials in pregnancy are also complicated because any intervention given during pregnancy affects both mother and fetus. Importantly, the outcomes for mother and fetus may differ and evolve over time. For example, the ORACLEII (Overview of the Role of Antibiotics in the Curtailment of Labour and Early delivery) trial randomised women at risk of preterm labour, with intact fetal membranes and no evidence of infection to receive erythromycin, co-amoxiclav or placebo daily until delivery. There was no difference in the primary outcome (composite of neonatal death, chronic lung disease or major cerebral abnormality on ultrasonography) before discharge from hospital between study groups[13]. However, when babies were followed up at age 7, prescription of erythromycin for women in spontaneous preterm labour with intact membranes was associated with an increase in functional impairment among their children; and the risk of

cerebral palsy was increased by either antibiotic, although the overall risk of this condition was low[14].

Thus, for any trial involving pregnancy, it is important that the primary outcomes are appropriate and consideration should be given for long-term follow-up studies for both mother and offspring to ensure that adverse long-term health outcomes are not missed.

What is the timing duration and intensity of the intervention?

Study design varies considerably, with some interventions being delivered before, some during and others after pregnancy. This makes comparison between studies difficult. For example, if the aim of the study is to reduce the incidence of a disease such as pre-eclampsia that only occurs during pregnancy, the intervention may be limited to the duration of pregnancy. However, for lifestyle interventions, which aim to alter maternal and/or offspring behaviour, the interventions may be longer term, potentially spanning the lifetime of both mother and offspring. Similarly, the intensity of an intervention may vary considerably, particularly if the intervention is aimed at changing maternal behaviour. For example, an exercise intervention may range from a single group session to a structured programme of exercises with goal setting delivered on a one-to-one basis by an exercise or personal trainer.

Lifestyle interventions

Pregnancy is a period in a woman's reproductive life when she may be more motivated to undertake lifestyle changes, such as altering the quantity or quality of the food that she eats or undertaking exercise. Below, the evidence for dietary, exercise and psychological interventions in improving pregnancy outcome are considered individually, before assessing the evidence for complex interventions involving all three lifestyle interventions.

Dietary advice

General dietary advice is part of routine antenatal care and is delivered by a wide range of health and allied health professionals. However, most of the written information currently available is generic, and is neither tailored to the individual needs of women nor takes into account their pre-pregnancy BMI. For example, the dietary information, food knowledge and nutritional intake required by a lean woman is very different from that required by an overweight or obese pregnant woman, who is likely to have a more unbalanced diet, to be consuming larger portion sizes and for whom excessive weight gain in pregnancy is to be avoided. However, whether tailored dietary advice alone translates to quantifiable changes in maternal behaviour, such as improving the quality of nutritional intake or pregnancy outcomes is not yet proven[11]. In addition, there is evidence that obese women are often unaware of the risk that obesity poses to their pregnancy and have a distorted view of the nutritional quality and quantity of the food they

consume[15]. With regard to food quantity, it is important to educate women about appropriate energy intake and dispel the widely held myth that she must 'eat for two'. In fact no extra energy intake is required in the first two trimesters of pregnancy, and only an extra energy intake of 837J (200 calories) per d in the third trimester[16].

There is also a paucity of evidence about the beneficial health effects (or otherwise) of specific micronutrient supplementation in obese women. Exposure of the skin to sunlight is the main source of vitamin D synthesis. In countries where there is limited sunlight of appropriate wavelength, for example, the UK, or where, for cultural reasons skin is covered thus preventing exposure to sunlight, skin exposure alone may not be sufficient to achieve optimal vitamin D status for pregnancy[17]. This deficiency is accentuated in obesity. Women with a BMI$>$30 kg/m^2 are at increased risk of vitamin D deficiency compared to healthy weight controls[18], possibly because of sequestering of vitamin D in adipose tissue, with high pre-pregnancy BMI being associated with low serum vitamin D levels during pregnancy[19]. The UK Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists recommend vitamin D supplementation of 10 μg/d for women with a BMI$>$30 kg/m^2 (Centre for Maternal and Child Enquiries/Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists joint guideline). However, there are no randomised clinical trials to support these recommendations, and limited evidence regarding the safety of higher dose antenatal vitamin D regimes[20].

