cambridge.org/psm

Original Article

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Cite this article: Jia T, Liu T, Hu S, Li Y, Chen P, Qin F, He Y, Han F, Zhang C (2024). Uncovering novel drug targets for bipolar disorder: a Mendelian randomization analysis of brain, cerebrospinal fluid, and plasma proteomes. *Psychological Medicine* **54**, 2996–3006. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0033291724001077

Received: 24 January 2024 Revised: 14 March 2024 Accepted: 10 April 2024 First published online: 9 May 2024

Keywords:

bipolar disorder; drug target; genome-wide association studies; Mendelian randomization; protein quantitative trait loci

Corresponding author:

Chengcheng Zhang; Email: zhangcc89@foxmail.com

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is used to distribute the re-used or adapted article and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.



Uncovering novel drug targets for bipolar disorder: a Mendelian randomization analysis of brain, cerebrospinal fluid, and plasma proteomes

Tingting Jia^{1,2,*}, Tiancheng Liu^{1,*}, Shiyi Hu¹, Yongjun Li¹, Peixi Chen¹, Fengqin Qin³, Yongji He⁴, Feng Han⁵ and Chengcheng Zhang¹

¹Mental Health Center and Psychiatric Laboratory, the State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; ²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology and Sichuan University-University of Oxford Huaxi Joint Centre for Gastrointestinal Cancer, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; ³Department of Neurology, the 3rd Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu Medical College, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; ⁴Clinical Trial Center, National Medical Products Administration Key Laboratory for Clinical Research and Evaluation of Innovative Drugs, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China and ⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Hainan General Hospital, Hainan Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China

Abstract

Background. There is a clear demand for innovative therapeutics for bipolar disorder (BD). **Methods.** We integrated the largest BD genome-wide association study (GWAS) dataset ($N_{\text{Case}} = 41\,917$, $N_{\text{Control}} = 371\,549$) with protein quantitative trait loci from brain, cerebrospinal fluid, and plasma. Using a range of integrative analyses, including Mendelian randomization (MR), Steiger filter analysis, Bayesian colocalization, and phenome-wide MR analysis, we prioritized novel drug targets for BD. Additionally, we incorporated data from the UK Biobank ($N_{\text{Case}} = 1064$, $N_{\text{Control}} = 365\,476$) and the FinnGen study ($N_{\text{Case}} = 7006$, $N_{\text{Control}} = 329\,192$) for robust biological validation.

Results. Through MR analysis, we found that in the brain, downregulation of *DNM3*, *MCTP1*, *ABCB8* and elevation of *DFNA5* and *PDF* were risk factors for BD. In cerebrospinal fluid, increased BD risk was associated with increased levels of *FRZB*, *AGRP*, and *IL36A* and decreased *CTSF* and *LRP8*. Plasma analysis revealed that decreased *LMAN2L*, *CX3CL1*, *PI3*, *NCAM1*, and *TIMP4* correlated with increased BD risk, but *ITIH1* did not. All these proteins passed Steiger filtering, and Bayesian colocalization confirmed that 12 proteins were colocalized with BD. Phenome-wide MR analysis revealed no significant side effects for potential drug targets, except for *LRP8*. External validation further underscored the concordance between the primary and validation cohorts, confirming *MCTP1*, *DNM3*, *PDF*, *CTSF*, *AGRP*, *FRZB*, *LMAN2L*, *NCAM1*, and *TIMP4* are intriguing targets for BD.

Conclusions. Our study identified druggable proteins for BD, including *MCTP1*, *DNM3*, and *PDF* in the brain; *CTSF*, *AGRP*, and *FRZB* in cerebrospinal fluid; and *LMAN2L*, *NCAM1*, and *TIMP4* in plasma, delineating promising avenues to development of novel therapies.

Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BD) has a heritability of up to 70% and is associated with psychosocial impairment (Costanza et al., 2022), with a relapse rate of up to 90% and a suicide rate that is 20 times greater than that of the general population. Although current pharmacological interventions, such as mood stabilizers (e.g. lithium) and antipsychotics, provide symptomatic relief, they have limited efficacy, especially in modulating the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (Berardelli et al., 2020), and more pronounced side effects, according to the most recent summary of FDA approved BD medications (Goes, 2023) and the DRUGBANK database (https://go.drugbank.com/) (online Supplementary Table S1). Therefore, there is an urgent need for innovative treatments that can strike a balance between efficacy and tolerability. To address these issues, extensive research has explored the genetic and molecular basis of BD through genome-wide association studies (GWASs) and transcriptome-wide association studies. Several genetic loci associated with BD have been identified, such as NCAM1 (Patel et al., 2010), ITIH1 (Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group, 2011), and TIMP4 (Lu, Forgetta, Greenwood, Zhou, & Richards, 2023). However, the use of only genomic data and a single tissue source limits the interpretability of these findings. Furthermore, due to the complexity of the disease, a variety of these loci are located in noncoding genomic regions, which makes it difficult to pinpoint the exact biological mechanisms involved and the potential drug targets.



Mendelian randomization (MR), which incorporates genetic instrumental variables, has proven beneficial in determining the causal links between genetic loci and diseases. Compared with other quantitative trait loci (QTLs), protein QTLs (pQTLs) are potential therapeutic targets for BD because they are the end products of gene expression and important participants in biological processes. Recent advances have been made in identifying new drug targets for multiple diseases, including multiple sclerosis (Lin, Zhou, & Xu, 2023), ischemic stroke (Chong et al., 2019), and Alzheimer's disease (Wingo et al., 2021), by combining GWAS and pQTL data. However, the application of this strategy in BD has not yet been fully explored.

Traditional pQTL studies have typically been limited to single tissue sources. In our research, we examined samples from the brain, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and plasma of patients with BD. The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) is a crucial region associated with cognitive and executive impairments in patients with BD (Alonso-Lana et al., 2019). Investigating DLPFC pQTLs is crucial for exploring abnormal brain changes in BD patients and identifying potential drug targets. CSF contains pivotal proteins for information transmission between brain regions, directly reflecting changes in circulating substances within the central nervous system (Tumani, Huss, & Bachhuber, 2017). Recent proteomic analyses of CSF have highlighted proteins related to BD, including SPOCK1, CLEC1B, DRAXIN, and TNFSF21 (Göteson et al., 2021). Additionally, peripheral fluid, such as plasma, is an important biological indicator with diagnostic and prognostic value in BD, as it can be collected easily with minimal risk (Kim et al., 2021). Therefore, we aimed to integrate the strengths of different studies focusing on the DLPFC, CSF, and plasma to identify tissue-specific druggable proteins associated with BD.

