# Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

## **Research Article**

**Cite this article:** Silva AH, Nogueira MM, Johnsson R, Neves EG (2024). Morphological variation of the endemic reef-building genus *Mussismilia* in the Bahia State (Tropical northeastern Brazilian coast). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **104**, e113, 1–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0025315424000948

Received: 16 May 2023 Revised: 13 August 2024 Accepted: 25 September 2024

#### **Keywords:**

coral reefs; morphological variation; Mussidae; Scleractinia; South Atlantic

Corresponding author: A. H. Silva; Email: aureahas@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom



# Morphological variation of the endemic reefbuilding genus *Mussismilia* in the Bahia State (Tropical northeastern Brazilian coast)

A. H. Silva 💿, M. M. Nogueira 💿, R. Johnsson 💿 and E. G. Neves 💿

LABIMAR (Laboratório de Invertebrados Marinhos: Crustacea, Cnidaria e Fauna Associada), Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia (UFBA), Rua Barão de Jeremoabo, 147, Ondina, Salvador, BA CEP 40170115, Brazil

#### Abstract

Verrill's modern Mussismilia (the 'brain corals') were described in the 19th century, being hitherto considered endemic reef-building species to Brazil. Contrasting with the original diagnoses, highly variable morphological patterns have been observed among the congeners. Interspecific overlapping of major taxonomical characters has resulted in quite inconclusive use of the skeleton macromorphology for the genus. Intending to corroborate the Mussismilia taxonomy, a comparative morphological approach was developed, combining skeleton macro- and micromorphology. A total of 132 colonies was collected between 13°S and 17°S latitude (Mussismilia hispida = 53, Mussismilia harttii = 41, and Mussismilia brazi*liensis* = 38). Qualitative (n = 9) and quantitative characters (n = 7) were selected (the latter was analysed with Kruskal-Wallis and a principal component analysis). A non-parametric test was adopted due to heteroscedasticity and the irregular sampling among populations. As a result, the corallite diameter and number of septa were significantly distinct among the species ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Micromorphology also differs interspecifically, being distribution and size of septal spines diagnostic for the congeners. Intraspecific variation and morphs are approached, ensuring the relevance of the skeleton for the interspecific delimitation and the species identities. Finally, field identification and/or methods based on image analyses from video transects should be adopted with caution. These practices may provide unreliable data, once the information is restricted to the view of the colony top, resulting in biased identification - majorly if the morphotypes of M. harttii and M. hispida share closely spaced corallites.

### Introduction

Traditionally, identification of coral species is based on skeletal macrostructures, mainly on the characteristics attributed to the corallum and corallites, being the interspecific limits defined by the discontinuities of the diagnostic traits (Foster, 1977; Budd and Stolarski, 2009; Benzoni *et al.*, 2011; Budd *et al.*, 2012a). However, challenging the Scleractinia taxonomy, morphology may be quite inconsistent, varying intra- and interspecifically, leading to the overlapping of skeletal features and the misidentification of the morphotypes (Brown and Navin, 1992; Veron, 1995; Bruno and Edmunds, 1997; Benzoni *et al.*, 2011; Menezes *et al.*, 2013).

Incongruences on coral morphologies have been highlighted by molecular phylogenies, emphasizing the relevance of an integrative approach (Romano and Cairns, 2000; Budd and Stolarski, 2009; Benzoni *et al.*, 2011; Arrigoni *et al.*, 2012; Budd *et al.*, 2012a, 2012b). These studies have impacted the phylogeny of two important families from the Atlantic and Indo-Pacific Oceans: Faviidae and Mussidae (Fukami *et al.*, 2004, 2008; Budd *et al.*, 2012b). Using nuclear and mitochondrial markers, Nunes *et al.* (2008) have suggested a close relationship between *Favia leptophylla* Verrill, 1868 and the Brazilian endemic genus *Mussismilia* Ortmann, 1890, the species renaming as *Mussismilia leptophylla*.

Following this novelty, Budd and Stolarski (2009) presented a thorough skeletal analysis into three distinct 'scales': macromorphology, micromorphology, and microstructure, defining the family Mussidae as polyphyletic, with most members distributed into two major molecular clades, one Atlantic, including Mussidae and Faviidae, and the other represented by Pacific Mussidae and Pectiniidae.

Supported by recent literature, Mussidae is expected to comprehend two distinct subfamilies: Mussinae Ortmann, 1890 and Faviinae Gregory, 1890 – among other genera, the latter being represented by the Atlantic members of *Favia* Milne Edwards, 1857 and *Mussismilia* (Fukami *et al.*, 2004; Budd *et al.*, 2012a, 2012b), while *Scolymia* Haime, 1852 remained in Mussinae. By its turn, Faviidae has been characterized as polyphyletic within the Robust clade – although closely related to Mussidae, it has been 'lowered to the rank of subfamily' (Budd *et al.*, 2012b, p. 472). In fact, apparent similarities between Atlantic and Indo-Pacific faviids have been attributed to morphological convergence (Fukami *et al.*, 2004).

*Mussismilia* fossils from Mio-Pliocene have been found across the Caribbean and the Mediterranean Sea (Veron, 1995; Riegl and Piller, 2000). Currently endemic to Southwestern Atlantic, modern *Mussismilia* corals (the 'brain corals') present a wide

distribution range along the coastal Brazilian shallow water environments, occurring from Maranhão to São Paulo State (0°S/44°W to 23°S/45°W). Although represented by a few species, the genus plays an important role as reef builders. The massive *Mussismilia braziliensis* (Verrill, 1868), for instance, stands out as the major builder of the mushroom-shaped coral pinnacles, the 'Chapeirões' from Abrolhos reefs at Southern Bahia (16°S/45°W) (Castro and Pires, 2001). True coral reefs in the South Atlantic occur mostly along the northeastern section of the Brazilian coast, where the influence of the warm waters of the Guyana and Brazilian currents supports favourable conditions for the carbonate deposition, allowing reef flourishment (Maida and Ferreira, 1997).

For long unchangeable, and represented by three congeners, Mussismilia genus was modified in the last decade by a new addition: M. leptophylla (Nunes et al., 2008; Budd et al., 2012a, 2012b). Originally, the subplocoid *M. braziliensis* (Verrill, 1868) and Mussismilia hispida (Verrill, 1902), and the phaceloid Mussismilia harttii (Verrill, 1868) were described as Acanthastrea Milne Edwards & Haime, 1848 (M. braziliensis) and Mussa (Oken, 1815) (M. harttii and M. hispida) (Verrill, 1868, 1901, 1902). Being distinguishable from other genera because of exclusive micromorphological characteristics of the septa teeth, Mussismilia species have been separated by the colony form (and pattern of corallite development), corallite wall, calice size, septal thickness, and number of septa per cycle (Budd et al., 2012a, 2012b). According to Budd and Stolarski (2009) and Budd et al. (2012a), major micromorphological aspects (e.g. septa marginal teeth, ornamentation of the septal face) have also shed light on the controversies related to the skeleton interspecific variation, and have become an important tool for consolidating the genus taxonomy.