The current recommendation by the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists in the UK[21] that obese women should take a high dose pre-conceptual folic acid to reduce their increased risk of neural tube defects is similarly based on a paucity of evidence. In the general obstetric population, the use of peri-conceptual folic acid to reduce the risk of neural tube defects is well established. Thus, all women trying to conceive are encouraged to take peri-conceptual folic acid at the standard 400 μg/d dose[22]. A higher dose of folate supplementation is recommended for those women identified as being at higher risk, for example, women with a previously affected pregnancy. In these high-risk women, this higher dose of folic acid reduces the risk of having a fetus affected by a neural tube defect in a subsequent pregnancy[23]. However, the protective effects of peri-conceptual folic acid do not appear to benefit obese women. Following introduction of flour fortification with folic acid in women with increased BMI, a Canadian study demonstrated no benefit, in terms of reduction in incidence of neural tube defects[24]. Whether higher dose supplementation of folic acid effects a reduction in risk of neural tube defects in obese women remains to be established in clinical trials, thus current recommendations should be viewed with caution.

Exercise

In non-pregnant individuals, regular exercise is associated with maintenance of healthy weight and improved cardiovascular fitness. Many women who are accustomed to regular exercise outside pregnancy, therefore, wish to continue exercising during pregnancy. In healthy pregnant women, regular aerobic exercise during pregnancy maintains or improves physical fitness with studies demonstrating improvements in functional aerobic capacity and cardiorespiratory capacity[25–28]. Short bouts of maternal exercise are also associated with fetal physiological responses, in particular, an increase in fetal heart rate[29,30]. Whether these beneficial effects extend to obese pregnant women is not known.

In non-pregnant women, the benefits of exercise extend beyond weight maintenance, to include lowering of blood pressure[31], improved insulin sensitivity[32], reduced risk of CHD[33] and Type II diabetes[34] and improved psychological well being. Whether these beneficial effects extend to pregnancy and are associated with improved maternal and offspring health outcomes are, however, less clear. A recent Cochrane review that evaluated the role of exercise or other physical activity in lean women to prevent pre-eclampsia and its complications concluded that 'There is insufficient evidence for reliable conclusions about the effects of exercise on prevention of pre-eclampsia and its complications'[35]. In obese women, although some studies suggest that regular exercise either before or during pregnancy may improve cardiovascular fitness[36], reduce the risk of gestational diabetes[37] and attenuate the gestational increase in blood pressure in obese women[38], other studies are not supportive. Similarly, whether regular exercise influences the rate of other pregnancy complications such as preterm birth is not clear. Although a recent Cochrane review demonstrated that increasing exercise in non-obese sedentary women does not result in a clinically important shortening of gestation (mean difference $+0{\cdot}10$, 95% CI $-0{\cdot}11$, $+0{\cdot}30$ weeks), it also showed that increasing exercise was associated with a non-significant increase in the risk of preterm birth (risk ratio 1·82, 95% CI 0·35, 9·57)[28]. The optimal combination of exercise with/without other lifestyle interventions to improve clinical outcome, therefore, remains to be established by adequately powered clinical trials.