A five-step strategy was adopted to explore the relationship between protein profiles and BD. First, we performed two-sample MR by individually integrating pQTL datasets from the three tissues (brain (Wingo et al., 2021), plasma (Zheng et al., 2020), and CSF (Yang et al., 2021)) with the largest BD GWAS data from Mullins et al. (Mullins et al. 2021) to identify potential candidate proteins associated with BD. Second, sensitivity analysis was conducted to determine the causal direction between the candidate proteins and BD. Third, we applied Bayesian colocalization analysis to examine whether the two related signals (protein profile and BD phenotype) were influenced by a common causal single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). Then, we conducted phenomewide MR analysis to screen for possible side effects of the therapeutic targets for BD. To confirm our findings, we performed MR analysis with GWAS data from the UK Biobank and FinnGen cohorts for external validation. Figure 1 illustrates a graphical representation of the research design.

Methods

GWAS summary statistics

Summary statistics of BD were derived from the GWAS study conducted by Mullins et al. (Mullins et al. 2021) for our preliminary analysis. The GWAS meta-analysis included 57 BD cohorts comprising a total of 413 466 individuals ($N_{\text{Case}} = 41\,917$, $N_{\text{Control}} = 371\,549$). In addition, to confirm our findings, we used two other datasets obtained from the UK Biobank ($N_{\text{Case}} = 1064$, $N_{\text{Control}} = 365\,476$) generated by Zhou et al. (Zhou et al. 2018)

and the FinnGen study ($N_{\text{Case}} = 7006$, $N_{\text{Control}} = 329$ 192) generated by Kurki et al. (Kurki et al. 2023) for external validation.

Human brain, CSF and plasma pQTL data

The pQTL datasets for the brain, CSF and plasma were derived from studies conducted by Wingo et al. (Wingo et al. 2021), Yang et al. (Yang et al. 2021), and Zheng et al. (Zheng et al. 2020), respectively. Specifically, 616 cis-acting brain pQTLs linked to 608 proteins were chosen for our integrative analysis if they met the following criteria: (1) had a statistically significant genomewide association ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$); (2) had linkage disequilibrium clumping ($r^2 < 0.001$); (3) were cis-acting pQTLs; and (4) contained robust SNPs with F-statistics >10. Similarly, the CSF pQTL data contained 233 cis-acting SNPs linked with 214 proteins, and the plasma pQTL data generated 616 cis-acting SNPs linked to 612 proteins. More detailed information on the pQTL and GWAS datasets is summarized in online Supplementary Table S2.

MR analysis

In this study, the SNPs linked to pQTLs in the brain, CSF, and plasma acted as exposure variables, and the BD GWAS data as the outcome variable. We performed MR analysis using the R package 'TwoSampleMR' (https://github.com/MRCIEU/TwoSampleMR) (Hemani et al., 2018). The Wald ratio approach was employed when a protein had only one pQTL; otherwise, the inverse variance weighted (IVW) analysis method was used. Bonferroni correction was used to adjust the *p* value (0.05/608 for brain, 0.05/214 for CSF, and 0.05/612 for plasma).

Sensitivity analysis

Due to the limited number of instrumental variables (IVs), post-MR analysis methods such as Cochran's Q test, MR-Egger intercept test, MRPRESSO test, and I²GX test were unable to perform (Gleason, Yang, & Chen, 2021; Hemani et al., 2018). Therefore, we utilized Steiger filtering to explore the causal direction between proteins and BD. A p value less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Bayesian colocalization analysis

We performed Bayesian colocalization analysis using the R package 'COLOC' to determine whether the risk of BD and the changes in protein levels were attributed to the same single-nucleotide variations. Bayesian colocalization analysis calculated posterior probabilities for the following five crucial hypotheses: H0, neither associated with GWAS nor pQTL; H1, association with GWAS, not with pQTL; H2, association with pQTL, not with GWAS; H3, association with GWAS and pQTL, two independent SNPs; and H4, association with GWAS and pQTL, one shared SNP. A threshold of 0.80 for the probability of hypothesis H4 indicated strong evidence for colocalization (Zheng et al., 2020).

Phenome-wide MR analysis

To elucidate the potential side effects (beneficial or adverse) of prior drug targets identified by aforementioned analyses, we performed an agnostic phenome-wide MR analysis of 783 disease traits. The SNPs linked to proteins were set as exposures (online Supplementary Table S3), and summary statistics of diseases from



Figure 1. A schematic overview of the study design.

the UK Biobank cohort were set as outcomes. The Scalable and Accurate Implementation of Generalised Mixed Model (SAIGE V.0.29) method was applied to address the unbalanced case-control ratio (Zhou et al., 2018). To improve the interpretability of the results, we systematically selected 783 representative phenotypes for phenome-wide MR analysis, with more than 500 disease cases. Phenome-wide MR analysis was conducted using the same parameters as those used in the MR analysis. Bonferroni correction was applied ($0.05/(4 \times 783) = 1.60 \times 10^{-5}$).

External validation

MR analysis was repeated only on the preliminarily identified proteins with the same-variant and significant-variant strategies and GWAS data from the UK Biobank and FinnGen datasets, respectively. The concordance of the odds ratios (ORs) between the primary and validation cohorts was considered successful, and therefore, a p value less than 0.05 was considered strong evidence of replication.

Results

Screening the three proteomes for BD causal proteins

At different Bonferroni significance levels ($p < 8.22 \times 10^{-5}$, 0.05/ 608 for brain; $p < 2.34 \times 10^{-4}$, 0.05/214 for CSF; $p < 8.17 \times 10^{-5}$, 0.05/612 for plasma), MR analysis revealed that the altered protein abundances of 16 genes were associated with BD



Figure 2. Volcano plot of the MR analysis results for proteins of three tissues. The horizontal dashed line indicates the diverse Bonferroni significance threshold $(p < 8.22 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in the brain}, p < 2.34 \times 10^{-4} \text{ in CSF}, \text{ and } p < 8.17 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in plasma})$. The vertical dashed lines distinguish the effect direction. The proteins that passed the Bonferroni significance threshold are labeled with their names.

(Fig. 2a–2c), including *DNM3*, *MCTP1*, *ABCB8*, *DFNA5*, and *PDF* in the brain; *IL36A*, *FRZB*, *AGRP*, *CTSF*, and *LRP8* in CSF; and *LMAN2L*, *CX3CL1*, *PI3*, *NCAM1*, *TIMP4*, and *ITIH1* in plasma. In summary, decreased *DNM3*, *MCTP1*, *ABCB8*, *CTSF*, *LRP8*, *LMAN2L*, *CX3CL1*, *PI3*, *NCAM1*, and *TIMP4* were risk factors for BD, whereas elevated *DFNA5*, *PDF*, *IL36A*, *FRZB*, *AGRP*, and *ITIH1* increased the risk of BD (Fig. 2 and Table 1). We also observed that in plasma, *CTSF* (OR 0.84, 95% CI 0.77–0.92; $p = 9.14 \times 10^{-5}$) and *AGRP* (OR 1.11, 95% CI 1.05–1.17; $p = 1.06 \times 10^{-4}$) reached marginal significance for causal effects, and their effect directions were consistent with those in CSF (not shown in the figures and tables).

