

71. INVESTIGATION OF THE ORBITAL STABILITY OF MINOR PLANETS WITH COMETARY ECCENTRICITIES

G. A. CHEBOTAREV, N. A. BELYAEV and R. P. EREMENKO
Institute for Theoretical Astronomy, Leningrad, U.S.S.R.

Abstract. The evolution of the orbits of 19 asteroids of particular interest has been studied over the interval 1660–2060, perturbations by Venus to Pluto being taken into account. Information was obtained about the encounters with Venus, the Earth, and Mars. A few approaches of Hidalgo to Jupiter were noted. In distinction to the orbits of short-period comets, the orbits of the 19 asteroids are stable throughout the 400-yr interval.

1. The Group of Minor Planets with ‘Cometary’ Eccentricities

Among the known minor planets the group with so-called ‘cometary’ eccentricities is of major interest. The orbits of this group deserve to be thoroughly investigated in view of the possible genetic relationship between minor planets and comets, for these are the objects that might be ‘former comets’, i.e., cometary nuclei lacking comas, a problem that is being widely discussed nowadays (Gehrels *et al.*, 1970; Kresák, 1969; Marsden, 1970).

Another reason for studying this ‘cometary’ group of minor planets is that there may be close approaches to the Earth and other inner planets. Hermes, for instance, has approached the Earth to within 0.005 AU, and Apollo was only 0.00056 AU (85 000 km) from Venus in March 1858. The 19 minor planets studied in this work and their orbital elements are included in Table I in order of increasing mean daily motion μ . Most of the elements are taken from the 1967 edition of *Efemeridy Malykh Planet*. The orbits of the lost asteroids, indicated by asterisks, are based on observations at one opposition only and are therefore unreliable.

The structure of the belt of minor planets is characterized by the data shown in Table II (Chebotarev and Shmakova, 1970). Eight of the 19 planets under consideration here are in ring 2, one planet is in ring 3, and ten planets are outside the main belt, one of them being between the orbits of Jupiter and Saturn. The mean eccentricity e for the group is 0.50, as opposed to 0.15 for the whole system of minor planets.

Owing to the large eccentricities of their orbits, some of the asteroids pass inside the orbits of Mars, the Earth, and Venus and may have close encounters with these major planets; but the aphelion distances show that close approaches to Jupiter are impossible. The inclinations i are another distinct feature of the orbits of this group of minor planets, varying from 1°5 (Adonis) to 52° (Betulia); the mean value of 17°4 is almost twice the mean inclination (9°5) for the majority of the minor planets.

Some of the short-period comets of Jupiter’s family have orbits similar to those of minor planets with ‘cometary’ eccentricities; see Table III. Comparison of Tables I and III shows that the cometary orbits really are different, having large eccentricities and comparatively low inclinations. Of the 19 asteroids only three (Hidalgo, Adonis and Icarus), strictly speaking, have typical cometary orbits. It is interesting to note that