Psychological interventions

Cognitive behavioural therapy for obesity uses cognitive restructuring, stimulus control and self-monitoring to promote weight loss[39]. Cognitive restructuring is based on the theory that behaviour can be controlled by conscious thought. Stimulus control techniques teach patients to understand, control and potentially avoid triggers associated with eating and self-monitoring, teaching patients to become aware of their eating patterns, and to keep records of their food intake to enable them to assess energy intake[40]. Studies consistently demonstrate that interventions based on the theory of cognitive behavioural therapy increase the desire to control weight, boost self-esteem, and increase self-efficacy and satisfaction with body areas and appearance[41]. However, although behavioural interventions are generally effective in promoting weight loss in the short term, they are less effective in maintaining weight loss in the long term[42]. There are no studies using

Table 1. Summary of evidence for various interventions

Intervention			Level of evidence*
Lifestyle	Diet	Vitamin D[65]	2 +
		Folate[66]	2 +
		Gestational weight gain[12]	2 +
	Exercise		No evidence
	Psychological		No evidence
Complex			No evidence
Pharmacological	Sibutramine/ Orlistat		Unlicensed/no evidence
	Aspirin[48]		4
Surgical[49]			2 −
Incentive			No evidence

*See Table 3.

Table 2. Level of evidence for antenatal care strategies

Strategy	Level of evidence*
Specialist antenatal care[21]	4
Pre-pregnancy weight optimisation[54],	4
Ultrasound[56–58]	2 +
Screening for gestational diabetes[67,68]	2 + +
Screening for pre-eclampsia[69]	2 + +
Thromboprophylaxis[63]	2 + +
Anaesthetic review[21]	3

*See Table 3.

cognitive behavioural approaches as a method of controlling weight in pregnancy.

Complex interventions

Complex intervention clinical trials, comprising dietary advice, exercise and psychological interventions are widely used as a method of effecting weight reduction in non-pregnant participants. In non-pregnant obese participants, a comprehensive programme of lifestyle modification induces a mean weight loss of 7–10 %[43].

In pregnancy, there are currently several clinical trials ongoing which are evaluating the use of a complex intervention to improve pregnancy outcome in obese women. To date, evidence is conflicting about the effectiveness of such interventions in pregnancy. A recent systematic review assessed the role of complex interventions in limiting gestational weight gain or reducing the risk of macrosomia[11]. There was significant heterogeneity across the studies related to the intensity of the intervention provided, ranging from additional dietetic sessions at each antenatal visit[44,45] to a single dietetic visit at the start of pregnancy[46], making direct comparisons between studies difficult. However, the review found no statistically significant differences between women who received the antenatal intervention and those who did not for mean gestational weight gain (four studies; 416 women; weighted mean difference 3·10 kg; 95 % CI 8·32, 2·13 (random effects model)) or large-for-gestational-age infant outcome (three studies; 366 women; risk ratio 2·02; 95 % CI 0·84, 4·86), and concluded that there is currently 'Little high quality evidence available from randomised controlled trials to guide practitioners of the effect of limiting gestational weight gain in terms of important maternal and infant health outcomes'.

Drug interventions

Weight-loss drugs are recommended as an adjunct to lifestyle intervention in non-pregnant participants who are unable to loose sufficient weight using a combination of exercise and diet alone[39]. Currently only sibutramine (a selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, which acts centrally to reduce food intake) and orlistat (a pancreatic lipase inhibitor) are licensed for long-term weight loss by the Food and Drug Administration in the USA. In Europe, only orlistat is licensed for weight loss. Neither of the drugs is licensed for use in pregnancy, and their use is, therefore, not recommended as an adjunct to lifestyle intervention to promote weight reduction or maintenance in pregnancy.

Pharmacotherapy may have some benefits if used in a targeted approach to reduce the risk of specific complications, which are increased with obesity, such as pre-eclampsia. Low dose of the antiplatelet aspirin is of mild to moderate benefit in the prevention of pre-eclampsia in women at high risk of developing the disease[47]. However, 'moderate risk factors' are ill defined and data for the benefits of aspirin in obese women are lacking. Despite this, it is the opinion of the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guideline development group in the UK that women with more than one moderate risk factor, which potentially includes obesity, may benefit from 75 mg aspirin from 12 weeks gestation[48].

