

## REMARKS ON THE YABLONSKII-VOROB'EV POLYNOMIALS

MAKOTO TANEDA

**Abstract.** We study the Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomial associated with the second Painlevé equation. To study other special polynomials (Okamoto polynomials, Umemura polynomials) associated with the Painlevé equations, our purely algebraic approach is useful.

### Introduction

For a non-negative integer  $n$ , let  $P_n$  be the rational functions of a variable  $t$  determined by the following recurrence relation

$$(1) \quad P_{n+1} = \frac{tP_n^2 - 4(P_nP_n'' - P_n'^2)}{P_{n-1}}$$

with initial conditions  $P_0 = 1$ ,  $P_1 = t$ . Vorob'ev proved the following

PROPOSITION 1. *For every non-negative integer  $n$ ,  $P_n$  is a polynomial.*

The  $\{P_n\}$  are called the Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials. In Section 1, we give a proof of Proposition 1 close to the one given by Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura. (See Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura [2], Proposition 9.) In the proof of Proposition 1, we show together the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *For a non-negative integer  $n$ , roots of the algebraic equation  $P_n = 0$  are simple. (See Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura [2], Proposition 9.)*

LEMMA 2. *For a positive integer  $n$ ,  $P_n = 0$ ,  $P_{n-1} = 0$  do not have a common root. (See Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura [2], Proposition 9.)*

Moreover we prove the following

---

Received January 26, 1999.

Revised June 16, 1999.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M55.

PROPOSITION 2.  $P_n$  is divisible by  $t$  if and only if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .  $P_n$  is a polynomial of  $t^3$  if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $P_n/t$  is a polynomial of  $t^3$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

We know that the  $\{P_n\}$  satisfy the two Hirota bilinear relations. (See Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura [2], Definition 3). In Section 2, using one of the Hirota bilinear relations, we prove the following

THEOREM 1. If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , the coefficients of  $t^4$  of the polynomial  $P_n$  is equal to 0.

Kajiwara and Ohta [3] proved the following

THEOREM 2.

$$(2) \quad P_n = \left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n(n+1)/6} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n (2k-1)!! \right\} \\ \times \chi_{(n, n-1, \dots, 1)} \left( \left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1/3} t, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots \right),$$

where  $\chi_\lambda$  is the Schur polynomial for a partition  $\lambda$ .

In Section 4, we give another proof of Theorem 2 as well as by Noumi and Yamada [5]. Namely we check that the right hand side satisfies the recurrence relation (18). Moreover we show that the Hirota bilinear relation (23) follows from a Plücker relation.

### §1. The second Painlevé equation

In this section we review how the Yablonskii-Vorob'ev Polynomials arise from the second Painlevé equation. For detail see Okamoto [6]. By the second Painlevé equation, we mean the differential equation

$$(3) \quad y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha,$$

where  $t$  is the independent variable and  $\alpha$  is a parameter. The second Painlevé equation is equivalent to the Hamiltonian system

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = z - y^2 - \frac{t}{2}, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = 2yz + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where the Hamiltonian  $H$  is given by

$$(5) \quad H(\alpha, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}z^2 - \left(y^2 + \frac{1}{2}t\right)z - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)y.$$

For a solution  $(y(t), z(t))$  of the Hamiltonian system (4), we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}H(\alpha, y(t), z(t)) = \frac{\partial H(\alpha, y, z)}{\partial t} \Big|_{y=y(t), z=z(t)} = -\frac{1}{2}z(t),$$

which we denote by  $H'(\alpha, y, z)$ .

We denote the set of solutions of the Hamiltonian system (4) for a parameter  $\alpha$  by  $\Sigma(\alpha)$ .

We define a transformation  $I^\alpha : \Sigma(\alpha) \rightarrow \Sigma(-\alpha - 1)$  by

$$(7) \quad I^\alpha(y, z) = \begin{cases} \left(y + \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{z}, z\right), & \text{if } \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (y, z), & \text{if } \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

If  $z = 0$  then  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ . So, the denominator in (7) is not equal to 0. Similarly, we note that the denominators in the following definitions is not equal to 0. We define a transformation  $T_-^\alpha : \Sigma(\alpha) \rightarrow \Sigma(\alpha - 1)$  by

$$(8) \quad T_-^\alpha(y, z) = \begin{cases} \left(-y - \frac{\alpha - 1/2}{2y^2 - z + t}, 2y^2 - z + t\right), & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (-y, 2y^2 - z + t), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

and a transformation  $T_+^\alpha : \Sigma(\alpha) \rightarrow \Sigma(\alpha + 1)$  by

$$(9) \quad T_+^\alpha(y, z) = \begin{cases} \left(-y - \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{z}, 2\left(y + \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{z}\right)^2 - z + t\right), & \text{if } \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (-y, 2y^2 - z + t), & \text{if } \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We note that  $T_+^{\alpha-1} \circ T_-^\alpha = \text{id}_{\Sigma(\alpha)}$  and  $T_-^{\alpha+1} \circ T_+^\alpha = \text{id}_{\Sigma(\alpha)}$ .

