Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences

cambridge.org/eps

Editorial

Cite this article: Barbato A *et al* (2022). The Italian consensus conference on psychological therapies for anxiety and depressive disorders: findings and recommendations. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **31**, e89, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796022000713

Received: 14 August 2022 Revised: 29 October 2022 Accepted: 17 November 2022

Kev words:

Common mental disorders; community mental health; evidence-based psychiatry; psychotherapy

Author for correspondence:

Angelo Barbato,

E-mail: angelo.barbato@marionegri.it

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



The Italian consensus conference on psychological therapies for anxiety and depressive disorders: findings and recommendations

Angelo Barbato¹, Gioia Bottesi², Massimo Biondi³, Mariangela Corbo⁴, Giovanni de Girolamo⁵, Gerardo Favaretto⁶, Silvio Garattini¹, Paolo Migone⁷, Paolo Moderato⁸, Emiliano Monzani⁹, Franco Veltro⁴ and Ezio Sanavio²

¹IRCCS Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri, Milano, Italy; ²Department of General Psychology, Università degli Studi di Padova, Padova, Italy; ³Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy; ⁴Department of Mental Health, ASREM, Campobasso, Italy; ⁵IRCCS Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy; ⁶Azienda ULSS n.2, Treviso, Italy; ⁷Editor, Psicoterapia e Scienze Umane, Parma, Italy; ⁸Department of Marketing, Behavior, Communication 'G.P. Fabris', Università IULM, Milano, Italy and ⁹ASST di Bergamo Ovest, Bergamo, Italy

Abstract

A Consensus Conference of clinicians, researchers, public health specialists and users was convened in Italy to review efficacy, effectiveness, treatment appropriateness and access to care for anxiety and depression, and to consider the role of psychological therapies. Expert opinion was sought concerning identification of people requiring psychological therapies according to levels of symptom severity matched to corresponding levels of treatment intensity, suitability of psychological therapies for subclinical anxiety or depression, definition of a minimum level of information on evidence-based psychotherapies to be provided by university medical and psychology courses, initiatives to raise awareness among potential users and decision makers on the role and effectiveness of psychological therapies in healthcare. The expert jury concluded that a number of psychological therapy models endorsed by most authoritative guidelines are supported by research showing their effectiveness at least equal to the drugs used in common mental disorders (CMDs). Such therapies are under-represented in the Italian public health system, leading many people to resort to the private sector, resulting in unacceptable wealth discrimination. The difficulty of accessing psychological treatments often entails the use of drug therapies in cases where they are not indicated. Starting from these assumptions, the experts recommended the promotion of better and timely recognition of anxiety and depressive disorders and their classification in terms of symptom intensity and functional impairment, differentiating subthreshold mood swings from clinical forms, to foster outcome studies of psychotherapies in CMDs in Italy, to introduce a stepped care model structured according to levels of intensity of treatment, based on wellbeing support strategies in nonmedical contexts for subthreshold situations, self-help, support and psychoeducation as frontline interventions in mild clinical forms, evidence-based psychotherapies in moderate and severe forms, with the option of combining psychological treatment and appropriate drug therapy in the most severe cases.

Psychological therapies for anxiety and depressive disorders: where do we stand?

Anxiety and depression are common mental disorders (CMDs). In the Italian website of the World Mental Health Survey Initiative (de Girolamo et al., 2006), a representative random sample of non-institutionalised Italian citizens aged 18 or older (N= 4712) was interviewed using Version 3.0 of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI): 11% of respondents reported a lifetime history of some sort of mood disorder (excluding bipolar disorders) and 10.3% of anxiety disorder. Antidepressant drugs and psychotherapies are considered the mainstay of evidence-based treatment of depression by all guidelines. Both drugs and psychotherapies are effective in reducing depressive symptoms, although high placebo response rates of around 30% are well documented and effect sizes achieved by both treatments in comparison with placebo or usual care are quite small, clustering around 0.30 (Cuijpers et al., 2014; Jakobsen et al., 2017; Leichsenring et al., 2022). When directly compared, psychological and pharmacological treatments do not show any short-term differences (Cuijpers et al., 2013). Small, clinically not relevant, differences have been found among different antidepressant drugs (Jakobsen et al., 2017) and different types of psychological interventions (Cuijpers et al., 2021), including cognitive-behavioural, psychodynamic, problem-solving and