Bariatric/surgical interventions

In the USA, the incidence of bariatric surgery has increased by 800 % from 1998 to 2005, with more than 50 000 women aged 18–45 currently having bariatric surgery per annum[49]. The rate of bariatric surgery is similarly increasing in other developed countries[50]. A wide range of different surgical procedures are performed including laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding, vertical-banded gastroplasty, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (gastric bypass) and biliopancreatic diversion/duodenal switch, with laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding being the most commonly performed procedure.

A systematic review by Maggard et al.[49] evaluated the effect of bariatric surgery on pregnancy outcomes. To date, there are no randomised controlled trials comparing pregnancy outcome after bariatric surgery. Current evidence is, therefore, dependent on observational cohort or case–control studies, and case reports. Matched cohort studies demonstrated that the rates of maternal complications were substantially lower in obese women after bariatric surgery when compared to obese women without bariatric surgery, and approached rates found in non-obese controls. One cohort study that compared rates of complications in thirteen consecutive deliveries following laparoscopic

Table 3. Levels of evidence

Level	Evidence
1++	High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials (RCT) or RCT with very low risk of bias
1+	Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCT or RCT with a low risk of bias
1−	Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCT or RCT with a high risk of bias
2++	High-quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort studies or high-quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and high probability that the relationship is causal
2+	Well conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal
2−	Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding, bias or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal
3	Non-analytical studies, e.g. case reports, case series
4	Expert opinion/formal consensus

adjustable gastric banding surgery with outcomes of 414 consecutive patients who were obese (BMI $\geq 30 \, \text{kg/m}^2$) who delivered at the same practice between 2004 and 2006 found a significant reduction in rates of pre-eclampsia (0% v. 3·1%, $P<0·05$) and gestational diabetes (0% v. 22·1%, $P<0·05$)[51]. Neonatal outcomes were similarly better after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding surgery (7·7% v. 14·6% for macrosomia, 7·7% v. 10·6% for low birth weight and 7·7% v. 7·1% for premature delivery; all $P<0·05$). However, when gastric bypass was compared to non-obese controls, the studies are conflicting regarding maternal outcomes and there were no differences in neonatal outcomes for premature delivery, low birth weight and macrosomia. Currently, evidence is insufficient to assess the effect of bariatric surgery on mode of delivery, nutritional status and fertility. Similarly, there are few data available to inform timing of surgery with respect to pregnancy, with successful pregnancies being achieved within 1–2 years of the procedure. Finally, bariatric surgery is not without its complications, which can include bowel obstruction, preterm delivery and ultimately maternal and fetal death[52].

Incentives and social marketing

Incentives are effective in effecting simple, time-limited behavioural change, for example attending hospital appointments[53]. Offering financial or other incentives to encourage lifestyle modification is becoming increasingly common for promoting complex behavioural change, including weight reduction. However, despite their rising popularity, there is a paucity of high-quality evidence about the effectiveness of such interventions, their mechanisms of action, and effects at an individual or societal level. Hence the type, level and mode of delivery of incentivisation are essentially arbitrary. Whether incentives have a role in improving pregnancy outcome in obese women is not known.

A summary of all the intervention strategies discussed is illustrated in Table 1. The level of evidence (defined in Table 3) to support these strategies is also shown, highlighting the relative lack of good quality evidence currently available.

Antenatal care

In the absence of an intervention(s) proven to improve pregnancy outcome in obese women, clinicians are left with optimising maternal health pre-pregnancy and providing appropriate high-risk (often non evidence-based) antenatal care for obese pregnant women.

Pre-pregnancy, obese women planning a pregnancy should be given the opportunity to optimise their weight and treatment of common conditions associated with maternal obesity such as essential hypertension. Losing 5–10% of their body weight prior to conception has significant health benefits[54], and rationalising drug therapy can reduce the risk of drug-induced teratogenicity[55].