TABLE I
Orbital elements of minor planets with 'cometary' eccentricities

Number	Name	a (AU)	μ	e	ω	Ω	π	i	q (AU)	Q (AU)	P (yr)	Epoch
944	Hidalgo	5.81	253"	0.66	57.5	21°0	78.5	42.5	2.00	9.64	14.04	1964 Mar. 6
1508	Jupiter	5.21	299	0.05	273.1	100.0	13.1	1.3	4.95	5.47	11.89	1950 Nov. 15
1474	1938 UO	2.77	770	0.42	92.4	14.8	107.3	28.7	1.61	3.93	4.61	1938 Oct. 29
1134	Beira	2.73	785	0.49	82.4	324.9	47.2	26.8	1.39	4.07	4.52	1941 Jan. 6
1036	Kepler	2.68	807	0.47	330.4	6.8	337.1	15.0	1.42	3.94	4.39	1953 Jan. 23
699	Ganymed	2.66	819	0.54	131.1	216.3	347.4	26.3	1.22	4.10	4.33	1950 June 28
1009 ^a	Hela	2.61	838	0.41	89.2	243.8	333.1	15.2	1.57	3.68	4.23	1955 Dec. 9
719 ^a	Sirene	2.62	838	0.46	184.6	229.6	54.2	15.8	1.41	3.83	4.23	1954 Jan. 18
887	Albert	2.59	854	0.54	151.9	186.1	338.0	10.8	1.19	3.99	4.16	1911 Oct. 2
1580	Alinda	2.52	886	0.54	348.1	111.0	99.1	9.0	1.16	3.88	4.00	1942 Jan. 31
1221	Betulia	2.19	1091	0.49	158.9	61.9	220.8	52.0	1.12	3.26	3.25	1963 May 31
1627	Adonis	1.97	1284	0.78	39.5	352.5	32.0	1.5	0.43	3.51	2.76	1937 Nov. 6
433	Amor	1.92	1331	0.44	25.5	171.2	196.7	11.9	1.08	2.76	2.67	1948 June 28
1685	Ivar	1.86	1394	0.40	167.0	132.9	299.9	8.4	1.12	2.60	2.54	1957 July 1
1620	Mars	1.52	1887	0.09	285.6	48.5	334.1	1.8	1.38	1.66	1.88	1900 Jan. 0
1566	Apollo	1.49	1959	0.57	284.9	36.1	321.0	6.4	0.64	2.34	1.81	1932 Apr. 25
1685	Eros	1.46	2015	0.22	178.1	304.0	122.1	10.8	1.13	1.78	1.76	1941 Jan. 6
1620	Toro	1.37	2218	0.42	126.5	274.0	40.5	9.4	0.79	1.94	1.60	1964 Aug. 23
1566	Hermes	1.29	2421	0.47	90.7	35.4	126.1	4.7	0.68	1.90	1.47	1936 Feb. 25
1620	Geographos	1.24	2557	0.34	276.3	336.9	253.2	13.3	0.83	1.66	1.38	1961 Dec. 7
1566	Icarus	1.08	3172	0.83	30.9	87.7	118.6	23.0	0.19	1.97	1.12	1958 Sept. 24
1566	Earth	1.00	3548	0.02	101.1	...	0.98	1.02	1.00	1900 Jan. 0

^a Lost objects.

TABLE II
The minor planet belt

	Interval of μ	$\Delta\mu$	Commensurability	N	a (AU)
Ring 1	610''– 740''	130	–	659	3.23–2.84
Gap	740 – 750	10	2:5	4	2.84–2.82
Ring 2	750 – 890	140	–	537	2.82–2.51
Gap	890 – 910	20	1:3	7	2.51–2.48
Ring 3	910 –1110	200	–	420	2.48–2.17
Total	610 –1110			1627	3.23–2.17

N gives the number of minor planets within the regions specified.

the orbit of Adonis resembles that of Encke’s Comet. On the other hand, P/Wilson-Harrington has a typical asteroidal orbit similar to that of the planet 1627 Ivar.

The shapes and sizes of the asteroids play an important role in solving the problem of relationship between comets and minor planets. The latest investigations show Icarus to be nearly spherical (radius 0.54 km), while Eros and Geographos, for instance, are distinctly irregular in form (Eros being 35 by 16 by 7 km; Geographos 2.4 by 0.7 km).

2. Evolution of the Orbits of Minor Planets over 400 yr

The orbital evolution of the 19 asteroids is shown in Table IV, which gives the extremes in the orbital elements between 1660 and 2060. The equations of motion have been integrated on an electronic computer by Cowell’s method with automatic variation of the integration step and allowance being made for perturbations by Venus to Pluto (Belyaev, 1967).

The principal result obtained is that the planetary orbits with cometary eccentricities are exceptionally stable compared with the orbits of typical short-period comets, the latter being characterized by close approaches to the major planets and drastic changes in the elements.

The greatest perturbations in longitude of perihelion are exhibited by 1009 Sirene ($\Delta\pi=6^\circ0$) and 944 Hidalgo ($\Delta\pi = -5^\circ9$), while 1566 Icarus and Apollo ($\Delta\pi = \pm 0^\circ2$) show the smallest. The planets of the Hilda and Thule groups are quite different (Chebotarev *et al.*, 1970), the line of apsides of 1269 Rollandia moving through $\Delta\pi = 156^\circ9$ and that of 279 Thule through $\Delta\pi = -335^\circ3$ during the same 400-yr interval.

The perturbations in inclination are extremely small ($\Delta i = \pm 2^\circ5$), except that for 1474 Beira $\Delta i = -8^\circ8$. The eccentricity variations are also extremely small ($\Delta e_{\max} = 0.05$). As a consequence of the minor variations in semimajor axis and eccentricity the perihelion and aphelion distances also change insignificantly, illustrating the long-term stability of the orbits. The maximum changes in perihelion distance are observed for 1627 Ivar ($\Delta q = 0.14$) and 944 Hidalgo ($\Delta q = 0.16$).

