SORPTION OF ATRAZINE BY Al- AND Ca-SATURATED SMECTITE

B. L. SAWHNEY¹ AND S. S. SINGH²

1 The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, New Haven, Connecticut 06504

2 Land Resource Research Center, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario KIAOC6, Canada

Abstract--Because of the large surface area and common occurrence of smectites in the clay fractions, they are important in sorption/desorption reactions of organic pollutants entering soils and sediments, Results of sorption and desorption of atrazine by AI- and Ca-saturated smectite reported here showed that AI-saturated smectite sorbed much higher amounts of atrazine than Ca-saturated smectite. AI-saturated smectite sorbed 3820 mg kg⁻¹ as compared to 1902 mg kg⁻¹ by the Ca-saturated smectite during 5 consecutive sorptions from 10 ppm atrazine solution. Sorption isotherms over 2-10 ppm atrazine concentration range were nonlinear in all cases. Freundich coefficients, K_f , obtained from the sorption isotherms were much higher for Al- than Ca-saturated smectite; K_f varied from 405 to 3035 for Al-saturated and 100 to 306 for Ca-saturated. The pH values of AI- and Ca-saturated smectites were 3-4 units higher than the pK_a (1.68) of atrazine, which suggests that atrazine was sorbed as neutral molecules. Stronger H-bonding between the more polarized H₂O associated with the trivalent Al ion than the divalent Ca ion is likely responsible for the greater sorption by AI-smectite,

Key Words-Cation-Polarization, Nonionic Organics, Smectite, Surface-Hydrophobicity.

INTRODUCTION

Sorption/desorption reactions largely determine the fate and transport of organic chemicals that enter soils and sediments from industrial and agricultural operations. Because of the large surface area and common occurrence of smectites, they are important in controlling surface reactions of organic chemicals in these systems. Increasing concern with movement of these contaminants to groundwater has led to numerous investigations into their reactions and transport in soils, sediments and clay liners (Karickhoff 1980; Chiou et al. 1983; Hayes and Mingelgrin 1991; Boyd and Jaynes 1994; Pignatello and Xing 1996; Sawhney 1996). Sorption of nonionic organic compounds by soils and sediments are generally considered to be related to the organic matter contents of these sorbents. In low organic matter soils and sediments, however, clay minerals likely control the sorption/desorption interactions (Mingelgrin and Gerstl 1983; Hayes and Mingelgrin 1991). Investigations into sorption of organic contaminants of a wide range of hydrophobicities (Rebhun et al. 1992) by clays and clay-humic complexes showed that adsorption constants for humic (organic) fraction was 8 to 20 times higher than the pure clay minerals. However, in soils with the commonly encountered range of organic matter (0.5-5%) and high clay content, the contribution of the mineral fraction appeared to exceed that of the organic component.

Sorption of organic chemicals by clays decreases with increasing relative humidity (RH), and is markedly reduced in aqueous systems (Call 1957; Chiou and Shoup 1985; Thibaud et a1. 1993). Decreased sorption is considered to be due to strong competition by the water molecules for the clay surfaces (Burchill et al. 1981). Despite this competition, fairly large amounts of nonionic organic compounds are sorbed by clays at high RH and from aqueous solutions. For example, Farrell and Reinhard (1994) observed that, at 100% RH, montmorilllonite SWY -1 sorbed as much as 10^{-5} mol g^{-1} (1300 ppm) of various hydrocarbons. Similarly, sorption of varying amounts of di- and trichlorobenzenes by kaolinite (Schwarzenbach and Westall 1981) and montmorillonite (Rebhun et a1. 1992) and of atrazine by smectites (Laird et a1. 1992) in aqueous suspensions have been observed. Adsorption of the nonionic organic compounds is generally attributed to hydrophobic interactions on siloxane surfaces (Chen 1976) on basal planes of the phyllosilicates. These surfaces possess an electrostatic potential, which is equal to the sum of a short-range potential due to atoms in the charge-neutral layer, as in talc and pyrophyllite, and a long-range potential due to isomorphous substitution, as in smectite (Bleam 1990). Hydration of the interlayer exchange cations balancing the negative charge arising from isomorphous substitution imparts hydrophyllicity to the siloxane surfaces at (or near) the negative charge sites. Consequently, the greater the isomorphous substitution or the layer charge of the silicate mineral, the more hydrophyllic the surfaces, and vice versa. Several investigations on sorptions of nonionic organic molecules support this contention. For example, Laird et a1. (1992) found that sorption of a nonionic organic pesticide, atrazine, increased with decreasing layer charge in smectites. Similarly, Jaynes and Boyd (1991) observed that increasing the hydrophobicity (decreasing the Lewis base strength, Norris et a1. 1992) by replacing the hydrated inorganic exchange cations by small organic cations, or by reducing the layer charge by the wellknown Li-treatment, increased sorption of benzene and alkylbenzenes. Recently, Cox et al. (1995) also observed increased sorption of a nonionic herbicide, Thiazfluron, with decreasing layer charge in smectites.