Sensitivity analysis for causal proteins

Although the paucity of IVs constrained the possible sensitivity analyses, we verified the directionality of the causal effects via Steiger filtering. All the proteins detected by MR satisfied the Steiger filtering criterion (Table 1), implying that the genetic variants had stronger associations with the exposure than with the outcome and were suitable for instrumental variables to avoid reverse causality.

Bayesian colocalization analysis of BD causal proteins

To confirm whether the associations between BD and pQTLs discovered by MR analysis were driven by a shared causal SNP, we performed Bayesian colocalization analysis, and the results are shown in Table 1. In the brain, *DFNA5*, *MCTP1*, *DNM3*, and *PDF* had the same genetic variants associated with both BD and protein abundance. In CSF, *CTSF*, *AGRP*, *FRZB*, and *LRP8* passed the Bayesian colocalization analysis, while *LMAN2L*, *NCAM1*, *CX3CL1*, and *TIMP4* were successfully validated in plasma.

Phenome-wide MR analysis of BD prior druggable genes

We conducted phenome-wide MR analysis of 783 non-BD diseases or traits in the UK Biobank to comprehensively characterize the side effect profiles of drug targets. Using the Wald ratio method, we detected no significant association for any potential drug target except for *LRP8* ($p < 1.60 \times 10^{-5}$, $0.05/(4 \times 783)$) (Fig. 3). Decreased *LRP8* expression was a risk factor for schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders (OR 0.013, 95% CI 0.002–0.091; $p = 9.28 \times 10^{-6}$).

External validation of BD causal proteins

We conducted a replication two-sample MR analysis utilizing the same-variant and significant-variant strategies for the UK Biobank and FinnGen cohorts, which included sufficient data to perform two-sample MR analysis for the 16 proteins we identified. For all 16 candidate proteins, the directional effects of 13 (81.25%) proteins were concordant between the primary and validation cohorts. Furthermore, the results for 5 proteins were replicated at p < 0.05 (Fig. 4a and 4b), among which *CTSF* was confirmed in both datasets. The results for other proteins were replicated in one dataset, such as *AGRP*, *PI3*, and *ITIH1* in UK Biobank and *LRP8* in FinnGen. From the perspective of tissues, *LRP8*, *AGRP*, *CTSF* in CSF; *PI3*, and *ITIH1* in plasma showed strong evidence of replication (Table 2 and Fig. 4).

Discussion

In the present study, we employed a five-step strategy that leveraged the strengths of various analyses to integrate proteomic data with BD GWAS and identified a total of 9 proteins that confer BD risk, including upregulated PDF, downregulated MCTP1 and DNM3 in the brain; upregulated AGRP and FRZB, downregulated CTSF in CSF; and downregulated LMAN2L, NCAM1, TIMP4 in plasma. Risk proteins in plasma and CSF may be able to aid in the diagnosis of BD and the development of drugs targeting peripheral body fluid due to the availability of samples during the clinical process, while brain-specific risk proteins may be used to determine the molecular mechanisms of BD because of their presence in in situ lesions. Phenome-wide MR analysis was used to examine the safety and tolerability of the identified protein targets. Moreover, to explore their potential as clinical drug targets, we collected data on current medications targeting these causal proteins from the DRUGBANK database (https://go. drugbank.com/) (online Supplementary Table S4).

Tissue	Exposure	Chr	Uniprot ID	Method	Beta	Se	p value	OR	OR(95% CI)	Colocalization PPH4	Steiger filtering analysis (p)
brain	DFNA5	7	O60443	Wald ratio	1.10	0.20	2.58×10^{-8}	3.00	2.04-4.42	0.995 ^a	1.81×10^{-12}
brain	MCTP1	5	Q6DN14	Wald ratio	-1.41	0.30	3.17×10^{-6}	0.24	0.14-0.44	0.950 ^a	9.86×10^{-9}
brain	DNM3	1	Q9UQ16	Wald ratio	-2.16	0.49	1.00×10^{-5}	0.12	0.04-0.30	0.928 ^a	9.57×10^{-8}
brain	PDF	16	Q9HBH1	Wald ratio	0.74	0.18	2.80×10^{-5}	2.09	1.48-2.95	0.815 ^a	9.87×10^{-9}
brain	ABCB8	7	Q9NUT2	Wald ratio	-0.65	0.16	4.68×10^{-5}	0.52	0.38-0.71	0.767	6.28×10^{-10}
CSF	CTSF	11	Q9UBX1	Wald ratio	-2.16	0.38	9.95×10^{-9}	0.12	0.06-0.24	0.962 ^a	3.04×10^{-7}
CSF	AGRP	16	O00253	Wald ratio	1.23	0.27	5.75×10^{-6}	3.41	2.01-5.79	0.830 ^a	3.20×10^{-9}
CSF	FRZB	2	Q92765	Wald ratio	1.03	0.23	1.01×10^{-5}	2.80	1.77-4.43	0.966 ^a	3.50×10^{-13}
CSF	LRP8	1	Q14114	Wald ratio	-0.80	0.18	1.64×10^{-5}	0.45	0.31-0.65	0.905 ^a	2.68×10^{-17}
CSF	IL36A	2	Q9UHA7	Wald ratio	0.53	0.12	1.92×10^{-5}	1.70	1.33-2.17	0.000362	5.92 × 10 ⁻⁷⁹
plasma	LMAN2L	2	Q9H0V9	Wald ratio	-0.24	0.04	2.22×10^{-9}	0.79	0.73-0.85	0.924 ^a	1.25×10^{-21}
plasma	ITIH1	3	P19827	Wald ratio	0.09	0.01	4.23×10^{-9}	1.09	1.06-1.12	0.012	7.15×10^{-170}
plasma	PI3	20	P19957	Wald ratio	-0.14	0.03	5.57×10^{-6}	0.87	0.82-0.92	0.004	2.95×10^{-33}
plasma	NCAM1	11	P13591	Wald ratio	-0.14	0.03	8.14×10^{-6}	0.87	0.82-0.92	0.872 ^a	1.18×10^{-51}
plasma	CX3CL1	16	P78423	Wald ratio	-0.22	0.05	2.82×10^{-5}	0.80	0.72-0.89	0.969 ^a	3.71×10^{-11}
plasma	TIMP4	3	099727	Wald ratio	-0.10	0.03	7.00×10^{-5}	0.90	0.86-0.95	0.840 ^a	3.31×10^{-51}

Table 1. MR, Steiger filtering analysis and Bayesian colocalization analysis results for proteins significantly associated with BD

All SNPs used were cis-acting. Abbreviation: MR, Mendelian randomization; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; BD, bipolar disorder; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. ^aThe results of PPH4 are over 0.80, which indicates that a genetic variant is shared by both traits of the protein level and BD.



