

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Precise large deviations for a multidimensional risk model with regression dependence structure

Yang Liu^{1,2,3}, Ke-Ang Fu² (D) and Zhenlong Chen³ (D)

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China ²Department of Statistics and Data Science, Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou, China ³School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, China **Corresponding author:** Zhenlong Chen; Email: zlcheny@163.com

Keywords: Consistently varying tail; Multidimensional risk model; Precise large deviations; Regression dependence

Abstract

In this paper, we consider a nonstandard multidimensional risk model, in which the claim sizes $\{\vec{X}_k, k \ge 1\}$ form an independent and identically distributed random vector sequence with dependent components. By assuming that there exists the regression dependence structure between inter-arrival time and the claim-size vectors, we extend the regression dependence to a more practical multidimensional risk model. For the univariate marginal distributions of claim vectors with consistently varying tails, we obtain the precise large deviation formulas for the multidimensional risk model with the regression size-dependent structure.

1. Introduction

Consider an insurance company, which operates $m(m \ge 2)$ lines of businesses at the same time and uses a common claim-number process. The claim sizes $\{\vec{X}_k = (X_{1k}, \ldots, X_{mk})^T, k \ge 1\}$ form a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) non-negative random vectors with dependent components. Denoted claim arrival times are $\tau_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i, k \ge 1$ with $\tau_0 = 0$, where $\{\theta_i, i \ge 1\}$ are the claim vectors inter-arrival times. Let $\{\theta_i, i \ge 1\}$ are identically distributed with a common finite positive mean $1/\lambda$ and finite variance. Then, by time $t(\ge 0)$, the number of claims is $N(t) = \sup\{k \ge 1 : \tau_k \le t\}$. In this way, the aggregate amount of claims up to time t are given by the compound sum of the form:

$$\vec{S}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} \vec{X}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} X_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} X_{mk} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(1)

If $\{\theta_i; i \ge 1\}$ and $\{\vec{X}_k; k \ge 1\}$ are mutually independent and $\{\theta_i; i \ge 1\}$ forms a sequence of *i.i.d.* random variable, then we obtain a standard multidimensional renewal risk model.

It is well known that, with the increasing diversification of insurance companies' business types, the multidimensional risk model can reflect the influence of different businesses on insurance companies' solvency more comprehensively. Therefore, the risk theory analysis of multidimensional risk model has attracted the attention of some researchers; see, for example, see, Chen et al. [5], Loukissas [12], Fu and Liu [8], Lu [13], Shen et al. [15], Wang and Wang [19] and references therein.

Note that in above literature, the independent assumption between the claim sizes and the interarrival times may be unreasonable in many applications. Think that if the deductible applied to each loss is increased, then the claim sizes will be reduced and the inter-arrival time will be increased since

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

the small losses will be retained by the insured. Therefore, during the last decade, many scholars have addressed this issue by proposing some nonstandard unidimensional renewal risk models, the reader is referred to Asimit and Badescu [1], Chen and Yuen [4], Fu and Li [7], Li et al. [10], and references therein. It should be pointed out that these references study the influence of the dependent structure between the claim sizes and the inter-arrival time only for the unidimensional case. Considering that the multidimensional risk model is more genuine in insurance practice, Shen et al. [14] investigated a class of multidimensional risk models, in which the claim-size vectors and inter-arrival times form a sequence of i.i.d. random pairs and each pair obeys m-dimensional size-dependence structure. In this case, the waiting time distribution of the next large claim-size vector depends only on the size of the latest claim-size vector. However, taking auto insurance as an example, the claim sizes in previous years is an important factor affecting the purchase of insurance, especially the premium in the next few years.

Then, based on the idea of the semi-Markov type dependence structure put forward by Bi and Zhang [2], Li et al. [11] proposed the regression dependence structure under one-dimensional conditions as follows:

$$\mathsf{P}(\theta_n > t | Y_k, k \ge 1) = \mathsf{P}(\theta_n > t | Y_{n-d}, \dots, Y_n), \quad \forall 1 \le d < n,$$
(2)

which means that the waiting time of a large claim depends not only on the size of the next claim, but also on the size of previous claims.