Now, for  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  and an integer  $n \geq 0$ , we define  $(y_{\gamma-n}, z_{\gamma-n})$  by the recurrence relation

$$(10) \quad (y_{\gamma-n}, z_{\gamma-n}) = T_-^{\gamma-(n-1)}(y_{\gamma-(n-1)}, z_{\gamma-(n-1)}).$$

For  $\beta \in \gamma + \mathbf{Z}$ , we set  $h(\beta) = H(\beta, y_\beta, z_\beta)$ . If  $\gamma \notin 1/2 + \mathbf{Z}$ , then we have by definition

$$\begin{aligned} h(\beta - 1) &= H(\beta - 1, y_{\beta-1}, z_{\beta-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}z_\beta^2 - \left(y_\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}t\right)z_\beta - \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right)y_\beta + y_\beta \\ &= h(\beta) + y_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, we have

$$(11) \quad y_\beta = h(\beta - 1) - h(\beta).$$

By the Hamiltonian system (4) and (11)

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \frac{z'_\beta}{z_\beta} &= 2y_\beta + \frac{\beta + 1/2}{z_\beta} \\ &= y_\beta - y_{\beta+1} \\ &= h(\beta - 1) - 2h(\beta) + h(\beta + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We here introduce the so-called  $\tau$  function by

$$(13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log \tau(\beta) = h(\beta)$$

so that

$$(14) \quad -2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \log \tau(\beta) = c \frac{\tau(\beta - 1)\tau(\beta + 1)}{\tau^2(\beta)}$$

by (6) (12) and (13), where  $c$  is a constant. The relation (14) is called the Toda equation. The second Painlevé equation has a rational solution if and only if  $\alpha$  is an integer. For detail see Umemura and Watanabe [9]. It is easy to see that for  $\alpha = 0$  the Hamiltonian system (4) has a unique rational solution  $(0, t/2)$ . Hence, if we put  $\gamma = 0$  and choose  $(y_0, z_0)$  as  $(0, t/2)$ , then we immediately obtain

$$(15) \quad h(0) = -\frac{1}{8}t^2,$$

$$(16) \quad \tau(0) = A_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24}t^3\right),$$

$$(17) \quad \tau(-1) = A_{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24}t^3\right),$$

$A_0, A_{-1}$  being constants. We define a function  $P_n(t)$  by

$$(18) \quad \tau(-n-1) = A_{-n-1}P_n(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24}t^3\right),$$

for a non-negative integer  $n$ , where  $A_n$  is a constant. So we have  $P_{-1}(t) = P_0(t) = 1$ . Substituting (18) into the Toda equation (14), we find that

$$(19) \quad -\frac{2}{c} \left(-\frac{t}{4} + \frac{P_n P_n'' - P_n'^2}{P_n^2}\right) = \frac{A_{-n} A_{-n-2} P_{n-1} P_{n+1}}{A_{-n-1}^2 P_n^2}.$$

Setting  $A_n = 1$  and  $c = 1/2$  in the above formula (19), we have

$$P_{n+1} = \frac{tP_n^2 - 4(P_n P_n'' - P_n'^2)}{P_{n-1}}.$$

This is just the recurrence relation (1) satisfied by the Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials.

We know that the  $\tau$  function is an entire function. For detail see Okamoto [6]. Admitting this fact, we easily see from (18) that  $P_n$  is a polynomial. We here make a remark that a rational solution  $(y_{-n-1}, z_{-n-1})$  of the Hamiltonian system (4) is represented by the following formulas

$$(20) \quad y_{-n-1} = h(-n-2) - h(-n-1) = \frac{d}{dt} \log \frac{P_{n+1}}{P_n}$$

by (11), (13) and (18), and

$$(21) \quad z_{-n-1} = \frac{\tau(-n-2)\tau(-n)}{2\tau^2(-n-1)} = \frac{P_{n-1}P_{n+1}}{2P_n^2}$$

by (6), (13), (14) and (18).

Now we review the Hirota bilinear relation. For detail see Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura [2], Definition 3.

From the Hamiltonian system (4), we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{-n-1} &= y'_{-n-1} + y_{-n-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{P_{n+1}(P_n^2 - 4P_n P_n'' + 4P_n'^2) + 2P_n(P_{n+1}P_n'' + P_{n+1}''P_n - 2P_{n+1}'P_n')}{2P_{n+1}P_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (22) with (21), we have

$$(23) \quad P_{n+1}P_n'' + P_{n+1}''P_n - 2P_{n+1}'P_n' = 0.$$

This equation is one of the Hirota bilinear relation satisfied by  $P_{n+1}$  and  $P_n$ . (See Fukutani, Okamoto and Umemura [2], Proposition 9.)

Substituting the equation (20) and (21) into the Hamiltonian system (4) written by the following

$$\frac{d}{dt}z_{-n-1} = 2y_{-n-1}z_{-n-1} - n - \frac{1}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P_{n+1}'P_{n-1}}{2P_n^2} + \frac{P_{n+1}P_{n-1}'}{2P_n^2} - \frac{P_{n+1}P_{n-1}P_n'}{P_n^3} \\ &= \frac{P_{n+1}'P_{n-1}}{P_n^2} - \frac{P_{n+1}P_{n-1}P_n'}{P_n^3} - n - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(24) \quad P_{n+1}'P_{n-1} - P_{n+1}P_{n-1}' = (2n + 1)P_n^2.$$

**§2. Proofs of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2**

We define the operator  $l_t$  by

$$(25) \quad l_t(f) = f \frac{df^2}{dt^2} - \left(\frac{df}{dt}\right)^2,$$

$$(26) \quad l_t(f, g) = f \left(\frac{d^2g}{dt^2}\right) - \left(\frac{df}{dt}\right) \left(\frac{dg}{dt}\right) + \left(\frac{d^2g}{dt^2}\right) g,$$

for the functions  $f, g$  of a variable  $t$ . We then have the following formulas

$$(27) \quad l_t(cf) = c^2f,$$

$$(28) \quad l_t(fg) = f^2 l_t(g) + g^2 l_t(f),$$

$$(29) \quad l_t(f + g) = l_t(f) + l_t(f, g) + l_t(g),$$

$$(30) \quad l_t(t) = -1,$$

$$(31) \quad l_t(t^3 + c) = -3t(t^3 - 2c),$$

for a constant  $c$ . We here note that the recurrence relation (1) is written as

$$(32) \quad P_{n+1} = \frac{tP_n^2 - 4l_t(P_n)}{P_{n-1}}.$$

We shall prove Proposition 1, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 together by mathematical induction on  $n$ . As we have  $P_0 = 1, P_1 = t, P_2 = t^3 + 4$  and  $P_3 = t^6 + 20t^3 - 80$ . Proposition 1 and Lemma 1 hold for  $0 \leq n \leq 3$  and Lemma 2 holds for  $1 \leq n \leq 3$ . We now make the following