Besides the total amount of layer charge, origin of the charge and type of the exchange cation balancing the charge also influence the sorption reactions on clay surfaces. Negative charge originating from substitution in the tetrahedral sheet resides on 3 basal oxygens, whereas charge from octahedral substitution is distributed on 10 oxygens (Farmer 1978). Localization of the tetrahedral charge and its closer proximity to exchange cations produce greater basicity of basal oxygens (Sposito 1984), resulting in stronger H-bonds between interlayer water and surface oxygens (McBride 1991). Greater basicity of smectites with tetrahedral than with octahedral charge has been illustrated by the greater reactivity of the former in protonating weak bases sorbed onto clay surfaces (Swoboda and Kunze 1968). The importance of the nature of the exchange cation (M) lies in its effect on the covalency of the M-O bond and repulsion of its solvating water proton: the higher the valence or ionic potential of the cation, the greater the proton repulsion. When the acidity of the solvated exchange cation is near or below the pK_a of the sorptive molecule, sorption of the protonated species occurs. Examples of the sorption of protonated species of NH₃ (Mortland et al. 1963; Mortland 1970), pyridine (Zachara et al. 1990) quinoline (Ainsworth et al. 1987; Traina and Onken 1991) and atrazine (Yamane and Green 1972) and others are not uncommon in the literature.

However, little protonation of an adsorptive species can be expected at pH values 2 units above the pK_a of the adsorbate (Hayes and Mingelgrin 1991). Atrazine with pK_a of 1.68 should therefore be sorbed primarily as neutral molecules by homoionic AI- and Ca-smectite with much higher pH values than the atrazine pK_a . And because the atrazine molecule contains electron donors, N and Cl, sorption of atrazine on clay surfaces can occur through H-bonding of these groups with the solvating water proton of the exchange cation. Thus, greater polarization of water solvating trivalent Al than divalent Ca as the exchange cation should result in greater sorption of atrazine by the AI-saturated smectite than by the Ca-saturated smectite.

In the present investigation, the effects of exchangeable cations Al^{3+} and Ca^{2+} on sorption of a nonionic organic chemical atrazine, a common soil pesticide, by Wyoming bentonite were determined and discussed in terms of cation solvation-water polarization and surface hydrophobicity. The cations Al and Ca were chosen because they are, respectively, the predominant exchangeable cations in the unlimed and limed soils of the temperate regions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Smectite clay used in this investigation came from Clay Spur, Wyoming, and was supplied by the Baroid Division of National Lead Corporation. The $\leq 2 \mu m$ size fraction of the clay separated by sedimentation was saturated with Al or Ca ions by 5 centrifuge washings with 1 M chloride solution of the respective cation. Excess electrolyte was then removed by distilled water washings until the supematant was free of chloride ions, as tested by $AgNO₃$ solution. The monocationic clays thus prepared were freeze-dried. The cation exchange capacity of the smectite clay was 85 meq/ 100 g (Singh and Kodama 1988) and the surface area of smectite from same source was determined to be 744 m² g^{-1} (Laird et al. 1992).

