

COMPOSITION THEOREMS ON DIRICHLET SERIES

M. D. Penry

(received October 18, 1962)

1. When two uniform functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{-\lambda_n-1}$, $g(z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu} z^{-\mu_{\nu}-1}$ are given, each with a finite radius of absolute convergence R_1, R_2 respectively, and $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_{\nu}\}$ are real positive increasing sequences tending to infinity, a theorem due to Eggleston [1], which is a generalisation of Hurwitz's composition theorem, gives information about the position of the singularities of a composition function $h(z)$, which is assumed to be uniform, in terms of the position of the singularities of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. This result can be extended to Dirichlet series with real exponents by use of the transformation $z = e^s$.

If $\{a_n\}, \{b_{\nu}\}$ are sequences of complex numbers and if the functions $F(s), G(s)$ and $H(s)$ are given by the Dirichlet series

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} F(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}, & G(s) &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu} e^{-\mu_{\nu} s}, \\ H(s) &= \sum_{n, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_n b_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_n + \mu_{\nu} - 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_n) \Gamma(\mu_{\nu})} e^{-(\lambda_n + \mu_{\nu} - 1)s}, \end{aligned}$$

the following result comes immediately from Eggleston's theorem:

THEOREM 1. If the Dirichlet series $F(s)$, $G(s)$ have finite abscissae of absolute convergence σ_F , σ_G respectively, then the composition series $H(s)$ is absolutely convergent in $R(s) > \log_e (e^{\sigma_F} F + e^{\sigma_G} G)$. Further $H(s)$ can be continued analytically to all points of the S -plane except those points γ which are such that (i) $\gamma = \log_e (e^\alpha + e^\beta)$ or (ii) γ is separated from the half plane of regularity of $H(s)$ by a singular line. Here α belongs to the closure of the singularities of $F(s)$ and β to the closure of the singularities of $G(s)$. The expressions $\log_e (e^\alpha + e^\beta)$ are to be taken in all their determinations.

2. Although Theorem 1 has no immediate transformation into a composition theorem on Dirichlet series with complex exponents, there are certain special cases of this type of series for which we can consider the absolute convergence of the composition series $H(s)$ of the form given in (1). We consider here two such special cases.

In the proof of Theorem 2 we will need the following lemma, which includes a result due to Schwengeler [3].

LEMMA 1* . If the sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded and the series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$$

absolutely convergent at a point s_0 , then for any other s there exists a square with centre at s_0 within which the series is absolutely and uniformly convergent. Further, the series $F(s)$ represents an integral function

We can assume without loss of generality that the point at which the series is absolutely convergent is the origin. The

* I am indebted to the referee for the form taken by this lemma.

series is then absolutely convergent at a point s if $|e^{-\lambda_n s}|$ is bounded for all n .

If $\lambda_n = \alpha_n + i\beta_n$ and $s = \sigma + i\tau$, we have

$$|\exp\{-\lambda_n s\}| = \exp\{-R(\lambda_n s)\} = \exp\{\tau\beta_n - \sigma\alpha_n\}$$

$$\leq \exp\{|\tau||\beta_n| + |\sigma||\alpha_n|\} \leq \exp\{|\sigma| + |\tau|\},$$

since we may assume $|\lambda_n| \leq 1$.

It follows that $|e^{-\lambda_n s}|$ is bounded if there exists a constant K such that

$$\exp\{|\sigma| + |\tau|\} \leq K,$$

or else

$$|\sigma| + |\tau| \leq \log K = K'.$$

It is clear that once $s = \sigma + i\tau$ is known, K can be chosen, but will depend on s . The series is therefore absolutely convergent when s lies within the square

$$|\sigma| + |\tau| \leq K',$$

and hence, according to Hille [2], the series is uniformly convergent inside this square.

If we allow K to become large, this square covers the whole finite plane and we have Schwengeler's result that the series $F(s)$ represents an integral function.

We now examine the two special cases mentioned above. (a) Suppose $F(s)$, $G(s)$ represent two Dirichlet series with sequences of complex exponents $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\{\mu_\nu\}$, respectively, such that both sequences are bounded, i. e. $|\lambda_n| < \lambda$, $|\mu_\nu| < \mu$

and that both $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ and $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |b_\nu|$ are convergent. Then

if the composition series $H(s)$ is given by (1) we have the following result:

THEOREM 2. The composition series $H(s)$ of (1) represents an integral function, provided only that for all n and ν , $(\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1)$ is not zero or a negative integer, and does not have any of these values as a limit point.

It will be sufficient for the proof of the theorem if we show that $H(s)$ is of the same form as $F(s)$ and $G(s)$. That is, we will show that the series representing $H(s)$ is absolutely convergent at one point, and the exponents of this series are bounded. The conditions of Lemma 1 thus are satisfied, and $H(s)$ is an integral function.