Regarding the species currently accepted as Mussimilia, M. harttii singularly displays a phaceloid development ('corallites walls of adjacent corallites separated by void space; each corallite forms a branch', sensu Budd et al., 2012a, p. 481, 2012b). Without tissue lying between the corallites, 'true' phaceloids may be promptly recognized by the widely spaced units, lacking costa, exotheca, coenosteum, and/or coenosarc. However, even pointed out as a strong diagnostical character, a few colonies may show some degree of fusion between adjacent polyps, forming closely spaced corallites with deposition of exothecal elements. Considering Verrill's morphotypes, phaceloid variants were described as follows: (1) conferta with corallites joined by a vesicular exotheca (very similar to M. hispida); (2) laxa with dichotomous corallum without exotheca (typical phaceloid) (3); intermedia with partially free corallites joined by an exotheca at the base, and finally (4) confertifolia with corallites separated by deep grooves at short distances (Table 1).

Most *M. harttii* morphotypes may be observed across their entire range of geographical distribution. However, studies by Laborel (1969/70) have not supported '*conferta*', probably because the huge development of the exothecal elements – an unusual pattern, which bias the recognition of the species in the field, leading *M. harttii* be misidentified as *M. hispida*.

Two geographic subspecies have been described for *M. hispida* (Laborel, 1969/70): *M. hispida tenuisepta* and *M. hispida hispida*. The former is distributed northwards São Francisco River (10°S), and the latter occurring southwards (Laborel, 1969/70). Contrasting with *M. hispida hispida*, Verrill (1901) has originally classified *Mussa* (*Symphyllia*) *tenuisepta* as those colonies with moderately broad, irregular calyces, polystomodeal, with numerous and thinner septa. As similarly proposed by Amaral *et al.* (2009), colonies with meandroid corallites fit in the *'hispida tenuisepta*' pattern, while colonies with regular corallites are *'hispida hispida*' (Table 1).

Anthropogenic impacts on the *Mussismilia* corals have been the focus of intense debate, due to the overall risks of the productivity impoverishment of the coastal seas, and the biodiversity losses along the Tropical South Atlantic (Dutra *et al.*, 2006; Francini-Filho *et al.*, 2008; Miranda *et al.*, 2013). Despite major worldwide concern on global climate changes, several other factors (e.g. chemical, biological and solid pollution, deforestation, urbanization, unsustainable exploration of the natural resources) are rapidly depleting local coral communities (Leão *et al.*, 2016; Kubicek *et al.*, 2019). Thus, baseline surveys represent an unprecedented strategy to support reef resilience and its conservation. Alternatively, studies dealing with natural morphological variation within and among populations may also provide answers to how a changing world is affecting the species adaptation and the ecological interactions as well.

Therefore, intending to corroborate the definition of the interspecific limits and the species identities as well, the present study aims to analyse qualitatively and quantitatively the macro- and microstructures of the endemic brain corals *M. hispida*, *M. harttii*, and *M. braziliensis* through a population approach.

#### **Materials and methods**

The Bahia State has one of the longest coastlines of Brazil (~1100 km), located between 11°27'26.70"S and 18°20'9.35"S of latitude, being characterized by pristine natural environments, including rocky shores, coral reefs, sandy beaches, mangroves, and estuaries (Tessler and Goya, 2005). The study area comprises two distinct geographical sections: the Todos-os-Santos Bay and the South Littoral (SL), including the following true reefs: Caramuanas, Boa Viagem, Moreré, and Abrolhos Archipelago (Figure 1). Sampling was carried out by snorkeling and scuba diving at 1.0-5.0 m depth. Colonies of Mussismilia hispida, Mussismilia braziliensis, and Mussismilia harttii ranging from 10.0 to 30.0 cm were randomly selected and removed using a hammer and a chisel. A minimum distance of 3.0 m between neighbouring colonies was adopted to cover a greater morphological diversity. In the laboratory, the corals were bleached in a solution of sodium hypochlorite (2%), washed, and dried at ambient temperature. Samples from the Abrolhos Archipelago were donated by the 'Laboratório de Recifes de Corais e Mudanças Globais' (RECOR/IGEO/UFBA). Testimonies previously deposited in the Cnidaria Collection of the Museu de História Natural da Universidade Federal da Bahia (acronym: UFBA) were also examined. All collected samples were deposited in the UFBA.

#### Taxonomy and morphometric analysis

Skeleton structures, including corallum and corallites, were qualitatively and quantitatively evaluated (Table 1). Species identification and morphometric variables were supported by the specialized literature (Verrill, 1868, 1901, 1902; Laborel, 1969/70; Foster, 1977, 1979; Neves, 2004; Budd *et al.*, 2012a). Morphometric measurements were developed under a Nikon SMZ 1000 stereomicroscope with an eyepiece micrometre and a Nikon Coolpix 995 digital camera attached. Skeleton fragments were also mounted with aluminium pin stubs for septal teeth and spine analysis, being previously covered with a double-sided sticky tape, sputter-coated with 35 nm of gold in a Denton Vacuum Desk V ion coater, and examined through a Jeol JSAA-6610LV. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images supported the microstructure analysis.

### **Statistics**

A total of six corallites per colony were randomly selected and examined using a grid of numbers (Foster, 1985). Colony diameter ( $D_{col}$  = mean of the two major axes of the colony [mm]), which is influenced by the age, and meandroid and/or

Table 1. Characterization of the Mussismilia species, including morphotypes, macro- and microstructures with special inclusion of Mussismilia leptophylla

| Species                               | Mussismilia hispida   | Mussismilia braziliensis  | Mussismilia harttii   | M. leptophylla   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Morphotypes h<br>n<br>h<br>c          | <b>hispida tenuisepta</b><br>meandroid corallites<br>hi <b>spida hispida</b> regular<br>corallites                            |   | <i>conferta</i> corallites joined by a<br>vesicular exotheca<br><i>laxa</i> dichotomous corallum without<br>exotheca<br><i>intermedia</i> partially free corallites<br>joined by an exotheca at the base<br><i>confertifolia</i> corallites separated by<br>deep grooves at short distances |  |
| Fossil P<br>C<br>P                    | Panama, in the Miocene and<br>Quaternary, Florida in the<br>Pliocene  | Brazil, from Pleistocene<br>to Holocene   | Panama, in the Miocene and<br>Quaternary, and Costa Rica from the<br>Pliocene to Pleistocene  | Unknown  |
| Distribution F<br>4<br>(2<br>(4)<br>P | From Maranhão (01°01′00″S,<br>41°48′30″W) to Santa Catarina<br>(29°23′55″S, 48°19′37″W)<br>(except for Sergipe and<br>Paraná) | Bahia (11°27′85″S, 037°<br>22.07W) and Espírito<br>Santo (21°21′12.2″S, 40°<br>06′51.0″W) | From Rio Grande do Norte (4°<br>48'22.6"S, 36°58'32.6"W) to Espírito<br>Santo (21°21'12.2"S, 40°06'51.0"W),<br>including Fernando de Noronha and<br>Atol das Rocas (except for Sergipe)   | Bahia (11°27′85″S, 037°<br>22.07W) to Martin Vaz<br>(20°31′29″S, 29°<br>19′29″W) |
| Colony M                              | Massive, hemispherical  | Massive, forming large<br>domes   | Phaceloid   | Massive, moderately flattened  |
| Corallite S<br>e                      | Subplocoid, irregular, oval, or<br>elliptical   | Subplocoid, polygonal,<br>cerioid, oval, or elliptical<br>(submeandroid)                  | Phaceloid, oval, or elliptical  | Subplocoid, rounded,<br>triangled, elongated                                     |
| Columella V                           | Well-developed  | Small   | Well-developed  | Little, of a loose, open<br>texture or<br>well-developed, and<br>spongy          |
| Corallite diameter 1<br>(mm) si       | 10–15 (16–26 in the present<br>study)   | 8–10 (6–18 in the present study)  | 15–30 (7–31 in the present study)   | 6–8  |
| Number of septa 5<br>cycles           | 5 (the last one incomplete)   | 4 (the last one incomplete)   | 5 (the last one incomplete)   | 3–4 (when 4, the last<br>one may be complete<br>or incomplete)                   |
| Septo-costae P                        | Present with rows of spines   | Small or absent   | Present with rows of spines   | Present, uniformly spaced  |
| Distance among 3<br>corallites (mm) d | 3–4 (corallites separated by<br>double walls)   | Fused walls (no<br>coenosteum)  | >10 (no common walls, corallites separated by deep grooves)   | 2–3 (walls<br>well-developed)  |
| Septal E<br>microstructures           | Elongated and sharp teeth   | Short and sharp teeth   | Short and sharp teeth   | Numerous slender<br>septal teeth   |
| Epitheca R                            | Reduced   | Reduced   | Conspicuous and well-marked   | Well-developed and concentrically wrinkled                                       |
| Budding Ir<br>b                       | Intra and extracalicinal,<br>bidirectional or<br>multidirectional   | Intracalicinal  | Intra and extracalicinal,<br>bidirectional or multidirectional  | Intracalicinal   |
| Centres per series 2                  | 2-4   | 2-6   | 2-3   | None   |

