

A REMARK ON FUJINO'S WORK ON THE CANONICAL BUNDLE FORMULA VIA PERIOD MAPS

HYUNSUK KIM 

Abstract. Fujino gave a proof for the semi-ampleness of the moduli part in the canonical bundle formula in the case when the general fibers are K3 surfaces or abelian varieties. We show a similar statement when the general fibers are primitive symplectic varieties. This answers a question of Fujino raised in the same article. Moreover, using the structure theory of varieties with trivial first Chern class, we reduce the question of semi-ampleness in the case of families of K -trivial varieties to a question when the general fibers satisfy a slightly weaker Calabi–Yau condition.

§1. Introduction

Starting from Kodaira's canonical bundle formula for minimal elliptic surfaces in [23], the canonical bundle formula has been extensively studied and widely generalized (e.g., by [1], [9], [10], [13], [21], [22], to list a few) and crucially used in higher-dimensional adjunction. Roughly speaking, the setup is the following. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism with connected fibers between normal projective varieties. Let Δ be a (nonnecessarily effective) \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X such that (X, Δ) is klt (or lc) and $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} 0$. Then the canonical bundle formula suggests to write

$$K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Y + B_Y + M_Y)$$

in an insightful way so that, roughly speaking, B_Y comes from the contribution of the singularity of the fibers, and M_Y comes from the (Hodge theoretic) variation of the general fiber. Moreover, once we have $f: X \rightarrow Y$, it makes sense to have the same formula for other birational models $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ over f so that \mathbf{B}_Y and \mathbf{M}_Y make sense as b -divisors. We call \mathbf{B}_Y the divisorial part and \mathbf{M}_Y the moduli part. After choosing a high enough model satisfying certain simple normal crossing assumptions, the moduli part commutes with generically finite base change and it is known to be nef [22]. In the following cases for the general fibers, this nef divisor is known to be semi-ample:

1. \mathbb{P}^1 : [21], [26, Th. 8.1];
2. Elliptic curves: [14], [23];
3. Surfaces with $\kappa(X_\eta) = 0$ or abelian varieties: [10];
4. Surfaces not isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 : [9];
5. \mathbb{P}^2 , and hence in relative dimension 2: [2, Th. 1.4].

A conjecture of Prokhorov and Shokurov [26, Conj. 7.13] predicts that this would always be the case. They suggest to compactify the space parametrizing the general fibers to a projective variety and show that the ample line bundle on the compactified moduli space pulls back to the moduli part.

Received July 5, 2024. Revised July 16, 2024. Accepted July 28, 2024.
2020 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 14C30, 14D07, 14E30, 14J27.
Keywords: canonical bundle formula, period maps, minimal model program.



Meanwhile, [9] gives an inductive approach to this conjecture by developing the canonical bundle formula and adjunction for generalized pairs. Using the techniques in the minimal model program, he shows that in order to verify the conjecture in relative dimension n , it is enough to verify the conjecture in relative dimension $< n$, and the following two extremal cases in relative dimension n :

1. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Mori fiber space, or
2. $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q},f} 0$, and Δ has no horizontal divisors.

We concentrate on special situations in the second extremal case, when Δ has no horizontal divisors. Indeed, the cases when the general fibers are K3 or abelian varieties in [10] fit into this framework. Fujino observes that the semi-ampleness in this situation reduces to a purely Hodge theoretic result, namely, by looking at the Baily–Borel compactification of the period domain, we get semi-ampleness. He raises the question whether one can use the same strategy when the fiber is a holomorphic symplectic variety. We provide an affirmative answer.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, with X and Y projective normal varieties. Suppose that the general fiber is a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2m$ (see Definition 2.12). Then there exists a vertical divisor Δ on X such that $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration. The moduli part \mathbf{M}_Y does not depend on Δ and it is b -semi-ample.*

We use the same idea as in [10], namely, we consider the Baily–Borel compactification of the parametrizing space of weight 2 Hodge structures. The other input is to relate the second cohomology and the middle cohomology of the general fibers. While it is hard to determine the entire middle cohomology of the fiber, the existence of a generically nondegenerate two form is strong enough for our purpose since we are only interested in the variation of the lowest piece of the Hodge filtration.

We can combine this result with the structure theory of klt varieties with trivial first Chern class, and reduce the semi-ampleness question to the case when the general fibers satisfy a weaker Calabi–Yau condition. First, we recall the statement of the conjecture of Prokhorov and Shokurov.

CONJECTURE 1.2 [26, Conj. 7.13]. *Let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ be an lc-trivial fibration. Then:*

1. \mathbf{M}_Y is b -semi-ample.
2. Let X_η be the generic fiber of f . Then there exists a positive integer I_0 depending only on the dimension of the generic fiber and the coefficients of the horizontal part Δ^h such that $I_0(K_{X_\eta} + \Delta_\eta) \sim 0$.
3. \mathbf{M}_Y is effectively b -semi-ample, that is, there exists a positive integer I_1 depending only on the dimension of the generic fiber and the coefficients of Δ^h such that $I_1\mathbf{M}_Y$ is b -free.

We present two subconjectures of the first part of [26, Conj. 7.13] in our setting.

CONJECTURE 1.3. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, with X and Y normal projective varieties. Consider a pair (X, Δ) such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q},f} 0$ and Δ has no horizontal divisors. Suppose that the general fiber of f*

1. *is a Calabi–Yau manifold, or*
2. *is a pre-CY variety (see Definition 2.11).*

Then \mathbf{M}_Y is b -semi-ample.