ASSUMPTION 1. *If  $3 \leq n \leq N$ , then  $P_n$  is a polynomial, roots of an algebraic equation  $P_n = 0$  are simple and  $P_n = 0$  and  $P_{n-1} = 0$  have not a common root.*

We have to show Proposition 1, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 for  $n = N + 1$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary polynomial and let  $' = \frac{d}{dt}$ . Setting  $h = tf^2 - 4l_t(f) = tf^2 - 4(ff'' - f'^2)$ , we have

$$(33) \quad h = 4f'^2 + f \times (\text{a polynomial}),$$

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} h' &= f^2 + 2tff' - 4(ff''' - f'f'') \\ &= 4f'f'' + f \times (\text{a polynomial}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h'' &= 4ff' + 2tf'^2 + 2tff'' - 4(ff'''' - f''^2) \\ &= 2tf'^2 + 4f''^2 + f \times (\text{a polynomial}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} l_t(h) &= hh'' - h'^2 \\ &= 8tf'^4 + 16f'^2f''^2 - 16f'^2f''^2 + f \times (\text{a polynomial}) \\ &= 8tf'^4 + f \times (\text{a polynomial}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} 2th^2 - 4l_t(h) &= 32tf'^4 - 32tf'^4 + f \times (\text{a polynomial}) \\ &= f \times (\text{a polynomial}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(37) \quad f \mid 2th^2 - 4l_t(h).$$

Here the symbol  $|$  means that the right hand side is divisible by the left hand side. Now, replacing  $f$  by  $P_{N-1}$ , we have  $h = P_{N-2}P_N$  and

$$(38) \quad P_{N-1} \mid 2tP_{N-2}^2P_N^2 - 4 l_t(P_{N-2}P_N).$$

By (28), we obtain that

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2tP_{N-2}^2P_N^2 - 4 l_t(P_{N-2}P_N) \\ &= P_{N-2}^2 \{tP_N^2 - 4 l_t(P_N)\} + P_N^2 \{tP_{N-2}^2 - 4 l_t(P_{N-2})\} \\ &= P_{N-2}^2 \{tP_N^2 - 4 l_t(P_N)\} + P_N^2 P_{N-3}P_{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see

$$(40) \quad P_{N-1} \mid tP_N^2 - 4 l_t(P_N).$$

Combining this result with (1), we can conclude that  $P_{N+1}$  is a polynomial. If  $P_N = 0$  and  $P_{N+1} = 0$  have a common root  $r$ , then  $P'_N(r) = 0$  by (1). This contradicts Assumption 1. So,  $P_N = 0$  and  $P_{N+1} = 0$  have not a common root. If a root  $r$  of  $P_{N+1} = 0$  is not simple, then  $P_N(r) = 0$  by (24), a contradiction! We hence verified that roots of  $P_{N+1} = 0$  are simple. Consequently, we have completed mathematical induction and hence proved Proposition 1, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

Now, we prove Proposition 2. The following simple proof was proposed by H. Kawamuko during a discussion about our original proof. Let  $\omega$  be a primitive cube root of 1. In order to prove Proposition 2 we show by mathematical induction on  $n$  the following

$$(41) \quad P_n(\omega t) = \begin{cases} P_n(t), & \text{if } n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \omega P_n(t), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

for a non-negative integer  $n$ . As we have  $P_0 = 1$  and  $P_1 = t$ . The equation (41) hold for  $n = 0, 1$ . Suppose that the equation (41) is proved for all  $n \leq N, N \geq 1$ . Then we have to show the equation (41) for  $n = N + 1$ . Assume first that  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . By induction hypothesis, then, we see  $P_{N-1}(\omega t) = P_{N-1}(t)$  and  $P_N(\omega t) = \omega P_N(t)$ . So we have  $P'_N(\omega t) = P'_N(t)$  and  $P''_N(\omega t) = \frac{1}{\omega} P''_N(t) = \omega^2 P''_N(t)$ . Then, replacing  $t$  by  $\omega t$  in the recurrence



relation (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{N+1}(\omega t) &= \frac{\omega t P_N^2(\omega t) - 4(P_N(\omega t)P_N''(\omega t) - P_N'(\omega t)^2)}{P_{N-1}(\omega t)} \\
 &= P_{N+1}(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have verified the equation (41) for  $n = N + 1, N \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Next, if  $N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  then we have  $P_{N-1}(\omega t) = \omega P_{N-1}(t)$ , and  $P_N(\omega t) = P_N(t)$  by induction hypothesis. So we can see  $P_N'(\omega t) = \omega^2 P_N'(t)$  and  $P_N''(\omega t) = \omega P_N''(t)$ . Hence, replacing  $t$  by  $\omega t$  in the recurrence relation (18), we have  $P_{N+1}(\omega t) = P_{N+1}(t)$ , which proved the equation (41) for  $n = N + 1, N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Next, if  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  then we have  $P_{N-1}(\omega t) = P_{N-1}(t), P_N(\omega t) = P_N(t), P_N'(\omega t) = \omega^2 P_N'(t)$  and  $P_N''(\omega t) = \omega P_N''(t)$  by induction hypothesis. Hence, replacing  $t$  by  $\omega t$  in the recurrence relation (18), we have  $P_{N+1}(\omega t) = \omega P_{N+1}(t)$ , which proved the equation (41) for  $n = N + 1, N \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

With these result, we have verified the equation (41) for  $n = N + 1$  and hence obtained the equation (41) by mathematical induction. Therefore, combining the equation (41) with Proposition 1, we have Proposition 2.