Once pregnant, obese women should be recognised as a high-risk group and should be referred for appropriate antenatal care[5]. Ultrasound is widely used for pregnancy dating, detection of fetal anomalies and assessment of fetal growth. However, ultrasound assessment is less accurate in obese women[56]. Women should, therefore, be informed about the reduced sensitivity of ultrasound with increasing maternal size[57,58]. To ensure accurate diagnosis of hypertensive complications of pregnancy including pre-eclampsia and pregnancy-induced hypertension, it is important to use appropriate sized blood pressure cuffs. Too small a cuff will overestimate blood pressure, too large a cuff is associated with less error[59]. Gestational and pre-existing diabetes are also more common in obese women. While there is no debate that appropriate treatment of diabetes (pre-existing or gestational) in pregnancy significantly reduces the risk of serious adverse perinatal outcome (e.g. death, shoulder dystocia, bone fracture and nerve palsy)[60], there is no consensus about how and when to screen for gestational diabetes, and what diagnostic criteria should be used. Once gestational diabetes has been diagnosed, glycaemic control should be optimised using dietary modification first, and if that is insufficient, then the oral hypoglycaemic drug metformin[61] or insulin should be used.

The antenatal period is also a time of increased thrombotic risk, particularly for obese women[62] with thromboembolism remaining a leading cause of maternal mortality worldwide. To reduce the risk of thromboembolism, low molecular weight heparins are used, dependent on a risk-based scoring system for antenatal thromboprophylaxis. The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists in the UK recommends that antenatal thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin be considered for any woman who has a BMI greater than $30 \, \text{kg/m}^2$ plus two or more additional risk factors and this should commence as early as practically possible in

pregnancy[63]. Similar scoring systems are recommended for use in the USA. The optimal dose of low molecular weight heparin required to achieve effective thromboprophylaxis in lean and obese women, however, remains to be established in clinical trials[64].

Maternal obesity poses particular risks at the time of delivery with the risks of instrumental delivery, caesarean section, shoulder dystocia and postpartum haemorrhage all being increased with maternal BMI[3]. Anaesthetic procedures such as siting an epidural or spinal anaesthetic and performing a general anaesthetic are also more difficult with maternal obesity. It is, therefore, helpful if women, particularly those with Class III obesity (BMI > 40 kg/m^2), are reviewed by an anaesthetist antenatally and deliver in a medically led delivery suite so that intrapartum problems can be recognised early and managed appropriately with staff who have experience in managing the care of these high-risk women intrapartum.

Table 2 provides a summary of the antenatal care strategies discussed and the level of evidence (defined in Table 3) currently available upon which these strategies are based.

Summary

The global pandemic presents a major challenge for healthcare providers, and has significant short- and long-term implications for both maternal and fetal health. At present, the evidence-base underpinning many of the interventions either currently in use or recommended to improve pregnancy outcome in obese women is limited. Until the outcomes of ongoing current trials are reported and provide firm evidence base on which to base future intervention strategies and guide evidence-based care for obese pregnant women, pregnancy outcome is best optimised by high-risk antenatal care delivered by healthcare providers who are experienced in supporting these high-risk women.

