

A NOTE ON STRONG MARKUŠEVIČ DECOMPOSITIONS OF BANACH SPACES

P.K. JAIN AND D.P. SINHA

The space ℓ^∞ is known to have no Schauder decomposition. It is proved here that ℓ^∞ does not even possess any strong Markušević decomposition.

1. INTRODUCTION

A sequence (G_n) of non-zero linear subspaces of a Banach space E is said to be a decomposition of E if for each $x \in E$ there exists a unique sequence (x_n) with $x_n \in G_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges to x . This gives a unique sequence (v_n) of linear projections on E satisfying $v_i v_j = 0$, whenever $i \neq j$. If each v_n is continuous then (G_n) is said to be a Schauder decomposition. It is known that every infinite dimensional Banach space has a decomposition and that every decomposition may not be Schauder ([9], Theorem 1 and Example 2) so much so, that every Banach space need not possess a Schauder decomposition. In fact, the space ℓ^∞ does not possess any Schauder decomposition (Dean [2]). A detailed account of the theory of Schauder decompositions can be found in [10]. A more general concept than that of Schauder decompositions, namely Markušević decompositions was introduced under the name “complete biorthogonal decompositions” in [1]. These decompositions together with a particular class of them, called the strong Markušević decompositions, have been discussed in detail by the authors in [5, 6]. It is natural to ask whether ℓ^∞ possesses a Markušević decomposition. In the present note, we establish that ℓ^∞ does not have any strong Markušević decomposition, countable or uncountable. The proof of our result is a little cumbersome and makes use of certain ideas developed by Lindenstrauss [7].

2. MAIN RESULT

DEFINITION 1: An indexed collection $(G_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of non-zero closed linear subspaces of a Banach space E is said to be a Markušević decomposition (M -decomposition)

Received 2nd October 1990

The research of the second author is supported by the CSIR (India).

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/91 \$A2.00+0.00.

of E if there exist bounded linear projections $(v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with $v_\lambda(E) = G_\lambda$ such that $\overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ is dense in E and $v_\lambda(x) = 0 (\lambda \in \Lambda)$, imply $x = 0$.

It has been shown in [5] that the collection $(v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, called the associated family of coordinate projections, is uniquely determined by the M -decomposition and that every weakly compactly generated Banach space admits of a countable M -decomposition. Note that ℓ^∞ being the non-separable dual of a separable Banach space cannot be contained in any weakly compactly generated Banach space.

DEFINITION 2: An M -decomposition $(G_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of a Banach space E with the associated family of coordinate projections $(v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is said to be a strong M -decomposition if each $x \in \overline{\text{span}}_{\lambda \in \Lambda(x)} G_\lambda$, where $\Lambda(x) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : v_\lambda(x) \neq 0\}$.

Clearly every Schauder decomposition is a countable strong M -decomposition. Every M -decomposition may not be a strong M -decomposition and a countable strong M -decomposition may not be a Schauder decomposition [6]. In the present discussion we shall write

$$\sigma(x) = \{n : \alpha_n \neq 0\}, \quad (x = (\alpha_n) \in \ell^\infty).$$

THEOREM. *The space ℓ^∞ has no countable or uncountable strong M -decomposition.*

PROOF: Write $E = \ell^\infty$. Let $(G_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a strong M -decomposition of E with the associated family of projections $(v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Define seminorms on E by

$$t_\lambda(x) = \|v_\lambda\|^{-1} \|v_\lambda(x)\|, \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Since $(v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is total on E , the set

$$(x, \varepsilon) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : t_\lambda(x) > \varepsilon\}$$

is finite for each $x \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Without any loss of generality we may assume that \mathbb{N} and Λ are disjoint sets. Let $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \cup \Lambda$. Define a mapping $Q_0 : E \rightarrow c_0(\Delta)$ by

$$(Q_0 x)(\delta) = \begin{cases} 2^{-n}(1+n)^2 \|x\|, & (n = \delta/2 \text{ and } \delta = 0, 2, 4, \dots), \\ \alpha_n/n, & (n = (\delta+1)/2, \delta = 1, 3, 5, \dots \text{ and } x = (\alpha_i)), \\ t_\delta(x), & (\delta \in \Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Let J denote the Day's locally uniformly convex norm as shown in [8] and $\|\cdot\|$, the usual sup norm on $c_0(\Delta)$. Then

$$\|x\|/2 \leq J(x) \leq \|x\|/\sqrt{3}, \quad (x \in c_0(\Delta)).$$

The function on E given by

$$\|x\|_0 = 2J(Q_0x)$$

defines a norm on E ([11], Lemma) and there is a $K > 1$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_0 \leq K \|x\|, \quad (x \in E).$$