Figure 3. Manhattan plot for phenome-wide MR results of three tissues. To enhance visualization, the y-axis shows $-\log_{10}(p)$. A dot represents a disease trait, and different colors represent the MR results of different proteins. Only Bonferroni-significant disease associations are illustrated (p < 0.05/(4 × 783) = 1.60 × 10⁻⁵).

In brain tissue, we identified upregulated PDF and downregulated MCTP1 and DNM3 as risk factors for BD, among which PDF and DNM3 were reported for the first time in this disease. *PDF* is a peptide release factor that regulates mitochondrial protein synthesis by removing the N-terminal formyl group (Bögeholz, Mercier, Wintermeyer, & Rodnina, 2021). Impaired mitochondrial pathways are an important hallmark of BD patients (Cuperfain, Zhang, Kennedy, & Goncalves, 2018). However, the association between mental disorders and PDF has not been investigated, and further studies are warranted to elucidate its mechanism. DNM3 encodes a dynamin protein involved in synaptic vesicle endocytosis (Raimondi et al., 2011). A recent exon-focused GWAS reported the association of DNM3 with obsessivecompulsive disorder and schizophrenia (Costas et al., 2016), suggesting that this gene may cause cross-disorder risk. The BD risk gene MCTP1 (Scott et al., 2009) is a transmembrane protein that regulates calcium ion binding activity. Basic research has shown that dysregulation of MCTP1 might cause altered synaptic vesicle recycling and oxidative stress resulting from glutamate toxicity (Qiu, Yu, & Liang, 2015). This evidence supports its connection with BD risk. Interestingly, both MCTP1 and DNM3 are involved in the regulation of synaptic vesicles, and the dysregulation of synaptic proteins in the DLPFC has been extensively discussed in BD patients (Aryal et al., 2023). Therefore, mitochondrial pathways and synaptic vesicle-related pathways are important directions for future research on the molecular mechanisms of BD pathogenesis.

(a)	UK Biobank						
	Protein Tissue		OR(95%CI)	Р			
	LMAN2L	plasma	0.900 (0.636 ~ 1.274)	0.554			
	CX3CL1	plasma	1.021 (0.632 ~ 1.649)	0.932			
	PI3	plasma	0.729 (0.547 ~ 0.971)	0.030			
	NCAM1	plasma	0.944 (0.699 ~ 1.275)	0.707			
	TIMP4	plasma	0.853 (0.677 ~ 1.074)	0.176			
	ITIH1	plasma	1.200 (1.046 ~ 1.378)	0.009			
			-0.2 -0.1 0.0 0.1 0.2				
	DNM3	brain	0.920 (0.010 ~ 82.647)	0.971			
	MCTP1	brain	0.064 (0.004 ~ 1.024)	0.052			
	ABCB8	brain	0.484 (0.115 ~ 2.035)	0.322			
	DFNA5	brain	3.776 (0.595 ~ 23.961)	0.159			
	PDF	brain	2.142 (0.428 ~ 10.720)	0.354			
	CTSF	CSF	0.007 (0.0002 ~ 0.222) <>	0.005			
	LRP8	CSF	0.244 (0.044 ~ 1.339)	0.104			
	IL36A	CSF	2.884 (0.959 ~ 8.671)	0.059			
	FRZB	CSF	3.370 (0.366 ~ 31.058)	0.284			
	AGRP	CSF	14.401 (1.200 ~ 172.826)	0.035			
			-3 -2 -1 0 1 2				
(1-)			Lower<>Higher				
(D)	FinnGe	en Cohort		_			
(D)	Protein	Tissue	OR(95%CI)	Ρ			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L	Tissue plasma	OR(95%CI) 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075)	P 0.350			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1	plasma plasma	OR(95%CI) 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075)	P 0.350 0.606			
(<i>D</i>)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3	plasma plasma plasma plasma	0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) <	P 0.350 0.606 0.094			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma	0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) <> 0.947(0.772 ~ 1.163) <> 0.907(0.808 ~ 1.017) <> 0.898(0.801 ~ 1.006) <>	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.062			
(<i>D</i>)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma	0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) <> 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) <> 0.947(0.772 ~ 1.163) <> 0.907(0.808 ~ 1.017) <> 0.898(0.801 ~ 1.006) <> 0.980(0.886 ~ 1.084) <>	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.062 0.691			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma	0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) <> 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) <> 0.947(0.772 ~ 1.163) <> 0.907(0.808 ~ 1.017) <> 0.898(0.801 ~ 1.006) <> 0.980(0.886 ~ 1.084) <> 0.983(0.928 ~ 1.042) <>	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.062 0.691 0.566			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma	OR(95%CI) 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) 0.947(0.772 ~ 1.163) 0.907(0.808 ~ 1.017) 0.898(0.801 ~ 1.006) 0.980(0.886 ~ 1.084) 0.983(0.928 ~ 1.042) (P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.062 0.691 0.566			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain	OR(95%CI) 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) 0.947(0.772 ~ 1.163) 0.907(0.808 ~ 1.017) 0.898(0.801 ~ 1.006) 0.980(0.886 ~ 1.084) 0.983(0.928 ~ 1.042) -0.10 -0.05 0.00 0.05 0.460(0.069 ~ 3.054) 4	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.062 0.691 0.566			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain	OR(95%CI) 0.936(0.815 ~ 1.075) 0.947(0.772 ~ 1.163) 0.907(0.808 ~ 1.017) 0.898(0.801 ~ 1.006) 0.980(0.886 ~ 1.084) 0.983(0.928 ~ 1.042) -0.10 -0.05 0.00 0.05 0.460(0.069 ~ 3.054) 0.940(0.291 ~ 3.040) (P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.062 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain	$0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075)$ $4 \rightarrow $	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.662 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8 DFNA5	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain brain brain	$0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075)$ $4 \rightarrow $	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.662 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903 0.563			
(D)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8 DFNA5 PDF	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain brain brain	$0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075)$ $4 \rightarrow $	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903 0.563 0.318			
(0)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8 DFNA5 PDF CTSF	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain brain brain brain	$0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.947(0.772 \sim 1.163)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.907(0.808 \sim 1.017)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.898(0.801 \sim 1.006)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.980(0.886 \sim 1.084)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.983(0.928 \sim 1.042)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.983(0.928 \sim 1.042)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.9460(0.069 \sim 3.054)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.940(0.291 \sim 3.040)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.956(0.468 \sim 1.955)$ $4 \rightarrow 2$ $0.782(0.340 \sim 1.800)$ $4 \rightarrow - 2$ $1.442(0.703 \sim 2.957)$ $4 \rightarrow - 2$ $0.143(0.031 \sim 0.658)$ $4 \rightarrow - 2$	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903 0.563 0.318 0.012			
(0)	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8 DFNA5 PDF CTSF LRP8 WD04	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain brain brain brain brain brain	$\begin{array}{c} 0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075) \\ 0.947(0.772 \sim 1.163) \\ 0.907(0.808 \sim 1.017) \\ 0.898(0.801 \sim 1.006) \\ 0.980(0.886 \sim 1.084) \\ 0.983(0.928 \sim 1.042) \\ \hline \\ 0.983(0.928 \sim 1.042) \\ \hline \\ 0.960(0.291 \sim 3.040) \\ 0.956(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ 0.782(0.340 \sim 1.800) \\ 1.442(0.703 \sim 2.957) \\ 0.143(0.031 \sim 0.658) \\ \hline \\ 0.413(0.202 \sim 0.843) \\ \hline \\ 0.900(0.291 \sim 3.040) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ 0.782(0.340 \sim 1.800) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.782(0.340 \sim 1.800) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.950(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ $	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.62 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903 0.563 0.318 0.012 0.015			
	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8 DFNA5 PDF CTSF LRP8 IL36A ED7D	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain brain brain brain brain brain brais	$\begin{array}{c} 0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075) \\ 0.947(0.772 \sim 1.163) \\ 0.907(0.808 \sim 1.017) \\ 0.898(0.801 \sim 1.006) \\ 0.980(0.886 \sim 1.084) \\ 0.983(0.928 \sim 1.042) \\ \hline \\ 0.983(0.928 \sim 1.042) \\ \hline \\ 0.940(0.291 \sim 3.040) \\ 0.956(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ 0.782(0.340 \sim 1.800) \\ 1.442(0.703 \sim 2.957) \\ 0.143(0.031 \sim 0.658) \\ \hline \\ 0.413(0.202 \sim 0.843) \\ 1.777(0.678 \sim 1.713) \\ \hline \\ 0.782(0.540 \sim 1.713) \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ 0.782(0.540 \sim 1.800) \\ \hline \\ 0.782(0.540 \sim 1.800) \\ \hline \\ 0.782(0.540 \sim 1.713) \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.713) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.955(0.468 \sim 1.955) \\ \hline \\ $	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903 0.563 0.318 0.012 0.015 0.753 0.255			
	Protein LMAN2L CX3CL1 PI3 NCAM1 TIMP4 ITIH1 DNM3 MCTP1 ABCB8 DFNA5 PDF CTSF LRP8 IL36A FRZB	plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma plasma brain brain brain brain brain brain CSF CSF CSF CSF	$\begin{array}{c c} \textbf{OR(95\%CI)} \\ \hline 0.936(0.815 \sim 1.075) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	P 0.350 0.606 0.094 0.691 0.566 0.421 0.918 0.903 0.563 0.318 0.012 0.015 0.753 0.346			