CONJECTURE 1.4. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space as before. Consider the pair (X, Δ) such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} 0$ and Δ has no horizontal divisors. Suppose that the general fiber*

1. *is smooth, or*
2. *has klt singularities.*

Then \mathbf{M}_Y is b -semi-ample.

We show the following result.

THEOREM 1.5. *Conjecture 1.3(1) (resp. (2)) in relative dimension $\leq n$ implies Conjecture 1.4(1) (resp. (2)) in relative dimension n .*

Therefore, we reduce the b -semi-ampleness question for K -trivial fibrations to the (pre)-CY case.

§2. Preliminaries

2.1 The canonical bundle formula

We discuss the canonical bundle formula and the behavior of the moduli part under various operations, mainly following [24]. Before that, we collect some notation and terminology for algebraic fiber spaces.

Notation and terminology.

1. An *algebraic fiber space* is a projective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between normal projective varieties with connected fibers. We put $\dim f = \dim X - \dim Y$ for the *relative dimension* of the algebraic fiber space.
2. For a \mathbb{Q} -divisor B on a normal algebraic variety X , we write $B = B_+ - B_-$ where B_+ and B_- are effective divisors sharing no irreducible components. We call B_+ (resp. B_-) the *positive* (resp. *negative*) part of B .
3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, and let B be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . We write $B = B^h + B^v$ where the irreducible components of $\text{supp}(B^h)$ are exactly the irreducible components of B that map onto Y . We call B^h (resp. B^v) the *horizontal* (resp. *vertical*) part of B . We say B is *horizontal* (resp. *vertical*) if $B = B^h$ (resp. $B = B^v$).
4. [1] We say $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ is an *lc-trivial fibration* if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an algebraic fiber space such that
 - (a) $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} 0$;
 - (b) (X, Δ) is klt over the generic point of Y ;
 - (c) if $\pi: (X', \Delta') \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ is a log resolution, then $h^0(F', [\Delta'_-|_F]) = 1$, where F' is a general fiber of $f \circ \pi: X' \rightarrow Y$.¹
5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, and let $\mu: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a projective surjective morphism from a normal projective variety Y' . Let X' be any normal projective variety mapping birationally onto the main component $X' \rightarrow (X \times_Y Y')_{\text{main}}$. Then we have the

¹ This is equivalent to the condition $\text{rank } f_* \mathcal{O}_X([\mathbf{A}(X, \Delta)]) = 1$ in [1, Def. 2.1].

corresponding commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Y. \end{array}$$

We call $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ an *algebraic fiber space induced by μ* .

6. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, and let Δ be a divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q},f} 0$. We say that f *satisfies the standard normal crossing assumptions* if the following conditions hold:

- (a) X and Y are smooth;
- (b) there exists an SNC divisor Σ on Y such that f is smooth over $Y \setminus \Sigma$;
- (c) $\text{supp}(\Delta) + f^*\Sigma$ has SNC support;
- (d) Δ is relatively SNC over $Y \setminus \Sigma$.

Even though the divisors Δ and Σ are oppressed in the terminology, we remark that they are the part of the data of the standard normal crossing assumptions. For clarity, we will sometimes say that X, Y, Δ, Σ satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions.

7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space satisfying the standard normal crossing assumptions. We say f is *semi-stable in codimension 1* if there exists a codimension ≥ 2 closed subset Z of Y such that $f^*(\Sigma \setminus Z)$ is a reduced SNC divisor. In this case, the local system $R^i f_* \mathbb{C}_{X|Y \setminus \Sigma}$ has unipotent monodromy for every i by [20].

REMARK 2.1. For any algebraic fiber space $f: X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a birational morphism $\mu: Y' \rightarrow Y$ and an algebraic fiber space $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ induced by μ such that f' satisfies the standard normal crossing assumptions. Moreover, we can take a generically finite morphism $\mu: Y' \rightarrow Y$ and an algebraic fiber space $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ induced by μ such that f' is semi-stable in codimension 1.

REMARK 2.2. Even though there are more general setups considering lc or slc general fibers due to [12] or [11] which are important in many applications, we are only interested here in the case when the general fibers are klt.

We describe the canonical bundle formula for algebraic fiber spaces. Let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ be an lc-trivial fibration and fix L a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on Y such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*L$. For each prime divisor P on Y , we consider

$$t_P = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q} : (X, \Delta + t f^*P) \text{ is log-canonical over } \eta_P\}.$$

We define $B_Y = \sum_P (1 - t_P)P$. Note that this is a finite sum since (X, Δ) is klt over the generic point of Y . We define $M_Y = L - K_Y - B_Y$, so that we have

$$K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Y + B_Y + M_Y).$$

REMARK 2.3. Note that by definition, B_Y and M_Y do not depend on the birational model of X . In other words, if we consider a birational morphism $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)$, then $f: (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta}) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration, and the B_Y and M_Y computed in terms of $f \circ \pi: (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta}) \rightarrow Y$ agree with the ones computed in terms of $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$.

For every birational morphism $\mu: Y' \rightarrow Y$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} X' @>\mu'>> X \\ @Vf'VV @VVfV \\ Y' @>\mu>> Y, \end{CD}$$

where $\mu': X' \rightarrow X$ is birational. If we write $K_{X'} + \Delta' = \mu'^*(K_X + \Delta)$, then we use the formula for $f': (X', \Delta') \rightarrow Y$ and write

$$K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(\mu^*L) = f'^*(K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + M_{Y'}).$$

We have $\mu_*M_{Y'} = M_Y$ and $\mu_*B_{Y'} = B_Y$, and therefore we may and will consider the divisorial part and the moduli part as b -divisors, and denote them by \mathbf{B}_Y and \mathbf{M}_Y . We point out that each $M_{Y'}$ may be well defined only up to \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence, but once we fix a representative L such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*L$, then \mathbf{M}_Y is well defined as a b -divisor.