2 Angelo Barbato *et al.*

interpersonal approaches. Clear-cut evidence of efficacy for any treatment is limited to depression with severe symptoms, when antidepressant medications are often considered the mainstay of treatment (Weitz et al., 2015). Some data suggest that combination of psychotherapy and drug therapy might be better than both treatments alone (Cuijpers et al., 2020). The available data do not support the use of drug therapy in mild and subthreshold depression, in which low intensity psychosocial support, as well as a number of non-conventional treatments (such as intensive physical activity) have proved to be effective (Gartlehner et al., 2016; Klein et al., 2016; Pearce et al., 2022). Some evidence also suggests that psychological treatments might be more effective than drugs in preventing depression relapse or recurrence in the long term (Bockting et al., 2015).

Overall, the previous considerations are valid for anxiety disorders (Carl *et al.*, 2020), especially considering that CMDs are experienced as dimensional phenomena and comorbid anxious and depressive problems are usual in clinical practice. People with mental disorders consistently report that talking therapies are preferred over drugs (McHugh *et al.*, 2013).

Complaints related to anxiety and depressive symptoms are an important driver for help-seeking behaviour, and primary care should be a key setting for their treatment, since they rank among the most common problems presented by primary care adult patients in Italy (Mazzoleni *et al.*, 2011). However, when people with CMDs seek help, their distress is often recognised only when moderate or severe; in any case, the treatment is mostly focused on antidepressant and/or anti-anxiety drugs (Balestrieri *et al.*, 2004), even in people with mild depression (Demyttenaere *et al.*, 2008). Prescriptions of antidepressant drugs in Italy have been rising steadily in the past twenty years, reaching 44.6 DDD/1000 population in 2021 (Osservatorio Nazionale sull'Impiego dei Medicinali, 2022). There are indications of inappropriate antidepressant use (Lunghi *et al.*, 2020).

Some international studies also showed a reduction of social and health costs in samples of individuals with depression treated with psychotherapy (Fournier *et al.*, 2015; Gajic-Veljanoski *et al.*, 2018). Even a modest increase (10%) in recovery rates would cover the costs of increased access to psychological therapies, as occurred in Britain: *Improving Access to Psychological Therapies* (IAPT) programme (Clark, 2018).

Mental health care and psychotherapy in Italy

Italy has been widely known internationally in the area of mental health for the 'Law 180' approved in 1978, which brought about radical changes in mental health care. Italy's 'Law 180' approved in 1978 and widely recognised in international mental health circles, brought about radical changes in mental health care. The 80 mental hospitals active in 1978 were shut down in only a few years, and a comprehensive system of mental health services was developed: in 2020 (last official data) there were 134 Departments of Mental Health providing care to 728 338 citizens suffering from different types of mental disorders (Ministero della Salute, 2021). In contradiction to the radical orientation of Law 180, no provision was made for the training of professionals who carry the main burden of responsibility of implementing the general principles underlying the reform. Neither residency programmes for psychiatrists nor university training for clinical psychologists has changed significantly over the years, and this has a marked impact on the quality of mental health care, as shown by several studies published in the last four decades

(Volpe et al., 2014). In addition, several surveys found that psychosocial treatments, including formal psychotherapies, are not widely available and are underused for the treatment of patients who may be in need of them (Barbato et al., 2016).

In 1989 a new law established a number of conditions which have to be met to be licensed as a psychotherapist. It established that professionals aiming to be licensed have to attend a 4-year postgraduate school (paid privately, since publicly funded university schools are very rare) recognised by the Ministry of University and Research (MIUR).

The same law established that the residency training programmes in Psychiatry and Child and Adolescent Psychiatry also automatically lead to the license of 'psychotherapist'.

There are currently 389 official schools providing training in psychotherapy; the criteria for the recognition of these schools, for the content of the teaching syllabus, and for the qualification of teachers are mostly formal (e.g. number of hours of teaching). These schools embrace all kinds of ill-defined theoretical orientations, without regard to the evidence base of research on psychotherapy models (Migone, 2020).

