

A NOTE ON COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS

T. P. SPEED

(Received 17 March 1967)

1. Introduction

In 1962, O. Frink [2] showed that in a pseudo-complemented semi-lattice $\langle P; \wedge, *, 0 \rangle$, the closed elements form a Boolean algebra. We shall consider an extension of this result to arbitrary commutative semigroups with zero.

Let $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$ be a commutative semigroup with zero. For a subset $A \subseteq S$ we define $A^* = \{s \in S : sA \subseteq \mathfrak{r}(S)\}$, where $\mathfrak{r}(S)$ denotes the set of all nilpotents of S (the radical). A^* is called the \mathfrak{r} -annihilator of A . If $A = \{a\}$ we write $\{a\}^* = (a)^*$ since $\{a\}^*$ coincides with $(a)^*$, where (a) denotes the principal ideal $(a) = aS$ generated by a .

A well-known congruence definable in semigroups with zero is the congruence R defined by

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in R \equiv_{Df} (a)^* = (b)^*.$$

Our main result is

THEOREM 1. *In a commutative semigroup $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$, S/R is a Boolean algebra if and only if for all $x \in S$ $(x)^{**} = (x')^*$ for some $x' \in S$.*

We also consider when S/R is a Boolean algebra with a higher degree of (lattice) completeness, and determine the normal completion of S/R in a special case.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We need some results on \mathfrak{r} -annihilators - the first result being straightforward has its proof omitted.

LEMMA 2.1. *For subsets A and B of S we have*

- (i) $A^* = \bigcap_{a \in A} (a)^*$,
- (ii) $A \subseteq B$ implies $A^* \supseteq B^*$ and thus, $A^{**} \subseteq B^{**}$,
- (iii) $A \subseteq A^{**}$,
- (iv) $A^* \cap A^{**} = \mathfrak{r}(S)$ and $A^{***} = A^*$.

LEMMA 2.2. For any two ideals I and J of S

$$(I \cap J)^{**} = I^{**} \cap J^{**}$$

PROOF. Since $I \cap J \subseteq I$ and $I \cap J \subseteq J$ we have, by 2.1 (ii) $(I \cap J)^{**} \subseteq I^{**}$ and $(I \cap J)^{**} \subseteq J^{**}$ and so $(I \cap J)^{**} \subseteq I^{**} \cap J^{**}$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $s \in I^{**} \cap J^{**}$ and $t \in (I \cap J)^*$, $i \in I$ and $j \in J$. Clearly $ij \in I \cap J$ and so $tij \in r(S)$ or $ti \in (j)^*$ for any $j \in J$. Thus $ti \in \bigcap_{j \in J} (j)^* = J^*$. This implies $sti \in r(S)$ since $s \in J^{**}$ and thus $st \in (i)^*$ for any $i \in I$.

We then have $st \in \bigcap_{i \in I} (i)^* = I^*$ and so $st \in I^{**} \cap I^* = r(S)$, or $s \in (t)^* \forall t \in (I \cap J)^*$, which gives us the result $s \in (I \cap J)^{**}$ or

$$I^{**} \cap J^{**} \subseteq (I \cap J)^{**}.$$

The reverse inclusion is now proved and the result follows.

COROLLARY. $(ab)^{**} = (a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**}$.

Rather than work with S/R , which is a semi-lattice by a result of R.S. Pierce [5], we prefer to consider the isomorphic semilattice $S^{**} = \langle S^{**}; \cap, (0)^{**} \rangle$ where $S^{**} = \{(a)^{**}; a \in S\}$.

LEMMA 2.3. $S/R \cong S^{**}$.

PROOF. If we let ρ denote the natural homomorphism existing between S and S/R we may define a map $\phi : S/R \rightarrow S^{**}$ by $a\rho\phi = (a)^{**}$. ϕ is well-defined, for if $a\rho = b\rho$, $(a)^* = (b)^*$ and hence $(a)^{**} = (b)^{**}$. This argument reverses to show ρ is an injection, and ϕ is obviously surjective. The corollary above shows that ϕ is a semigroup homomorphism and so the result follows.

We now proceed to the main part of our proof using the postulate set for Boolean algebras of O. Frink [1]. The postulates are in terms of semi-lattice meet (\wedge), and complement ($'$).

- P1. $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$
- P2. $(a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c)$
- P3. $a \wedge a = a$
- P4. $a \wedge b' = 0 \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b = a$.

Clearly P1, P2 and P3 are postulates for a semi-lattice, and P4 is the only postulate which needs considering in detail.

LEMMA 2.4. If the commutative semigroup $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$ satisfies Condition (*): For any $x \in S$, $(x)^{**} = (x')^*$ for some $x' \in S$, then $S^{**} = \langle S^{**}; \cap, r(S) \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra.