Motivated by Li et al. [11], we became interested in the regression dependence structure in the multidimensional case, which is more practical. For any fixed $1 \le d < n$, suppose that $\theta_n (n \ge 1)$ is dependent on $\vec{X}_{n-d}, \ldots, \vec{X}_{n-1}, \vec{X}_n$ and independent of $\vec{X}_1, \vec{X}_2, \ldots, \vec{X}_{n-d-1}, \vec{X}_{n+1}, \ldots$, i.e., \vec{X}_j is only dependent on $\theta_j, \ldots, \theta_{j+d}$. In this case, $\{\theta_n, n \ge 1\}$ forms a *d*-dependent sequence. Then we have

$$\mathsf{P}(\theta_k > t | \vec{X}_j, j \ge 1) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{P}(\theta_k > t | \vec{X}_1, \dots, \vec{X}_k), & k \le d \\ \mathsf{P}(\theta_k > t | \vec{X}_{k-d}, \dots, \vec{X}_k), & k > d, \end{cases}$$
(3)

which is an extension of the regression dependence structure in the unidimensional risk models.

Due to the regression dependence structure and the multidimensional risk model being more general, we are interested in the precise large deviations of $\vec{S}(t)$ in an *m*-dimensional $(m \ge 2)$ risk model under the regression dependence structure. This paper points out that as long as there exists the strong law of large numbers for N(t) with dependent conditions, the precise large deviations for the multidimensional risk model $\vec{S}(t)$ with regression size-dependent structure still hold, which is the main result of our paper. The intuition behind it is that if the inter-arrival times $\{\theta_n, n \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of identically distributed non-negative random variables with common mean and finite variance, in which $\{\theta_n, n \ge 1\}$ is *d*-dependent, $d \ge 1$, it will be dominated by the consistently varying distributions of the claim sizes. Our assumption extends the dependent structure of the nonstandard multidimensional risk model proposed by Shen et al. [14], and our proof is essentially based on checking the conditions proposed by Li et al. [11].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminary knowledge and give the main result. Finally, in Section 3, we state some lemmas which are very important for the development of the main result and give proof of the main result by establishing corresponding asymptotic upper and lower bounds.

2. Preliminaries and main results

For convenience, we introduce the following notations which will be frequently used throughout this paper. For two positive functions g(x) and h(x), we write $g(x) \sim h(x)$, if $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x)/h(x) = 1$,

 $g(x) \leq h(x)$, if $\limsup_{x\to\infty} g(x)/h(x) \leq 1$. For two positive bivariate functions $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $h(\cdot, \cdot)$, we say that $g(x,t) \leq h(x,t)$, as $t \to \infty$, holds uniformly for $x \in \Delta_t \neq \emptyset$, if

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\Delta_t}\frac{g(x,t)}{h(x,t)}\leq 1.$$

In risk theory, heavy-tailed distributions are often used to model large claim sizes, which play a key role in insurance, financial mathematics and queuing theory. We recall two types of important classes of heavy-tailed distributions. Denote the survival distribution of a random variable with a distribution F by $\overline{F}(x) = 1 - F(x) > 0$ for all $x \ge 0$. By definition, a distribution F on $[0, \infty)$ belongs to the extended regular variation class, denoted by $F \in ERV(-\alpha, -\beta)$, if there are two constants α and β with $0 < \alpha \le \beta < \infty$ such that for all $v \ge 1$,

$$v^{-\beta} \leq \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(vx)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(vx)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq v^{-\alpha}.$$

A larger class is the class C of distribution functions with consistent variation (also called intermediate regular variation), characterized by the relation:

$$\lim_{\nu \nearrow 1} \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(\nu x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \lim_{\nu \searrow 1} \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(\nu x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 1.$$

It is easily seen that $ERV(-\alpha, -\beta) \subset C$. More discussions of the heavy-tailed distributions can be found in Embrechts et al. [6]. If $F \in C$, then the upper Matuszewska index of F denoted by J_F^+ is finite [17]. From Proposition 2.2 in Bingham et al. [3], we can see that, for any $p > J_F^+$, there are two positive constants C and x_0 such that:

$$\frac{F(x)}{\overline{F}(x\nu)} \le C\nu^p, \text{ for } x\nu \ge x \ge x_0.$$
(4)

Then one can easily prove that the relation:

$$x^{-p} = o(\overline{F}(x)), x \to \infty, \tag{5}$$

holds for all $p > J_F^+$ [17].

Thereafter, the following notations will be used throughout this paper. Let $\mathbb{I} := \{1, \ldots, m\}$. For a nonempty subset $\mathbb{I}^z := \{i_1, \ldots, i_z\} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$, $\vec{x}_{\mathbb{I}^z} := (x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}^z)^T$ is a z-dimensional subvector. As for *m*-dimensional vectors, we may omit the subscript \mathbb{I}^m without any confusion. For notational convenience, we state the following assumptions about the claim sizes $\{\vec{X}_k, k \ge 1\}$ and the counting process N(t).