According to the Italian Board of Psychology, in 2020 in Italy there were as many as 117 762 psychologists (Consiglio Nazionale Ordine Psicologi, www.psy.it/dati-statistici). It is estimated that there are more than 50 000 licensed psychotherapists; most of them work in private practice, which makes it extremely difficult to access reliable data about their clinical activities, in terms of number of people in treatment, prevailing diagnostic profiles, treatment outcomes, etc. For these practitioners further education is not formally required, although both psychiatrists and psychologists are required to obtain annual CME credits for attending relevant courses.

While there are regulatory agencies (e.g. EMA, FDA, AIFA) controlling the availability of medications and medical devices as well as the conditions for their use, regulatory agencies active in the area of psychotherapy do not exist. This is a critical issue, since the practice of psychotherapy falls under the same legal obligations (e.g. imprudence, inexperience and negligence) as any interventions targeted at people suffering from physical or mental disorders.

The development of the Consensus Conference

Such a complex scenario gave rise to the idea of a Consensus Conference at the end of the Congress entitled 'Psychological therapies for anxiety and depression: Costs and benefits', held in Padua in 2016. Participants approved a call for convening a National Consensus group to review extant psychological treatments for anxiety and depression and promote a set of recommendations aimed at improving training, availability, and delivery of psychological treatments for these disorders (Research Group for Treatment for Anxiety and Depression, 2017). A group of Italian psychiatrists and psychologists responded to this invitation and set up the Sponsoring Committee of this Consensus Conference, led by Ezio Sanavio, Professor of Clinical Psychology at the University of Padua.

The Sponsoring Committee started work in 2018 and appointed a Scientific Committee. The aims of the Scientific Committee were as follows: to gather evidence about efficacy and effectiveness of psychological therapies for anxiety and depression; to review their patterns of use in Italy; to identify the best ways to disseminate information about evidence-based treatments; and to make them accessible within the Italian

National Health Service (NHS). The Committee singled out a multidisciplinary group of experts, belonging to the fields of psychology, psychiatry, social work, general medicine, professional associations and members of users' associations. They were asked to answer a set of questions covering four broad areas: professional skills and training; efficacy and costeffectiveness; organisational models; dissemination and information. To perform this task, the experts formed four groups drafting several background papers, subsequently merged into a final document submitted by the Sponsoring Committee to an independent jury. This was requested to formulate recommendations based on a scrutiny of the overall report. The jury was made up of 19 members, including experts in health sciences, communication, education, law and social security. A total of 61 persons, covering different professional roles, participated in the work of the Conference over more than three years, from 2018 to 2021 (Sanavio, 2022). The full list of participants, the proceedings and the background papers are available on the website of the Italian National Institute of Health (Istituto Superiore di Sanità) (www.iss.it/documents/20126/0/Consensus_1_2022_EN.pdf).

Questions for the experts

The experts initially reviewed data about efficacy, effectiveness, appropriateness of treatment and access to care for anxiety and depression using international guidelines, paying special attention to the strategies aiming to reduce the number of untreated people needing care. Two further questions addressed the identification of people requiring psychological therapies according to levels of symptom severity, matched with corresponding levels of treatment intensity, and the suitability of psychological therapies for subclinical anxiety or depression. Additional questions focused on the training of professionals, including the definition of a minimum level of information on evidence-based psychotherapies provided by medical and psychology schools, the postgraduate training necessary for physicians and psychologists to be licensed for practising psychotherapy and, finally, recommendations for continuing medical education and professional development in the area of psychotherapy.

The various committees of the Consensus Conference examined the guidelines suggested by the main scientific organisations of the USA, United Kingdom and Australia., as described in the Final Document of the Consensus Conference (Working Group 'Consensus on Psychological Therapies for Anxiety and Depression', 2022), and reviewed also the main meta-analyses on the treatment of CMDs (anxiety and depression). Many forms of psychotherapy for depressive and anxiety disorders are empirically based, and purposely the Final Document did not specify in detail all forms and techniques of psychotherapy for the various depressive and anxiety disorders, but only some of them otherwise the document would have resulted too long. However, this is specified later in the sections on recommendations. The members of the various committees of the Consensus Conference were representative of different orientations, such as CBT, psychodynamic therapy, etc.