**§3. Proof of Theorem 1**

To illustrate Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_4 &= t^{10} + 60t^7 + 11200t, \\
 P_7 &= t^{28} + 504t^{25} + 75600t^{22} + 5174400t^{19} \\
 &\quad + 62092800t^{16} + 13039488000t^{13} \\
 &\quad - 828731904000t^{10} - 49723914240000t^7 - 3093932441600000t.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, for a non-negative integer  $n$ , we define the rational function  ${}^aP_n(t)$  by

$$(42) \quad {}^aP_n(t) = \begin{cases} P_n(t), & \text{if } n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ P_n(t)/t, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

and the rational function  ${}^bP_n(v)$  of variable  $v$  by

$$(43) \quad {}^bP_n(v) = {}^aP_n(t), v = t^3.$$

From Proposition 2, we have that  ${}^aP_n(t)$  and  ${}^bP_n(v)$  are polynomials for a non-negative integer  $n$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1 by mathematical induction. As we have  $P_1(t) = t$  and see that Theorem 1 holds for  $n = 1$ . Let  $N$  be  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Suppose that Theorem 1 is proved for  $n = N - 3$ . We have to show Theorem 1 for  $n = N$ . From (24), we have

$$(44) \quad {}^bP_N {}^bP_{N-2} + 3v({}^bP'_N {}^bP_{N-2} - {}^bP_N {}^bP'_{N-2}) = (2N - 1) {}^bP_{N-1}^2,$$

$$(45) \quad -{}^bP_{N-1} {}^bP_{N-3} + 3v({}^bP'_{N-1} {}^bP_{N-3} - {}^bP_{N-1} {}^bP'_{N-3}) = (2N - 3) {}^bP_{N-2}^2,$$

$$(46) \quad 3({}^bP'_{N-2} {}^bP_{N-4} - {}^bP_{N-2} {}^bP'_{N-4}) = (2N - 5) {}^bP_{N-3}^2.$$

Substituting  $v = 0$  into (44), (45) and these derivation, we have

$$(47) \quad {}^bP_N(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) = (2N - 1) {}^bP_{N-1}^2(0),$$

$$(48) \quad -{}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-3}(0) = (2N - 3) {}^bP_{N-2}^2(0),$$

$$(49) \quad 2 {}^bP'_N(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) - {}^bP_N(0) {}^bP'_{N-2}(0) \\ = (2N - 1) {}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP'_{N-1}(0),$$

$$(50) \quad {}^bP'_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-3}(0) - 2 {}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP'_{N-3}(0) \\ = (2N - 3) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) {}^bP'_{N-2}(0).$$

Combining (47) with (49), we have

$$(51) \quad {}^bP_N(0) {}^bP'_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) - 2 {}^bP'_N(0) {}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) \\ + {}^bP_N(0) {}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP'_{N-2}(0) = 0.$$

Combining (48) with (50), we have

$$(52) \quad -{}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP'_{N-2}(0) {}^bP_{N-3}(0) - {}^bP'_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) {}^bP_{N-3}(0) \\ + 2 {}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) {}^bP'_{N-3}(0) = 0.$$

We have  ${}^bP'_{N-3}(0) = 0$  by induction hypothesis and  ${}^bP_{N-3}(0) \neq 0$  by Proposition 2. By (52), we hence see

$$(53) \quad {}^bP_{N-1}(0) {}^bP'_{N-2}(0) + {}^bP'_{N-1}(0) {}^bP_{N-2}(0) = 0.$$

Combining (53) with (51), we have

$$(54) \quad {}^b P'_N(0) {}^b P_{N-1}(0) {}^b P_{N-2}(0) = 0.$$

We see  ${}^b P_{N-1}(0) \neq 0$  and  ${}^b P_{N-2}(0) \neq 0$  since  $P_N(0) = P_{N-3}(0) = 0$  and Lemma 2. Consequently we obtain

$$(55) \quad {}^b P'_N(0) = 0.$$

We have completed mathematical induction and hence verified Theorem 1.

On the other hand, another proof of Theorem 1 can be carried out as follows: From (24), we have

$$(56) \quad \frac{P'_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} - \frac{P'_{n-1}}{P_{n-1}} = \frac{(2n+1)P_n^2}{P_{n+1}P_{n-1}}.$$

From the differential of (1), we have

$$(57) \quad \frac{P'_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} + \frac{P'_{n-1}}{P_{n-1}} = \frac{P_n^2 + 2tP_nP'_n - 4(P_nP'''_n - P'_nP''_n)}{P_{n+1}P_{n-1}}.$$

Combining (57) with (1) and (56), we have

$$(58) \quad \frac{P'_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} = \frac{(n+1)P_n^2 + tP_nP'_n - 2(P_nP'''_n - P'_nP''_n)}{tP_n^2 - 4(P_nP''_n - P_n'^2)}.$$

Substituting (58) into (20), we have

$$(59) \quad y_{-n-1} = \frac{(n+1)P_n^2 + tP_nP'_n - 2(P_nP'''_n - P'_nP''_n)}{tP_n^2 - 4(P_nP''_n - P_n'^2)} - \frac{P'_n}{P_n}.$$

Substituting (59) into the second Painlevé equation (3), we find a differential equation satisfied by  $P_n$ . Similarly, we can make several differential equations satisfied by  $P_n$ . From the reduction of these differential equations, we conclude that  $P_n$  satisfies the following differential equations

$$(60) \quad 4y^{(1)2} \left( ty^{(1)2} - 4y^{(1)}y^{(3)} + 3y^{(2)2} \right) \\ + 4y \left( -2ty^{(1)2}y^{(2)} - 2y^{(1)3} + 2y^{(1)2}y^{(4)} + 2y^{(1)}y^{(2)}y^{(3)} - 2y^{(2)3} \right) \\ + 2y^2 \left( t^2y^{(1)2} - 4ty^{(1)}y^{(3)} + 5y^{(2)2} + 3y^{(1)}y^{(2)} - 4y^{(2)}y^{(4)} + 2y^{(3)3} \right) \\ + 2y^3 \left( -2t^2y^{(2)} + ty^{(4)} - y^{(3)} \right) - n(n+1)y^4 = 0$$