Assumption 2.1. Suppose that $\{\vec{X}_k = (X_{1k}, \ldots, X_{mk})^T, k \ge 1\}$ are identically distributed with $\vec{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_m)^T$ and finite mean vector $\vec{EX} = \vec{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m)^T$. As for the random vector $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)^T$, suppose that the univariate marginal distribution functions $F_i \in C(of X_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$, and the joint survival function $\overline{F}(\vec{x}) = P(X_i > x_i, i \in \mathbb{I})$ is governed by a survival copula $\hat{C}(\cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ satisfying

$$\hat{C}(u_i, i \in \mathbb{I}) \le g(m) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} u_i, \ (u_i, i \in \mathbb{I})^T \in [0, 1]^m,$$
(6)

where $g(\cdot) \ge 1$ is a finite positive function.

Assumption 2.2. For $n \ge 1$, suppose that $\{\theta_n, n \ge 1\}$ form a d-dependent sequence, for given $d \ge 1$. Then there exists a nonnegative random variable θ^* with finite mean such that θ_n conditional on

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 3.147.61.35, on 24 Jun 2024 at 15:11:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269964823000220

 $(\vec{X}_{n-d} > \vec{x}, \dots, \vec{X}_n > \vec{x})$, is stochastically bounded by θ^* for all $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^T > \vec{0}$ large enough, *i.e.*, there exists some constant vector $\vec{x}_0 = (x_{10}, \dots, x_{m0})^T$ such that:

$$P(\theta_k > t | \vec{X}_{k-d} > \vec{x}, \dots, \vec{X}_k > \vec{x}) \le P(\theta^* > t),$$

$$\tag{7}$$

holds for all $\vec{x} > \vec{x}_0$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, where the vector inequality of $\vec{x} > \vec{x}_0$ is operated component-wisely.

Remark 2.1. From Assumption 2.1, it is easy to be seen that $\overline{F}(\vec{x}) = \hat{C}(\overline{F}_i(x_i), i \in \mathbb{I})$ is due to Sklar's Theorem. Hence, Assumptions 2.1 implies that the random vector \vec{X} allows the components X_1, \ldots, X_m to depend on each other and satisfy the widely upper orthant dependent. This dependence structure is an important dependence structure introduced by Wang et al. [18], covering some common negative and positive dependence structures. Shen et al. [16] extend this dependence structure to the multidimensional risk model to describe the dependence relationship between the components of the claim-size vectors. For other copulas that satisfy Assumption 2.1, we refer the reader to Section 3 of Wang et al. [18] and Remark 2 of Shen et al. [16].

Remark 2.2. For the counting process $N(t), t \ge 0$, assume $\{\theta_n, n \ge 1\}$ forms a *d*-dependent sequence. Then

$$\frac{N(t)}{t} \to \lambda, \ a.s. \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{E[N(t)]}{t} \to \lambda. \tag{9}$$

Going along the similar lines of the proofs of Theorem 4 and 6 in Korchevsky and Petrov [9], we can get (8) and (9) immediately. Accordingly, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{N(t) - \lambda t}{t} \xrightarrow{P} 0, \ t \to \infty, \tag{10}$$

holds for the counting process in our model.

Now we are in the position to state the main result.

Theorem 2.1. Consider the aggregate amount of claims (1), where $\{\vec{X}_k, k \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of *i.i.d.* random vectors with the univariate marginal distribution functions $F_i \in C(of X_i), i \in \mathbb{I}$. In addition to Assumption 2.1 and Assumption 2.2, suppose that $Var\theta^* < \infty$. Then, for any given $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)^T > \vec{0}$,

$$P(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}) \sim (\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i), \quad t \to \infty,$$
(11)

holds uniformly for all $\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma} t$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma}t} \left| \frac{P(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x})}{(\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i)} - 1 \right| = 0.$$
(12)

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.1 proposes the regression dependence structure in multidimensional risk model, which partially extends the results in Shen et al. [14] and Li et al. [11]. If θ_n only depends

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 3.147.61.35, on 24 Jun 2024 at 15:11:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269964823000220

on $\vec{X_n}, n \ge 1$, then we can retrieve the corresponding result of Shen et al. [14]. This indicates that both the regression dependence structure between the claim sizes and the inter-arrival times and the dependence components of $\vec{X}_k, k \ge 1$ does not affect the asymptotic behavior of the precise large deviations of $\vec{S}(t)$.