Report presented to the jury

The conditions identified as CMDs are largely heterogeneous, and the severity level plays an important role. It seems reasonable to consider anxiety and depression as dimensional phenomena with respect to which individual cases are positioned along a continuum of severity. The importance of severity assessment to improve treatment is highlighted by the discrepancy between the heterogeneity and complexity of the characteristics of people seeking help, and the prevailing pattern of pharmacotherapy in health settings. For instance, 80% or more of the cases with depression in primary care receive antidepressant drugs (Mazzoleni *et al.*, 2011).

To address this problem, the Scientific Committee recommended an approach based on levels of treatment intensity ('stepped care'). This requires that health professionals should not only detect anxiety and depression, but also assess their severity: to pursue this aim, widely used tools such as the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for depression and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale-7 (GAD-7) for anxiety, can be considered reliable and valid (Stochl et al., 2022). The severity assessment allows us to offer suitable interventions of varying intensity. The first level relies on guided self-help and low intensity psychosocial support, usually delivered in primary care. Upper levels imply referral to clinicians for structured individual, group or couple psychotherapies; if appropriate, they can be accompanied by other psychosocial interventions or drug treatment in specialist settings. Assessments based on levels of severity are useful when delivered in a context making use of resources allocated to psychological therapies in primary care, as happened in England with the IAPT programme (Muntingh et al., 2016).

Although official health policy in Italy aims at guaranteeing access and coverage of adequate treatment for mental disorders, a number of surveys show that most citizens with CMDs do not have access to psychotherapy, despite its inclusion in the core benefit package of interventions to be provided by the National Health Service (Di Cesare *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, among those who do have access, only a proportion receives treatments of proven efficacy. Therefore, given the limited availability of psychotherapies in the Italian NHS, many people requiring this type of intervention are driven to private (out-of-pocket) delivery of psychotherapy.

The Scientific Committee selected three reference guidelines for anxiety disorders: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (United Kingdom), 2020; American Psychiatric Association (USA), 2013; and American Psychological Association (USA), 2017. Five guidelines for depressive disorders were considered: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (United Kingdom), 2021; American Psychological Association (USA), 2017; American Academy of Pediatrics (USA), 2018; American College of Physicians (USA), 2016; and Orygen Youth Health Clinical Program (Australia), 2017. The Consensus Conference report states that these guidelines apply to the Italian health and social context, although some adaptation to the specific features of Italian health services are required. Moreover, professionals need to receive adequate training in the recommended psychotherapeutic techniques.

E-mental health and new frontiers of psychological treatments

The use of the new technologies to deliver psychological therapies was suggested as a tool to improve access to care for people with CMDs. Research on e-mental health has grown exponentially in recent years, especially due to the restrictions on face-to-face contacts during the COVID-19 pandemic. Research focusing on online assessment shows that screening and monitoring tools can be easily adapted to the online environment without

4 Angelo Barbato *et al.*

threatening their reliability. With respect to treatment, most efficacy studies of psychological therapies delivered online in CMDs have produced outcomes similar to those observed for treatments delivered in person (Vis *et al.*, 2018). However, as far as self-guided psychotherapy delivered via internet is concerned, some systematic reviews suggested that contradictory findings from some studies raise concerns about its benefits. Moreover, unguided internet psychotherapy shows limitations that should be addressed before it is introduced in routine care, such as dropout rates, small effects compared with face-to-face and guided internet interventions, and possible participant selection bias (Karyotaki *et al.*, 2021). Some limited Italian experiences confirm the pros and cons of these interventions (Favaretto and Zanalda, 2018).

In conclusion, a number of aspects of e-mental health, such as online self-assessments, text messaging and videoconferences can now be considered as standard practice in community mental care. Online structured psychotherapy is promising but needs further real-world research before it can be disseminated in everyday practice. In this area it is necessary to establish transparent rules and appropriate training for professionals willing to provide online therapy, and ethical concerns about fairness, access, privacy and informed consent should be addressed.