Sorption of atrazine by smectite was carried out using batch-equilibration technique. Five-milligram samples of the mono-cationic clays were suspended in 5-mL portions of 4.73 \times 10⁻⁵ *M*, 2.42 \times 10⁻⁵ *M* and 0.97×10^{-5} *M* aqueous solutions of atrazine in glass centrifuge tubes with Teflon-lined screw caps. After 24 h, the suspensions were centrifuged at 5000 \times g for 20 min. Atrazine in the supematant solution was analyzed using a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) Varian Star 9050 system equipped with a UV-vis variable wavelength detector that was set at 220 nm. A 60:40 methanol:water mixture was used for atrazine elution. Atrazine sorbed was calculated from the difference between the amount of atrazine added and that remaining in solution following the reaction time. The centrifugate was resuspended in the respective atrazine solutions and the sorption process repeated 5 times to estimate sequential sorption, taking into account during each sorption the volume and concentration of atrazine solution occluded in the centrifugate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the amounts of atrazine sorbed by AI- and Ca-saturated smectites and the amounts remaining in solution following 24-h interaction in 2 consecutive sorptions, from the 3 solutions containing 0.98×10^{-5} *M*, 2.42×10^{-5} *M* and 4.73×10^{-5} *M* atrazine. Complete sorption of atrazine by AI-saturated clay occurred at the lowest concentration during the 1st sorption. The sorption isotherms show that the AIsaturated clay sorbed much higher amounts of atrazine than the Ca-saturated clay at all concentrations during both the 1st (compare Al-l with Ca-I) and the 2nd sorption sequence (compare AI-2 with Ca-2). During the subsequent 3 successive sorptions also, the AI-clay sorbed more atrazine than the Ca-clay.

The pH values of AI- and Ca-saturated smectite suspensions were 4.95 and 5.90, respectively, which are 3 to 4 units higher than the pK_a (1.68) of atrazine. Consequently, atrazine is considered to be sorbed mainly as

Figure 1. Sorption of atrazine by Al- and Ca-saturated smectite; 1 and 2 represent successive sorptions.

neutral molecules. Complete reversibility of atrazine sorption by a number of Ca-saturated smectites at pH ranging from 4.75 to 6.45 (Laird et al. 1992) support the above inference. Reversible sorption of atrazine by Na- and Ca-saturated montrnorillonite, kaolinite and illite clay slurries at pH 5.1 has also been observed by Gilchrist et al. (1993). The absence of any dealkylated or hydrolyzed atrazine species even after the 127-d reaction period (Gilchrist et al. 1993) further corroborates the conclusion that atrazine is sorbed as neutral molecules by the homoionic smectite samples used here. A portion of the sorbed atrazine, however, was only slowly reversible, as has been observed for several organic compounds (Sawhney and Gent 1990; Aochi et al. 1992; Farrell and Reinhard 1994).

The amounts of atrazine sorbed by the AI-saturated clay in 5 successive sorptions from solutions at the 3 concentrations are shown in Figure 2. The amounts sorbed continue to increase following each successive addition, indicating that not all sorption sites are occupied even at the highest concentration. Successive atrazine sorptions by the Ca-saturated clay showed similar behavior during the 3 successive sorptions after which the amounts sorbed appeared to level off. The amounts of atrazine sorbed by the AI-saturated clay were greater than the Ca-clay and were 4 to 6 times higher after 5 successive sorptions from solutions of different concentrations.

Sorption on solid surfaces is generally modeled using the Freundlich equation:

$$
S = K_f C^N \tag{1}
$$

where S and C are the solid-phase and liquid-phase concentrations, respectively, K_f is the Freundlich co-

Figure 2. Atrazine sorption by Al- and Ca-saturated smectite in 5 successive sorptions from the 3 solutions: S_1 , 4.73 \times 10⁻⁵ *M*; S₂, 2.42 \times 10⁻⁵ *M* and S₃, 0.97 \times 10⁻⁵ *M*.

efficient and *N* is an empirical constant to evaluate dependence of S on C. The data obtained for atrazine sorption at the 3 concentrations by the Al- and Casaturated smectites were analyzed for their sorption affinity and isotherm linearity using the Freundlich equation, which assumes equilibrium conditions. The values of K_f and N thus calculated are given in Table 1. The K_f values appear to decrease with increasing occupancy of the sorption sites in both clays. However, they remain much higher for the AI-clay than for the Ca-clay. Also, the values of *N* deviate from unity in all cases. Variations in K_f with successive sorptions observed here clearly demonstrate that the use of equilibrium constant approach to describe short-term sorption should be made with caution.

The relative affinity of an organic compound for clay surfaces can be assessed by determining the dis-

Table 1. Freundlich parameters, K_f and N , for successive sorptions of atrazine by AI- and Ca-saturated smectite clays.

Sorption no.+	K_i	N
	Al-Clay	
1	3035	0.79
2	2430	0.79
3	731	1.12
4	667	1.10
5	405	1.30
	Ca-Clay	
1	306	0.68
2	154	0.82
3	100	0.92

t Successive sorptions.