3. Proofs

In this section, we begin to show the proof of Theorem 2.1. Based on Assumption 2.2 and the definition of regression dependence structure in the multidimensional case, we first construct a generalized multidelayed renewal counting process. For given $d \ge 1$, set

$$\tau_n^* = \begin{cases} \theta_1^* + \dots + \theta_n^*, & n \le md+1\\ \theta_1^* + \dots + \theta_{md+1}^* + \sum_{k=md+2}^n \theta_k, & n > md+1, \end{cases}$$

where the nonnegative random variables $\theta_1^* \dots \theta_{md+1}^*$ are stochastically bounded by the random variable θ^* , independent of all sources of randomness and identically distributed as θ_k conditional on $(\vec{X}_{k-d} > \vec{x}, \dots, \vec{X}_k > \vec{x})$, respectively.

Define the counting process

$$N^{*}(t) = \sup\{n : \tau_{n}^{*} \le t\}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(13)

The following lemma gives the law of large numbers for $\{N^*(t), t \ge 0\}$.

Lemma 3.1. In addition to Assumption 2.2, assume that $Var\theta^* \in (0, \infty)$. Then, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$ and $m \ge 2$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{N^*(t) - \lambda t}{t}\right| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$
(14)

Proof. For a real number y, denote its positive integer part by $\lfloor y \rfloor$. Observe that, for all t large enough,

$$\mathsf{P}(|\frac{N^{*}(t) - \lambda t}{t}| > \varepsilon) = \mathsf{P}\left(N^{*}(t) > \lambda t + \varepsilon t\right) + \mathsf{P}\left(N^{*}(t) < \lambda t - \varepsilon t\right)$$
$$\leq \mathsf{P}\left(\sum_{k=md+2}^{\lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor} \theta_{k} \le t\right) + \mathsf{P}\left((md+1)\theta^{*} + \sum_{k=md+2}^{\lfloor \lambda t - \varepsilon t \rfloor + 1} \theta_{k} > t\right),\tag{15}$$

where in the last step we used an independent and nonnegative random variable θ^* to bound $\theta_k^* (1 \le k \le md + 1)$. According to (10) and the law of large numbers for the partial sums $\sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k$, (15) converges to zero as $t \to \infty$, and then we complete the proof.

Going along similar lines to proof of Lemma 3 by Shen et al. [14], but with some obvious modifications, we can prove the following lemma immediately.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\{\vec{X}_k, k \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors with finite mean vector $\vec{\mu}$. Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 is satisfied, then for any $\vec{\gamma} > \vec{0}$,

$$P(\vec{S}_n - n\vec{\mu} > \vec{x}) \sim n^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i), \quad n \to \infty,$$
(16)

holds uniformly for all $\vec{x} > \vec{\gamma}n$, where $\vec{S}_n = (S_{i,n}, i \in \mathbb{I})^T := (\sum_{k=1}^n X_{ik}, i \in \mathbb{I})^T$.

Lemma 3.3. Consider the aggregate amount of claims (1). Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 satisfies $J_{F_i}^+ < \infty$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Then for every $p > \max\{J_{F_1}^+, \ldots, J_{F_m}^+\}$, there exists some constant C > 0 (whose value may vary from place to place) such that, for any $n \ge md + 1$ and $t \ge 0$,

$$P\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{X}_{k} > \vec{x}, \tau_{n} \le t\Big) \le C \sum_{k=1}^{m} (g(m))^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{F}_{i}(x_{i}) \sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1}, \dots, \mathbb{I}^{k}\} \in \mathcal{J}_{k}} n^{mp+k} P(\tau_{n-md-1} \le t),$$
(17)

holds for all $\vec{x} \ge \vec{0}$.