Figure 4. Forest plot of the results of external validation. The figure shows the effect size and 95% confidence interval of the MR analysis for proteins on BD risk. To facilitate the visualization of the OR forest plot results, we log10-transformed the OR values.

For cerebrospinal fluid, we found that upregulated AGRP and FRZB, downregulated CTSF increased BD risk. AGRP, a biased agonist of melanocortin receptors coexpressed with neuropeptide Y and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in the hypothalamus, mediates neuroendocrine responses to immune (Boutagouga Boudjadja et al., 2022) and inflammatory regulation (Klima et al., 2023; Xiao, Xia-Zhang, Vulliemoz, Ferin, & Wardlaw, 2003) by AGRP neurons. A previous case-control study reported that AGRP levels were higher in euthymic bipolar disorder patients than in healthy controls (Özkorumak Karagüzel et al., 2018), which is consistent with our findings. Currently, there are no drugs targeting AGRP (online Supplementary Table S4). Hence, drug development targeting AGRP may be promising, and future studies are needed to clarify the specific pathogenic mechanism and the potential of using AGRP as a clinical drug target. FRZB is a known secreted Wnt antagonist (Leyns, Bouwmeester, Kim, Piccolo, & De Robertis, 1997), and the Wnt signaling pathway is closely involved in the microenvironment of the central nervous

system by regulating blood-brain barrier homeostasis (Liebner, Dijkhuizen, Reiss, Plate, & Agalliu, 2018). CTSF is a cysteine protease that is involved in the lysosomal protein degradation system (Turk, Turk, & Turk, 2000). A lack of CTSF causes lysosomal substrate degradation disorders, leading to neurological lysosomal storage diseases (Berkovic et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2006). A previous GWAS reported that CTSF was located in the linkage disequilibrium region of the BD risk locus rs10896135, with an OR of 0.89 (Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group, 2011), which is consistent with our findings that downregulated CTSF may confer BD risk. Notably, strong evidence of CTSF replication was obtained in two different independent validation cohorts, suggesting that CTSF is a high-confidence risk gene for BD. We found that proteins dysregulated in CSF mainly affect the homeostasis of the nervous system and neuroendocrine system, which not only suggests the importance of body fluid to internal homeostasis but also reveals the value of these proteins as biomarkers of disease.

 Table 2. Summary results of five-step stragety of BD associated genes

Tissue	Gene	Mendelian randomization	Sensitivity analysis	Bayesian colocalization analysis ^a	Phenome-wide MR analysis	External validation ^c
brain	DFNA5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
brain	MCTP1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
brain	DNM3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
brain	PDF	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
brain	ABCB8	Yes	Yes	No	_ ^e	Yes
CSF	CTSF	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ^d
CSF	AGRP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ^d
CSF	FRZB	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CSF	LRP8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No ^b	Yes ^d
CSF	IL36A	Yes	Yes	No	_e	Yes
plasma	LMAN2L	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
plasma	ITIH1	Yes	Yes	No	_ ^e	No
plasma	PI3	Yes	Yes	No	_ ^e	Yes
plasma	NCAM1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
plasma	CX3CL1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
plasma	TIMP4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Abbreviation: MR, Mendelian randomization; BD, bipolar disorder.

^aValues above 0.80 were considered as strong evidence of colocalization.

^bPotential drugs targeting *LRP8* showed a potential curable effect on schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders.

^cThe consistent directionality of OR values between primary and validation cohorts were considered as successful replication.

^dThese proteins passed Bayesian colocalization analysis and were not only consistent in the directionality of OR values between primary and both validation cohorts, but also had *p* values less than 0.05 in at least one dataset, which were considered as strong evidence of replication.

^eThe phenome-wide MR analysis was limited to proteins exhibiting strong colocalization.