Based on Amaral (1992), Budd et al. (2012a), Verrill (1868, 1901, 1902), and data from the present study.

polystomodeal corallites (irregular patterns) were not considered; for the statistical analysis we included:  $N_{cor} = corallite$  number in an area of 5 cm<sup>2</sup>;  $D_{ica}$  = inner diameter of callice, mean of the two major inner axes of theca cavity margins (mm),  $D_{icor}$  = corallite diameter, mean of the two major axes of the outer margins of corallite (mm);  $N_{sep}$  = number of septal elements;  $C_{sep}$  = mean length from septa first cycle to columella (mm);  $P_{col}$  = mean columellar fossa depth (mm),  $D_{cor}$  = mean distance between calice, based on the inner theca margin between two close corallites (mm). Due to heteroscedasticity and the distinct number of samples per population, a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test (Theodorsson-Norheim, 1986) was developed as well to evaluate which characteristics differed significantly among the populations and species. To visualize all traits together per locality and per species, a principal component analysis (PCA) was adopted (Jolliffe, 2002). For interspecific analysis, only populations where the three species occurred sympatrically were used (i.e. Caramuanas and Moreré reefs). Packages of Vegan (Oksanen

et al., 2017) and ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) in R environment, and Excel 2010 (Microsoft©) were used for the statistical analysis.

## Results

A total of 132 corallums was examined (*Mussismilia hispida* n = 53; *Mussismilia harttii* n = 41; *Mussismilia braziliensis* n = 38), comprising 792 corallites, and resulting in 5544 data analysed; all means, standard deviation, and total range are summarized in Table 2. In *M. hispida* colonies, only the number of septa showed statistical difference among populations with higher values in Moreré population (Tables 2 and 3). On the other hand, the marginal teeth and the spines did not show interpopulational variation. Septal ornamentation is composed by conical and elongated spines. Bi- or trifurcated conical spines are regularly distributed along the septal faces (Figure 2A, E, I), while those elongate, with regular tips (not bifurcated), are distributed linearly along the septa (Figure 2B, F).



Figure 1. Map of the study area and sampling sites, including Boa Viagem reef in the Todos-os-Santos Bay, and reefs from the South Littoral: Caramuanas, Moreré (Boipeba Island), and Abrolhos Archipelago.

For M. harttii colonies, corallite diameter, number of septal elements, mean length from septa first cycle to columella, and mean distance between calice were statistically different among populations with higher values for colonies from Moreré; corallite number and mean distance between calice showed higher values in the Caramuanas population (Tables 2 and 3). Contrasting with M. hispida, teeth and septal spines were highly inconspicuous among the populations (Figure 3). On the other hand, M. braziliensis showed significant difference among the populations for the number of septal elements (higher in the Moreré population) and the mean columellar fossa depth (mm) (higher values in the Abrolhos population) (Table 3). As supported by SEM images, septal spines vary among the populations (Figure 4). In the Moreré population, spines are conical with rounded edges, forming a perpendicular line along the septal teeth (Figure 4A, B). This line is a continuous deposition of aragonite fibres composed by two larger granules separated by a smaller one (Figure 4E). The spines from Abrolhos colonies are conic and wide at the base, being distally bi- or trifurcated (Figure 4B, E). This population has an exquisite sequence of three spines forming a slight curvature at an obtuse angle below the septal tooth. In the Caramuanas population, bi- or trifurcated conical spines are intercalated and sparsely distributed along the lateral septum surface (Figure 4D, F). Similarly, the spines of septal teeth are multidirectional and bifurcated, being irregularly arranged (Figure 4G, H).

Comparing M. hispida, M. harttii, and M. braziliensis, significant differences were observed in the non-parametric analysis for all the analysed characters ( $N_{cor}$ : P = 0.0000;  $D_{ica}$ : P = 0.0000;  $D_{icor}$ :  $P = 0.0000; N_{sep}$ :  $P = 0.0000; C_{sep}$ :  $P = 0.0000; P_{col}$ :  $P = 0.0000; D_{cor}$ : P = 0.0000). The PCA shows 76.10% in axis 1 and 12.21% in axis 2 (Figure 5). The results showed differentiation between the species; colonies of M. braziliensis from both areas showed a distinct group from other species populations. Mussismilia harttii populations from Caramuanas and Moreré showed a trend in cluster in different groups, suggesting that for this species, the environment may play an important role in the morphological pattern of colonies. There is an intersection between individuals of M. harttii from Moreré with M. hispida; the overlapping of the characteristics in M. harttii is strongly marked in the variant 'conferta' in which the phaceloid development is feebly distinguishable (Figure 5). In the PCA, colonies of M. harttii from Moreré showed high convergence with M. hispida morphology. In contrast, the microstructures are conspicuously different, being a valuable tool for defining the interspecific limits

| Table 2. Mean, | standard deviation | (SD), and rar | ge of M. hispida, | , M. harttii, and M. | braziliensis morphologic | al characters measured in the | present study |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|