PROOF. In S^{**} the semi-lattice operation is set intersection \cap , the zero $r(S) = (0)^{**}$ and we define the complement of $(a)^{**} \in S^{**}$ by $(a)^{**'} = (a')^{**}$

where a' is defined by Condition (*). Lemma 2.3 tells us that S^{**} is a semi-lattice so we need only consider P4. Suppose $(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**'} = (0)^{**}$. Then $(a)^{**} \cap (b')^{**} = (ab')^{**} = (0)^{**}$ and hence $ab' \in r(S)$ by 2.1 (i). This implies $b' \in (a)^*$ and so $(b') \subseteq (a)^*$, giving $(b')^* = (b)^{**} \supseteq (a)^{**}$. Thus $(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**} = (a)^{**}$ and the left-right implication of P4 is proved.

Next, suppose $(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**} = (a)^{**}$. Then $(ab)^{**} = (a)^{**}$ and $(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**'} = (a)^{**} \cap (b')^{**} = (ab)^{**} \cap (b')^{**} = (abb')^{**}$. Now $bb' \in (b)^{**} \cap (b')^{**} = r(S)$ and so $(abb')^{**} \subseteq (bb')^{**} = r(S)$. Thus $(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**} = r(S)$ and the right-left implication is proved.

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$ is a commutative semi-group with zero, and that $S^{**} = \langle S^{**}; \cap, (0)^{**} \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra. Then S satisfies Condition (*): For any $x \in S$, $(x)^{**} = (x')^*$ for some $x' \in S$.*

PROOF. Since S^{**} is a Boolean algebra, P4 is satisfied; i.e. for any $(b)^{**}$ there is a $(b)^{**'}$ such that

$$(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**'} = (0)^{**} \Leftrightarrow (a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**} = (a)^{**}$$

Defining $(b')^{**}$ by $(b')^{**} = (b)^{**'}$ we show that $(b)^* = (b')^{**}$ or, equivalently (2.1(iv)) $(b)^{**} = (b')^*$. Put $a = b$ in the above equivalence and, since the right side is clearly true, we deduce that $(b)^{**} \cap (b)^{**'} = (b)^{**} \cap (b')^{**} = (bb')^{**} = (0)^{**}$. Thus, by 2.1 (i) $bb' \in r(S)$ and so $b' \in (b)^*$, giving $(b') \subseteq (b)^*$ or $(b')^* \supseteq (b)^{**}$. Now take $a \in (b')^*$ and put it in the left side of the equivalence. For such an a , we see that

$$(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**'} = (a)^{**} \cap (b')^{**} = (ab')^{**} = (0)^{**},$$

and so we deduce that $(a)^{**} \cap (b)^{**} = (a)^{**}$. This means, by 2.1 (ii) that $a \in (b)^{**}$ and we have thus proved $(b')^* \subseteq (b)^{**}$. Combining this with the reverse inclusion obtained above gives us $(b')^* = (b)^{**}$ and the Lemma follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$ be a commutative semi-group with zero. Then S/R is a Boolean algebra if and only if Condition (*) holds in S .*

PROOF. Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5.

REMARK. A commutative semigroup with zero is called a Baer semi-group if for each $s \in S$ there exists an idempotent $e \in S$ such that

$$\{t : st = 0\} = Se.$$

J. Kist [4] has shown that in a commutative Baer semigroup $r(S) = (0)$ and so for any $s \in S$, $(s)^* = Se$ for some idempotent $e \in S$. This enables us to give a new proof of Theorem 7.3 of J. Kist [4].

COROLLARY 1. *If $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$ is a commutative Baer semigroup, then S/R is a Boolean algebra.*

PROOF. For $s \in S$, $(s)^* = Se$. We then show $(e)^* = (s)^{**}$ and so we may take $s' = e$ in Condition (*). Observe that $(s)^{**} = (Se)^*$. Now if $tSe = (0)$, then $tee = te^2 = te = 0$ and so $t \in (e)^*$. Further, if $t \in (e)^*$, then $tse = 0$ for $s \in S$, and so $t \in (Se)^*$. Thus $(Se)^* = (e)^*$ and the Corollary is proved.

COROLLARY 2. (*O. Frink [2]*) *If $S = \langle S; \wedge, *, 0 \rangle$ is a pseudo-complemented semi-lattice, then S^{**} is a Boolean algebra.*

PROOF. A pseudo-complemented semi-lattice is a commutative Baer semigroup and so the result follows from Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 1 above.

3. Completeness of S/R

In this section we generalise Condition (*) to the following (m denotes an arbitrary cardinal)

CONDITION $m(*)$. For any $A \subseteq S$ with $|A| \leq m$, $A^{**} = (a')^*$ for some $a' \in S$.