Acknowledgements

References

1. World Health Organisation (2000) *Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic*. WHO Technical Report Series No. 894. Geneva: WHO.
2. Bhattacharya S, Campbell DM, Liston WA *et al.* (2007) Effect of body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in nulliparous women delivering singleton babies. *BMC Public Health* **7**, 168.
3. Denison FC, Price J, Graham C *et al.* (2008) Maternal obesity, length of gestation, risk of postdates pregnancy and spontaneous onset of labour at term. *BJOG* **115**, 720–725.
4. Linne Y (2004) Effects of obesity on women's reproduction and complications during pregnancy. *Obes Rev* **5**, 137–143.
5. Cantwell R, Clutton-Brock T, Cooper G *et al.* (2011) Saving mothers' lives: Reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer: 2006–2008. The eighth report of the confidential enquiries into maternal deaths in the United Kingdom. *BJOG* **118**, Suppl. 1, 1–203.
6. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP *et al.* (2001) Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: A study of 287 213 pregnancies in London. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **25**, 1175–1182.
7. Walker LO (1997) Weight and weight-related distress after childbirth: Relationships to stress, social support, and depressive symptoms. *J Holist Nurs* **15**, 389–405.
8. Gunderson EP, Abrams B & Selvin S (2001) Does the pattern of postpartum weight change differ according to pregravid body size? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **25**, 853–862.
9. Oken E, Rifas-Shiman SL, Field AE *et al.* (2008) Maternal gestational weight gain and offspring weight in adolescence. *Obstet Gynecol* **112**, 999–1006.
10. Boney CM, Verma A, Tucker R *et al.* (2005) Metabolic syndrome in childhood: Association with birth weight, maternal obesity, and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics* **115**, e290–e296.
11. Dodd JM, Grivell RM, Crowther CA *et al.* (2010) Antenatal interventions for overweight or obese pregnant women: A systematic review of randomised trials. *BJOG* **117**, 1316–1326.
12. Rasmussen KM & Yaktine AL (editors) (2010) *Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines (2010) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines; Institute of Medicine; National Research Council*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. Available at http://www.iom.edu/Reports/2009/Weight-Gain-During-Pregnancy-Reexamining-the-Guidelines.aspx
13. Kenyon SL, Taylor DJ & Tarnow-Mordi W (2001) Broadspectrum antibiotics for spontaneous preterm labour: The ORACLE II randomised trial. ORACLE Collaborative Group. *Lancet* **357**, 989–994.
14. Kenyon S, Pike K, Jones DR *et al.* (2008) Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to pregnant women with spontaneous preterm labour: 7-year follow-up of the ORACLE II trial. *Lancet* **372**, 1319–1327.
15. Keely A, Gunning M & Denison FC (2011) Maternal obesity in pregnancy: A qualitative examination of women's understanding of the risks. *Br J Midwifery* (In the Press).
16. National Institute of Clinical Excellence (2010) Dietary Interventions and Physical Activity Interventions for Weight Management Before, During and After Pregnancy. NICE Clinical Guidance PH27. Available at http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/PH27
17. Swan G (2004) Findings from the latest national diet and nutrition survey. *Proc Nutr Soc* **63**, 505–512.
18. Wortsman J, Matsuoka LY, Chen TC *et al.* (2000) Decreased bioavailability of vitamin D in obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* **72**, 690–693.
19. Bodnar LM, Catov JM, Roberts JM *et al.* (2007) Prepregnancy obesity predicts poor vitamin D status in mothers and their neonates. *J Nutr* **137**, 2437–2442.
20. Roth DE (2011) Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: Safety considerations in the design and interpretation of clinical trials. *J Perinatol* **31**, 449–459.
21. Modder J & Fitzsimons KJ (2010) CMACE/RCOG Joint Guideline: Management of Women with Obesity in Pregnancy. London: RCOG. Available at http://www.rcog.org.uk/womens-health/clinical-guidance/management-women-obesity-pregnancy
22. De-Regil LM, Fernandez-Gaxiola AC, Dowswell T *et al.* (2010) Effects and safety of periconceptional folate supplementation for preventing birth defects. *Cochrane*

Database Systematic Reviews CD007950; available at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clsysrev/articles/CD007950/frame.html

23. MRC Vitamin Study Research Group (1991) Prevention of neural tube defects: Results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. *Lancet* **338**, 131–137.

24. Ray JG, Wyatt PR, Vermeulen MJ *et al.* (2005) Greater maternal weight and the ongoing risk of neural tube defects after folic acid flour fortification. *Obstet Gynecol* **105**, 261–265.

25. Collings CA, Curet LB & Mullin JP (1983) Maternal and fetal responses to a maternal aerobic exercise program. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **145**, 702–707.

26. Santos IA, Stein R, Fuchs SC *et al.* (2005) Aerobic exercise and submaximal functional capacity in overweight pregnant women: A randomized trial. *Obstet Gynecol* **106**, 243–249.