| Morphological traits Sample site Mean SD Range Mean SD Range Mean SD Range   Near Boa Viagem 8.50 2.84 6-15 4-11 2.09 4-11 2.43 3.90 19-32   Moreré 8.15 2.17 4-16 6.64 2.09 4-11 2.43 3.90 19-32   Moreré 8.15 2.15 4-14 5.11 1.77 2.8 2.52 4.04 13-13   Decer Boa Viagem 18.35 1.83 16-22 1.28 1.20 8-13   Moreré 18.35 1.83 16-22 1.28 1.20 8-13   Moreré 18.35 1.95 14-23 18.57 1.55 12-28 1.02 1.29 9-13   Abrolhos - - - - - 1.24 0.33 1.12   Moreré 13.85 1.95 1.72 1.77 7.29 1.52 2.   |                      |             |       |            |       |       |            |        | ·     |                 |       |  |
|--|----------------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|-------|------------|--------|-------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Morphological trainsSample sizeNeareMeaneSizeNeareMeaneMeaneMeaneMeaneMeaneMeaneNeareBao Yagem8.592.646.642.094.1124.313.001.73Moreré8.592.514.745.642.094.712.432.004.04Moreré8.552.514.745.642.094.712.433.001.73Bao Yagem8.852.514.745.77.02.82.024.001.73Moreré1.831.732.481.731.443.289.201.031.205.75Moreré1.8571.751.421.8571.851.421.8571.281.233.16Moreré1.8571.751.621.651   |                      |             |       | M. hispida |       |       | M. harttii |        |       | M. braziliensis |       |  |
| Near<br>Image: big length8.502.846.4-136.642.094.1124.313.091.9-24Garmuans8.592.614.145.311.772.82.624.041.43Moreé8.152.514.445.311.772.82.524.041.43DerBa'alage1.831.831.625.81.021.031.028.9Carmuans1.871.481.3231.493.289.201.0431.028.9Moreé1.8571.491.8571.951.281.021.021.031.028.9Moreé1.8571.251.7261.281.021.031.028.91.031.028.9Moreé1.872.161.7261.741.721.721.121.161.14Moreé2.132.191.621.7465.177.291.252.166.15Moreé2.132.191.621.741.743.171.721.722.171.74Moreé2.132.193.651.745.013.041.142.131.142.141.14<  | Morphological traits | Sample site | Mean  | SD         | Range | Mean  | SD         | Range  | Mean  | SD              | Range |  |
| Caranuanas8.592.764-166.642.094-1124.313.0019-32Moreé8.152.514-145.311.772-82.524.0414-31Abrolhos2-82.524.0414-31DecaBoa Viagen18.361.831.6-2<   | N <sub>cor</sub>     | Boa Viagem  | 8.50  | 2.84       | 6-15  |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| Inderé8.152.514.145.311.772.82.524.041.41Abolhos2.132.151.92Deca60 Viagen18.351.631.620.132.130.1428.13Deca17.182.841.921.421.851.829.200.1431.928.13Moreé18.571.921.421.8571.921.021.029.139.13Decar60 Viagen2.252.251.7461.231.921.16Moreé2.132.091.621.7485.177.291.252.166.16Moreé2.132.091.621.7485.177.291.252.166.16Moreé2.132.191.621.7485.177.291.252.166.16Moreé2.132.191.621.7485.177.291.252.166.16Moreé2.132.191.622.163.161.291.283.161.12Moreé5.658.0543.745.618.904.173.724.733.16Moreé6.548.575.547.645.904.613.655.743.72Moreé1.621.575.543.641.201.718.155.653.743.72Moreé1.621.57 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Caramuanas</td><td>8.59</td><td>2.76</td><td>4–16</td><td>6.64</td><td>2.09</td><td>4–11</td><td>24.31</td><td>3.90</td><td>19–32</td></td<>   |                      | Caramuanas  | 8.59  | 2.76       | 4–16  | 6.64  | 2.09       | 4–11   | 24.31 | 3.90            | 19–32 |  |
| Abrolhos2.132.131.24 $P_{CC}$ Boa Viagem18.361.831.6-212.139.201.0431.208.13Gramuanas17.132.4813-2314.493.289-201.0431.208.13Moreé18.571.9514-2318.571.9512-2810.321.229.13DecorBoa Viagem22.552.5217-69.142.252.166.16Moreé21.382.1916-2617.485.177-2912.522.166.16Moreé21.382.1916-2617.485.177-2912.522.166.16Moreé21.382.1916-272.3163.1619-3113.291.691.11NageBoa Viagem45.243.9838-5177-912.522.166.15Moreé65.656.0543.7456.018.9041.773.724.772.94Moreé65.656.5555.8470.8717.0546-10742.8655.273.56Moreé11.921.739.411.291.718.156.651.015.754.644.715.754.644.715.714.715.714.714.715.714.714.715.714.715.714.715.714.715.714.715  |                      | Moreré      | 8.15  | 2.51       | 4–14  | 5.31  | 1.77       | 2–8    | 25.29 | 4.04            | 14-31 |  |
| DecayBa Viagem1.831.831.6-2Caramanas1.7132.481.2-31.4.93.289-201.0.41.208.13Moreé1.8571.951.4-231.8571.951.2-81.0.21.29.13Abrohos1.951.2.81.2.93.13DecorBa Viagem2.2.51.7261.9.11.2.93.1.6Moreé2.1.92.1.91.6.21.7.65.1.77.2.91.2.92.1.66.1.5Moreé2.1.92.1.91.6.21.7.65.1.77.2.91.2.91.6.91.1.9Moreé2.1.92.1.91.6.21.7.67.2.91.2.91.6.91.1.9Moreé2.1.92.1.91.7.92.1.61.7.91.2.91.7.91   |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     | -     | -          | -      | 22.13 | 2.15            | 19–26 |  |
| Caramans17.132.4813-2314.493.289-2010.431.208-13Moreé18.571.551.651.551.551.551.551.221.223.13DroorBoa Vagem2.252.2517-269.142.253.15DroorCaramanas2.042.091.6261.7485.177.291.222.166.15Moreé2.1362.191.6272.3163.161.9-311.3291.691.14Moreé2.1363.691.240.331.14Moreé6.603.693.6511.240.331.14Moreé6.608.575.5-847.671.704.165.523.5-5Moreé6.608.575.5-847.631.704.6104.265.523.5-5Moreé6.601.127.719.893.256.195.753.664.742.753.5-5Camanas1.101.127.749.893.256.195.553.63.63.612.753.663.63.61 <td< td=""><td>D<sub>ica</sub></td><td>Boa Viagem</td><td>18.36</td><td>1.83</td><td>16-22</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>  | D <sub>ica</sub>     | Boa Viagem  | 18.36 | 1.83       | 16-22 |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| Moreé18.571.951.4-231.8571.951.2-281.231.239.13Abrohos9.142.253.16DecorBaa Viagem22.252.251.7-265.177.291.252.166.15Moreé21.362.191.6-261.7485.177.291.252.166.15Moreé21.362.191.6-272.3.63.161.9-311.321.691.1-8Moreé21.362.191.6-272.3.63.161.9-311.290.331.1-1Moreé6.301.240.331.1-1Moreé6.508.053.657.67.64.1-73.724.772.9-4Moreé6.508.575.547.031.704.104.265.523.5-2Moreé6.508.575.547.031.704.104.265.523.5-2Moreé1.101.179.411.201.101.101.101.101.10PorBa Viagem1.191.179.141.201.101.11.101.11.1PorBa Viagem1.191.179.141.201.1   |                      | Caramuanas  | 17.13 | 2.48       | 13-23 | 14.49 | 3.28       | 9–20   | 10.43 | 1.20            | 8-13  |  |
| Abrohos000.140.250.311DecorBao Viagem2.252.2517-2617-265.177-2912.522.166.15Gramuanas2.0942.0916-2617.485.177-2912.522.166.15Moreé2.1362.1918-272.3163.1619-3113.291.6911-18NsepBao Viagem45.243.9838-5112.840.9311-17Caramanas58.658.0543.7456.018.9041-773.7.24.7.729-44Moreé65.408.5755-8470.8717.0546-10742.865.5235-52Caramanas51.021.329-14Caramanas11.021.379-149.893.256-195.750.684.7Caramanas11.021.379-149.893.256-195.570.684.7Caramanas11.021.379-149.893.256-195.570.684.7Pool1.021.379-141.291.378-156.561.075.74.7Pool1.021.379.111.291.318-155.161.076.74.7Pool1.031.911.121.131.021.311.021.312.7 <th< td=""><td></td><td>Moreré</td><td>18.57</td><td>1.95</td><td>14–23</td><td>18.57</td><td>1.95</td><td>12–28</td><td>10.32</td><td>1.29</td><td>9–13</td></th<>  |                      | Moreré      | 18.57 | 1.95       | 14–23 | 18.57 | 1.95       | 12–28  | 10.32 | 1.29            | 9–13  |  |
| $D_{cor}$ Boa Viagem 2.25 2.25 17-26 $Caramuans$ 2.094 2.90 16-26 17.48 5.17 7-29 1.2.52 2.16 6.15   Moreé 2.136 2.19 18-27 2.3.16 3.16 19-31 13.29 1.69 11-18   Nsep Boa Viagem 45.24 3.98 38-51   12.84 0.93 11-14   Nsep Boa Viagem 45.24 3.98 38-51   12.84 0.93 11-14   Nsep Boa Viagem 45.64 8.05 43.74 56.01 8.90 41-77 37.72 4.77 29-44   Moreé 65.40 8.57 55-84 70.87 17.05 46-107 42.86 5.52 35-52   Garamuans 11.02 1.37 9-14 1.32 9.47 3.15 6.16 4.07 1.07 4.75   Caramuans 11.02 1.37 9.14 12.09 </td <td></td> <td>Abrolhos</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>9.14</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>3-11</td>  |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     | -     | -          |        | 9.14  | 2.25            | 3-11  |  |