REMARK 2.4. We say that a b -divisor \mathbf{D} on X descends to X' if $\mathbf{D} = \overline{\mathbf{D}_{X'}}$. We remark that a b -divisor \mathbf{D} on X is b -nef (resp. b -semi-ample, b -free) if there exists a birational model $X' \rightarrow X$ such that \mathbf{D} descends to X' and $\mathbf{D}_{X'}$ is nef (resp. semi-ample, free). For basic notions for b -divisors, we refer to [7, Chap. 1]. Here, we collect some standard facts about the divisorial part and the moduli part from [24, §8.4].

1. For an lc-trivial fibration $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$, we can take a resolution of singularities $\mu: Y' \rightarrow Y$ and an algebraic fiber space $f': (X', \Delta') \rightarrow Y'$ induced by μ such that $X', Y', \Delta', B_{Y'}$ satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions. In this case, \mathbf{M}_Y and $\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{B}_Y$ descend to Y' in the sense that for any birational morphism $\pi: Y'' \rightarrow Y'$, we have

$$\mathbf{M}_{Y, Y''} = \pi^* \mathbf{M}_{Y'}, \quad \text{and} \quad K_{Y''} + \mathbf{B}_{Y, Y''} = \pi^*(K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}).$$

2. M_Y only depends on the general fiber $(F, \Delta|_F)$ and Y .
3. Let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ be an lc-trivial fibration satisfying the standard simple normal crossing assumptions. Then \mathbf{M}_Y is b -nef.
4. Let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ be an lc-trivial fibration satisfying the standard normal crossing assumptions. Let $\mu: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite surjective morphism from a smooth variety Y' . Let

$$\begin{CD} X' @>\mu'>> X \\ @Vf'VV @VVfV \\ Y' @>\mu>> Y \end{CD}$$

be an algebraic fiber space induced by μ and write $K_{X'} + \Delta' = \mu'^*(K_X + \Delta)$. Then, $f': (X', \Delta') \rightarrow Y'$ is an lc-trivial fibration and

$$M_{Y'} = \mu^* M_Y.$$

5. Suppose moreover that there exists an SNC divisor Σ on Y such that $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the standard normal crossing assumptions and Δ^h is an integral divisor (hence $-\Delta^h$ is effective). Furthermore, assume that $p_g(X_\eta) = 1$ where η is the generic point of Y , and the variation of Hodge structures $R^{\dim f} f_* \mathbb{C}_X|_{Y \setminus \Sigma}$ has unipotent local monodromies.

Then M_Y is the divisor class corresponding to the canonical extension of the lowest piece of the Hodge filtration of $R^{\dim f} f_* \mathcal{C}_X|_{Y \setminus \Sigma}$.

REMARK 2.5. We remark that it is enough to take a resolution of singularities and a generically finite cover of the base in order to check the b -semi-ampleness of the moduli part.

We compare our setup with the formulation in [10], [13]. Consider an algebraic fiber space $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between smooth projective varieties. Suppose that the Kodaira dimension of the generic fiber of f is zero, that is, $\kappa(X_\eta) = 0$, where η is the generic point of Y . Fix $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the b -th plurigenus of the general fiber $P_b(X_\eta)$ is nonzero. Then we have the following formula for the canonical bundle K_X .

PROPOSITION 2.6 [13, Prop. 2.2]. *In the above situation, there exists a unique \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on Y , modulo linear equivalence, with an isomorphism of graded \mathcal{O}_Y -algebras:*

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_Y([iD]) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(ibK_{X/Y}))^{**}.$$

Furthermore, the isomorphism induces a \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence

$$bK_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(bK_Y + D) + B,$$

where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X satisfying

1. $f_* \mathcal{O}_X([iB_+]) = \mathcal{O}_Y$ for $i > 0$, and
2. $\text{codim}_Y f(\text{supp } B^-) \geq 2$.

Note that we recover the canonical bundle formula in this situation, since $f: (X, -b^{-1}B) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration, and we can write

$$K_X - b^{-1}B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Y + B_Y + M_Y).$$

REMARK 2.7. We point out that in this situation, there is a natural choice of Δ^h since on the general fiber F , the divisor $-b\Delta|_F$ is the zero locus of the unique section (up to scalar) in $H^0(F, \omega_F^{\otimes b})$. Moreover, any two such Δ that make $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ an lc-trivial fibration differ by the pullback of a divisor on Y . Note that the moduli part M_Y only depends on the general fiber $(F, \Delta|_F)$. Therefore, given a projective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between smooth projective varieties whose general fiber has Kodaira dimension zero, it makes sense to talk about the moduli part M_Y **without** picking a divisor Δ on X such that $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ is an lc-trivial fibration.

We end this section by describing the behavior of the moduli part after taking a generically finite cover of the source.

PROPOSITION 2.8 [10, Lem. 4.1]. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $h: W \rightarrow Y$ be algebraic fiber spaces between smooth projective varieties such that:*

1. $\kappa(X_\eta) = 0$, where η is the generic point of Y ,
2. there is a generically finite morphism $g: W \rightarrow X$ such that $h = f \circ g$,
3. there is an SNC divisor Σ on Y such that f and h are smooth over $Y^\circ := Y \setminus \Sigma$, and
4. $\kappa(W_\eta) = 0$ and $p_g(W_\eta) = 1$.