The motion of planet 887 Alinda is of special interest (Table V). The mean motion librates about the 1:3 commensurability with Jupiter ($\mu^* = 897''$). During the 400-yr

TABLE III
Short-period comets having period of revolution P less than 5.1 yr

Comet	μ	a (AU)	e	ω	Ω	π	i	q (AU)	Q (AU)	P (yr)	T
Wilson-Harrington	1536 ^o 1	1.748	0.412	91 ^o 9	278 ^o 7	10 ^o 6	2 ^o 2	1.028	2.468	2.31	1949.78
Encke	1075.2	2.216	0.847	185.2	334.7	159.9	12.4	0.339	4.09	3.30	1961.10
Helfenzrieder	786.8	2.723	0.852	178.1	76.1	254.2	7.9	0.403	5.04	4.51	1766.32
Grigg-Skjellerup	724.1	2.864	0.704	356.3	215.4	211.7	17.6	0.855	4.88	4.90	1957.09
Bianpain	695.7	2.963	0.699	350.2	79.2	69.4	9.1	0.892	5.03	5.10	1819.89

TABLE IV
Variations in the elements of minor planets over 1660–2059

Planet	<i>a</i> (AU)	μ	<i>e</i>	ω	Ω	π	<i>i</i>	<i>q</i> (AU)	<i>Q</i> (AU)	<i>P</i> (yr)
699	2.61	840 ^o	0.41	79 ^o 8	252 ^o 3	332 ^o 0	15 ^o 3	1.55	3.67	4.22
	2.61	839	0.41	93.5	240.3	337.7	15.3	1.55	3.67	4.23
719	2.59	853	0.55	140.5	194.2	334.7	9.4	1.17	4.01	4.16
	2.58	858	0.54	156.2	182.9	339.1	11.5	1.18	3.98	4.14
887	2.48	910	0.56	347.1	113.3	100.4	9.1	1.09	3.87	3.90
	2.47	916	0.58	350.6	109.5	100.0	9.5	1.05	3.89	3.87
944	5.67	263	0.63	58.6	24.1	82.7	44.9	2.12	9.22	13.49
	5.82	253	0.66	56.4	20.3	76.8	42.4	1.98	9.66	14.03
1009	2.62	836	0.46	175.7	233.6	49.3	15.7	1.42	3.82	4.24
	2.62	837	0.46	187.0	228.2	55.3	15.8	1.42	3.82	4.24
1036	2.66	817	0.56	124.4	223.5	347.9	24.5	1.18	4.15	4.34
	2.66	816	0.53	133.5	213.9	347.4	27.0	1.25	4.08	4.35
1134	2.68	809	0.48	320.0	13.4	333.4	14.1	1.40	3.96	4.39
	2.68	810	0.47	333.5	4.6	338.0	15.4	1.42	3.94	4.38
1221	1.93	1327	0.43	20.6	173.7	194.3	12.1	1.09	2.77	2.67
	1.92	1334	0.44	27.4	170.3	197.7	11.9	1.08	2.76	2.66
1474	2.74	784	0.48	79.6	330.9	50.5	35.5	1.41	4.07	4.53
	2.74	784	0.49	84.7	321.8	46.5	26.7	1.40	4.08	4.53
1508	2.77	771	0.42	88.4	21.0	109.4	28.6	1.60	3.94	4.60
	2.77	769	0.42	93.4	12.6	106.0	28.7	1.62	3.92	4.62
1566	1.08	3172	0.82	29.0	89.5	118.5	23.7	0.19	1.97	1.12
	1.08	3172	0.83	31.7	87.0	118.7	22.7	0.19	1.97	1.12
1580	2.19	1092	0.52	156.2	63.3	219.5	51.5	1.06	3.33	3.25
	2.19	1093	0.49	159.9	61.4	221.3	52.3	1.13	3.26	3.25
1620	1.24	2557	0.34	273.5	339.2	252.7	13.3	0.83	1.66	1.39
	1.24	2555	0.34	277.2	336.2	253.4	13.3	0.83	1.66	1.39
1627	1.86	1393	0.40	163.2	134.8	298.0	8.3	1.12	2.60	2.55
	1.86	1394	0.40	168.3	132.2	300.5	8.5	1.12	2.60	2.55
1685	1.37	2216	0.42	123.3	276.8	39.9	9.3	0.79	1.95	1.60
	1.37	2216	0.42	127.7	273.2	40.9	9.4	0.79	1.95	1.60
Apollo	1.53	1872	0.58	277.9	43.7	321.4	5.8	0.65	2.42	1.90
	1.49	1956	0.57	286.8	34.5	321.2	6.4	0.64	2.33	1.81
Hermes	1.30	2401	0.48	85.5	39.6	125.1	4.8	0.68	1.92	1.48
	1.29	2413	0.48	94.5	31.9	126.3	4.5	0.68	1.91	1.47
Adonis	1.97	1280	0.78	24.6	365.9	30.5	2.0	0.43	3.51	2.77
	1.97	1280	0.78	48.5	344.1	32.6	1.3	0.44	3.51	2.77