Figure 3. a) Distribution coefficients (K_d) for atrazine sorption by AI-smectite for 5 successive sorptions at 3 concentrations listed in Figure 2 and b) same for atrazine sorption by Ca-smectite.

tribution coefficient, K_d , defined simply as the ratio of its concentration in the solid phase to that in the liquid phase. The approach is empirical and has the advantage that no equilibrium is assumed. Distribution coefficients determined from the 5 successive sorptions from the 3 atrazine solutions giving 15 K_d estimates for each clay are shown, as a function of the solution concentrations, in Figure 3. The distribution coefficients for both Al- and Ca-saturated smectites varied over a wide range, increasing with decreasing solute concentration. In Al-saturated clay, K_d varied over almost an order of magnitude, whereas in Ca-

Figure 4. Schematic illustration of H-bonding and hydrophobic interaction between atrazine molecule and smectite interlayer surface.

saturated clay, K_d varied over a 3-fold range only. Furthermore, values of K_d for the Al-clay were much higher than Ca-clay. In both clays, K_d decreased on successive sorptions and tended to level off eventually. Different values of distribution coefficient for atrazine sorption by monocationic clays at different degrees of sorption site occupancy have also been reported by Gilchrist et al. (1993).

Apparently, the greater sorption of atrazine by Althan by Ca-saturated smectite results from stronger H-bonding between more polarized H_2O associated with trivalent Al than divalent Ca. Although no spectroscopic analysis to establish H-bonding was done in this investigation, recent nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) investigations by Ukrainczyk and Smith (1996) strongly support the H-bonding mechanism for sorption of nonionic organic compounds by smectite. In a detailed solid state ¹⁵N NMR study of pyridine adsorption by homoionic K-, Ca-, Mg- and Al-hectorite, these authors showed that pyridine interacted primarily through H-bonding to the interlayer $H₂O$ solvating the exchange cation. Furthermore, the greater the polarity of hydration water of the exchange cation, the stronger was the H-bonding. Mobility of the sorbed pyridine, thus, decreased in the order $K - > Ca - > Mg$ > AI-saturated hectorite. H-bonding between exchange-cation solvating water and nonionic dichloroethylene (DCE) molecules has been also inferred in infrared (IR) analysis of DCE sorption by hectorite (Siantar et al. 1994). It is suggested, therefore, that the sorption of a nonionic organic molecule, as atrazine, occurs mainly by H-bonding.

H-bonding between the atrazine molecule and the hydrated exchange cation, Ca, is illustrated in Figure 4. The H-bonding likely occurs at the more electro-

Figure 5. Relationship between logarithm of the Freundlich constant for atrazine sorption and CEC of smectite clays (adapted from Laird et aJ. 1992).

negative N rather than at the less electronegative Cl atom in the atrazine molecule. The side chain amino groups are even less electronegative because of delocalization of their lone-pair electrons into the atrazine ring (Welhouse and Bleam 1992). Thus, H-bonding at NH groups with the exchange-cation solvating water is unlikely.

While H-bonding appears to be a major mechanism of atrazine sorption on smectite surfaces, the increased sorption of atrazine with decreasing layer charge of smectites (Figure 5) suggests that hydrophobic interactions also occur with the low charge density surfaces. Laird et al. (1992) attributed the increased atrazine sorption with decreasing layer charge to more favorable competition with water for sorption on low charge density siloxane surfaces. Possible orientation of the atrazine molecules on the siloxane surface was not addressed, however. In IR analysis of the sorption of the herbicide Thiazfluron by layer silicates, Cox et al. (1995) observed a shift in the NH-stretching bond in the adsorption spectra to a higher frequency. They attributed the shift to the formation of H-bonds between the NH of amide group in Thiazfluron and the oxygens of the siloxane surfaces in layer silicates, as smectite. While such interactions in atrazine sorption have not been determined, it has been suggested that compounds with electron withdrawing substituents can sorb onto siloxane surfaces where π system of the aromatic compound acts as an electron acceptor and the oxygens of the surface as electron donors (Mortland 1970; Haderlein and Schwarzenbach 1993).