and

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2y^{(1)} \left( ty^{(1)2} - 4y^{(1)}y^{(3)} + 3y^{(2)2} \right) \\ & + y \left( -3ty^{(1)}y^{(2)} - 2y^{(1)2} + 5y^{(1)}y^{(4)} - 2y^{(2)}y^{(3)} \right) \\ & + y^2 \left( ty^{(3)} + 2y^{(2)} - y^{(5)} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $y^{(n)m}$  is defined by  $y^{(n)m} = (d^m y / dt^n)^m$ . From (60) and (61), we can verify that

$$(62) \quad P_n |t(P'_n)^2 - 4P'_n P'''_n + 3(P''_n)^2,$$

$$(63) \quad P_n |t(P'_n)^2 P''_n + (P'_n)^2 P''''_n - 6P'_n P''_n P'''_n + 4(P''_n)^3.$$

From the last formula, we obtain  $P''''_n(0) = 0$  if  $P_n(0) = 0$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**§4. Proof of Theorem 2**

We review the Plücker relation and present useful relation. Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. We consider the free  $A$ -module

$$(64) \quad V_\infty = \{ {}^t(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots) | v_i \in A \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots \}.$$

We define

$$(65) \quad e_i = \underset{i\text{-th place}}{ {}^t(0, \dots, 0, \quad 1, \quad 0, \dots) }.$$

For  $v_j = {}^t(v_{1j}, v_{2j}, v_{3j}, \dots) \in V_\infty$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ ), we define

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n| &= \det(v_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3,\dots,n} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & \dots & v_{1n} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} & \dots & v_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n1} & v_{n2} & \dots & v_{nn} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Plücker relation, we mean

$$(67) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^j \{ |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-1} \wedge v'_j| \\ & \quad \times |v'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v'_{j-1} \wedge v'_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v'_{n+1}| \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v'_1, \dots, v'_{n+1} \in V_\infty$ . (For detail see Date, Jimbo and Miwa [1], p.70.) Now, for  $v = {}^t(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots) \in V_\infty$ , we set  $v^+ = {}^t(v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots)$ . For positive integers  $n, m \leq n$ , we define

$$(68) \quad \Sigma_m^n = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbf{Z}^m \mid 1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_m \leq n\}.$$

For positive integers  $n, m, \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \Sigma_m^n$  and  $v_i \in V_\infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we give  $D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  by

$$(69) \quad D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \left| v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\lambda_1}^+ \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\lambda_2}^+ \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\lambda_m}^+ \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \right|.$$

We then have

$$(70) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_m^n} D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (-1)^m |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \wedge e_{n+1-m}|.$$

We here give our original proof. H. Kawamuko taught us another simple proof of (70). See the appendix in this paper for detail.

First, we prove the equation (70) for  $m = 1$  by mathematical induction on  $n$ . As we can see  $|v_1^+| = (-1)|v_1 \wedge e_1|$ . The equation (70) holds for  $m = n = 1$ . Suppose that the equation (70) is verified for  $m = 1$  and  $n \leq N - 1$ . We shall prove the equation (70) for  $m = 1, n = N$ . From the Laplace expansion of  $N$ -th row vector, for a positive integer  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , we have

$$(71) \quad D_{(j)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{N+k} v_{Nk} D_{(j-1)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N) + (-1)^{N+j} v_{n+1-j} |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j-1} \wedge v_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_N| + \sum_{k=j+1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{Nk} D_{(j)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N).$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (72) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^N D_{(j)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{Nk} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} D_{(j)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{N+1-k} |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge v_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_N|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypotheses, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (73) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{Nk} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} D_{(j)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k+1} v_{Nk} |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge v_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_N \wedge e_{N-1}| \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
 (74) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{N+1-k} |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge v_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_N| \\
 &= (-1) |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_N \wedge e_N|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (73), (74) with (72), we have

$$(75) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N D_{(j)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) = (-1) |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_N \wedge e_N|.$$

We have verified the equation (70) for  $m = 1, n = N$  and hence obtained the equation (70) for  $m = 1$  by mathematical induction on  $n$ .

We shall prove the equation (70) for a positive integer  $m \leq n$ . Suppose that the equation (70) is verified for  $n \leq N - 1$  and for  $m \leq M - 1, n = N$ . We have to prove the equation (70) for  $m = M, n = N$ . By the Laplace expansion of  $N$ -th row vector and induction hypotheses, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (76) \quad & \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_M^N} D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{Nk} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_M^{N-1}} D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k} v_{N+1 k} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{M-1}^{N-1}} D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k+M} v_{Nk} |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge v_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_N \wedge e_{N-M}| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{N+k+M-1} v_{N+1 k} \\
 &\quad \quad \times |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge v_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_N \wedge e_{N+1-M}| \\
 &= (-1)^M |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_N \wedge e_{N+1-M}|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have verified the equation (70) for  $m = M, n = N$  and hence obtained the equation (70) by mathematical induction.

By the elementary Schur polynomial, we mean, for a non-negative integer  $n$ ,

$$(77) \quad S_n = \sum_{\substack{l_1 \geq 0, l_2 \geq 0, \dots, l_n \geq 0 \\ l_1 + 2l_2 + \dots + nl_n = n}} \frac{t_1^{l_1} t_2^{l_2} \dots t_n^{l_n}}{(l_1!)(l_2!) \dots (l_n!)}$$

so that

$$(78) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i x^i\right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty S_n x^n.$$

For a negative integer  $n$ , we define  $S_n = 0$ . Now, for a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$ , we define the Schur polynomial  $\chi_\lambda$  by

$$(79) \quad \chi_\lambda = \det(S_{j-i+\lambda_{k-j+1}})_{i,j=1,2,\dots,k}.$$

Let  $\bar{S}_n = {}^t(S_n, S_{n-1}, S_{n-2}, \dots)$ . Here we note

$$(80) \quad \chi_\lambda = |\bar{S}_{\lambda_k} \wedge \bar{S}_{1+\lambda_{k-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{S}_{k-1+\lambda_1}|.$$