27. Marquez-Sterling S, Perry AC, Kaplan TA *et al.* (2000) Physical and psychological changes with vigorous exercise in sedentary primigravidae. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **32**, 58–62.

28. Kramer MS & McDonald SW (2006) Aerobic exercise for women during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews* **3**, CD000180; available at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clsysrev/articles/CD000180/frame.html

29. Brenner IK, Wolfe LA, Monga M *et al.* (1999) Physical conditioning effects on fetal heart rate responses to graded maternal exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **31**, 792–799.

30. Webb KA, Wolfe LA & McGrath MJ (1994) Effects of acute and chronic maternal exercise on fetal heart rate. *J Appl Physiol* **77**, 2207–2213.

31. Cocco G & Pandolfi S (2011) Physical exercise with weight reduction lowers blood pressure and improves abnormal left ventricular relaxation in pharmacologically treated hypertensive patients. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* **13**, 23–29.

32. Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Wasserman DH *et al.* (2006) Physical activity/exercise and type 2 diabetes: A consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* **29**, 1433–1438.

33. Manson JE, Hu FB, Rich-Edwards JW *et al.* (1999) A prospective study of walking as compared with vigorous exercise in the prevention of coronary heart disease in women. *N Engl J Med* **341**, 650–658.

34. Jeon CY, Lokken RP, Hu FB *et al.* (2007) Physical activity of moderate intensity and risk of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. *Diabetes Care* **30**, 744–752.

35. Meher S & Duley L (2006) Exercise or other physical activity for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD005942; available at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clsysrev/articles/CD005942/frame.html

36. Melzer K, Schutz Y, Boulvain M *et al.* (2010) Physical activity and pregnancy: Cardiovascular adaptations, recommendations and pregnancy outcomes. *Sports Med* **40**, 493–507.

37. Tobias DK, Zhang C, van Dam RM *et al.* (2011) Physical activity before and during pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* **34**, 223–229.

38. Stutzman SS, Brown CA, Hains SM *et al.* (2010) The effects of exercise conditioning in normal and overweight pregnant women on blood pressure and heart rate variability. *Biol Res Nurs* **12**, 137–148.

39. Vetter ML, Faulconbridge LF, Webb VL *et al.* (2010) Behavioral and pharmacologic therapies for obesity. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **6**, 578–588.

40. Wadden TA & Foster GD (2000) Behavioral treatment of obesity. *Med Clin North Am* **84**, 441–461, vii.

41. Poobalan AS, Aucott LS, Precious E *et al.* (2010) Weight loss interventions in young people (18 to 25 year olds): A systematic review. *Obes Rev* **11**, 580–592.

42. Van Dorsten B & Lindley EM (2008) Cognitive and behavioral approaches in the treatment of obesity. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* **37**, 905–922.

43. Skouteris H, Hartley-Clark L, McCabe M *et al.* (2010) Preventing excessive gestational weight gain: A systematic review of interventions. *Obes Rev* **11**, 757–768.

44. Polley BA, Wing RR & Sims CJ (2002) Randomized controlled trial to prevent excessive weight gain in pregnant women. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **26**, 1494–1502.

45. Wolff S, Legarth J, Vangsgaard K *et al.* (2008) A randomized trial of the effects of dietary counseling on gestational weight gain and glucose metabolism in obese pregnant women. *Int J Obesity (2005)* **32**, 495–501.

46. Thornton YS, Smarkola C, Kopacz SM *et al.* (2009) Perinatal outcomes in nutritionally monitored obese pregnant women: A randomized clinical trial. *J Natl Med Assoc* **101**, 569–577.

47. Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Knight M *et al.* (2007) Antiplatelet agents for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. *Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews* CD004659; available at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clsysrev/articles/CD004659/frame.html

48. Hypertension in Pregnancy: The Management of Hypertensive Disorders During Pregnancy (2010) NICE Clinical Guideline 107. Available at http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG107

49. Maggard MA, Yermilov I, Li Z *et al.* (2008) Pregnancy and fertility following bariatric surgery: A systematic review. *JAMA* **300**, 2286–2296.