Increased atrazine sorption with decreasing layer charge (increasing hydrophobicity), and appreciable

sorption by high layer charge (strong surface hydration) smectites (Laird et al. 1992) led Laird (1996) to suggest that atrazine sorption involves both hydrophobic sorption and H-bonding. Occurrence of both hydrophobic and hydrophyllic sorption sites on siloxane surfaces can be explained as follows. Localization of the negative layer charge originating from isomorphous substitution (Bailey 1966; Sposito 1984; Mc-Bride 1991), and thus of interlayer exchange cations, produces hydrophyIlic centers where H-bonding of atrazine can occur. The distal siloxane surfaces away from the negative charge influence provide hydrophobic sorption sites (B1eam 1990). Thus, low-charge smectite where charges are farther apart would have more hydrophobic sites. Calculations based on the assumption that the radius of hydrophyllic region around a unit charge is about 0.5 nm (Laird 1996), show that nearly 50% of the siloxane surface of the smectite (SCD = 1.15 μ mol, m⁻²) used here is hydrophobic. The relative effect of the hydrophobic surface on atrazine sorption in this investigation is not known. However, the contribution of hydrophobic sorption should be similar in the AI- and Ca-saturated samples. The effect on atrazine sorption, if any, of the greater interlayer sorption surface for AI- than Ca-smectite, because of $\frac{2}{3}$ as many Al as Ca ions in the interlayers, should be small. We therefore conclude that a major factor in greater sorption of atrazine by the AI- than Ca-saturated smectite is the stronger H-bonding between the more polarized hydration water associated with the interlayer Al ion.