Let $M_{X/Y}$ and $M_{W/Y}$ be the moduli part of the canonical bundle formula coming from $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $h: W \rightarrow Y$, respectively. Then $M_{X/Y} = M_{W/Y}$.

REMARK 2.9. We point out that there is a difference of a multiple of b in the formula from [10], where b is the smallest number such that the plurigenus $P_b(X_\eta)$ is nonzero. This is because the semi-stable part denoted by $L_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{ss}$ in [10] actually equals $bM_{X/Y}$ in our situation.

2.2 The structure theorem for K -trivial klt varieties

We recall the structure theorem for K -trivial varieties, starting from the decomposition theorem of Beauville–Bogomolov and its singular generalization. Roughly speaking, this theorem suggests to study K -trivial varieties by studying three different special types of varieties.

THEOREM 2.10 [4]. *Let X be a Kähler manifold with $c_1(K_X) = 0 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. Then X admits a finite étale cover $\gamma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that \tilde{X} decomposes as*

$$\tilde{X} \simeq A \times \prod_{j \in J} Y_j \times \prod_{k \in K} Z_k$$

such that:

1. A is an abelian variety;
2. Y_j are irreducible hyperkähler manifolds;
3. Z_k are Calabi–Yau manifolds.

We introduce a remarkable generalization of this result to singular varieties, due to [18] and a series of works including [8], [15], [17]. We first define the singular analogues of irreducible hyperkähler manifolds and Calabi–Yau manifolds, in the sense of [15]. We also introduce weaker versions of these notions.

DEFINITION 2.11. Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. We say

1. X is *CY (Calabi–Yau)* if X has Gorenstein canonical singularities with $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$, and if $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{[p]}) = 0$ for all covers $\gamma: Y \rightarrow X$ which are étale in codimension 1 and for all $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$.
- (1)' X is *pre-CY (pre-Calabi–Yau)* if X has Gorenstein canonical singularities with $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$, and if $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[p]}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$.
2. X is *IHS (irreducible holomorphic symplectic)* if X has Gorenstein canonical singularities with $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$, and if there exists a holomorphic 2-form $\sigma \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$ such that for all covers $\gamma: Y \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension 1, the exterior algebra $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{[\bullet]})$ is generated by the reflexive pullback of σ .

Following [5], we also give a slightly general class of symplectic varieties than those appearing in the decomposition theorem.

DEFINITION 2.12. A normal projective variety X is *primitive symplectic* if

1. $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) = \mathbb{C}\sigma$, where σ is nondegenerate on the smooth locus X_{reg} , and
2. there exists a resolution of singularities $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ such that the pullback of $\sigma|_{X_{\text{reg}}}$ extends to a holomorphic 2-form on Y .

REMARK 2.13. Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2m$, and let σ be the symplectic form. Then $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ since it is trivialized by σ^m and therefore X is

Gorenstein and canonical. We point out that the second condition in Definition 2.12 is equivalent to X having canonical singularities by [16, Th. 1.4]. Pick any resolution of singularities $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the holomorphic 2-form on \tilde{X} extending $\pi^*\sigma|_{X_{\text{reg}}}$. Note that in this case, we have

$$h^{2,0}(\tilde{X}) = h^{0,2}(\tilde{X}) = 1.$$

Note that there is a natural morphism of Hodge structures $\mu: \text{Sym}^m H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2m}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$. We observe that $\ker \mu$ is a Hodge structure of weight $2m$ that does not have a $(2m, 0)$ part since $\tilde{\sigma}$ is generically nondegenerate. We also point out that if X itself is a smooth hyperkähler manifold, then the natural morphism μ for X is injective by [28].

REMARK 2.14. We will use the following convention for Calabi–Yau manifolds. A smooth projective variety X of dimension n is a Calabi–Yau manifold if $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k,0}(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This condition is weaker than the condition appearing in Beauville’s decomposition theorem (see [4, Prop. 2]). However, we point out that if X is a holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension $2m$ with

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k,0}(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & k \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

then X is automatically simply connected, and hence irreducible holomorphic symplectic (see [19, Prop. A.1]).

We finally introduce the generalization of the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition to the singular case.

THEOREM 2.15 [18, Th. 1.5]. *Let X be a normal projective variety with at worst klt singularities such that $c_1(K_X) = 0$. Then there exists a projective variety X' with at worst canonical singularities, with a quasi-étale (which means quasi-finite and étale in codimension one) map $\gamma: X' \rightarrow X$ and a decomposition*

$$X' \simeq A \times \prod_{j \in J} Y_j \times \prod_{k \in K} Z_k,$$

into normal varieties with trivial canonical bundles, such that

1. A is an abelian variety;
2. Y_j are irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties;
3. Z_k are Calabi–Yau varieties.