50. Buchwald H & Oien DM (2009) Metabolic/bariatric surgery Worldwide 2008. *Obes Surg* **19**, 1605–1611.

51. Ducarme G, Revaux A, Rodrigues A *et al.* (2007) Obstetric outcome following laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* **98**, 244–247.

52. Moore KA, Ouyang DW & Whang EE (2004) Maternal and fetal deaths after gastric bypass surgery for morbid obesity. *N Engl J Med* **351**, 721–722.

53. Marteau TM, Ashcroft RE & Oliver A (2009) Using financial incentives to achieve healthy behaviour. *Br Med J* **338**, b1415.

54. National Institute of Clinical Excellence (2010) Obesity: Guidance on the Prevention, Identification, Assessment and Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults and Children. NICE Clinical Guideline 43. Available at http://www.nice.org.uk/CG043

55. Friedman JM (2006) ACE inhibitors and congenital anomalies. *N Engl J Med* **354**, 2498–2500.

56. Phatak M & Ramsay J (2010) Impact of maternal obesity on procedure of mid-trimester anomaly scan. *J Obstet Gynaecol* **30**, 447–450.

57. Colman A, Maharaj D, Hutton J *et al.* (2006) Reliability of ultrasound estimation of fetal weight in term singleton pregnancies. *N Z Med J* **119**, U2146.

58. Dudley NJ (2005) A systematic review of the ultrasound estimation of fetal weight. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* **25**, 80–89.

59. Maxwell MH, Waks AU, Schroth PC *et al.* (1982) Error in blood-pressure measurement due to incorrect cuff size in obese patients. *Lancet* **2**, 33–36.

60. Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR *et al.* (2005) Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* **352**, 2477–2486.

61. Rowan JA, Hague WM, Gao W *et al.* (2008) Metformin versus insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes. *N Engl J Med* **358**, 2003–2015.

62. Knight M (2008) Antenatal pulmonary embolism: Risk fac-
tors, management and outcomes. *BJOG* **115**, 453–461.
63. Nelson Piercy C, MacCallum P & Mackillop L (2009)
Reducing the Risk of Thrombosis and Embolism During
Pregnancy and the Puerperium. RCOG Green-top
Guideline No. 37. Available at http://www.rcog.org.uk/
womens-health/clinical-guidance/reducing-risk-of-thrombosis-
greentop37a
64. Stock SJ, Walker MC, Edelshain BT *et al.* (2011) Fixed
dosing regimes of enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis do not
reliably achieve target anti-Xa levels in women of normal
weight during pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*
(Epublication ahead of print).
65. National Institute of Clinical Excellence (2008) Improving
the Nutrition of Pregnant and Breastfeeding Mothers and
Children in Low-Income Households. NICE Clinical Public
Health Guidance 11. Available at http://www.nice.org.uk/
nicemedia/pdf/PH011guidance.pdf
66. Mojtabai R (2004) Body mass index and serum folate in
childbearing age women. *Eur J Epidemiol* **19**, 1029–1036.
67. Neutzling MB, Hallal PR, Araujo CL *et al.* (2009) Infant
feeding and obesity at 11 years: Prospective birth cohort
study. *Int J Pediatr Obes* **4**, 143–149.
68. National Institute of Clinical Excellence (2008) Diabetes in
Pregnancy: Management of Diabetes and its Complications
from Pre-conception to the Postnatal Period. NICE Clinical
Guideline 63. Available at http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/
pdf/CG063Guidance.pdf
69. PRECOG (2004) The Pre-eclampsia Community Guideline.
Evidence Based Screening and Detection of Pre-
eclampsia. Available at http://www.apec.org.uk/pdf/guide
linepublishedvers04.pdf