Write $M = \sup\{\|x\|_0 : \|x\| = 1\}$. Since $(3M + 1)/4 < M$, there is an $x_1 = (\alpha_i^{(1)}) \in E$ with $\|x_1\| = 1$ such that

$$(3M + 1)/4 \leq \|x_1\|_0.$$

We may assume that $\mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma(x_1)$ is infinite. Let S_1 be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma(x_1)$ such that $\mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x) \cup S_1)$ is infinite and let i_1 be an integer in $\mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x_1) \cup S_1)$. Writing

$$F_1 = \left\{ y = (\beta_i) \in E : \|y\| = 1, \quad |\beta_{i_1}| = 1, \quad \beta_i = \alpha_i^{(1)}, \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } i \in \sigma(x) \cup S_1 \text{ and } \mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(y) \cup \sigma(x_1) \cup S_1) \text{ is infinite} \right\}$$

and $K_1 = \sup\{\|y\|_0 : y \in F_1\}$ and since for each $y \in F_1$, $2x_1 - y \in F_1$, we have $\|2x_1\| - 1 \leq K_1$. This gives

$$K_1 - 1 \leq ((4K - 3)M - 1)/2K \leq (M - 1)/2.$$

Again, since $(3K_1 + 1)/4 < K_1$, there is an $x_2 = (\alpha_i^{(2)}) \in E$ with $\|x_2\| = 1$ such that

$$(3K_1 - 1)/4 \leq \|x_2\|_0.$$

Again, we may assume without any loss of generality that $\mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x_1) \cup \sigma(x_2) \cup S_1)$ is infinite. Let S_2 be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x_1) \cup \sigma(x_2) \cup S_1)$ such that the set $\mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x_1) \cup \sigma(x_2) \cup S_1 \cup S_2)$ is infinite and let $i_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x_1) \cup \sigma(x_2) \cup S_1 \cup S_2)$. Writing

$$F_2 = \left\{ y = (\beta_i) \in E : |\beta_{i_2}| = 1, \quad \beta_i = \alpha_i^{(2)}, \text{ for all } i \in \sigma(x_1) \cup \sigma(x_2) \cup S_1 \cup S_2 \right. \\ \left. \text{and the set } \mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(y) \cup \sigma(x_1) \cup \sigma(x_2) \cup S_1 \cup S_2) \text{ is infinite} \right\}$$

and $K_2 = \sup\{\|y\|_0 : y \in F_2\}$, we have $\|2x_2\| - 1 \leq K_2$. This gives

$$K_2 - 1 \leq ((4M - 3)K_1 - 1)/2M \leq (K_1 - 1)/2 \leq (M - 1)/4.$$

Continuing in this way, we get for each n , $x_n = (\alpha_i^{(n)}) \in E$, $S_n \subset \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \subset E$, a real number $K_n > 1$ and a positive integer i_n such that

(a) $x_n \in F_{n-1}$, $1 \leq \|x_n\|_0 \leq K_{n-1}$ and $K_{n-1} \leq (M - 1)/2^n$, where $K_0 = M$,

- (b) if $M_n = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n \sigma(x_j) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} S_j \right)$, then S_n is an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus M_n$ such that $\mathbb{N} \setminus (M_n \cup S_n)$ is infinite,
- (c) $i_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus (M_n \cup S_n)$ and $|\alpha_{i_n}^{(n-1)}| = 1$,
- (d) $\alpha_k^{(n)} = \alpha_k^{(n-1)}$, for $k \in M_{n-1} \cup S_{n-1}$.

Thus, there is an $x_0 = (\gamma_i) \in E$ such that

$$\gamma_k = \begin{cases} \alpha_k^{(n)}, & (k \in M_n \cup S_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ 0, & \left(k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sigma(x_n)) \cup S_n \right) \right). \end{cases}$$

Note that $|\gamma_{i_n}| = 1$, $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ and consider the continuous linear functional on E given by the Banach limit ([4], p.73) defined by

$$f(y) = \text{LIM}(\gamma_{i_n} \cdot \alpha_{i_n}), \quad (y = (\alpha_i) \in E).$$

Then, $f(x_0) = 1$ and $f(x_n) = 0$, $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$.