| Image: biasympt of the sympt of the symp  | D <sub>icor</sub>    | Boa Viagem  | 22.25 | 2.25       | 17–26 |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| Moreé21.362.1918-2723.163.1619-3113.291.691.1-18 $Abrolhos$ 1.2.840.9311-14 $N_{sep}$ Boa Viagem45.243.9838-512.9.44 $M_{oref}$ 58.658.0543.7456.018.9041.7737.724.772.9.44 $Moreé$ 65.008.5755.8470.8717.0546-10742.865.523.5.52 $Moreé$ 65.00 $Moreé$ 11.921.379-14 $Moreé$ 11.021.379-14<   |                      | Caramuanas  | 20.94 | 2.90       | 16-26 | 17.48 | 5.17       | 7–29   | 12.52 | 2.16            | 6-15  |  |
| Abrolhos12.840.9311-14NaepBoa Viagem45.243.9838-5156.018.9041-7737.724.7729-44Moreré65.408.5755-8470.8717.0546-10742.8655.235-52Abrolhos35.1051.427-45Carmuanas11.021.379-1435.105.750.684.77Carmuanas11.021.757-149.893.256-195.750.684.77Moreré10.661.538-1412.091.778-155.661.075-97Abrolhos5.670.774-75Peol10.661.538-1412.091.778-156.561.075-97Moreré9.181.791.1-17PeolBoa Viagem12.591.7911-17-5.670.774-75<  |                      | Moreré      | 21.36 | 2.19       | 18–27 | 23.16 | 3.16       | 19–31  | 13.29 | 1.69            | 11-18 |  |
| NaepBoa Viagem45.243.9838-51Caramuanas55.658.0543 7456.018.9041-7737.724.7729-44Moreé65.408.5755-8470.8717.0546-10742.865.5235-52Abrolhos35.105.1427-45CsepBoa Viagem11.921.379-14Caramuanas11.021.757-149.893.256-195.750.684-77Moreé10.661.538-1412.091.778-156.561.075-97Abrolhos5.670.774-7PootBoa Viagem12.591.7911-178-156.561.075-9Moreé9.181.986-1310.632.836-164.491.212-7Moreé9.181.986-1310.292.305-155.170.784-6Abrolhos5.850.845-8Doar5.370.641.912-68.032.644-130.271-2Moreé3.461.192-68.032.644-131.620.871-5Moreé3.461.192-68.032.644-131.620.871-5Moreé3.461.192-68.032.64  |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     | -     | -          |        | 12.84 | 0.93            | 11-14 |  |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  | N <sub>sep</sub>     | Boa Viagem  | 45.24 | 3.98       | 38-51 |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| $\frac{Morer\acute{e}}{Abrolhos} + \frac{65.40}{1.92} + \frac{55.84}{1.92} + \frac{70.87}{1.05} + \frac{17.05}{1.05} + \frac{46.107}{1.05} + \frac{42.86}{1.05} + \frac{5.52}{1.05} + \frac{35.52}{1.05} + \frac{35.52}{1.05} + \frac{35.52}{1.05} + \frac{35.52}{1.05} + \frac{35.10}{1.05} + \frac{51.4}{1.02} + \frac{27.45}{1.05} + \frac{57.5}{1.05} + \frac{51.4}{1.05} + \frac{57.5}{1.05} + \frac{51.4}{1.05} + \frac{57.5}{1.05} + 57.5$  |                      | Caramuanas  | 58.65 | 8.05       | 43 74 | 56.01 | 8.90       | 41–77  | 37.72 | 4.77            | 29–44 |  |
| Abrolhos35.105.1427-45 $C_{sep}$ Boa Viagem11.921.379-149.893.256-195.750.684-7 $C_{aranuanas}$ 11.021.757-149.893.256-195.750.684-7 $Moreé$ 10.661.538-1412.091.778-156.561.075-9 $Abrolhos$ 5.670.774-7 $P_{col}$ Boa Viagem12.591.7911-175.170.784-7 $Moreé$ 9.181.986-1310.632.836-164.491.212-7 $Moreé$ 9.181.986-1310.292.305-155.170.784-6 $Moreé$ 9.181.986-1310.292.305-155.170.784-6 $Moreé$ 9.181.986-1310.292.305-155.170.784-6 $Moreé$ 9.181.986-1310.292.305-155.170.784-6 $Moreé$ 3.671.162-6-5.850.845-85-7 $Moreé$ 3.661.192-68.032.644-131.620.871-2 $Moreé$ 3.461.192-68.032.644-131.620.871-5 $Moreé$ 3.661.92-68.032.644-131.620.871-5 $Mo$   |                      | Moreré      | 65.40 | 8.57       | 55-84 | 70.87 | 17.05      | 46-107 | 42.86 | 5.52            | 35-52 |  |
| $C_{sep}$ Boa Viagem11.921.379-14 $C_{aramuanas}$ 11.021.757-149.893.256-195.750.684-7 $Moreré$ 10.661.538-1412.091.778-156.561.075-9 $Abrolhos$ 5.670.774-7 $P_{col}$ Boa Viagem12.591.7911-175-155.670.784-7 $Moreré$ 9.181.986-1310.632.836-164.491.212-7 $Moreré$ 9.181.986-1310.292.305-155.170.784-6 $Moreré$ 3.371.162-62-75.850.845-8 $Moreré$ 3.461.192-68.032.644-131.620.871-5 $Moreré$ <th< td=""><td></td><td>Abrolhos</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>35.10</td><td>5.14</td><td>27–45</td></th<>   |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     |       |            |        | 35.10 | 5.14            | 27–45 |  |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  | C <sub>sep</sub>     | Boa Viagem  | 11.92 | 1.37       | 9–14  |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  |                      | Caramuanas  | 11.02 | 1.75       | 7–14  | 9.89  | 3.25       | 6–19   | 5.75  | 0.68            | 4–7   |  |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $  |                      | Moreré      | 10.66 | 1.53       | 8-14  | 12.09 | 1.77       | 8-15   | 6.56  | 1.07            | 5–9   |  |
| Pcol Boa Viagem 12.59 1.79 11-17   Caramuanas 9.19 2.23 5-13 10.63 2.83 6-16 4.49 1.21 2-7   Moreré 9.18 1.98 6-13 10.29 2.30 5-15 5.17 0.78 4-6   Abrolhos - - - 5.85 0.84 5-8   D <sub>cor</sub> Boa Viagem 3.37 1.16 2-6 5.17 0.78 4-6   Moreré 3.46 1.99 9.78 3.12 4-19 1.41 0.27 1-2   Moreré 3.46 1.19 2-6 8.03 2.64 4-13 1.62 0.87 1-5   Abrolhos - - - - - 1.74 0.48 1-3   |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     |       |            |        | 5.67  | 0.77            | 4–7   |  |
| Caramuanas 9.19 2.23 5-13 10.63 2.83 6-16 4.49 1.21 2-7   Moreré 9.18 1.98 6-13 10.29 2.30 5-15 5.17 0.78 4-6   Abrolhos - - - - 5.85 0.84 5-8   D <sub>cor</sub> Boa Viagem 3.37 1.16 2-6 3.12 4-19 1.41 0.27 1-2   Moreré 3.46 1.19 2-6 8.03 2.64 4-13 1.62 0.87 1-5   Abrolhos - <td>P<sub>col</sub></td> <td>Boa Viagem</td> <td>12.59</td> <td>1.79</td> <td>11–17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>   | P <sub>col</sub>     | Boa Viagem  | 12.59 | 1.79       | 11–17 |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| $ \frac{Morer\acute{e}}{Morer\acute{e}} = \frac{9.18}{9.18} + \frac{1.98}{1.98} + \frac{6-13}{10.29} + \frac{10.29}{2.30} + \frac{5-15}{5.17} + \frac{5.17}{0.78} + \frac{4-6}{5.85} + \frac{4-6}{5.85} + \frac{10}{5.85} +$ |                      | Caramuanas  | 9.19  | 2.23       | 5-13  | 10.63 | 2.83       | 6-16   | 4.49  | 1.21            | 2–7   |  |
| Abrolhos - - 5.85 0.84 5-8   D <sub>cor</sub> Boa Viagem 3.37 1.16 2-6 5.85 0.84 5-8   Moreré 3.67 1.64 1-9 9.78 3.12 4-19 1.41 0.27 1-2   Moreré 3.46 1.19 2-6 8.03 2.64 4-13 1.62 0.87 1-5   Abrolhos - - - - - - 1.74 0.48 1-3  |                      | Moreré      | 9.18  | 1.98       | 6-13  | 10.29 | 2.30       | 5–15   | 5.17  | 0.78            | 4–6   |  |
| D <sub>cor</sub> Boa Viagem 3.37 1.16 2-6   Caramuanas 3.67 1.64 1-9 9.78 3.12 4-19 1.41 0.27 1-2   Moreré 3.46 1.19 2-6 8.03 2.64 4-13 1.62 0.87 1-5   Abrolhos - - - - 1.74 0.48 1-3   |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     |       |            |        | 5.85  | 0.84            | 5-8   |  |
| Caramuanas 3.67 1.64 1-9 9.78 3.12 4-19 1.41 0.27 1-2   Moreré 3.46 1.19 2-6 8.03 2.64 4-13 1.62 0.87 1-5   Abrolhos - - - - 1.74 0.48 1-3   | D <sub>cor</sub>     | Boa Viagem  | 3.37  | 1.16       | 2–6   |       |            |        |       |                 |       |  |
| Moreré 3.46 1.19 2-6 8.03 2.64 4-13 1.62 0.87 1-5   Abrolhos - - - 1.74 0.48 1-3   |                      | Caramuanas  | 3.67  | 1.64       | 1-9   | 9.78  | 3.12       | 4–19   | 1.41  | 0.27            | 1–2   |  |
| Abrolhos 1.74 0.48 1-3   |                      | Moreré      | 3.46  | 1.19       | 2–6   | 8.03  | 2.64       | 4–13   | 1.62  | 0.87            | 1–5   |  |
|  |                      | Abrolhos    | -     | -          | -     |       |            |        | 1.74  | 0.48            | 1-3   |  |