REMARK. Condition $m(*)$ implies Condition $n(*)$ for n a cardinal, $n \leq m$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $S = \langle S; \cdot, 0 \rangle$ be a commutative semi-group with zero. Then $S^{**} = \langle S^{**}; \cap, (0)^{**} \rangle$ is an m -complete Boolean algebra if and only if S satisfies Condition $m(*)$*

PROOF. Assume S satisfies Condition $m(*)$ and take $\{a_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subseteq S$ with $|\Gamma| \leq m$. Then $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (a_\gamma)^{**} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (a_\gamma)^* = A^* = (a')^{**}$ where $A = \{a'_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and a'_γ, a' exist because of Condition $m(*)$. Thus S^{**} is closed under intersections of m elements, and by Condition (*), it is complemented.

This implies $S^{**} = S/R$ is an m -complete Boolean algebra, and the first half of our proof is complete.

Next we assume S^{**} is an m -complete Boolean algebra. Then S^{**} is closed under intersections of m elements, and satisfies Condition (*), by Theorem 1. Take $A = \{a_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, $|\Gamma| \leq m$.

$$A^* = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (a_\gamma)^* \text{ by 2.1 (i)}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (a'_\gamma)^{**} \text{ by Condition (*)} \\ &= (a')^{**} \text{ since } S^{**} \text{ is } m\text{-complete.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A^{**} = (a')^*$ and our theorem is proved.

COROLLARY. *S^{**} is a complete Boolean algebra if and only if for $A \subseteq S$, $A^{**} = (a')^*$ for some $a' \in S$.*

4. The normal completion of S/R

We next consider the normal completion of $S/R = S^{**}$. Our construction applies to the class of commutative semi-groups without radical for which the mapping $a \rightarrow (a)$ is injective. A wide class of semigroups satisfying this condition is the class of semi-lattices. The result is in fact mainly of interest in the case of semi-lattices. For this reason we shall formulate our results for semi-lattices, although the extension to the class of semigroups mentioned above is immediate.

LEMMA 4.1. *If $E = \langle E; \wedge, 0 \rangle$ is a semi-lattice with zero, then the semi-lattice of ideals, $\mathcal{I}(E) = \langle I(E); \cap, (0) \rangle$ is a pseudo-complemented semi-lattice. The pseudo-complement of $J \in I(E)$ is simply J^* . Further, $\mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$ is a complete Boolean algebra.*

PROOF. Only the last statement really needs checking. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \{I_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is an arbitrary family of ideals of E . Then

$$\mathcal{A}^{**} = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} \right)^{**} = \left(\bigcap_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}^* \right)^* = I^*$$

where $I = \bigcap_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}$.

We see that the conditions of the preceding Corollary are satisfied and so the result follows.

Next we note, by the comments above, that there is a faithful copy of E embedded in $\mathcal{I}(E)$. More important is that this implies E^{**} is a subsemi-lattice of $\mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$, since $\{a\}^{**} = (a)^{**}$. A subset Q of a semi-lattice with zero $\langle P; \wedge, 0 \rangle$ is said to be dense if for any $p \in P$, $p \neq 0$, there is $q \in Q$ with $0 < q \leq p$.

LEMMA 4.2. *E^{**} is a dense subsemi-lattice of $\mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$.*

PROOF. We must show that for any $I^{**} \in \mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$ such that $I^{**} \neq (0)$ there is $(a)^{**} \in E^{**}$, $(0) \subset (a)^{**} \subseteq I^{**}$. This follows readily since $I^{**} \neq (0)$ implies $(i)^{**} \neq (0)$ for some $i \in I$. Clearly then $(0) \subset (i)^{**} \subseteq I^{**}$ and our result is proved.

An immediate consequence of 4.2 is

THEOREM 3. *Let $E = \langle E; \wedge, 0 \rangle$ be a semi-lattice with zero. If E^{**} is a Boolean algebra, then $\mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$ is the normal completion of E^{**} .*

PROOF. E^{**} as a Boolean algebra is a dense subsemi-lattice of $\mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$. It is well known that under these conditions $\mathcal{I}(E)^{**}$ is the normal completion of E^{**} . See R. Sikorski [6] p. 153.

5. Concluding remarks

In this note our method of proof of the main theorem follows that of O. Frink [2] using the postulates of O. Frink [1]. In the author's thesis

these results followed (in the case of distributive lattices and semi-lattices) from theorems regarding the space of minimal prime ideals. Condition (*) was introduced by M. Henrikson and M. Jerison [3] and was related to the congruence R via distributive lattices.

References

- [1] O. Frink, 'Representations of Boolean algebras', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 47 (1941), 755—756.
- [2] O. Frink, 'Pseudo-complements in semi-lattices', *Duke Math. Journ.* 29 (1962), 505—513.
- [3] M. Henrikson & M. Jerison, 'The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (1965), 110—130.
- [4] J. Kist, 'Minimal prime ideals in commutative semi-groups', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Ser. 3.* xiii (1963), 31—50.
- [5] R. S. Pierce, 'Homomorphisms of semi-groups', *Ann. Math.* 59 (1954), 287—291.
- [6] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras* (2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag 1964).