REFERENCES

- Ainsworth CC, Zachara JM, Schmidt RL. 1987. Quinoline sorption on Na-montmorillonite: Contributions of the protonated and neutral species. Clays Clay Miner 35: 121-128.
- Aochi YO, Farmer WJ, Sawhney BL. 1992. In situ investigation of 1,2-dibromoethane sorption/desorption processes on clay mineral surfaces by diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy. Environ Sci Technol 26:329-335.
- Bailey SW. 1966. The status of clay mineral structures. In: Bailey SW, editor. Proc 14th Natl Conf; 1965; Berkeley, CA. New York: Pergamon Pr. p 1-23.
- Bleam WF. 1990. The nature of cation-substitution sites in phyllosilicates. Clays Clay Miner 38:527-536.
- Boyd SA, Jaynes WF. 1994. Role of layer charge in organic contaminant sorption by organo-clays. In: Mermut AR, editor. Layer charge characteristics of 2:1 silicate clay minerals, eMS workshop lectures, vol 6. Boulder, CO: Clay Miner Soc. p 48-77.
- Burchill S, Hayes MBH, Greenland DJ. 1981. Adsorption. In: Greenland DJ, Hayes MHB, editors. The chemistry of soil processes. New York: J Wiley. p 221-400.
- Call F. 1957. The mechanism of sorption of ethylene dibromide on moist soils. J Sci Food Agric 8:630-639.
- Chen NY. 1976. Hydrophobic properties of zeolites. J Phys Chem 80:60-64.
- Chiou CT, Porter PE, Schmedding DW. 1983. Partition equilibria of nonionic organic compounds between soil organic matter and water. Environ Sci Technol 17:227-231.
- Chiou CT, Shoup TD. 1985. Soil sorption of organic vapors and effects of humidity on sorptive mechanism and capacity. Environ Sci Techno1 19:1196-1200.
- Cox L, Hermosin MC, Cornejo J. 1995. Adsorption mechanism of Thiazfluron in mineral soil clay components. Eur J Soil Sci 46:431-438.
- Farmer Vc. 1978. Water on particle surfaces: In: Greenland DJ, Hayes MHB, editors. The chemistry of soil constituents. New York: J. Wiley. p 405-448.
- Farrell J, Reinhard M. 1994. Desorption of halogenated organics from model solids, sediments, and soil under unsaturated conditions. I. Isotherms. Environ Sci Technol 28:53- 62.
- Gilchrist GFR, Gamble DS, Kodama H, Khan SU. 1993. Atrazine interaction with clay minerals: Kinetics and equilibria of sorption. J Agric Food Chem 41:1748-1755.
- Haderlein SB, Schwarzenbach RP. 1993. Adsorption of substituted nitrobenzenes and nitrophenols to mineral surfaces. Environ Sci Technol 27:316-326.
- Hayes MHB, Mingelgrin U. 1991. Interactions between small organic chemicals and soil colloidal constituents. In: Bolt GH et aI., editors. Interactions at the soil colloid-soil solution interface. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publ. p 323-407.
- Jaynes WF, Boyd SA. 1991. Hydrophobicity of siloxane surfaces in smectites as revealed by aromatic hydrocarbon adsorption from water. Clays Clay Miner 19:428-436.
- Karickhoff Sw. 1980. Sorption kinetics of hydrophobic pollutants in natural sediments. In: Baker RA, editor. Contaminants and sediments, vol 2. Ann Arbor, MI: Science Publ. p. 193-205.
- Laird DA. 1996. Interactions between atrazine and smectite surfaces. In: Meyer MT, Thurman EM, editors. Chemistry of herbicides metabolites in surface and groundwater, ACS Symposium Series 630. Washington, DC. p 86-100.
- Laird DA, Barriuso E, Dowdy RH, Koskinen WC. 1992. Adsorption of atrazine on smectites. Soil Sci Soc Am J 56: 62-67.
- McBride MB. 1991. Selected cases of specific binding of ions by soil constituents. In: Bolt, GH et aI., editors. Interactions at the soil colloid-soil solution interface. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publ. p 147-175.
- Mingelgrin U, Gerstl Z. 1983. Reevaluation of partitioning as a mechanism of nonionic chemicals adsorption in soils. J Environ Qual 12:1-11.
- Mortland MM. 1970. Clay-organic complexes and interactions. In: Brady NC, editor. Advances in agronomy, vol 22. New York: Academic Pr. p 75-117.
- Mortland MM, Fripiat JJ, Chaussidon J, Vytterhoven J. 1963. Interaction between ammonia and expanding lattices of montmorillonite and vermiculite. J Phys Chem 67:248- 258.
- Norris J, Giese RP, van Oss CJ, Costanzo DM. 1992. Hydrophobic nature of organo-clays as Lewis acid/base phenomenon. Clays Clay Miner 40:327-334.
- Pignatello Jl, Xing B. 1996. Mechanisms of slow sorption of organic chemicals to natural particles. 1 Environ Sci Technol 30: 1-11.
- Rebhun M, Kalabo R, Grossman L, Manka J, Rav-Acha CH. 1992. Sorption of organics on clay and synthetic humicclay complexes simulating aquifer processes. Water Res 26: 79-84.
- Sawhney BL. 1996. Sorption and desorption of organic contaminants by clays and soils. In: Sawhney BL, editor. Organic pollutants in the environment, CMS workshop lectures, vol 8. Boulder, CO: Clay Miner Soc. p 45-68.
- Sawhney BL, Gent MPN. 1990. Hydrophobicity of clay surfaces: Sorption of 1,2-dibromoethene and trichloroethane. Clays Clay Miner 38:14-20.
- Schwarzenbach RP, Westall JC. 1981. Transport of nonpolar organic compounds from surface water to ground water. Laboratory sorption studies. Environ Sci Technol 15: 1360-1367.
- Siantar DP, Feinberg BA, Fripiat JJ. 1994. Interaction between organic and inorganic pollutants in the clay interlayer. Clays Clay Miner 42: 187-196.
- Singh SS, Kodama H. 1988. Reactions of polynuclear hydroxyaluminum cations with montrnorillonite and the formation of a 28-A° pillared complex. Clays Clay Miner 36:397-402.
- Sposito G. 1984. The surface chemistry of soils. New York: Oxford Univ Pr. 234 p.
- Swoboda AR, Kunze GW. 1968. Reactivity of montmorillonite surfaces with weak organic bases. Soil Sci Soc Am J 32:806-811.
- Thibaud C, Erkey C, Akgerman A. 1993. Investigation of the effect of moisture on the sorption and desorption of chlorobenzene and toluene from soil. Environ Sci Technol 27:2373-2380.
- Traina SJ, Onken BM. 1991. Cosorption of aromatic N-heterocycles and pyrene by smectites in aqueous solutions. 1 Contam Hydrol 7:237-259.
- Ukrainczyk I, Smith K. 1996. Solid state ¹⁵N NMR study of pyridine adsorption on clay minerals. Environ Sci Technol 30:3167-3176.
- Welhouse Gl, Bleam WF. 1992. NMR spectroscopic investigation of hydrogen bonding in atrazine. Environ Sci Technol 26:959-964.
- Yamane VK, Green RE. 1972. Adsorption of ametryne and atrazine on anoxisol, montmorillonite, and charcoal in relation to pH and solubility effects. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc 36:59-64.
- Zachara IM, Ainsworth CC, Smith SC. 1990. The sorption of N-heteroyclic compounds on reference and subsurface smectite clay isolates. 1 Contam Hydrol 6:281-305.

(Received 26 *March* 1996; *accepted* 17 *June* 1996; *Ms.* 2755)