In plasma, downregulation of BD risk genes LMAN2L (Chen et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2014; Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group, 2011), NCAM1 (Arai et al., 2004; Atz, Rollins, & Vawter, 2007; Ayalew et al., 2012), and TIMP4 (Lu et al., 2023) results in increased disease risk. NCAM1, also known as CD56, is a neural cell adhesion molecule involved in synaptic plasticity, neurodevelopment, and neurogenesis (Kiss & Muller, 2001). It regulates inflammatory cascades mediated by mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) (Krushel, Tai, Cunningham, Edelman, & Crossin, 1998) and nuclear factor- κB (NF – κB) (Krushel, Cunningham, Edelman, & Crossin, 1999) that are activated by neuroinflammatory environments. A clinical study revealed that the level of NCAM1 in whole blood is related to the severity of BD (Jesudas, Nandeesha, Menon, & Allimuthu, 2020). NCAM1 is also considered a common causative gene of schizophrenia and BD (Ayalew et al., 2012), and a recent genome-wide pleiotropic analysis identified NCAM1 as a shared potential pleiotropic locus in gastrointestinal diseases and psychiatric diseases, including BD (Gong et al., 2023). These findings highlight the outstanding potential of NCAM1 as a disease marker. TIMP4 is an important inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases, and the latter is closely related to blood-brain barrier damage caused by neuroinflammation (Han & Jiang, 2021). A previous MR study proposed that an increase in the genetically predicted circulating TIMP4 level was associated with a reduced risk of BD (minimum OR 0.88, 95% CI 0.82-0.94) (Lu et al., 2023) LMAN2L encodes a lectin mannose-binding protein that mediates the trafficking and secretion of glycoproteins in the endoplasmic reticulum. LMAN2L may promote the trafficking

of neuroreceptors under endoplasmic reticulum stress conditions (Fu, Zhang, & Mu, 2019; Qin et al., 2012). Similar to those in CSF, dysregulated proteins in plasma are mostly related to homeostasis imbalances caused by inflammatory stimuli and endoplasmic reticulum stress. These studies corroborated our conclusion that circulating protein levels have the potential to be an auxiliary diagnostic instrument for disease.

We acknowledge some limitations of our study. First, the proteins we identified in different tissues were distinct, which may be attributed to the following reasons: (1) pQTLs are specific to different tissues. (2) Due to the restriction of sample sources in the original studies, the MR analysis included limited number of pQTLs. However, we still found that CTSF and AGRP, which were identified in CSF, showed a marginal causal effect in plasma, and their effect directions in both tissues were concordant. Second, our analysis was mainly based on data from European populations, due to the lack of ethnic diversity in the proteomic samples and may not be applicable to other ethnic groups. In addition, post-MR analysis methods such as Cochran's Q test and MR-Egger intercept test were not performed due to the limitation of IVs. Additional relevant analyses are still needed to validate the associations between risk genes and BD, and other post-GWAS analyses, such as proteome-wide association studies (Brandes, Linial, & Linial, 2020), are also good methodologies. Finally, the causal mechanisms of most of these candidate proteins in the pathogenesis of BD are still unclear, and biological validation is required to confirm our findings.

This study has several strengths. Firstly, we focused on pQTLs from 3 different tissue sources, the advantages of which could

complement each other and have varied clinical significance (Luykx et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2021). Risk genes found in the brain may be related to disease pathogenesis, while risk genes in CSF and plasma may serve as diagnostic and prognostic markers. Secondly, the phenome-wide MR analysis results showed that most of the risk genes we identified were promising for drug development and did not affect other vital systems or organs, increasing the application value of our findings. Interestingly, several studies have identified LRP8 as a common risk gene for schizophrenia and BD (Li et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2020). The effect direction of the risk gene LRP8 on schizophrenia found in our phenome-wide MR analyses was consistent with that for BD, suggesting that drugs developed against this target may have potential curative effects in both diseases. Finally, the introduction of external validation cohorts confirmed the consistency of the effect directions of most risk genes, which increased the reliability of our conclusions. Intervention targeting these genes to increase

Conclusions

In conclusion, we identified nine candidate druggable proteins for BD, including *MCTP1*, *DNM3*, and *PDF* in the brain; *CTSF*, *AGRP*, and *FRZB* in CSF; and *LMAN2L*, *NCAM1*, and *TIMP4* in plasma. Our study provides novel insights into the molecular mechanisms of BD and highlights promising candidate proteins for therapeutic interventions.

(or decrease) their protein abundance is expected to become an

important method for the treatment of BD in the future.

Abbreviations

BD: bipolar disorder; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; GWAS: genomewide association studies; pQTLs: protein quantitative trait loci; CI: confidence interval; IVW: inverse variance weighted; LD: linkage disequilibrium; MR: Mendelian randomization; OR: odds ratio; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; DNM3: dynamin 3; MCTP1: multiple C2 and transmembrane domain containing 1; ABCB8: ATP binding cassette subfamily B member 8; DFNA5: deafness, autosomal dominant 5; PDF: peptide deformylase; CTSF: cathepsin F; LRP8: LDL receptor related protein 8; IL36A: interleukin 36 alpha; FRZB: frizzled related protein; AGRP: agouti related neuropeptide; LMAN2L: lectin, mannose binding 2 like; CX3CL1: C-X3-C motif chemokine ligand 1; PI3: peptidase inhibitor 3; NCAM1: neural cell adhesion molecule 1; TIMP4: TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 4; ITIH1: inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H1

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291724001077.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the authors of the original GWAS and pQTL studies included in this article. All the authors are grateful for their participation in our research.

Funding statement. This work was partly funded by National Nature Science Foundation of China Project (82001413); Key R & D projects of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (2021YFS0248); Postdoctoral Foundation of West China Hospital (2020HXBH163).

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests or financial relationships with commercial interests.

Ethical standards. The present study is a secondary analysis of publicly available data. Ethical approval was granted for each of the original GWASs.

In addition, no individual-level data were used in this study. Therefore, no new ethical review board approval was needed.

