

On the errors involved in ice-thickness estimates I: ground-penetrating radar measurement errors – ERRATUM

Erratum

Cite this article: Lapazaran JJ, Otero J, Martín-Español A, Navarro FJ (2021). On the errors involved in ice-thickness estimates I: ground-penetrating radar measurement errors – ERRATUM. *Journal of Glaciology* **67**(265), 976. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2021.64>

First published online: 10 June 2021

J.J. Lapazaran, J. Otero, A. Martín-Español and F.J. Navarro

<https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.93>, Published by Cambridge University Press: 30 September 2016

In [Table 1](#), the first value of $\varepsilon_T(s)$ erroneously reads “0.15”, while it should read “0.1”. A corrected version of [Table 1](#) is supplied below.

Table 1. Trace-positioning errors, $\varepsilon_{\Delta y}$ (m), calculated using Eqn (9) for different combinations of convoy velocity and time uncertainty, ε_T (Appendix B)

$v(\text{km h}^{-1})$	$\varepsilon_{\Delta y}$ (m) for						
	$\varepsilon_T(s) = 0.1$	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10
Fixed wing	200	5.56	11.1	27.8	55.6	111	278
	150	4.17	8.33	20.8	41.7	83.3	208
	100	2.78	5.56	13.9	27.8	55.6	139
Helicopter	80	2.22	4.44	11.1	22.2	44.4	111
	60	1.67	3.33	8.33	16.7	33.3	83.3
	40	1.11	2.22	5.56	11.1	22.2	55.6
Snowmobile	20	0.56	1.11	2.78	5.56	11.1	27.8
	15	0.42	0.83	2.08	4.17	8.33	20.8
	10	0.28	0.56	1.39	2.78	5.56	13.9
	5	0.14	0.28	0.69	1.39	2.78	6.94
Human	3	0.08	0.17	0.42	0.83	1.67	4.17
							8.33

Acknowledgement. We thank Jonathan Oberreuter (jober@cecs.cl; Centro de Estudios Científicos, Valdivia, Chile) for pointing out this error.

Reference

Lapazaran JJ, Otero J, Martín-Español A and Navarro FJ (2016) On the errors involved in ice-thickness estimates I: ground-penetrating radar measurement errors. *Journal of Glaciology* **62**(236), 1008–1020 (doi:[10.1017/jog.2016.93](https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.93))