Proof. Let $\{\mathbb{I}^1, \ldots, \mathbb{I}^k\}$ be an arbitrary partition of $\mathbb{I}, 1 \le k \le m$, that is,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{I}^{i} = \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}^{i} \cap \mathbb{I}^{j} = \emptyset, i \neq j.$$
(18)

Let \mathcal{J}_k be the set of all partitions with k subsets of $\mathbb{I}, 1 \leq k \leq m$. The summation $\sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^1,...,\mathbb{I}^k\}\in\mathcal{J}_k}$ is for all partitions $\{\mathbb{I}^1,\ldots,\mathbb{I}^k\}, 1 \leq k \leq m$ over the collection \mathcal{J}_k . From the non-negativity of θ and the independence between θ_n and $\vec{X}_1, \vec{X}_2, \ldots, \vec{X}_{n-d-1}, \vec{X}_{n+1}, \ldots$, we can get

$$P\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{X}_{k} > \vec{x}, \tau_{n} \leq t\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\bigcup_{j_{i}=1}^{n} (X_{ij_{i}} > \frac{x_{i}}{n}), \tau_{n} \leq t, i \in \mathbb{I}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{1 \leq j_{1}, \dots, j_{m} \leq n} P(X_{ij_{i}} > \frac{x_{i}}{n}, \tau_{n} \leq t, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(X_{ij} > \frac{x_{i}}{n}, \tau_{n} \leq t, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1}, \dots, \mathbb{I}^{k}\} \in \mathcal{J}_{k}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_{s} \neq j_{l} \leq n, s \neq l, \\ s, l=1, \dots, k}} P\left(\bigcap_{h=1}^{k} \{X_{qj_{h}} > \frac{x_{q}}{n}, q \in \mathbb{I}^{h}\}, \tau_{n} \leq t\right)$$

$$=: I_{1}(\vec{x}, t) + \sum_{k=2}^{m} I_{k}(\vec{x}, t).$$
(19)

Inequality (4) implies that, for any fixed $p > \max\{J_{F_i}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}\}\)$, there are some large positive constant C and some constant vector \vec{x}_0 such that the inequality $P(X_i > x_i/n) \le Cn^p \overline{F_i}(x_i), i \in \mathbb{I}$ holds for all $\vec{x} \ge n\vec{x}_0$ (c.f. [4]). Then, for $I_1(x, t)$, it follows from the above mentioned multidimensional regression property and Assumption 2.1, an upper bound can be constructed as follows:

$$I_{1}(\vec{x},t) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathsf{P}\left(X_{ij} > \frac{x_{i}}{n}, \sum_{1 \leq z \neq j \leq n} \theta_{z} \leq t, i \in \mathbb{I}\right)$$

$$\leq n\mathsf{P}(X_{i} > \frac{x_{i}}{n}, i \in \mathbb{I})\mathsf{P}(\tau_{n-d-1} \leq t)$$

$$\leq Cn^{mp+1}g(m) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{F_{i}}(x_{i})\mathsf{P}(\tau_{n-d-1} \leq t).$$
(20)

As for $I_k(\vec{x}, t), 2 \le k \le m$, using (4) and Assumption 2.1 again, we have

$$I_{k}(\vec{x},t) \leq \sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1},\dots,\mathbb{I}^{k}\}\in\mathcal{J}_{k}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq j_{s}\neq j_{l}\leq n,s\neq l,\\s,l=1,\dots,k}} \mathsf{P}\Big(\bigcap_{h=1}^{k} \{X_{qj_{h}} > \frac{x_{q}}{n}, q \in \mathbb{I}^{h}\}, \sum_{1\leq z\neq j_{1},\dots,j_{k}\leq n} \theta_{z} \leq t\Big)$$

$$\leq \sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1},\dots,\mathbb{I}^{k}\}\in\mathcal{J}_{k}} \prod_{h=1}^{k} (n-h+1)\widehat{C}_{\mathbb{I}^{h}}\big(\overline{F}\big(\frac{x_{q}}{n}\big), q \in \mathbb{I}^{h}\big)\mathsf{P}(\tau_{n-kd-1}\leq t)$$

$$\leq \sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1},\dots,\mathbb{I}^{k}\}\in\mathcal{J}_{k}} \prod_{h=1}^{k} (n-h+1)\Big[g(m)\prod_{q\in\mathbb{I}^{h}} (Cn^{p}\overline{F}(x_{q}))\Big]\mathsf{P}(\tau_{n-kd-1}\leq t)$$

$$\leq C\big(g(m)\big)^{k}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{F}_{i}(x_{i})\sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1},\dots,\mathbb{I}^{k}\}\in\mathcal{J}_{k}} n^{mp+k}\mathsf{P}(\tau_{n-kd-1}\leq t), \qquad (21)$$

where the identically distributed of θ_z and the independence between X_j and $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_{j+d+1}, \ldots$ are used in the first step, and then we complete the proof.

Now, we begin to show the proofs of Theorem 2.1.