Let for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, F_λ be a separable closed subspace of G_λ such that

$$\{x_0, x_1, \dots\} \subset F = \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda.$$

Also, let $w_\lambda = v_\lambda|_F$. Then, $(F_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a strong M -decomposition of F with the associated family of coordinate projections $(w_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $(e_n^{(\lambda)})_{n=1}^\infty$ be dense in F_λ . Write for each n , $U_n = \{A \subset \Lambda : \text{card}A \leq n\}$ and $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty U_n$. Let us define the following semi-norms on F

$$E_A^{(n)}(x) = \inf \left\{ \left\| x - \sum_{\lambda \in A} \beta_\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{(\lambda)} e_i^{(\lambda)} \right\| : \beta_\lambda, \alpha_i^{(\lambda)} \text{ are scalars} \right\}, (A \in U, n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$F_A(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} t_\lambda(x), \quad (A \in U),$$

$$G_0(x) = \|x\|,$$

$$G_n(x) = \sup \{ E_A^{(n)}(x) + nF_A(x) : A \in U \}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Define a mapping $Q: F \rightarrow c_0(\Delta)$ by

$$(Qx)(\delta) = \begin{cases} 2^{-n}G_n(x), & (n = \delta/2, \quad \delta = 0, 2, 4, \dots), \\ \alpha_n/n, & (n = (\delta + 1)/2, \quad \delta = 1, 3, \dots \text{ and } x = (\alpha_i)), \\ t_\delta(x), & (\delta \in \Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Note that since $G_n(x) \leq (1 + n^2) \|x\|$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), we have

$$(Qx)(\delta) \leq (Q_0x)(\delta), \quad (x \in E, \delta \in \Lambda).$$

Thus, the function on F given by

$$\|x\| = 2J(Qx),$$

defines a norm on F ([11], Lemma) and, for each $x \in F$, satisfies

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\| \leq \|x\|_0.$$

Finally, since $(\alpha_n) \rightarrow (\alpha_n/n)$ is an injective continuous linear operator of E into c_0 , that $(F_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a strong M -decomposition of F and that each F_λ is separable, it follows (for example see the proof of Theorem 2 in [3], p.101) that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a locally uniformly convex on F . Now, note that for each n , the elements x and $(x_n + x)/2$ are in F_n . Therefore, $1 \leq \|x_n\| \leq K_{n-1}$, $1 \leq \|x\| \leq K_n$ and $2 \leq \|x_n + x\| \leq 2K_n$, where $K_0 = M$ and for all n . This gives that $\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + x\| = 2$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. But this is a contradiction and hence the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G.F. Bachelis and H.P. Rosenthal, 'On unconditionally converging series and biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces', *Pacific J. Math.* **37** (1971), 1-5.
- [2] D.W. Dean, 'Schauder decompositions in (m) ', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 619-623.
- [3] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces - selected topics: Lecture notes in Math.* 485 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975).
- [4] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators I, General Theory* (Interscience, 1958).
- [5] P.K. Jain and D.P. Sinha, 'On Markušević decompositions of Banach spaces', *Anal. Math.* **16** (1990), 265-275.
- [6] P.K. Jain and D.P. Sinha, 'On strong Markusevic decompositions of Banach spaces', *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **55** (1990), 117-126.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss, 'Weakly compact sets — their topological properties and the Banach spaces they generate', *Proc. Sympos. on 'Infinite dimensional topology' held in Baton-Rouge, Ann. of Math. Studies* **69** (1972), 235-274.
- [8] J. Rainwater, 'Local uniform convexity of Day's norm on $c_0(\Gamma)$ ', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1969), 335-339.
- [9] B.L. Sanders, 'On the existence of Schauder decompositions in Banach spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 987-990.

- [10] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces II* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981).
- [11] S. Troyanski, 'On locally uniformly convex and differentiable norms in certain non-separable Banach spaces', *Studia Math.* **37** (1971), 173–180.

Department of Mathematics
University of Delhi
Delhi-110007
India