

WREATH PRODUCT OF O^* -GROUPS THAT IS NOT IN O^*

BY
S. V. MODAK

1. Introduction. It is well known that the wreath product of two ordered groups is an ordered group. In [2] Fuchs asks if the same is true for O^* -groups. Here we construct an example to show that the wreath product of an infinite cyclic group with a free metabelian group is not an O^* -group.

Following Fuchs [3] we shall call a group G an O^* -group if every partial order on G can be extended to a full order on G . We shall use the following characterization of O^* -groups given by Ohnishi in [4].

F is an O^* -group if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(i) If $1 \neq a \in G$ then $1 \notin S(a)$ where $S(a)$ is the semigroup generated by all conjugates of a in G .

(ii) If $b \in S(a)$ and $c \in S(a)$ then $S(b) \cap S(c) \neq \emptyset$.

Property (ii) is inherited by homomorphic images. Hence in order to show that a group G is not an O^* -group it is enough to show that some homomorphic image of G does not satisfy (ii).

2. THEOREM 1. *Every free metabelian group is an O^* -group.*

Proof. By a result of Baumslag [1] every free polynilpotent and in particular free metabelian group is an O -group. By Theorem 1 of [5] every metabelian O -group is an O^* -group.

3. THEOREM 2. *Let $A = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group and G be a free metabelian group on two generators. Then $A \text{ wr } G$ is not an O^* -group.*

Proof. If $D = \langle x, y; x^2 = y^2 = 1 \rangle$ is the infinite dihedral group it is clear that $A \text{ wr } D$ is a homomorphic image of $A \text{ wr } G$. Therefore it is enough to prove the following:

LEMMA. $W = A \text{ wr } D$ does not satisfy property (ii).

It is well known that if R is the integral group ring of D then W is a semi-direct product of the additive group of R and D . In this notation it is sufficient to show that for all r, s of the form

$$\sum \zeta_g g (g \in D; \zeta_g \geq 0)$$

one has

$$(1+x)r \neq (1+y)s \text{ in } R.$$

Suppose that r and s are any two elements say $r = 1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n$, $s = h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_m$, where g_i 's and h_i 's need not be distinct. Then $(1+x)(1+g_2+\dots+g_n) = (1+y)(h_1+h_2+\dots+h_m)$. Therefore the sets

$$I = \{1, g_2, \dots, g_n, x, xg_2, \dots, xg_n\},$$

$$II = \{h_1, \dots, h_m, yh_1, \dots, yh_m\}$$

are the same. $1 \in I$ hence for some i_1 , $h_{i_1} = 1$ or y . In either case $y \in II$. Now let $y(xy)^k \in II$ where k is a positive integer. As $x \neq y(xy)^k$, there is some j_k such that

$$g_{j_k} = y(xy)^k \quad \text{or} \quad (xy)^{k+1}.$$

In either case $(xy)^{k+1} \in I$. Therefore there exists some i_k such that

$$h_{i_k} = (xy)^{k+1} \quad \text{or} \quad y(xy)^{k+1}.$$

In either case $y(xy)^{k+1} \in II$. Thus $y(xy)^k \in II$ for all positive integers k . As II is a finite set, this gives that $(xy)^m = 1$ for some $m > 0$. A contradiction. Hence the result.

REFERENCES

1. G. Baumslag, *Wreath products and extensions*, Math. Z. **81** (1963), 266–289.
2. L. Fuchs, *On orderable groups*, Proc. Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Austral. Nat. Univ. (1965), 89–98.
3. ———, *Partially ordered algebraic system*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
4. M. Ohnishi, *On linearization of ordered groups*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1952), 17–18.
5. N. D. Gupta and A. H. Rhemtulla (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA,
EDMONTON, ALBERTA