In what follows, every limit relation is understood as valid uniformly for all $\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma}t$ as $t \to \infty$. Note that Theorem 2.1 follows immediate once we show that

$$\mathsf{P}(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}) \leq (\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i),$$
(22)

and

$$\mathsf{P}(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}) \gtrsim (\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i).$$
(23)

We first show the asymptotic upper bound. For small $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$P(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}) = P(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, N(t) \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t) + P(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, N(t) > \lambda t + \varepsilon t) =: K_1(\vec{x}, t) + K_2(\vec{x}, t).$$
(24)

As for $K_1(\vec{x}, t)$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and Assumption 2.1 that:

K

$$P(\vec{x},t) \leq P(\vec{S}_{\lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor} - \vec{\mu} \lambda t > \vec{x})$$

$$= P(\vec{S}_{\lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor} - \vec{\mu} \lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor > \vec{x} + \vec{\mu} \lambda t - \vec{\mu} \lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor)$$

$$\sim (\lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x'_i)$$

$$\lesssim (\lambda t + \varepsilon t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i((1 - \varepsilon \mu_i / \gamma_i) x_i), \qquad (25)$$

where $x'_i = x_i + \mu_i \lambda t - \mu_i \lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor \ge (1 - \varepsilon \mu_i / \gamma_i) x_i$ for $x_i \ge \gamma_i t, i \in \mathbb{I}$. Then applying the condition $F_i \in \mathcal{C}, i \in \mathbb{I}$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma}t} \frac{K_1(\vec{x}, t)}{(\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i)} \le 1.$$
(26)

Next, we estimate $K_2(\vec{x}, t)$. By (4), for every $p > \max\{J_{F_i}^+, i \in \mathbb{I}\}$, it follows from Assumption 2.1, we have

$$K_{2}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{n > \lambda t + \varepsilon t} \mathsf{P}(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, N(t) = n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n > \lambda t + \varepsilon t} \mathsf{P}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{X}_{k} > \vec{x}, \tau_{n} \le t)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{m} (g(m))^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{F}_{i}(x_{i}) \sum_{\{\mathbb{I}^{1}, \dots, \mathbb{I}^{k}\} \in \mathcal{J}_{k}} \sum_{n > \lambda t + \varepsilon t} n^{mp+k} \mathsf{P}(\tau_{n-md-1} \le t),$$
(27)

where Lemmas 3.3 is used in the third step. Then, by Lemma 3.3 of Li et al. [11], we have

$$K_2(\vec{x},t) = o(t) \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i).$$
(28)

This, coupled with (26), gives (22).

In the sequel, we show the asymptotic lower bound. Notice that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ small enough and $\nu > 1$, we get

$$P(\vec{S}(t) - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x})$$

$$\geq \sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} P(\vec{S}_n - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, N(t) = n)$$

$$\geq \sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} P(\vec{S}_n - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, \max_{1 \le j_i \le n} X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I}).$$
(29)

By virtue of Bonferroni's inequality, we can get

$$P\left(\vec{S}_{n} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, \max_{1 \le j_{i} \le n} X_{ij_{i}} > vx_{i}, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I}\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{1 \le j_{1}, \dots, j_{m} \le n} P(\vec{S}_{n} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, X_{ij_{i}} > vx_{i}, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$- \sum_{1 \le j_{1}, \dots, j_{m}, p_{1} \le n, j_{1} \neq p_{1}} P(X_{1p_{1}} > vx_{1}, X_{ij_{i}} > vx_{i}, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$\cdots$$

$$- \sum_{1 \le j_{1}, \dots, j_{m}, p_{m} \le n, j_{m} \neq p_{m}} P(X_{mp_{m}} > vx_{m}, X_{ij_{i}} > vx_{i}, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$=: J_{0}(\vec{x}, t) - J_{1}(\vec{x}, t) - \cdots - J_{m}(\vec{x}, t).$$
(30)

Let $\vec{S}_{n,(j_1,\cdots,j_k)} = \vec{S}_n - \sum_{i=1}^k \vec{X}_{j_i}$. Then we can derive that

$$J_0(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le j_1, \dots, j_m \le n \\ s \mid = 1 \ m}} \mathsf{P}(\vec{S}_n - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > \vec{x}, X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{1 \le j_i \neq j_s \le n, l \ne s \\ s \mid = 1 \ m}} \mathsf{P}(\vec{S}_{n, (j_1, \dots, j_m)} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > (1 - \nu)\vec{x}, X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, N(t) = n, i \in \mathbb{I})$$