Dissemination and information

Official media (e.g. press, radio and TV) as well as social media (e.g. Facebook, blogs, etc.) have been devoting an increasing amount of space to mental health issues, and anxiety and depression, given their high prevalence, have become popular topics for media reporting and entertainment. Unfortunately, much of the information conveyed by the media is often not scientifically sound, and blurs scientific data with personal accounts, philosophical thoughts, etc., leading to severe difficulties in the proper acquisition of data, and limitations regarding any treatments.

Some final recommendations

The jury has produced a considerable set of recommendations; space limitations prevent us from providing a full list of these, and we therefore concentrate on a limited set of points which seem worthy of consideration in any discussion of the psychotherapy of depression and anxiety. There are three key points:

- (1) Not all psychological treatments can be recommended. However, some of them are endorsed by the most authoritative guidelines and a considerable body of research shows that they are at least as effective as medications used in CMDs. This refers to the cognitive-behavioural, interpersonal, problem-solving and psychodynamic approaches
- (2) Such psychological treatments are under-represented in the Italian NHS, obliging most people to turn to the private sector. Such an issue determines unacceptable wealth discrimination in access to health care; in addition, the private sector is often an uncontrolled area, which can create problems in cases of serious disorders.
- (3) Given the high incidence and prevalence of CMDs, the difficulty in accessing psychological treatments often entails the consequent use of medications, even when they do not represent first-line treatment.

Based on these assumptions, the jury formulated a number of recommendations: a selection is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Selection of recommendations of the 'Italian consensus conference on psychological treatments for anxiety and depression'

- Promote a better and timely recognition of anxiety and depressive disorders and a proper assessment of symptom intensity and functional impairment: the latter should guide the choice of treatment.
- Introduce a stepped care model, starting from wellbeing support strategies in non-medical settings for subthreshold situations; self-help, support and psychoeducation as frontline interventions in mild clinical forms; evidence-based psychotherapies in moderate and severe forms, with the option of combining psychological treatment and appropriate pharmacotherapy in the most severe cases.
- Access to the first level of stepped care in case of subclinical problems of anxiety and depression is solely recommended for people with a relevant physical or mental comorbidity, who have suffered from anxiety and depression in the past, especially if they are in a specific 'transitional' phase of their life, such as adolescence, old age, parenting in the perinatal period, and wherever there is a high risk of psychopathology or a serious drop in school, social and professional performance.
- Monitor the outcome of interventions both in public and private settings, to improve accountability and produce data to assess user satisfaction and therapeutic alliance, trying to evaluate the differences between efficacy (in research setting) and effectiveness (in real clinical practice) of psychological therapies.
- Investigate specific aspects of treatment relating to childhood and adolescence as fundamental developmental ages.
- Ensure homogeneity in treatment delivery across the country.
- Increase the number of state schools for the training in psychotherapy, and improve the training delivered to undergraduate students in the field of anxiety and depressive disorders.
- Demand that the Technical Advisory Committee for the Psychotherapy Schools of the Italian Ministry of Universities and Research set specific goals for training and internships aimed at improving the knowledge and use of evidence-based practices.

Conclusions

Psychological treatments for depression and anxiety play a key role in the overall listing of interventions aimed at reducing suffering and impairment in the psychosocial functioning of people with CMDs. Much has to be done in Italy to improve timely access to these treatments, to make sure that they are properly delivered, and to guarantee the accountability of the health professionals providing them.

References

Balestrieri M, Carta MG, Leonetti S, Sebastiani G, Starace F and Bellantuono C (2004) Recognition of depression and appropriateness of antidepressant treatment in Italian primary care. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 39, 171–176.

Barbato A, Vallarino M, Rapisarda F, Lora A, Parabiaghi A, D'Avanzo B and Lesage A (2016) Do people with bipolar disorders have access to psychosocial treatments? A survey in Italy. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry* 62, 334–344.

Bockting CL, Hollon SD, Jarrett RB, Kuyken W and Dobson K (2015) A lifetime approach to major depressive disorder: the contributions of psychological interventions in preventing relapse and recurrence. *Clinical Psychology Review* **41**, 16–26.

Carl E, Witcraft SM, Kauffman BY, Gillespie EM, Becker ES, Cuijpers P, Van Ameringen M, Smit J and Powers MB (2020) Psychological and pharmacological treatments for generalized anxiety disorder (GAD): a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy* 49, 1–21.