 $N_{cor}$ , corallite number in an area of 5 cm<sup>2</sup>;  $D_{ica}$ , inner diameter of callice, mean of the two major inner axes of theca cavity margins (mm),  $D_{icor}$ , corallite diameter, mean of the two major axes of the outer margins of corallite (mm);  $N_{sep}$ , number of septal elements;  $C_{sep}$ , mean length from septa first cycle to columella (mm);  $P_{col}$ , mean columellar fossa depth (mm);  $D_{cor}$ , mean distance between calice, based on the inner theca margin between two close corallites (mm).

(Figure 3). The septal spines in *M. hispida* and *M. harttii* occur in greater densities than those in *M. braziliensis*. The spines are slender in *M. hispida*; curved on the top in *M. harttii*; and thicker, biand trifurcated, with a grainy appearance in *M. braziliensis*.

#### Discussion

The results obtained in this study corroborate previous studies regarding the establishment of morphotypes within the *Mussismilia* genus, with strong evidence of macro- and micro-morphological variation, majorly in *Mussismilia hispida* and *Mussismilia harttii* (Verrill, 1868, 1901; Laborel, 1967, 1969/70). As observed, *Mussismilia* species are well-defined, and the morphological patterns may be attributed to natural variation and the influence of fine-scale environmental elements, as well. Moreover, Nogueira *et al.* (2015) demonstrate that variable morphologies of *Mussismilia* related to the structural complexity of the colony may act on the richness and abundance of the associated fauna. This makes the approach also important for

understanding the composition and structure of the local benthic community – indicating that studies dealing with coral morphologies may have strong implications on the knowledge of the biodiversity.

Before the present study, data dealing with morphological variation among Brazilian corals were restricted to four species (all of them also distributed in the Caribbean): *Siderastrea stellata, Siderastrea radians, Favia gravida,* and *Montastraea cavernosa* (Amaral, 1994; Neves, 2004; Santos *et al.,* 2004; Amaral and Ramos, 2007; Menezes *et al.,* 2013). The results obtained with the endemic *Mussismilia* support the relevance of the corallite analysis and the micromorphology for the scleractinian taxonomy.