References

- Alonso-Lana, S., Moro, N., McKenna, P. J., Sarro, S., Romaguera, A., Monte, G. C., ... Pomarol-Clotet, E. (2019). Longitudinal brain functional changes between mania and euthymia in bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*, 21 (5), 449–457. doi:10.1111/bdi.12767
- Arai, M., Itokawa, M., Yamada, K., Toyota, T., Arai, M., Haga, S., ... Yoshikawa, T. (2004). Association of neural cell adhesion molecule 1 gene polymorphisms with bipolar affective disorder in Japanese individuals. *Biological Psychiatry*, 55(8), 804–810. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.01.009
- Aryal, S., Bonanno, K., Song, B., Mani, D. R., Keshishian, H., Carr, S. A., ... Dejanovic, B. (2023). Deep proteomics identifies shared molecular pathway alterations in synapses of patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder and mouse model. *Cell Reports*, 42(5), 112497. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112497
- Atz, M. E., Rollins, B., & Vawter, M. P. (2007). NCAM1 association study of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: Polymorphisms and alternatively spliced isoforms lead to similarities and differences. *Psychiatric Genetics*, 17(2), 55–67. doi:10.1097/YPG.0b013e328012d850
- Ayalew, M., Le-Niculescu, H., Levey, D. F., Jain, N., Changala, B., Patel, S. D., ... Niculescu, A. B. (2012). Convergent functional genomics of schizophrenia: From comprehensive understanding to genetic risk prediction. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 17(9), 887–905. doi:10.1038/mp.2012.37
- Berardelli, I., Serafini, G., Cortese, N., Fiasche, F., O'Connor, R. C., & Pompili, M. (2020). The involvement of hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis in suicide risk. *Brain Sciences*, 10(9), 653. doi:10.3390/brainsci10090653
- Berkovic, S. F., Oliver, K. L., Canafoglia, L., Krieger, P., Damiano, J. A., Hildebrand, M. S., ... Carpenter, S. (2019). Kufs disease due to mutation of CLN6: Clinical, pathological and molecular genetic features. *Brain*, 142 (1), 59–69. doi:10.1093/brain/awy297
- Bögeholz, L. A. K., Mercier, E., Wintermeyer, W., & Rodnina, M. V. (2021). Kinetic control of nascent protein biogenesis by peptide deformylase. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 24457. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-03969-3
- Boutagouga Boudjadja, M., Culotta, I., De Paula, G. C., Harno, E., Hunter, J., Cavalcanti-de-Albuquerque, J. P., ... D'Agostino, G. (2022). Hypothalamic AgRP neurons exert top-down control on systemic TNF-alpha release during endotoxemia. *Current Biology*, 32(21), 4699–4706 e4694. doi:10.1016/ j.cub.2022.09.017
- Brandes, N., Linial, N., & Linial, M. (2020). PWAS: Proteome-wide association study-linking genes and phenotypes by functional variation in proteins. *Genome Biology*, 21(1), 173. doi:10.1186/s13059-020-02089-x
- Chen, D. T., Jiang, X., Akula, N., Shugart, Y. Y., Wendland, J. R., Steele, C. J., ... Strauss, J. (2013). Genome-wide association study meta-analysis of European and Asian-ancestry samples identifies three novel loci associated with bipolar disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 18(2), 195–205. doi:10.1038/ mp.2011.157
- Chong, M., Sjaarda, J., Pigeyre, M., Mohammadi-Shemirani, P., Lali, R., Shoamanesh, A., ... Paré, G. (2019). Novel drug targets for ischemic stroke identified through Mendelian randomization analysis of the blood proteome. *Circulation*, 140(10), 819–830. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.119.040180
- Costanza, A., Vasileios, C., Ambrosetti, J., Shah, S., Amerio, A., Aguglia, A., ??? Berardelli, I. (2022). Demoralization in suicide: A systematic review. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 157, 110788. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2022.110788
- Costas, J., Carrera, N., Alonso, P., Gurriarán, X., Segalàs, C., Real, E., ... Carracedo, Á (2016). Exon-focused genome-wide association study of obsessive-compulsive disorder and shared polygenic risk with schizophrenia. *Translational Psychiatry*, 6(3), e768. doi:10.1038/tp.2016.34
- Cuperfain, A. B., Zhang, Z. L., Kennedy, J. L., & Goncalves, V. F. (2018). The complex interaction of mitochondrial genetics and mitochondrial pathways in psychiatric disease. *Molecular Neuropsychiatry*, 4(1), 52–69. doi:10.1159/ 000488031
- Fu, Y. L., Zhang, B., & Mu, T. W. (2019). LMAN1 (ERGIC-53) promotes trafficking of neuroreceptors. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 511(2), 356–362. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.02.053
- Gleason, K. J., Yang, F., & Chen, L. S. (2021). A robust two-sample transcriptome-wide Mendelian randomization method integrating GWAS

with multi-tissue eQTL summary statistics. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 45(4), 353–371. doi:10.1002/gepi.22380

- Goes, F. S. (2023). Diagnosis and management of bipolar disorders. *BMJ*, 381, e073591. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-073591
- Gong, W., Guo, P., Li, Y., Liu, L., Yan, R., Liu, S., ... Yuan, Z. (2023). Role of the gut-brain axis in the shared genetic etiology between gastrointestinal tract diseases and psychiatric disorders: A genome-wide pleiotropic analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 80(4), 360–370. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.4974
- Göteson, A., Isgren, A., Jonsson, L., Sparding, T., Smedler, E., Pelanis, A., ... Landén, M. (2021). Cerebrospinal fluid proteomics targeted for central nervous system processes in bipolar disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 26(12), 7446–7453. doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01236-5
- Group, P. G. C. B. D. W. (2011). Large-scale genome-wide association analysis of bipolar disorder identifies a new susceptibility locus near ODZ4. *Nature Genetics*, 43(10), 977–983. doi:10.1038/ng.943
- Han, L., & Jiang, C. (2021). Evolution of blood-brain barrier in brain diseases and related systemic nanoscale brain-targeting drug delivery strategies. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica. B, 11(8), 2306–2325. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2020.11.023
- Hemani, G., Zheng, J., Elsworth, B., Wade, K. H., Haberland, V., Baird, D., ... Haycock, P. C. (2018). The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife*, 7, e34408. doi:10.7554/ eLife.34408
- Jesudas, B. R., Nandeesha, H., Menon, V., & Allimuthu, P. (2020). Relationship of elevated neural cell adhesion molecule 1 with interleukin-10 and disease severity in bipolar disorder. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 47, 101849. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2019.101849
- Kim, H., Rhee, S. J., Lee, H., Han, D., Lee, T. Y., Kim, M., ... Ha, K. (2021). Identification of altered protein expression in major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder patients using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Psychiatry Research*, 299, 113850. doi:10.1016/ j.psychres.2021.113850
- Kiss, J. Z., & Muller, D. (2001). Contribution of the neural cell adhesion molecule to neuronal and synaptic plasticity. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 12 (4), 297–310. doi:10.1515/revneuro.2001.12.4.297
- Klima, M. L., Kruger, K. A., Goldstein, N., Pulido, S., Low, A. Y. T., Assenmacher, C. A., ... Betley, J. N. (2023). Anti-inflammatory effects of hunger are transmitted to the periphery via projection-specific AgRP circuits. *Cell Reports*, 42(11), 113338. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113338
- Krushel, L. A., Cunningham, B. A., Edelman, G. M., & Crossin, K. L. (1999). NF-kappaB activity is induced by neural cell adhesion molecule binding to neurons and astrocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(4), 2432–2439. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.4.2432
- Krushel, L. A., Tai, M. H., Cunningham, B. A., Edelman, G. M., & Crossin, K. L. (1998). Neural cell adhesion molecule (N-CAM) domains and intracellular signaling pathways involved in the inhibition of astrocyte proliferation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 95(5), 2592–2596. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.5.2592
- Kurki, M. I., Karjalainen, J., Palta, P., Sipila, T. P., Kristiansson, K., Donner, K. M., ... Palotie, A. (2023). FinnGen provides genetic insights from a well-phenotyped isolated population. *Nature*, 613(7944), 508–518. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05473-8
- Leyns, L., Bouwmeester, T., Kim, S. H., Piccolo, S., & De Robertis, E. M. (1997). Frzb-1 is a secreted antagonist of Wnt signaling expressed in the Spemann organizer. *Cell*, 88(6), 747–756. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00) 81921-2
- Li, M., Huang, L., Grigoroiu-Serbanescu, M., Bergen, S. E., Landen, M., Hultman, C. M., ... Swedish Bipolar Study, G. (2016). Convergent lines of evidence support LRP8 as a susceptibility gene for psychosis. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 53(10), 6608–6619. doi:10.1007/ s12035-015-9559-6
- Liebner, S., Dijkhuizen, R. M., Reiss, Y., Plate, K. H., Agalliu, D., & Constantin, G. (2018). Functional morphology of the blood-brain barrier in health and disease. Acta Neuropathologica, 135(3), 311–336. doi:10.1007/ s00401-018-1815-1
- Lim, C. H., Zain, S. M., Reynolds, G. P., Zain, M. A., Roffeei, S. N., Zainal, N. Z., ... Mohamed, Z. (2014). Genetic association of LMAN2L gene in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder and its interaction with ANK3 gene