- Clark DM (2018) Realising the mass public benefit of evidence-based psychological therapies: the IAPT program. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology 14, 159–183.
- Cuijpers P, Sijbrandij M, Koole SL, Andersson G, Beekman AT and Reynolds CF III (2013) The efficacy of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy in treating depressive and anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis of direct comparisons. World Psychiatry 12, 137–148.
- Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Weitz E, Andersson G, Hollon SD and van Straten A (2014) The effects of psychotherapies for major depression in adults on remission, recovery and improvement: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 159, 118–126.
- Cuijpers P, Noma H, Karyotaki E, Vinkers CH, Cipriani A and Furukawa TA (2020) A network meta-analysis of the effects of psychotherapies, pharmacotherapies and their combination in the treatment of adult depression. *World Psychiatry* 19, 92–107.
- Cuijpers P, Quero S, Noma H, Ciharova M, Miguel C, Karyotaki E, Cipriani A, Cristea IA and Furukawa TA (2021) Psychotherapies for depression: a network meta-analysis covering efficacy, acceptability and long-term outcomes of all main treatment types. World Psychiatry 20, 283–293.
- de Girolamo G, Polidori G, Morosini P, Scarpino V, Reda V, Serra G, Mazzi F, Alonso J, Vilagut G, Visonà G, Falsirollo F, Rossi A and Warner R (2006) Prevalence of common mental disorders in Italy. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **41**, 853–861.
- Demyttenaere K, Bonnewyn A, Bruffaerts R, de Girolamo G, Gasquet I, Kovess V, Haro JM and Alonso J (2008) Clinical factors influencing the prescription of antidepressants and benzodiazepines: results from the European study of the epidemiology of mental disorders (ESEMeD). *Journal of Affective Disorders* 110, 84–93.
- Di Cesare M, Magliocchetti N, Romanelli M and Santori E (2021) Rapporto salute mentale. Analisi dei dati del Sistema Informativo per la Salute Mentale (SISM) anno 2019. Roma: Ministero della Salute.
- Favaretto G and Zanalda E (2018) Telepsychiatry in Italy: from premises to experiences. *Evidence-Based Psychiatric Care* 4, 25–32.
- Fournier JC, DeRubeis RJ, Amsterdam J, Shelton RC and Hollon SD (2015)
 Gains in employment status following antidepressant medication or cognitive therapy for depression. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **206**, 332–338.
- Gajic-Veljanoski O, Sanyal C, McMartin K, Xie X, Walter M, Higgins C, Sikich N, Dhalla I and Ng V (2018) Economic evaluations of commonly used structured psychotherapies for major depressive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder: a systematic review. Canadian Psychology 59, 301–310.
- Gartlehner G, Gaynes BN, Amick HR, Asher GN, Morgan LC, Coker-Schwimmer E, Forneris C, Boland E, Lux LJ, Gaylord S, Bann C, Pierl CB and Lohr KN (2016) Comparative benefits and harms of anti-depressant, psychological, complementary, and exercise treatments for major depression: an evidence report for a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Annals of Internal Medicine 164, 331–341.
- Jakobsen JC, Katakam KK, Schou A, Hellmuth SG, Stallknecht SE, Leth-Møller K, Iversen M, Banke MB, Iggianguaq JP, Keingenberg L, Krogh J, Ebert SE, Timm A, Lindschou J and Gluud C (2017) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors versus placebo in patients with major depressive disorder. A systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis. BMC Psychiatry 17, 1–28.
- Karyotaki E, Efthimiou O, Miguel C, Bermpohl FM, Furukawa TA, Cuijpers P and the Individual Patient Data Meta-Analyses for Depression (IPDMA-DE) Collaboration (2021) Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy for depression: a systematic review and individual patient data network meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 78, 361–371.
- Klein JP, Berger T, Schröder J, Späth C, Meyer B, Caspar F, Lutz W, Arndt A, Greiner W, Gräfe V, Hautzinger M, Fuhr K, Rose M, Nolte S, Löwe B, Andersson G, Vettorazzi E, Moritz S and Hohagen F (2016) Effects of a