For example, we have

$$\chi_{(4,3,3,1,1)} = |\overline{S}_1 \wedge \overline{S}_2 \wedge \overline{S}_5 \wedge \overline{S}_6 \wedge \overline{S}_8| = \det \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_5 & S_6 & S_8 \\ 1 & S_1 & S_4 & S_5 & S_7 \\ 0 & 1 & S_3 & S_4 & S_6 \\ 0 & 0 & S_2 & S_3 & S_5 \\ 0 & 0 & S_1 & S_2 & S_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $T_n = S_n(t, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , we note from (77) and the differential of the variable  $x$  of (78)

$$(81) \quad \frac{d}{dt}T_n(t) = T_{n-1}(t),$$

$$(82) \quad nT_n(t) = tT_{n-1}(t) + 3T_{n-3}(t).$$

For a positive integer  $n$ , we define  $\chi_n$  by

$$\chi_n = \chi_{(n,n-1,\dots,1)}(t, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots) = \det \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_3 & T_5 & \cdots & T_{2n-1} \\ 1 & T_2 & T_4 & \cdots & T_{2n-2} \\ 0 & T_1 & T_3 & \cdots & T_{2n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & T_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and define  $\chi_0 = 1$ . Setting  $\overline{T}_n = {}^t(T_n, T_{n-1}, T_{n-2}, \dots)$ , we have for a positive integer  $n$

$$(83) \quad \chi_n = |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1}|.$$

Here we prove the following

PROPOSITION 3. For a positive integer  $n$ ,  $\chi_n(t)$  satisfy the following relations

$$(84) \quad (2n + 1)\chi_{n-1}\chi_{n+1} = t(\chi_n)^2 + 3 \left\{ \chi_n \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi_n \right) - \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_n \right)^2 \right\},$$

$$(85) \quad \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi_{n+1} \right) \chi_n - 2 \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_{n+1} \right) \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_n \right) + \chi_{n+1} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi_n \right) = 0,$$

$$(86) \quad \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_{n+1} \right) \chi_{n-1} - \chi_{n+1} \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_{n-1} \right) = (\chi_n)^2.$$



*Proof.* As we have  $\chi_0 = 1$ ,  $\chi_1 = t$  and  $\chi_2 = \frac{1}{3}t^3 - 1$ . So Proposition 3 holds for  $n = 1$ . Hence we have to prove Proposition 3 for  $n \geq 2$ .

First, we shall prove the equation (84) for  $n \geq 2$ . Using the Plücker relation for  $\overline{T}_1, \overline{T}_3, \dots, \overline{T}_{2n-3}, e_n$  and  $\overline{T}_1, \overline{T}_3, \dots, \overline{T}_{2n+1}, e_{n+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (87) \quad & \chi_{n-1}(t)\chi_{n+1}(t) \\
 &= |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge e_n \wedge e_{n+1}| |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1}| \\
 &= -|\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge e_n \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1}| \\
 &\quad \times |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_{n+1}| \\
 &+ |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge e_n \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1}| |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n+1}| \\
 &= |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n| \\
 &\quad \times |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_{n+1}| \\
 &- |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_n| |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n+1}|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we set

$$(88) \quad \psi_n(t) = |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1}|.$$

By (81), we note

$$(89) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \overline{T}_n = (\overline{T}_n)^+,$$

for an integer  $n$ . By (89) and (70), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (90) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \chi_n(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge (\overline{T}_{2i-1})^+ \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1}| \\
 &= -|\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n|,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (91) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \psi_n(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge (\overline{T}_{2i-1})^+ \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1}| \\
 &\quad + |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge (\overline{T}_{2n+1})^+| \\
 &= -|\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_n|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (70), (89), (90), we note

$$\begin{aligned}
 (92) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi_n(t) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge (\overline{T}_{2i-1})^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n \right| \\
 &= \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n \wedge e_{n+1} \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n-1} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (93) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi(t) &= 2 \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_2^n} D_\lambda(\overline{T}_1, \overline{T}_3, \dots, \overline{T}_{2n-1}) \\
 &= 2 \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n-1} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (92) and (93), we can see

$$(94) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi(t) = 2 \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n \wedge e_{n+1} \right|.$$

Now, combining (87) with (90) and (91), we have

$$(95) \quad \chi_{n-1}(t)\chi_{n+1}(t) = \chi_n(t) \left( \frac{d}{dt}\psi_n(t) \right) - \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_n(t) \right) \psi_n(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (96) \quad &(2n + 1)\chi_{n-1}(t)\chi_{n+1}(t) - t(\chi_n(t))^2 \\
 &= \chi_n(t) \left\{ (2n + 1) \left( \frac{d}{dt}\psi_n(t) \right) - t\chi_n(t) \right\} - \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_n(t) \right) \{(2n + 1)\psi_n(t)\} \\
 &= \chi_n(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (2n + 1)\psi_n(t) - \frac{t^2}{2}\chi_n(t) \right\} \\
 &\quad - \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_n(t) \right) \left\{ (2n + 1)\psi_n(t) - \frac{t^2}{2}\chi_n(t) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the recurrence relation (84), we show the following

LEMMA 3. For an integer  $n \geq 2$  and an integer  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ , we have

$$(97) \quad -\frac{t^2}{2} |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_i| + t |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{i+1}| \\ + (i + 1) |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{i+2}| - 3 \frac{d}{dt} |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_i| \\ + (2n + 1) |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \bar{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_i| = 0.$$

In particular, for  $i = n + 1$ , we have

$$(98) \quad -\frac{t^2}{2} \chi_n(t) + (2n + 1) \psi_n(t) = 3 \frac{d}{dt} \chi_n(t).$$

(Further see Noumi and Yamada [5], p.65, Lemma 3.)