2.3 Summary of Fujino’s result for K3 surfaces

We briefly summarize the Hodge theoretic results used in [10, §2]. Let B be a smooth projective variety, and let Σ be an SNC divisor on B . Let $B^\circ = B \setminus \Sigma$ and consider a polarized \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures of weight 2 on B° with the following numerical conditions:

$$h^{2,0} = h^{0,2} = 1, \quad h^{1,1} = g \geq 3, \quad \text{and } h^{p,q} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

We furthermore assume that the local monodromies around Σ are unipotent and there exists a neat arithmetic group Γ containing the local monodromy operators around Σ . Then we have the period map $\wp^\circ : B^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ and in this case, \mathcal{D} is a bounded Hermitian symmetric domain. By Borel’s extension theorem [6], the holomorphic map \wp° extends to B as

$$\wp : B \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}},$$

where $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}$ is the Baily–Borel compactification of \mathcal{D}/Γ [3] which is a normal analytic space. The tautological sub-bundle on \mathcal{D} descends to a line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{D}/Γ . The sections of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes gk}$ can be identified with automorphic forms of weight k (which are Γ -equivariant k -pluricanonical forms on \mathcal{D}). For some $k > 0$, the automorphic forms give an embedding of \mathcal{D}/Γ into a projective space, and the automorphic forms can be continuously (hence analytically) extended to $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}$. Moreover, these extended automorphic forms define a projective embedding of $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes gk}$ extends to an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}}(1)$ on $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}$. On the other hand, from the variation of Hodge structures, we have the associated vector bundle \mathcal{H} on B° with a filtration F^\bullet . By the nilpotent orbit theorem, the canonical extension $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H} is a vector bundle on B which carries a filtration F^\bullet by vector bundles extending the filtration on \mathcal{H} . By definition, we have the natural identification $(\wp^\circ)^*\mathcal{L} \simeq F^2\mathcal{H}$. The key content of [10, Th. 2.10] is that the lowest piece of the filtration on the canonical extension $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and the ample line bundle on $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}$ are compatible. In other words, we have

$$\wp^*\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^{\text{BB}}}(1) \simeq (F^2\overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\otimes gk}.$$

In particular, this shows that $F^2\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is a semi-ample line bundle on B .

REMARK 2.16. [10, §2] has a parallel statement dealing with variations of Hodge structures of weight 1 which covers the case when the general fiber is an abelian variety.

§3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. All other assertions except b -semi-ampleness are clear. By Remark 2.5, we can take a generically finite base change of Y and resolve singularities. Hence, we can assume that we have a morphism $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ between smooth projective varieties and we have a divisor Δ' on X' such that $f' : (X', \Delta') \rightarrow Y'$ is an lc-trivial fibration. We can furthermore assume that the following facts hold:

1. There exists an SNC divisor Σ' on Y' such that f' is smooth over $Y' \setminus \Sigma'$.
2. X', Y', Δ', Σ' satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions, and f' is semi-stable in codimension 1.
3. Every fiber X'_y of f' for $y \in Y' \setminus \Sigma$ admits a unique holomorphic 2-form $\sigma_y \in H^0(X'_y, \Omega^2_{X'_y})$ which is generically nondegenerate.
4. $h^{1,1}(X'_y) \geq 3$ for $y \in Y' \setminus \Sigma$ (by blowing up X' further).²

² We point out that it is important to allow negative coefficients for $(\Delta')^h$ in the canonical bundle formula since we are blowing up X' further.

Note that in this case, the local monodromies of $R^2 f'_* \mathbb{C}_{X'}|_{Y' \setminus \Sigma'}$ and $R^{2m} f'_* \mathbb{C}_{X'}|_{Y' \setminus \Sigma'}$ around Σ' are unipotent by [20]. It is enough to show that $M_{Y'}$ is semi-ample. Since the general fibers of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ have Gorenstein canonical singularities, $-(\Delta')^h$ is effective and integral. Hence, we are exactly in the situation in Remark 2.4(5). Hence, $M_{Y'}$ is the divisor class of the canonical extension of the lowest piece of the Hodge filtration of the variation of Hodge structures $R^{2m} f'_* \mathbb{C}_{X'}|_{Y' \setminus \Sigma'}$. We denote by $\mathbb{V}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{V}^{(2m)}$ the polarizable variations of Hodge structures $R^2 f'_* \mathbb{C}_{X'}|_{Y' \setminus \Sigma'}$ and $R^{2m} f'_* \mathbb{C}_{X'}|_{Y' \setminus \Sigma'}$.³ Then we have a natural morphism of variations of Hodge structures

$$\mu : \text{Sym}^m \mathbb{V}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{(2m)}.$$

Then we have noncanonical splittings

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}^m \mathbb{V}^{(2)} &\simeq \ker \mu \oplus \text{im } \mu \\ \mathbb{V}^{(2m)} &\simeq \text{im } \mu \oplus \mathbb{B}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\ker \mu, \text{im } \mu$, and \mathbb{B} are polarizable variations of Hodge structures (see [25, Th. 10.13]). By Remark 2.13, $\ker \mu$ and \mathbb{B} do not have $(2m, 0)$ -part. We denote by $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, F^\bullet)$, (\mathcal{H}, F^\bullet) , and $(\mathcal{H}^{(2m)}, F^\bullet)$ the filtered vector bundles associated with the variations of Hodge structures $\mathbb{V}^{(2)}, \text{im } \mu$, and $\mathbb{V}^{(2m)}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2m)}$ the canonical extensions of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{H}^{(2m)}$, respectively. Since \mathbb{B} does not have a $(2m, 0)$ -part, we get

$$F^{2m} \overline{\mathcal{H}} \simeq F^{2m} \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2m)}.$$

We also have $F^{2m} \overline{\mathcal{H}} \simeq (F^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)})^{\otimes m}$ by Lemma 3.1 below and since $\ker \mu$ does not have a $(2m, 0)$ -part. Then [10, Th. 2.10] immediately implies that $F^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$ is semi-ample, and therefore $F^{2m} \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2m)}$ is semi-ample as well. Since $M_{Y'}$ is a divisor class of this line bundle, we are done. \square