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{1 \le j_l \neq j_s \le n, l \ne s \\ s, l=1, \dots, m}} \{ \mathsf{P}(\vec{S}_{n,(j_1, \cdots, j_m)} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > (1 - \nu)\vec{x}, N(t) = n | \vec{X}_{j_i} > \nu \vec{x}, i \in \mathbb{I}) \mathsf{P}(X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}) \}$$

$$\geq n(n-1) \cdots (n-m+1) \mathsf{P}(\vec{S}_{n,(1,2,\dots,m)} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > (1 - \nu)\vec{x}, N^*(t) = n) \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(\nu x_i),$$
(31)

where $N^*(t)$ is a generalized multi-delayed renewal counting process constructed as in (13). By choosing positive ε small enough such that $(1 - \varepsilon)\lambda\mu_i - \lambda\mu_i > (1 - \nu)\gamma_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}$, then it follows from the laws of large numbers for the partial sums $\vec{S}_{n,(1,...,m)}$, $n \ge 1$,

$$\mathsf{P}\big(\vec{S}_{\lfloor \lambda t - \varepsilon t \rfloor, (1, \dots, m)} - \vec{\mu} \lambda t > (1 - \nu)\vec{x}\big) = 1.$$
(32)

Then, the relation (31) yields

$$\sum_{\lambda t-\varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t+\varepsilon t} J_0(\vec{x},t) \ge \mathsf{P}\left(\vec{S}_{\lfloor \lambda t-\varepsilon t \rfloor,(1,...,m)} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > (1-\nu)\vec{x}, \left|\frac{N^*(t)-\lambda t}{t}\right| \le \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\lfloor \lambda t-\varepsilon t \rfloor\right) \dots \left(\lfloor \lambda t-\varepsilon t-m+1 \rfloor\right) \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(\nu x_i)$$

$$\ge \left(\mathsf{P}\left(\vec{S}_{\lfloor \lambda t-\varepsilon t \rfloor,(1,...,m)} - \vec{\mu}\lambda t > (1-\nu)\vec{x}\right) - \mathsf{P}\left(\left|\frac{N^*(t)-\lambda t}{t}\right| > \varepsilon\right)\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\lfloor \lambda t-\varepsilon t \rfloor\right) \dots \left(\lfloor \lambda t-\varepsilon t-m+1 \rfloor\right) \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(\nu x_i). \quad (33)$$

Hence, by the condition $F_i \in C$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \liminf_{\nu \downarrow 1} \liminf_{t \to \infty} \inf_{\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma}_t} \frac{\sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} J_0(\vec{x}, t)}{(\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(x_i)} \ge 1,$$
(34)

where the Lemma 3.1 and (32) are used. As for $J_1(\vec{x}, t)$, by interchanging the order of summations, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} J_1(\vec{x}, t) \le \sum_{\substack{j_1 \neq p_1, \\ 1 \le j_1, \dots, j_m, p_1 \le \lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor}} \sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} \mathsf{P}(N(t) = n | X_{1p_1} > \nu x_1, X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, i \in \mathbb{I})$$
$$\cdot \mathsf{P}(X_{1p_1} > \nu x_1, X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, i \in \mathbb{I})$$
$$\le \sum_{\substack{j_1 \neq p_1, \\ 1 \le j_1, \dots, j_m, p_1 \le \lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor}} \mathsf{P}(X_{1p_1} > \nu x_1, X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}).$$

By Assumption 2.1, the largest one of $P(X_{1p_1} > \nu x_1, X_{ij_i} > \nu x_i, i \in \mathbb{I})$ in the above display is $(g(m))^{\frac{(m+1)}{2}}\overline{F}_1(\nu x_1)\prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(\nu x_i)$. Hence,

$$\sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} J_1(\vec{x}, t) \le \left(g(m)\right)^{\frac{(m+1)}{2}} (\lfloor \lambda t + \varepsilon t \rfloor)^{m+1} \overline{F}_1(vx_1) \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(vx_i).$$

Then, by virtue of $\mu_1 < \infty$, we have $t\overline{F}_1(\nu x_1) \le \gamma_1^{-1} x_1 \overline{F}_1(\nu x_1) \to 0$, which implies that

$$\lim_{\nu \downarrow 1} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma}t} \frac{\sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} J_1(\vec{x}, t)}{(\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(\nu x_i)} = 0.$$
(35)

Similarly, we can show

$$\lim_{v \downarrow 1} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\vec{x} \ge \vec{\gamma}t} \frac{\sum_{\lambda t - \varepsilon t \le n \le \lambda t + \varepsilon t} J_s(\vec{x}, t)}{(\lambda t)^m \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{F}_i(vx_i)} = 0, s = 2, \dots, m.$$
(36)

Hence, by combining (34)–(36), (23) follows, as desired, and the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.