*Proof.* Let  $y_n(i)$  be the left-hand side of the equation (97). Now, for  $n \geq 2, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ , noting

$$(99) \quad |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge {}^t(tT_{2i}, T_{2i}, 0, 0, \dots)| = 0$$

by  $\bar{T}_1 = {}^t(t, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , we have

$$(100) \quad (y_n(1), y_n(2), \dots, y_n(n + 1)) {}^t(T_{2i-1}, T_{2i-2}, \dots, T_{2i-n-1}) \\ = -\frac{t^2}{2} |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i-1}| + t |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i}| \\ + |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge [\text{diag}(0, 1, 2, \dots) \bar{T}_{2i+1}]| \\ - 3 \frac{d}{dt} |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i-1}| + 3 |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i-2}| \\ + (2n + 1) |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \bar{T}_{2n+1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i-1}| \\ = |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge [\text{diag}(2i + 1, 2i, \dots) \bar{T}_{2i+1}]| \\ - 3 |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i-2}| \\ + |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge [\text{diag}(0, 1, \dots) \bar{T}_{2i+1}]| \\ + 3 |\bar{T}_1 \wedge \bar{T}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{T}_{2i-2}|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +(2n + 1) \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge \overline{T}_{2i-1} \right| \\
 = & (2i + 1) \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge \overline{T}_{2i+1} \right| \\
 & +(2n + 1) \left| \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-3} \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge \overline{T}_{2i-1} \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{diag}(i_1, i_2, \dots)$  is a diagonal matrix defined by

$$\text{diag}(i_1, i_2, \dots) = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & i_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We prove Lemma 3 by induction on  $n$ .

As we have by the equation (100)  $(y_2(1), y_2(2), y_2(3)) {}^t(T_1, T_0, 0) = 0$  and  $(y_2(1), y_2(2), y_2(3)) {}^t(T_3, T_2, T_1) = 0$ . Moreover we can show

$$(101) \quad y_2(3) = -\frac{1}{2}t^2 |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3| + 5 |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_5| - 3 \frac{d}{dt} |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3| = 0.$$

Hence we have  $y_2(1) = y_2(2) = y_2(3) = 0$  and verified that Lemma 3 holds for  $n = 2$ . Suppose that Lemma 3 is proved for  $2 \leq n \leq N - 1$ . We shall prove Lemma 3 for  $n = N$ . Using the Laplace expansion of the  $N$ -th column vector of  $y_N(N + 1)$  and the equation (82), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (102) \quad & y_N(N + 1) \\
 & = (y_{N-1}(1), y_{N-1}(2), \dots, y_{N-1}(N)) {}^t(T_{2N-1}, T_{2N-2}, \dots, T_N).
 \end{aligned}$$

We hence obtain  $y_N(N + 1) = 0$  by the induction hypothesis. Moreover, by the equation (100), for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , we have

$$(103) \quad (y_N(1), y_N(2), \dots, y_N(N + 1)) {}^t(T_{2i-1}, T_{2i-2}, \dots, T_{2i-N-1}) = 0.$$

Consequently, we have  $y_N(i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1$ , which proved Lemma 3 for  $n = N$ . We hence obtain Lemma 3 by induction on  $n$ .

Combining Lemma 3 with (96), we have the recurrence relation (84).

Next, we shall prove the equation (86) for  $n \geq 2$ . Using the Plücker relation and the equation (70), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (104) \quad & \left( \frac{d}{dt} \chi_{n+1}(t) \right) \chi_{n-1}(t) \\
 &= - |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_{n+1}| |e_1 \wedge \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n+2}| \\
 &= - |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_{n+2}| |e_1 \wedge \overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n+1}| \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_1| \\
 &\quad \quad \times |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n+1} \wedge e_{n+2}| \\
 &= \chi_{n+1}(t) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \chi_{n-1}(t) \right) + \chi_n(t)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall prove the equation (85) for  $n \geq 2$ . Using the Plücker relation and (90), (94), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (105) \quad & \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \chi_{n+1}(t) \right) \chi_n(t) \\
 &= 2 |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_n| |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_{n+1} \wedge e_{n+2}| \\
 &= 2 |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_{n+1}| |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n \wedge e_{n+2}| \\
 &\quad - 2 |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n+1} \wedge e_{n+2}| |\overline{T}_1 \wedge \overline{T}_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{T}_{2n-1} \wedge e_n \wedge e_{n+1}| \\
 &= 2 \left( \frac{d}{dt} \chi_{n+1}(t) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \chi_n(t) \right) - \chi_{n+1}(t) \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \chi_n(t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have completed a proof of Proposition 3.

Using Proposition 3, we shall verify Theorem 2, the Hirota bilinear relation and the relation (24). Let  $a = (-3/4)^{1/3}$ . For a non-negative integer  $n$ , we set

$$(106) \quad b_n = a^{-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}},$$

$$(107) \quad c_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (2k - 1)!!$$

$$(108) \quad Q_n(u) = b_n c_n \chi_n(u), \quad u = at.$$

Then we see

$$(109) \quad b_{n+1}b_{n-1} = \frac{1}{a}b_n^2,$$

$$(110) \quad c_{n+1}c_{n-1} = (2n + 1)c_n^2,$$

for a positive integer  $n$ . We have  $Q_0(u) = P_0(t) = 1$  and  $Q_1(u) = P_1(t) = t$ . Now, by (84), (109) and (110), for a positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$(111) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q_{n+1}(u)Q_{n-1}(u) \\ &= b_{n+1}b_{n-1}c_{n+1}c_{n-1}\chi_{n+1}(u)\chi_{n-1}(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{a}b_n^2c_n^2 \left[ u(\chi_n(u))^2 + 3 \left\{ \chi_n(u) \left( \frac{d^2}{du^2}\chi_n(u) \right) - \left( \frac{d}{du}\chi_n(u) \right)^2 \right\} \right] \\ &= b_n^2c_n^2 \left[ t(\chi_n(u))^2 + \frac{3}{a^3} \left\{ \chi_n(u) \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\chi_n(u) \right) - \left( \frac{d}{dt}\chi_n(u) \right)^2 \right\} \right] \\ &= tQ_n(u) - 4 \left\{ Q_n(u) \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}Q_n(u) \right) - \left( \frac{d}{dt}Q_n(u) \right)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is just equal to the recurrence relation (1). From the uniqueness of recurrence relation, we hence conclude  $P_n(t) = Q_n(u)$  for a non-negative integer  $n$  which is Theorem 2.