LEMMA 3.1. *Let Y be a smooth complex manifold, and let Σ be an SNC divisor on Y . Let \mathbb{V} be a polarizable variation of Hodge structures of weight 2 on $Y \setminus \Sigma$, with Hodge numbers*

$$h^{2,0} = h^{0,2} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad h^{p,q} = 0 \text{ if } p < 0 \text{ or } p > 2.$$

Suppose that \mathbb{V} has unipotent local monodromies along Σ . Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' be the filtered vector bundles associated with the variations of Hodge structures \mathbb{V} and $\text{Sym}^m \mathbb{V}$, respectively. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}'}$ the canonical extensions of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' , respectively. Then we have

$$(F^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\otimes m} \simeq F^{2m} \overline{\mathcal{H}'}$$

Proof. We fix a polarization on \mathbb{V} and denote the Hermitian metric on \mathcal{H} by h . We have the induced metric h' on \mathcal{H}' . Note that there is a canonical isomorphism $(F^2 \mathcal{H})^{\otimes m} \simeq F^{2m} \mathcal{H}'$ between line bundles on $Y \setminus \Sigma$. Fix local coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n on an open subset $\Omega \subset Y$ such that $\Omega \simeq \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i| < 1\}$ and $\Sigma \cap \Omega$ is given by the equation $z_1 \cdots z_l = 0$. Since \mathbb{V} and $\text{Sym}^m \mathbb{V}$ both have unipotent local monodromies, we have the following description

³ We point out that we should first fix a relatively ample class for $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ to give a polarization on the variations of Hodge structures $\mathbb{V}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{V}^{(2m)}$ due to a subtlety of signs in the polarization for primitive and non-primitive parts, but this does not cause a problem throughout the argument.

of the local sections of $F^2\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and $F^{2m}\overline{\mathcal{H}'}$ using the estimation of Hodge norms by [27, §6]:

$$\Gamma(\Omega, F^2\overline{\mathcal{H}}) = \left\{ s \in \Gamma(\Omega \setminus \Sigma, F^2\mathcal{H}) : \|s\|_h^2 = O\left(\prod_{i=1}^l |\log z_i|^{N_i}\right) \text{ for some } N_i > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma(\Omega, F^{2m}\overline{\mathcal{H}'}) = \left\{ s' \in \Gamma(\Omega \setminus \Sigma, F^{2m}\mathcal{H}') : \|s'\|_{h'}^2 = O\left(\prod_{i=1}^l |\log z_i|^{N'_i}\right) \text{ for some } N'_i > 0 \right\}.$$

Since $\|s^{\otimes m}\|_{h'}^2 = \|s\|_h^{2m}$, the isomorphism $(F^2\mathcal{H})^{\otimes m} \simeq F^{2m}\mathcal{H}'$ extends to $(F^2\overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\otimes m} \simeq F^{2m}\overline{\mathcal{H}'}$. □

We give a similar lemma which can be proven along the same lines as the previous lemma. This will be used in the next section.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let Y be a smooth complex manifold, and let Σ be an SNC divisor on Y . Let $\mathbb{V}_1, \dots, \mathbb{V}_r$ be polarizable variations of Hodge structures of weight w_1, \dots, w_r , respectively. Assume that $\mathbb{V}_1, \dots, \mathbb{V}_r$ have unipotent local monodromies along Σ . Suppose that the Hodge numbers for \mathbb{V}_i satisfy*

$$h^{w_i, 0} = h^{0, w_i} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad h^{p, q} = 0 \text{ if } p < 0 \text{ or } p > w_i.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_r$, and \mathcal{H} be filtered vector bundles associated with $\mathbb{V}_1, \dots, \mathbb{V}_r$, and $\mathbb{V}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{V}_r$, respectively. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{H}}_r$, and $\overline{\mathcal{H}'}$ their canonical extensions. Then we have

$$F^{w_1}\overline{\mathcal{H}}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F^{w_r}\overline{\mathcal{H}}_r \simeq F^{w_1 + \dots + w_r}\overline{\mathcal{H}'}$$

§4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

We give a proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first consider the case when the general fiber is smooth. By resolving singularities, we can assume that X and Y are both smooth, and the geometric generic fiber has trivial first Chern class. By Theorem 2.10, after taking a generically finite base change of Y , we can assume that there are algebraic fiber spaces $h: W \rightarrow Y, p: A \rightarrow Y, \{q_j: Y_j \rightarrow Y\}_{j=1}^a, \{r_k: Z_k \rightarrow Y\}_{k=1}^b$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. h factors as $W \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, where g is a generically finite morphism.
2. There exists an SNC divisor Σ on Y such that f, h, p, q_j, r_k are smooth over $Y^\circ = Y \setminus \Sigma$.
3. Over Y° , the fiber spaces p, q_j, r_k 's are families of abelian varieties, irreducible hyperkähler manifolds, and Calabi–Yau manifolds, respectively.
4. Denote the inverse images of Y° by $W^\circ, A^\circ, Y_j^\circ$, and Z_k° . Then there is an isomorphism

$$W^\circ \simeq A^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} Y_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \dots \times_{Y^\circ} Y_a^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} Z_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \dots \times_{Y^\circ} Z_b^\circ$$

over Y° .

5. The variations of Hodge structures $R^{\dim h} h_* \mathbb{C}_{W^\circ}, R^{\dim p} p_* \mathbb{C}_{A^\circ}, R^{\dim q_j} q_{j*} \mathbb{C}_{Y_j^\circ}$, and $R^{\dim r_k} r_{k*} \mathbb{C}_{Z_k^\circ}$ have unipotent local monodromies around Σ .