polymorphism. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry, 54, 157–162. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2014.05.017

- Lin, J., Zhou, J., & Xu, Y. (2023). Potential drug targets for multiple sclerosis identified through Mendelian randomization analysis. *Brain*, 146(8), 3364– 3372. doi:10.1093/brain/awad070
- Lu, T., Forgetta, V., Greenwood, C. M. T., Zhou, S., & Richards, J. B. (2023). Circulating proteins influencing psychiatric disease: A Mendelian randomization study. *Biological Psychiatry*, 93(1), 82–91. doi:10.1016/ j.biopsych.2022.08.015
- Luykx, J. J., Bakker, S. C., Visser, W. F., Verhoeven-Duif, N., Buizer-Voskamp, J. E., den Heijer, J. M., ... Ophoff, R. A. (2015). Genome-wide association study of NMDA receptor coagonists in human cerebrospinal fluid and plasma. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20(12), 1557–1564. doi:10.1038/mp.2014.190
- Mullins, N., Forstner, A. J., O'Connell, K. S., Coombes, B., Coleman, J. R. I., Qiao, Z., ... Andreassen, O. A. (2021). Genome-wide association study of more than 40000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nature Genetics*, 53(6), 817–829. doi:10.1038/ s41588-021-00857-4
- Özkorumak Karagüzel, E., Kural, B. V., Tiryaki, A., Keleş Altun, İ, Özer, S. Y., & Civil Arslan, F. (2018). Blood levels of agouti-related peptide (AgRP), obestatin, corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG), and cortisol in patients with bipolar disorder (BD): A case-control study. *Psychiatry* and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 29(1), 14–20. doi:10.1080/24750573. 2018.1487649
- Patel, S. D., Le-Niculescu, H., Koller, D. L., Green, S. D., Lahiri, D. K., McMahon, F. J., ... Niculescu, A. B., 3rd. (2010). Coming to grips with complex disorders: Genetic risk prediction in bipolar disorder using panels of genes identified through convergent functional genomics. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics: The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics*, 153b(4), 850–877. doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.31087
- Qin, S. Y., Kawasaki, N., Hu, D., Tozawa, H., Matsumoto, N., & Yamamoto, K. (2012). Subcellular localization of ERGIC-53 under endoplasmic reticulum stress condition. *Glycobiology*, 22(12), 1709–1720. doi:10.1093/glycob/ cws114
- Qiu, L., Yu, H., & Liang, F. (2015). Multiple C2 domains transmembrane protein 1 is expressed in CNS neurons and possibly regulates cellular vesicle retrieval and oxidative stress. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 135(3), 492–507. doi:10.1111/jnc.13251
- Raimondi, A., Ferguson, S. M., Lou, X., Armbruster, M., Paradise, S., Giovedi, S., ... De Camilli, P. (2011). Overlapping role of dynamin isoforms in synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *Neuron*, 70(6), 1100–1114. doi:10.1016/ j.neuron.2011.04.031
- Scott, L. J., Muglia, P., Kong, X. Q., Guan, W., Flickinger, M., Upmanyu, R., ... Boehnke, M. (2009). Genome-wide association and meta-analysis of bipolar disorder in individuals of European ancestry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(18), 7501–7506. doi:10.1073/pnas.0813386106
- Tang, C. H., Lee, J. W., Galvez, M. G., Robillard, L., Mole, S. E., & Chapman, H. A. (2006). Murine cathepsin F deficiency causes neuronal lipofuscinosis and late-onset neurological disease. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 26(6), 2309–2316. doi:10.1128/MCB.26.6.2309-2316.2006
- Tumani, H., Huss, A., & Bachhuber, F. (2017). The cerebrospinal fluid and barriers – anatomic and physiologic considerations. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, 146, 21–32. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-804279-3.00002-2
- Turk, B., Turk, D., & Turk, V. (2000). Lysosomal cysteine proteases: More than scavengers. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1477(1–2), 98–111. doi:10.1016/ s0167-4838(99)00263-0
- Wingo, A. P., Liu, Y., Gerasimov, E. S., Gockley, J., Logsdon, B. A., Duong, D. M., ... Wingo, T. S. (2021). Integrating human brain proteomes with genome-wide association data implicates new proteins in Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *Nature Genetics*, 53(2), 143–146. doi:10.1038/s41588-020-00773-z
- Xiao, E., Xia-Zhang, L., Vulliemoz, N. R., Ferin, M., & Wardlaw, S. L. (2003). Agouti-related protein stimulates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and enhances the HPA response to interleukin-1 in the primate. *Endocrinology*, 144(5), 1736–1741. doi:10.1210/en.2002-220013

- Xiao, X., Yu, H., Li, J., Wang, L., Li, L., Chang, H., ... Li, M. (2020). Further evidence for the association between LRP8 and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 215, 499–505. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2017.05.002
- Yang, C., Farias, F. H. G., Ibanez, L., Suhy, A., Sadler, B., Fernandez, M. V., ... Cruchaga, C. (2021). Genomic atlas of the proteome from brain, CSF and plasma prioritizes proteins implicated in neurological disorders. *Nature Neuroscience*, 24(9), 1302–1312. doi:10.1038/s41593-021-00886-6
- Zheng, J., Haberland, V., Baird, D., Walker, V., Haycock, P. C., Hurle, M. R., ... Gaunt, T. R. (2020). Phenome-wide Mendelian randomization mapping the influence of the plasma proteome on complex diseases. *Nature Genetics*, 52 (10), 1122–1131. doi:10.1038/s41588-020-0682-6
- Zhou, W., Nielsen, J. B., Fritsche, L. G., Dey, R., Gabrielsen, M. E., Wolford, B. N., ... Lee, S. (2018). Efficiently controlling for case-control imbalance and sample relatedness in large-scale genetic association studies. *Nature Genetics*, 50(9), 1335–1341. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0184-y