By (85), for a positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$(112) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}Q_{n+1}(u) \right) Q_n(u) - 2 \left( \frac{d}{dt}Q_{n+1}(u) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dt}Q_n(u) \right) \\ &+ Q_{n+1}(u) \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2}Q_n(u) \right) \\ &= a^2b_{n+1}b_nc_{n+1}c_n \left\{ \left( \frac{d^2}{du^2}\chi_{n+1}(u) \right) \chi_n(u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \left( \frac{d}{du}\chi_{n+1}(u) \right) \left( \frac{d}{du}\chi_n(u) \right) + \chi_{n+1}(u) \left( \frac{d^2}{du^2}\chi_n(u) \right) \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (86), (109) and (110), for a positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (113) \quad & \left(\frac{d}{dt}Q_{n+1}(u)\right) Q_{n-1}(u) - Q_{n+1}(u) \left(\frac{d}{dt}Q_{n-1}(u)\right) \\
 &= b_{b+1}b_{n-1}c_{n+1}c_{n-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{d}{dt}\chi_{n+1}(u)\right) \chi_{n-1}(u) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \chi_{n+1}(u) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\chi_{n-1}(u)\right) \right\} \\
 &= (2n + 1)b_n^2c_n^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{d}{du}\chi_{n+1}(u)\right) \chi_{n-1}(u) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \chi_{n+1}(u) \left(\frac{d}{du}\chi_{n-1}(u)\right) \right\} \\
 &= (2n + 1) (Q_n(u))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We hence have verified (23) and (24).

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Professors H. Umemura and M. Kohno for many discussions and advices. The author also expresses his gratitude to Professor H. Kawamuko, who not only allowed him to adopt his proof of Proposition 2 but only also wrote Appendix.

**Appendix** by Hiroyuki Kawamuko

We give a simple proof of equality (70). Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $x$  be a variable. We set  $X_n = {}^t(x^n, x^{n-1}, x^{n-2}, \dots)$ . For a  $v_i = {}^t(v_{1i}, v_{2i}, v_{3i}, \dots) \in V_\infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 (114) \quad & K(x) = |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \wedge X_n| \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} & \dots & v_{1n} & x^n \\ v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} & \dots & v_{2n} & x^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{n1} & v_{n2} & v_{n3} & \dots & v_{nn} & x \\ v_{n+1\ 1} & v_{n+1\ 2} & v_{n+1\ 3} & \dots & v_{n+1\ n} & 1 \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(115) \quad K(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \wedge e_{n+1-m}| x^m.$$

On the other hand, we have

(116)

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(x) &= \begin{vmatrix} v_{11} - xv_{21} & v_{12} - xv_{22} & \dots & v_{1n} - xv_{2n} & 0 \\ v_{21} - xv_{31} & v_{22} - xv_{32} & \dots & v_{2n} - xv_{3n} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{n1} - xv_{n+1\ 1} & v_{n2} - xv_{n+1\ 2} & \dots & v_{nn} - xv_{n+1\ n} & 0 \\ v_{n+1\ 1} & v_{n+1\ 2} & \dots & v_{n+1\ n} & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^n \begin{vmatrix} xv_{21} - v_{11} & xv_{22} - v_{12} & \dots & xv_{2n} - v_{1n} \\ xv_{31} - v_{21} & xv_{32} - v_{22} & \dots & xv_{3n} - v_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ xv_{n+1\ 1} - v_{n1} & xv_{n+1\ 2} - v_{n2} & \dots & xv_{n+1\ n} - v_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^n \left| (xv_1^+ - v_1) \wedge (xv_2^+ - v_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (xv_n^+ - v_n) \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

by multilinearity of determinant

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_n^m} (-1)^m D_\lambda(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) x^m.$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $x^m$  of (115), (116), we get (70).

REFERENCES

[1] Date, E., Jimbo, M. and Miwa, T., Soriton no suri, Iwanamikouza, Ouyou sugaku (in Japanese), Iwanami.  
 [2] Fukutani, S., Okamoto, K. and Umemura, H., *Special polynomials associated with the rational solutions of the second and fourth Painlevé equations*, Nagoya Math. J., **159** (2000), 179–200.  
 [3] Kajiwara, K. and Ohta, Y., *Determinant structure of the rational solutions for the Painlevé II equation*, J. Math. Phys., **37** (1996), 4693–4704.  
 [4] Noumi, M. and Yamada, Y., *Symmetries in the fourth Painlevé equation and the Okamoto polynomials*, Preprint.  
 [5] ———, *Umemura polynomials for the Painlevé V equation*, Physics Letters, **A 247** (1998), 65–69.  
 [6] Okamoto, K., *Studies on the Painlevé equations III*, Math. Ann., **275** (1986), 221–255.  
 [7] Umemura, H., *Painlevé equations and classical functions*, Sugaku (in Japanese), **47** (1996), 341–359.



- [8] ———. Special Polynomials associated with the Painlevé equation, I, *Proceedings of workshop on the Painlevé equations (edited by Winternitz), Montreal, (1996)*.
- [9] Umemura, H. and Watanabe, H., *Solutions of the second and fourth Painlevé equations*, Nagoya Math J., **151** (1998), 1–24.

tane@rc4.so-net.ne.jp