By Proposition 2.8, it is enough to show that the moduli part $M_{W/Y}$ associated with $h: W \rightarrow Y$ is semi-ample. Applying the Künneth formula, the realization of the moduli part as the canonical extension of the lowest piece of the Hodge filtration, and Lemma 3.2,

we get

$$M_{W/Y} = M_{A/Y} + \sum_{j=1}^a M_{Y_j/Y} + \sum_{k=1}^b M_{Z_k/Y},$$

where $M_{A/Y}, M_{Y_j/Y}$, and $M_{Z_k/Y}$ are the moduli parts associated with the fiber spaces p, q_j , and r_k , respectively. By [10, Th. 5.1] and Theorem 1.1, we know that $M_{A/Y}$ and $M_{Y_j/Y}$ are semi-ample. Hence, Conjecture 1.3(1) in relative dimension $\leq n$ implies Conjecture 1.4(1) in relative dimension n .

We deal with the singular case in a similar fashion. By applying Theorem 2.15 to the geometric generic fiber $X_{\bar{\eta}}$, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \tilde{X}_{\bar{\eta}} \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & & & & & X_{\bar{\eta}} \\ & & & & & & \\ X'''_{\bar{\eta}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & X''_{\bar{\eta}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & X'_{\bar{\eta}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_3} & X_{\bar{\eta}} \\ & \nearrow h & & & & & \end{array}$$

such that:

1. $\pi: \tilde{X}_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a resolution of singularities.
2. μ_3 is a generically finite morphism such that

$$X'_{\bar{\eta}} \simeq A_{\bar{\eta}} \times \prod_{j=1}^a Y_{j,\bar{\eta}} \times \prod_{k=1}^b Z_{k,\bar{\eta}},$$

where A is an Abelian variety, Y_j are IHS varieties, and Z_k are CY varieties.

3. $\phi_j: \tilde{Y}_{j,\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow Y_{j,\bar{\eta}}$ and $\varphi_k: \tilde{Z}_{k,\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow Z_{k,\bar{\eta}}$ are resolutions of singularities and

$$X''_{\bar{\eta}} \simeq A_{\bar{\eta}} \times \prod_{j=1}^a \tilde{Y}_{j,\bar{\eta}} \times \prod_{k=1}^b \tilde{Z}_{k,\bar{\eta}}$$

such that $X''_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X'_{\bar{\eta}}$ is induced by the maps ϕ_j and φ_k 's.

4. $X'''_{\bar{\eta}}$ is smooth and $\mu_1: X'''_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X''_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a birational morphism resolving the indeterminacy of the rational map from $X'''_{\bar{\eta}}$ to $\tilde{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$.

After replacing Y with a generically finite cover of Y and resolving singularities, we can assume that Y is smooth, and there exist an SNC divisor Σ and algebraic fiber spaces $p: A \rightarrow Y, \{q_j: Y_j \rightarrow Y\}_{j=1}^a, \{\tilde{q}_j: Y_j \rightarrow Y\}_{j=1}^a, \{r_k: Z_k \rightarrow Y\}_{k=1}^b$, and $\{\tilde{r}_k: \tilde{Z}_k \rightarrow Y\}_{k=1}^b$ over Y , and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \tilde{X} \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & & & & & X \\ & & & & & & \searrow \tilde{f} \\ X''' & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & X'' & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & X' & \xrightarrow{\mu_3} & X \xrightarrow{f} Y \\ & \nearrow h & & & & & \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of singularities, and \tilde{f} satisfies the standard normal crossing assumptions.
2. For every point $y \in Y \setminus \Sigma$, the fibers of p, q_j , and r_k over y are abelian varieties, primitive symplectic varieties, and pre-CY varieties, respectively.
3. $\mu_3: X' \rightarrow X$ is a generically finite morphism and if we denote by $X'^{\circ}, A^{\circ}, Y_j^{\circ}, Z_k^{\circ}$ the corresponding inverse images of Y° , then we have an isomorphism

$$X'^\circ \simeq A^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} Y_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \cdots \times_{Y^\circ} Y_a^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} Z_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \cdots \times_{Y^\circ} Z_b^\circ$$

over Y° .

4. μ_2 is a birational morphism from a smooth projective variety X'' , and if we denote the inverse images of Y° similarly, we have an isomorphism and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X''^\circ & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & A^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \tilde{Y}_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \cdots \times_{Y^\circ} \tilde{Y}_a^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \tilde{Z}_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \cdots \times_{Y^\circ} \tilde{Z}_b^\circ \\ \downarrow \mu_2 & & \downarrow \\ X'^\circ & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & A^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} Y_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \cdots \times_{Y^\circ} Y_a^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} Z_1^\circ \times_{Y^\circ} \cdots \times_{Y^\circ} Z_b^\circ, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is induced by the morphisms $\phi_j: \tilde{Y}_j \rightarrow Y_j$ and $\varphi_k: \tilde{Z}_k \rightarrow Z_k$ over Y such that for each point $y \in Y^\circ$, the morphisms ϕ_j and φ_k , restricted to the fibers over y , give resolutions of singularities.

5. μ_1 is a birational morphism, h is a generically finite morphism, and $\tilde{f} \circ h$ satisfies the standard normal crossing assumptions.
 6. The variations of Hodge structures $R^{\dim p} p_* \mathbb{C}_{A^\circ}$, $R^{\dim \tilde{q}_j} \tilde{q}_{j*} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Y}_j^\circ}$, $R^{\dim \tilde{r}_k} \tilde{r}_{k*} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{Z}_k^\circ}$, $R^{\dim \tilde{f}} \tilde{f}_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}^\circ}$, and $R^{\dim \tilde{f}} (\tilde{f} \circ h)_* \mathbb{C}_{X''^\circ}$ have unipotent local monodromies along Σ .