The close congeners, *S. stellata* and *S. radians*, are brooding corals with panmictic populations that occur sympatrically along the Brazilian coast – the gene flow across long geographical distances has been also attributed to levels of intraspecific variation in the species (Neves *et al.*, 2008). Indeed, the morphotypes of *S. stellata* and *S. radians* show a high overlap of diagnostic characteristics, being a source of misinterpretations, and thus challenging



Figure 2. SEM images of micromorphological characters of *Mussismilia hispida*. Variation of septal teeth and spines in colonies from three reefs: Caramuanas (A–C), Moreré (D, E), and Boa Viagem (F). Septal teeth, bi/trifurcated, and distribution of spines along the septal face and margins (G, H). Details of septal spine (I).

researchers worldwide. However, as seen to *Mussismilia*, micromorphology of the theca and radial structures has also proved to be an essential tool for *Siderastrea* taxonomy (Neves, 2004). The results indicate that skeleton variation occurs on two scales in *Mussismilia*: macro and micromorphological. According to the literature, teeth and septal spines are diagnostic



Figure 3. SEM images of micromorphological characters of *Mussismilia harttii*. Variation of septal teeth and spines in colonies from two reefs. Moreré (A, B) and Caramuanas (C, D). Distribution of spines on the septa face and margins (E).

|                      | M. hisp     | M. hispida |             | ttii    | M. braziliensis |         |  |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------|--|
| Morphological traits | KW-H (3;52) | Р          | KW-H (2;42) | Р       | KW-H (2;83)     | Р       |  |
| N <sub>cor</sub>     | 0.8676      | 0.8332     | 6.5925      | 0.0370* | 5.36            | 0.0686  |  |
| D <sub>ica</sub>     | 3.0276      | 0.3874     | 8.4774      | 0.0144  | 1.6552          | 0.4371  |  |
| D <sub>icor</sub>    | 1.9848      | 0.5756     | 12.8014     | 0.0017* | 0.5552          | 0.7576  |  |
| N <sub>sep</sub>     | 27.333      | 0.0000*    | 12.0259     | 0.0024* | 9.7955          | 0.0075* |  |
| C <sub>sep</sub>     | 3.8234      | 0.2812     | 7.3713      | 0.0251* | 4.7795          | 0.0917  |  |
| P <sub>col</sub>     | 18.321      | 0.0815     | 0.5748      | 0.7502  | 6.8161          | 0.0331* |  |
| D <sub>cor</sub>     | 0.4038      | 0.9395     | 9.2299      | 0.0099* | 2.5567          | 0.2785  |  |

 $N_{cor}$ , corallite number in an area of 5 cm<sup>2</sup>;  $D_{ica}$ , inner diameter of callice, mean of the two major inner axes of theca cavity margins (mm),  $D_{icor}$ , corallite diameter, mean of the two major axes of the outer margins of corallite (mm);  $N_{sep}$ , number of septal elements;  $C_{sep}$ , mean length from septa first cycle to columella (mm);  $P_{cob}$  mean columellar fossa depth (mm);  $D_{cor}$ , mean distance between calice, based on the inner theca margin between two close corallites (mm).

characters for Mussidae corals (Neves *et al.*, 2006; Budd and Stolarski, 2009; Budd *et al.*, 2012a, 2012b). Indeed, our SEM images reveal that the characteristics and arrangement of microstructures differ interspecifically, supporting the species' identities.

Although consistent differences in the macromorphology were not statically supported among localities (except for two characters: diameter of corallites and the number of septa), all the four morphs described by Verrill (1901) for *M. harttii* were found and analysed in Bahia State – namely, 'conferta', 'confertifolia', 'laxa', and 'intermedia'. It is irrefutable that spaced corallites with phaceloid development represent a natural tendency of this species. However, some degree of morphological overlapping may occur between the morph 'conferta' and M. hispida, particularly because of the fusion of the adjacent exotheca (joining the nearby corallites superficially). Only by checking the lower development zone of the corallites, the phaceloid development of M. harttii is evident. Hence, the morph 'conferta' is not easily distinguishable from M. hispida in situ through visual identification of the colony top (which makes the method of identification based on images from video transects unreliable).



Figure 4. SEM images of micromorphological characters of *Mussismilia braziliensis*. Variation of septal teeth and spines in colonies from three reefs. Moreré (A, B), Abrolhos Archipelago (C, D), and Caramuanas (E, F). Septal teeth and distribution of spines on the septa face and margins (G, H).



**Figure 5.** Graph and result of PCA with morphological variables of the species of *M. hispida* (MI.C; MI.BP), *M. harttii* (MH.C; MH.BP), and *M. braziliensis* (MB.C; MB.BP). Distribution of characters in the axes and factors that most influenced the analysis (MI.C, Caramuanas; MI.BP, Moreré; MH.C, Caramuanas; MH.BP, Moreré; MB.C, Caramuanas; MB.BP, Moreré).

In this study, the morphotypes of *M. harttii* and *M. hispida*, occurred sympatrically in three reefs Caramuanas, Moreré, and Boa Viagem, and biotic and abiotic factors are expected to vary in some scale at each locality. Similarly, Amaral and Ramos (2007) found distinguishable morphological patterns in *Favia* corals at two different spatial scales, within different environments of a single reef and between distinct reefs. Additionally, budding may also act as a trigger for morphological divergences, as observed in the morphs 'hispida hispida' (with regular corallites) and 'hispida tenuisepta' (with irregular corallites) (Amaral *et al.*, 2009).

The development of new polyps in coral colonies (extracalicinal *vs* intracalicinal budding) is an important taxonomical attribute, being the intracalicinal budding advantageous for colonial growth, once adding new mature elements it may improve the success of reproductive activity. Mixed budding patterns in a single species have been observed in *S. stellata* and *F. gravida* (Neves, 2004, authors' personal communication). However, the development of *Mussismilia* colonies has been strictly attributed to the intracalicinal budding (Laborel, 1969/70), with irregular polyps (or 'lobed') of *M. hispida* evidence of the poliestomodeal pattern. Indeed, mixed budding is uncommon among most scleractinian corals, and extracalicinal budding is unknown for *M. hispida* and *M. harttii*. Therefore, a new colonial development strategy is here reported for the genus.

Finally, the characters selected in this study were consistent with the definition of the interspecific limits of *Mussismilia* corals, ensuring Verrill's morphotypes, and the relevance of the traditional skeleton analysis for the taxonomy of reef-building genera.

Acknowledgements. We thank the LABIMAR team for outstanding help in the field; the LAMUME/Phisics Institute (Universidade Federal da Bahia) by the SEM support; Saulo Freitas for the maps; the RECOR/UFBA for the *Mussismilia* colonies from Abrolhos. This study is part of the project 'Assessment and research of sun corals in the Todos-os-Santos Bay', a cooperation agreement between UFBA and CENPES/PETROBRAS (grant number: 5850.0107361.18.9).

#### References

- Amaral FD (1992) Sobre Favia leptophylla Verrill, 1868 (Cnidaria, Sclaractinia). Iheringia Série Zoológica 73, 117–118.
- Amaral FMS (1994) Morphological variation in the reef coral Montastrea cavernosa in Brazil. Coral Reefs 13, 113–117.