- psychological internet intervention in the treatment of mild to moderate depressive symptoms: results of the EVIDENT study, a randomized controlled trial. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **85**, 218–228.
- **Leichsenring F, Steinert C, Rabung S and Ioannidis JPA** (2022) The efficacy of psychotherapies and pharmacotherapies for mental disorders in adults: an umbrella review and meta-analytic evaluation of recent meta-analyses. *World Psychiatry* **21**, 133–145.
- Lunghi C, Antonazzo IC, Burato S, Raschi E, Zoffoli V, Forcesi E, Sangiorgi E, Menchetti M, Roberge P and Poluzzi E (2020) Prevalence and determinants of long-term utilization of antidepressant drugs: a retrospective cohort study. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment* 16, 1157–1170.
- Mazzoleni F, Simonetti M, Lapi F, Pecchioli S, Trifirò G, Cricelli I and Mazzaglia G (2011) Uso degli antidepressivi in Italia: un'analisi nel contesto della Medicina Generale. Rivista della Società Italiana di Medicina Generale 5, 11–16.
- McHugh RK, Whitton SW, Peckham AD, Welge JA and Otto MW (2013)

 Patient preference for psychological vs. pharmacologic treatment of psychiatric disorders: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 74, 595–602.
- Migone P (2020) Psychodynamic therapy in the public sector in Italy: then and now. The opportunity of evidence-based practice in the birthplace of 'care-in-the-community'. *Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy* **34**, 147–162.
- Ministero della Salute (2021) Analisi dei dati dl Sistema Informativo per la Salute Mentale (SISM). Anno 2020. Rome: Ministero della Salute.
- Muntingh ADT, van der Feltz-Cornelis CM, van Marwijk HWJ, Spinhoven P and van Balkom AJ (2016) Collaborative care for anxiety disorders in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Family Practice* 17, 62.
- Osservatorio Nazionale sull'Impiego dei MMdicinali (OsMed) (2022) L'uso dei Farmaci in Italia. Rapporto Nazionale Anno 2021. Rome: Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco.
- Pearce M, Garcia L, Abbas A, Strain T, Barreto Schuch F, Golubic R, Kelly P, Khan S, Utukuri M, Laird Y, Mok A, Smith A, Tainio M, Brage S and Woodcock J (2022) Association between physical activity and risk of depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 79, 550–559.
- Research Group for Treatment for Anxiety and Depression (2017)
 Psychotherapies for anxiety and depression: benefits and costs. Research in Psychotherapy: Psychopathology, Process and Outcome 20, 131–135.
- Sanavio E (2022) A Consensus Conference on psychological therapies for anxiety and depressive disorders. Psicoterapia e Scienze Umane 56, 11–20
- Stochl J, Fried EI, Fritz J, Croudace TJ, Russo DA, Knight C, Jones PB and Perez J (2022) On dimensionality, measurement invariance, and suitability of sum scores for the PHQ-9 and the GAD-7. Assessment 29, 355–366.
- Vis C, Mol M, Kleiboer A, Bührmann L, Finch T, Smit J and Riper H (2018) Improving implementation of eMental health for mood disorders in routine practice: systematic review of barriers and facilitating factors. *JMIR Mental Health* 5, e9769.
- Volpe U, Luciano M, Palumbo C, Sampogna G, Del Vecchio V and Fiorillo A (2014) Risk of burnout among early career mental health professionals. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 21, 774–781.
- Weitz ES, Hollon SD, Twisk J, van Straten A, Huibers MJH, David D, DeRubeis RJ, Dimidjian S, Dunlop BW, Cristea IAA, Faramarzi M, Hegerl U, Jarrett RB, Kheirkhah F, Kennedy SH, Mergl R, Miranda J, Mohr DC, Rush AJ, Segal ZV, Siddique J, Simons AD, Vittengl JR and Cuijpers P (2015) JAMA Psychiatry 72, 1102-1109.
- Working Group 'Consensus on Psychological Therapies for Anxiety and Depression' (2022) Consensus Conference on Psychological Therapies for Anxiety and Depression. Final Document (Consensus ISS 1/2022 EN), Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome. Available at www.iss.it/documents/20126/0/Consensus_1_2022_EN.pdf.