Acknowledgments. The authors are very grateful to all the reviewers for their useful suggestions in improving this paper. In particular, according to the suggestions of members of the editorial board, the authors have strengthened the discussions on technical novelty in the introduction of the paper to make our contribution more transparent.

Funding statement. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12371150 and 11971432) and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LY23A010001).

Competing interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

References

- Asimit, A.V. & Badescu, A.L. (2010). Extremes on the discounted aggregate claims in a time dependent risk model. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal 2010(2): 93–104.
- [2] Bi, X. & Zhang, S. (2013). Precise large deviations of aggregate claims in a risk model with regression-type sizedependence. *Statistics & Probability Letters* 83(10): 2248–2255.
- [3] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., & Teugels, J.L. (1989). Regular variation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Chen, Y. & Yuen, K.C. (2012). Precise large deviations of aggragate claims in a size-dependent renewal risk model. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 51(2): 457–461.
- [5] Chen, Y., Yuen, K.C., & Ng, K.W. (2011). Asymptotics for the ruin probabilities of a two-dimensional renewal risk model with heavy-tailed claims. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry* 27(3): 290–300.
- [6] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., & Mikosch, T. (1997). Modelling extremal events for insurance and finance. New York: Springer.
- [7] Fu, K. & Li, J. (2018). Precise large deviations of aggregate claims in a risk model with size-dependence and nonstationary arrivals. *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods* 47(3): 698–707.
- [8] Fu, K. & Liu, Y. (2022). Ruin probabilities for a multidimensional risk model with non-stationary arrivals and subexponential claims. *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences* 36(3): 799–811.
- [9] Korchevsky, V.M. & Petrov, V.V. (2010). On the strong law of large numbers for sequences of dependent random variables. *Vestnik St. Petersburg University: Mathematics* 43(3): 143–147.
- [10] Li, J., Tang, Q., & Wu, R. (2010). Subexponential tails of discounted aggregate claims in a time-dependent renewal risk model. Advances in Applied Probability 42(4): 1126–1146.
- [11] Li, R., Bi, X., & Zhang, S. (2020). Large deviations for sums of claims in a general renewal risk model with the regression dependent structure. *Statistics & Probability Letters* 165(2020): 108857.
- [12] Loukissas, F. (2019). Precise large deviations for strong subexponential distributions and applications on a multi-risk model. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods 48(20): 5175–5190.
- [13] Lu, D. (2012). Lower bounds of large deviation for sums of long-tailed claims in a multi-risk model. *Statistics & Probability Letters* 82(7): 1242–1250.
- [14] Shen, X., Fu, K., & Zhong, X. (2018). Precise large deviations for sums of random vectors in a multidimensional sizedependent renewal risk model. *Applied Mathematics A Journal of Chinese Universities* 33(4): 491–502.
- [15] Shen, X., Ge, M., & Fu, K. (2020). Approximation of the tail probabilities for bidimensional randomly weighted sums with dependent components. *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences* 34(1): 112–130.
- [16] Shen, X., Niu, Y., & Tian, H. (2017). Precise large deviations for sums of random vectors with dependent components of consistently varying tails. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China* 12(3): 711–732.
- [17] Tang, Q. & Tsitsiashvili, G. (2003). Precise estimates for the ruin probability in finite horizon in a discretetime model with heavy-tailed insurance and financial risks. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* 10(2): 299–325.
- [18] Wang, K., Wang, Y., & Gao, Q. (2013). Uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probability of a dependent risk model with a constant interest rate. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability* 15(1): 109–124.
- [19] Wang, S. & Wang, W. (2013). Precise large deviations for sums of random variables with consistent variation in dependent multi-risk models. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 42(24): 4444–4459.

Cite this article: Liu Y., Fu KA. and Chen Z. (2024). Precise large deviations for a multidimensional risk model with regression dependence structure. *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences* 38(2): 448–457. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269964823000220

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 3.147.61.35, on 24 Jun 2024 at 15:11:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269964823000220