Note that $M_{X/Y} = M_{\tilde{X}/Y} = M_{X''/Y} = M_{X'''/Y}$ by Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.3. Note that

$$M_{X''/Y} = M_{A/Y} + \sum_{j=1}^a M_{\tilde{Y}_j/Y} + \sum_{k=1}^b M_{\tilde{Z}_k/Y} = M_{A/Y} + \sum_{j=1}^a M_{Y_j/Y} + \sum_{k=1}^b M_{Z_k/Y}.$$

We already know that $M_{A/Y}$ and $M_{Y_j/Y}$ are semi-ample. Therefore, Conjecture 1.3(2) in relative dimension $\leq n$ implies Conjecture 1.4(2) in relative dimension n . \square

REMARK 4.1. Note that we used wider classes of varieties when we passed from the properties of the geometric generic fiber to those of the general fiber. This is because the definitions of IHS and CY in [15, Def. 1.3] have a condition on the reflexive Hodge numbers for **every** étale in codimension 1 cover, which involves a priori infinite data. It is also not clear how to use the description of IHS and CY using holonomy groups in the sense of [15, Prop. 12.10] since one should choose an abstract field isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \simeq \bar{k}(\eta)$ in order to perform such a decomposition in the proof of Theorem 1.5. It would be interesting if one could overcome this technical point.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisor Mircea Mustața for support and helpful discussions. The author would also like to thank Osamu Fujino and Stefano Filipazzi for useful comments and answering his questions.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Ambro, *Shokurov's boundary property*, J. Differ. Geom. **67** (2004), no. 2, 229–255.
 [2] K. Ascher, D. Bejleri, H. Blum, K. DeVleming, G. Inchiostro, Y. Liu and X. Wang, *Moduli of boundary polarized Calabi–Yau pairs*, preprint, [arXiv:2307.06522](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.06522), 2023.
 [3] W. L. Baily, Jr. and A. Borel, *Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains*, Ann. of Math. **2** (1966), no. 84, 442–528.
 [4] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern Est nulle*, J. Differ. Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 755–782.
 [5] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 3, 541–549.

- [6] A. Borel, *Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem*, J. Differential Geom. **6** (1972), 543–560.
- [7] A. Corti, editor, *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 35, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [8] S. Druel, *A decomposition theorem for singular spaces with trivial canonical class of dimension at most five*, Invent. Math. **211** (2018), no. 1, 245–296.
- [9] S. Filipazzi, *On a generalized canonical bundle formula and generalized adjunction*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **21** (2020), 1187–1221.
- [10] O. Fujino, *A canonical bundle formula for certain algebraic fiber spaces and its applications*, Nagoya Math. J. **172** (2003), 129–171.
- [11] O. Fujino, *Fundamental properties of basic slc-trivial fibrations I*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **58** (2022), no. 3, 473–526.
- [12] O. Fujino and Y. Gongyo, *On the moduli b-divisors of lc-trivial fibrations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **64** (2014), no. 4, 1721–1735.
- [13] O. Fujino and S. Mori, *A canonical bundle formula*, J. Differ. Geom. **56** (2000), no. 1, 167–188.
- [14] T. Fujita, *Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **38** (1986), no. 1, 19–37.
- [15] D. Greb, H. Guenancia, and S. Kebekus, *Klt varieties with trivial canonical class: Holonomy, differential forms, and fundamental groups*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), no. 4, 2051–2124.
- [16] D. Greb, S. Kebekus, S. J. Kovács, and T. Peternell, *Differential forms on log canonical spaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. **114** (2011), 87–169.
- [17] D. Greb, S. Kebekus and T. Peternell, “Singular spaces with trivial canonical class” in *Minimal Models and Extremal Rays (Kyoto, 2011)*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 70, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2016, pp 67–113.
- [18] A. Höring and T. Peternell, *Algebraic integrability of foliations with numerically trivial canonical bundle*, Invent. Math. **216** (2019), no. 2, 395–419.
- [19] D. Huybrechts and M. Nieper-Wisskirchen, *Remarks on derived equivalences of Ricci-flat manifolds*, Math. Z. **267** (2011), no. 3–4, 939–963.
- [20] N. M. Katz, “The regularity theorem in algebraic geometry” in *Actes du Congrès International Des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1*, Gauthier-Villars Éditeur, Paris, 1971, pp. 437–443.
- [21] Y. Kawamata, “Subadjunction of log canonical divisors for a subvariety of codimension 2” in *Birational Algebraic Geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1996)*, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 207, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 79–88.
- [22] Y. Kawamata, *Subadjunction of log canonical divisors II*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 5, 893–899.
- [23] K. Kodaira, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces I*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 751–798.
- [24] J. Kollár, “Kodaira’s canonical bundle formula and adjunction” in *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 35, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007, pp. 134–162.
- [25] C. A. M. Peters and J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge Structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik Und Ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], Vol. 52, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [26] Y. G. Prokhorov and V. V. Shokurov, *Towards the second main theorem on complements*, J. Algebraic Geom. **18** (2009), no. 1, 151–199.
- [27] W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structure: The singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.
- [28] M. Verbitsky, *Cohomology of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds and its applications*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 4, 601–611.

Hyunsuk Kim

Department of Mathematics

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

530 Church Street

Ann Arbor, Michigan

United States

kimhysuk@umich.edu