

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, H$, then

$$G(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} F(k) \tag{2}$$

for all these n .

But the truth of (1) for a single n by no means implies the truth of (2) for that n .

Yours sincerely,

H. M. FINUCAN

Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, St Lucia 4067, Australia

Chemical correspondence continued

DEAR DOUGLAS,

I was most interested to read about your ingenious use of splines to solve Dr Morris's problem in *Gazette* No. 426 (December 1979). An alternative method is as follows.

Let $N(t)$ be the number of distributions with total t . Then $N(t)$ is the coefficient of x^t in

$$(x^{-t} + x^{-t+1} + \dots + x^t)^n.$$

(To see this, use Dr Morris's description of the problem on p. 234 and imagine multiplying out n brackets, each being $(x^{-t} + x^{-t+1} + \dots + x^t)$.) Therefore $N(t)$ is the coefficient of x^{t+nI} in

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + x + \dots + x^{2I})^n \\ &= (1 - x^J)^n (1 - x)^{-n}, \quad \text{where } J = 2I + 1 \text{ as in your article.} \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \binom{n}{1} x^J + \binom{n}{2} x^{2J} - \dots + (-1)^n \binom{n}{n} x^{nJ} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ 1 + \binom{n}{1} x + \binom{n+1}{2} x^2 + \binom{n+2}{3} x^3 + \dots \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let q be the quotient and r the remainder when $t + nI$ is divided by J , so that

$$t + nI = qJ + r, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq r < J.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(t) &= \binom{n+t+nI-1}{t+nI} - \binom{n}{1} \binom{n+t+nI-J-1}{t+nI-J} + \binom{n}{2} \binom{n+t+nI-2J-1}{t+nI-2J} - \dots \\ & \quad + (-1)^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{n+r-1}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that this agrees with your formula at the foot of p. 237. Note that both our methods use inequalities somewhere. They are explicit in your $(x)_t^{n-1}$ and in my definition of q and r .

Yours sincerely,

ROBIN MCLEAN

School of Education, The University, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX

I am grateful also to Dr G. N. Thwaites, of Rugby School, to Mr M. G. Kenward, and to Professor R. S. Pinkham, of Stevens Institute of Technology, New Jersey, who wrote along similar lines, but were 'beaten at the post' by Dr McLean. D.A.Q.