Corrigendum: on a conjecture of Kontsevich and variants of Castelnuovo's lemma

J. M. Landsberg

In the Mathematical Review of a previous article [Lan99], Gizatullin pointed out that the proof as written is incomplete for the complex case because the possibility of a certain equation vanishing identically was ignored. The following argument addresses the missing case. Note that it is simply another iteration of the argument already present in the article.

Let $\vec{b} = (b_3/a_3 - b, b_4/a_4, \dots, b_n/a_n)$, $\vec{a}_{\gamma} = (a_{\gamma}^3, \dots, a_{\gamma}^n)$, and $\vec{p}_{\gamma} = (p_{\gamma}^3, \dots, p_{\gamma}^n)$. Then the equation obtained in the paragraph below (2.9) is

$$\Sigma_{\gamma}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{a}_{\gamma})\vec{p}_{\gamma}=0.$$

If all the coefficients of this equation are zero, there are two possibilities: either $\vec{b}=0$, which would imply that the second and third rows of the matrix A were equal and thus a contradiction, or the quantities \vec{a}_{γ} were not linearly independent. If this occurs, change bases such that the first r are independent and the rest are zero. Let $\vec{b}'=(b_3/a_3-b,b_4/a_4,\ldots,b_r/a_r)$, $\vec{a}'_s=(a^3_s,\ldots,a^r_s)$, and $\vec{p}'_{\gamma}=(p^3_{\gamma},\ldots,p^r_{\gamma})$. Since the quantities \vec{a}'_s are independent, this implies $\vec{b}'=0$ and thus a contradiction as in the case above.

Reference

Lan99 J. M. Landsberg, On a conjecture of Kontsevich and variants of Castelnuovo's lemma, Compositio Math. 115 (1999), 205–230; Math. Review 1668998 (99m:14101).

J. M. Landsberg jml@math.gatech.edu

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 686 Cherry Street, Skiles Building, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA

Received 2 January 2003, accepted in final form 22 April 2003. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 14E07, 14M21, 15A99.

Keywords: rational curves, Cremona transform, quadrics, Castelnuovo's lemma, Brianchon's theorem, Gale transform, association.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2004.