

This was, however, not the case in group B, where two individuals that had been raised together and who obviously had a strong bond spent most of their active time harassing the third group-member. The fact that the conflicts never escalated may be due to the big enclosure they were kept in (6x6x6 m), allowing them to avoid each other.

Previous failures of reintroducing gibbons into a (semi-) natural environment were partly due to the fact that the quality of the relationships of the to be released gibbons had only been poorly assessed. To reduce the number of these failures two steps are therefore necessary.

Step 1: assessing the quality of relationships objectively, ie searching for objective, reliable measures which indicate pair-bonding in adults and stable relationships in juveniles.

Step 2: assessing whether this pair-bonding status or stability in relationships can indeed predict failure or success of reintroduction.

This research has exclusively focused on the first step. Future releases will show whether this way of selecting gibbons for release produces false positives.

Monique W de Veer and Ruud van den Bos
Animal Welfare Centre
Utrecht
The Netherlands

References

- Bennett J A** 1992 A glut of gibbons in Sarawak – is rehabilitation the answer? *Oryx* 26: 157-164
- Brockelman W Y** 1990 Requirements for a successful gibbon management program in Thailand. In: S Erichson *et al* (eds) *Laboratory Animal Health for all Proceedings of the IXth ICLAS International Symposium on Laboratory Animal Science*, Bangkok, January 10-16. Mahidol University Press: Bangkok
- Brockelman W Y, Ross B A and Pantuwatana S** 1974 Social interactions of adult gibbons (*Hylobates lar*) in an experimental colony. In: Rumburgh D M (ed) *Gibbon and Siamang* 3, vol 1, pp 137-156. Karger: Basel

Geissmann T and Orgeldinger M 1997 Pair bond and duet songs in siamanga (*Hylobates syndactylus*). In: Taborsky M and Taborsky B (eds) *Advances in Ethology* 32. Oxford, Blackwell Wissenschafts-Verlag Berlin: Vienna

Leighton D R 1986 Gibbons: Territoriality and monogamy. In: B B Smuts, D L Cheney, R M Seyforth, R W Wrangham and T T Struhsaker (eds) *Primate Societies*, pp 135-146. Chicago University Press: Chicago, USA

Mootnick A R 1997 Management of gibbons *hylobates spp* at the International Center for Gibbon Studies, California, with a special note on pileated gibbons *Hylobates pileatus*. *International Zoo Yearbook* 35: 271-279

Morin T D 1994 Gibbon rehabilitation procedures in Thailand. *Journal of Wildlife Rehabilitation* 17: 3-6

Welfare implications of culling red deer (*Cervus elaphus*)

Sir,

In your February issue, a paper by E L Bradshaw and P Bateson claims that on 29 August 1995 a deer was attacked by hounds belonging to the Quantock Staghounds. It was not. The video footage shown on television, and upon which this claim is based, was stopped at the point the hounds were apparently on top of the deer. The tape went on to show hounds over running the deer without attacking it. I would be grateful if you would set the record straight on this.

Nigel Muers Raby
Chairman
Quantock Staghounds

Dr Bradshaw and Professor Bateson reply:

We replayed slowly the video of the hounds catching up with the stag which fell during a hunt on 29 August 1995. After many viewings we were convinced that the hounds did bite the stag, although we have stated repeatedly that the control of hounds is generally impressive. Since we submitted our paper to *Animal Welfare*, a much clearer case of hounds biting a stag has