- Amaral FMS and Ramos CAC (2007) Skeletal variability of the coral Favia gravida (Verrill, 1868) from Brazil. Biota Neotropica 7, 245–251.
- Amaral FMS, Ramos CAC, Leão ZMAN, Kikuchi RKP, Lima KKM, Longo LL, Cordeiro RTS, Lira SMA and Vasconcelos SL (2009) Checklist and morphometry of benthic cnidarians from the Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, Brazil. Cahiers de Biologie Marine 50, 277–290.
- Arrigoni R, Stefani F, Pichon M, Galli P and Benzoni F (2012) Molecular phylogeny of the Robust clade (Faviidae, Mussidae, Merulinidae, and Pectiniidae): an Indian Ocean perspective. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 65, 184–193.
- Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Stefani F and Pichon M (2011) Phylogeny of the coral genus *Plesiastrea* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). *Contributions to Zoology* 80, 231–249.
- Brown D and Navin KF (1992) An interactive knowledge base for the identification of scleractinian corals. *Proceedings of the 7th International Coral Reefs Symposium* 2, 661–664.
- Bruno JF and Edmunds PJ (1997) Clonal variation for phenotypic plasticity in the coral *Madracis mirabilis*. Ecology 78, 2177–2190.
- Budd AF, Fukami H, Smith ND and Knowlton N (2012a) Taxonomic classification of the reef coral family Mussidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 166, 465–529.
- Budd AF, Romano SL, Smith ND and Barbeitos MS (2012b) Rethinking the phylogeny of scleractinian corals: a review of morphological and molecular data. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 3, 411–427.
- **Budd AF and Stolarski J** (2009) Searching for new morphological characters in the systematics of scleractinian reef corals: comparison of septal teeth and granules between Atlantic and Pacific Mussidae. *Acta Zoologica* **90**, 142–165.
- Castro CB and Pires DO (2001) Brazilian coral reefs: what we already know and what is still missing. Bulletin of Marine Science 69, 357–371.
- **Dutra LXC, Kikuchi RKP and Leão ZMAN** (2006) Effects of sediment accumulation on reef corals from Abrolhos, Bahia, Brazil. *Journal of Coastal Research* **39**, 633–638.
- Foster AB (1977) Patterns of small-scale variation of skeletal morphology within the scleractinian corals, *Montastraea annularis* and *Siderastrea siderea*. Proceedings of the 3rd International Coral Reefs Symposium 2, 409–415.
- Foster AB (1979) Phenotypic plasticity in the reef corals Montastrea annularis (Ellis & Solander) and Siderastrea siderea (Ellis & Solander). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 39, 25–54.
- Foster AB (1985) Variation within coral colonies and its importance for interpreting fossil species. *Journal of Paleontology* **59**, 1359–1381.
- Francini-Filho RB, Moura RL, Thompson FL, Reis RM, Kaufman L, Kikuchi RKP and Leão ZMAN (2008) Diseases leading to accelerated decline of reef corals in the largest South Atlantic reef complex (Abrolhos Bank, eastern Brazil). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 56, 1008–1014.
- Fukami H, Budd AF, Paulay G, Solé-Cava A, Chen CA, Iwao K and Knowlton N (2004) Conventional taxonomy obscures deep divergence between Pacific and Atlantic corals. *Nature* 427, 832–835.
- Fukami H, Chen CA, Budd AF, Collins A, Wallace C, Chuang Y-Y, Chen C, Dai C-F, Iwao K, Sheppard C, Knowlton N and Ahmed N (2008) Mitochondrial and nuclear genes suggest that stony corals are monophyletic but most families of stony corals are not (order Scleractinia, class Anthozoa, phylum Cnidaria). PLoS ONE 3(9), e2222.
- Jolliffe IT (2002) Principal Component Analysis. Springer Series in Statistic, 2nd Edn. New York: Springer.
- Kubicek A, Breckling B, Hoegh-Guldberg O and Reuter H (2019) Climate change drives trait-shifts in coral reef communities. *Scientific Reports* 9, 3721.
- Laborel J (1969/70) Madreporaires et hidrocoralliaires récifaux dês cotes brésiliennes: systematique, écologie, réparttion verticale et géographique. Campagne de la Calypso au large dês cotes atlantiques de l'Amerique do Sud. Annales de l'Institut Océanographique 47, 15–229.
- Leão ZMAN, Kikuchi RKP, Ferreira BP, Neves EG, Sovierzoski HH, Oliveira MDM, Maida M, Correia MD and Jonhsson R (2016) Brazilian coral reefs in a period of global changes: a synthesis. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography* 64, 97–116.
- Maida M and Ferreira BP (1997) Coral reefs of Brazil: an overview. Proceedings of the 8th International Coral Reefs Symposium 1, 263–274.
- Menezes NM, Neves EG, Barros F, Kikuchi RKP and Johnsson R (2013) Intracolonial variation in *Siderastrea* de Blainville, 1830 (Anthozoa, Scleractinia): taxonomy under challenging morphological constraints. *Biota Neotropica* 13, 108–116.

- Miranda RJ, Cruz ICS and Leão ZMAN (2013) Coral bleaching in the Caramuanas reef (Todos os Santos Bay, Brazil) during the 2010 El Niño event. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* **41**, 351–360.
- Neves EG (2004) Complexo Siderastrea: espécies distintas? Significado da variabilidade do gênero Siderastrea de Blainville, 1830 (Anthozoa: Scleractinia) no Brasil (PhD thesis). Univerdidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- Neves EG, Andrade SCS, Lang FS and Solferini VN (2008) Genetic variation and population structuring in two brooding coral species (*Siderastrea stellata* and *Siderastrea radians*) from Brazil. *Genetica* 132, 243–254.
- Neves EG, Johnsson R, Sampaio C and Pichon M (2006) The occurrence of *Scolymia cubensis* in Brazil: revising the problem of the Caribbean solitary mussids. *Zootaxa* 1366, 45–54.
- **Nogueira MM, Neves E and Johnsson R** (2015) Effects of habitat structure on the epifaunal community in *Mussismilia* corals: does coral morphology influence the richness and abundance of associated crustacean fauna? *Helgoland Marine Research* **69**, 221–229.
- Nunes F, Fukami H, Vollmer SV, Norris RD and Knowlton N (2008) Re-evaluation of the systematics of the endemic corals of Brazil by molecular data. *Coral Reefs* 27, 423–432.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, Mcglinn D, Minchin PR, O'hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E and Wagner H (2017) Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-0. Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
- Riegl B and Piller WE (2000) Reefs and coral carpets in the Miocene Paratethys (Badenian, Leitha Limestone, Austria). Proceedings of the 9th International Coral Reefs Symposium 1, 211–216.
- Romano SL and Cairns SD (2000) Molecular phylogenetic hypotheses for the evolution of scleractinian corals. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 67, 1043–1068.

- Santos MG, Amaral FD, Hernández MIM, Knowlton N and Jara J (2004) Variação morfológica de Favia gravida Verrill, 1868 e Siderastrea stellata Verrill, 1868 (Cnidaria, Scleractinia): aspectos esqueléticos. Boletim do Museu Nacional Zoologia 517, 1–9.
- **Tessler MG and Goya SC** (2005) Conditioning factors of coastal processes in the Brazilian coastal area. *Revista do Departamento de Geografia* **17**, 11–23.
- Theodorsson-Norheim E (1986) Kruskal–Wallis test: basic computer program to perform nonparametric one-way analysis of variance and multiple comparisons on ranks of several independent samples. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* 23, 57–62.
- Veron JEN (1995) Corals in Space and Time: The Biogeography and Evolution of the Scleractinia, 1st Edn. Sydney: UNSW Press.
- Veron JEN (2000) *Corals of the World.* Townsville: Sea Challengers, Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Verrill AE (1868) Notes on Radiata in the Museum of Yale College, with descriptions of new genera and species. No. 4 Notice of the corals and Echinoderms collected by Prof. C.F. Hartt, at the Abrolhos reefs, Province of Bahia, Brazil, 1867. *Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences* 1, 351–613.
- Verrill AE (1901) Variations and nomenclature of Bermudas, West Indian and Brazilian reef corals, with notes on various Indo-Pacific corals. *Transactions* of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 11, 128.
- Verrill AE (1902) Comparisons of the Bermudian, West Indian and Brazilian coral faune. *Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences* 11, 169–206.
- Wickham H (2009) Ggplot2 Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, 2nd Edn. New York: Springer.