TABLE V
Orbital elements of minor planet 887 Alinda

Epoch	<i>a</i> (AU)	μ	<i>e</i>	ω	Ω	π	<i>i</i>	<i>q</i> (AU)	<i>Q</i> (AU)	<i>P</i> (yr)
1660 Feb. 8	2.48	910 ^o	0.56	347 ^o 1	113 ^o 3	100 ^o 4	9 ^o 1	1.09	3.87	3.90
1700 Dec. 15	2.47	917	0.57	347.3	112.9	100.2	9.1	1.06	3.88	3.87
1800 Jan. 25	2.50	895	0.55	347.7	112.2	99.9	9.0	1.13	3.87	3.96
1900 Apr. 11	2.53	880	0.53	347.8	111.4	99.3	8.9	1.19	3.87	4.03
1942 Jan. 31	2.52	886	0.54	348.1	111.0	99.1	9.0	1.16	3.88	4.00
2000 Jan. 17	2.48	906	0.56	350.0	110.0	100.0	9.3	1.08	3.88	3.92
2059 Dec. 13	2.47	916	0.58	350.6	109.5	100.0	9.5	1.05	3.89	3.87

interval Alinda passes through the exact resonance twice. At the epochs 1660 and 1700 it is inside ring 3, and in 1800 it is inside the gap ($\mu = 895''$); between 1900 and 1942 Alinda is in ring 2, while around 2000 it enters the gap ($\mu = 906''$) once more, returning to ring 3 in 2059. Since the variations in eccentricity compensate for those in semimajor axis the aphelion distance remains practically constant, and there is no change in the line of apsides either.

Considering the character of close encounters (less than 0.10 AU) with the Earth, Mars, and Venus, the 19 planets may be divided into the following groups:

- (1) No close approaches (433, 699, 799, 1009, 1036, 1474, 1508, 1580, 1627, 1685).
- (2) Close approaches to the Earth only (887, 1221, 1620).
- (3) Close approaches to Mars only (1134).
- (4) Close approaches to Venus only (no planets).
- (5) Close approaches to two planets (no planets).
- (6) Close approaches to three planets (Adonis, Apollo, Hermes, 1566).

In addition there is 944 Hidalgo, which has four encounters with Jupiter (to less than 2 AU) between 1660 and 2060 (Table VI). These approaches did not appreciably change the orbit of Hidalgo.

TABLE VI
Encounters of 944 Hidalgo with Jupiter,
1660–2060

Date	Minimum distance (AU)
1673 Aug. 8	0.38
1756 Dec. 1	1.51
1827 July 3	0.84
1922 Oct. 13	0.90

References

- Belyaev, N. A.: 1967, *Byull. Inst. Teor. Astron.* **10**, 696.
 Chebotarev, G. A., Belyaev, N. A., and Eremenko, R. P.: 1970, *Byull. Inst. Teor. Astron.* **12**, 82.
 Chebotarev, G. A. and Shmakova, M. Ya.: 1970, *Byull. Inst. Teor. Astron.* **12**, 104.
 Gehrels, T., Roemer, E., Taylor, R. C., and Zellner, B. H.: 1970, *Astron. J.* **75**, 186.
 Kresák, L.: 1969, *Bull. Astron. Inst. Czech.* **20**, 177.
 Marsden, B. G.: 1970, *Astron. J.* **75**, 206.