Since $|\lambda_n| < \lambda$ and $|\mu_\nu| < \mu$, the ratio

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_n) \cdot \Gamma(\mu_\nu)} \right|$$

will be bounded because of the conditions imposed on $(\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1)$.

Also $\Sigma |a_n b_\nu|$ is convergent because of the convergence of $\Sigma |a_n|$ and $\Sigma |b_\nu|$. Thus the series

$$\Sigma \left| a_n b_\nu \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_n) \Gamma(\mu_\nu)} \right|$$

is convergent.

Since the exponents $\{\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1\}$ of $H(s)$ are bounded, $H(s)$ is of the same form as $F(s)$ and $G(s)$ and therefore, by Lemma 1, represents an integral function.

It is worth noting that the condition that $(\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1)$ does not have zero or a negative integer as a limit point is necessary.

This may be seen by considering the case where $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$, $\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\nu}$, when $\Gamma(\lambda_n + \mu_\nu - 1)$ is not bounded.

(b) Suppose now that the Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$ is of the form considered in (a) (i. e. $\sum |a_n|$ convergent and $|\lambda_n| < \lambda$) and that the Dirichlet series $G(s) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu} e^{-\mu_{\nu} s}$ is such that $\sum |b_{\nu}|$ is convergent, and $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_{\nu}| = \infty$. We have the following result concerning the region of absolute convergence of the composition series $H(s)$ given by (1).

THEOREM 3. If the Dirichlet series $F(s)$ and $G(s)$ have the property that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all n and ν

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg(\lambda_n + \mu_{\nu} - 2)| &< \pi - \delta, \quad |\arg(\lambda_n + \mu_{\nu} - 1)| \leq \pi - \delta, \\ |\arg(\mu_{\nu} - 1)| &\leq \pi - \delta, \quad |\arg \mu_{\nu}| \leq \pi - \delta, \end{aligned}$$

and if further $R(\lambda_n - 1) \leq 0$ for all n , then the Dirichlet series $H(s)$ is absolutely convergent at least in the region of absolute convergence S_G of $G(s)$, with perhaps the exception of the point at infinity if this belongs to S_G .

Consider

$$(3) \quad H(s) = \sum_{n, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_n b_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda'_n + \mu'_{\nu} + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda'_n + 1) \Gamma(\mu'_{\nu} + 1)} e^{-(\lambda'_n + \mu'_{\nu} + 1)s}$$

where we have put

$$\lambda'_n + 1 = \lambda_n, \quad \mu'_{\nu} + 1 = \mu_{\nu}.$$

From the asymptotic expansion [4]

$$\log \Gamma(z+a) = (z+a-\frac{1}{2}) \log z - z + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + o(1),$$

(where the principal value of the logarithm is taken) valid for

$$|\arg z| \leq \pi - \delta, \quad |\arg(z+a)| \leq \pi - \delta \quad (\delta > 0), \quad 0 < z \leq 1$$

and $|z|$ sufficiently large, we have, for sufficiently large $|\mu'_\nu|$,

$$\log \frac{\Gamma(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu'_\nu + 1)} = \lambda'_n \log(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu) + (\mu'_\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \log(1 + \frac{\lambda'_n}{\mu'_\nu}) - \lambda'_n + o(1).$$

Consider the expression

$$(4) \quad (\mu'_\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \log(1 + \frac{\lambda'_n}{\mu'_\nu}) - \lambda'_n.$$

The function $\log(1+z)$ is analytic for $|z| < 1$ and has the Taylor expansion

$$\log(1+z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

Thus for sufficiently large $|\mu'_\nu|$, (4) has the form

$$(\mu'_\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} \left\{ \frac{\lambda'_n}{\mu'_\nu} \right\}^{n+1} - \lambda'_n = o(1).$$

Thus

$$(5) \quad \log \frac{\Gamma(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu'_\nu + 1)} = R(\lambda'_n) \log |\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu| - \mathcal{G}(\lambda'_n) \arg(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu) + i[R(\lambda'_n) \arg(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu) + \mathcal{G}(\lambda'_n) \log |\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu|] + o(1).$$

We see therefore that the real part of (5) is governed by the term $R(\lambda'_n) \log |\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu|$, for the second term is bounded, since

$$0 \leq |\arg(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu)| < \pi.$$

Hence, provided that $R(\lambda'_n) \leq 0$, we see that

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu'_\nu + 1)}$$

is bounded, and so the series (3) converges absolutely for $s = 0$, provided also that $(\lambda'_n + \mu'_\nu + 1)$ is not zero or a negative integer.

The series $H(s)$ is therefore of the same form as $G(s)$.

The series $H(s)$ obviously converges absolutely in the region of absolute convergence of $G(s)$ except perhaps for the point at infinity.

REFERENCES

1. H. G. Eggleston, A generalization of the Hurwitz composition theorem to irregular power series, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 47 (1951), pp. 477-482.
2. E. Hille, Note on Dirichlet series with complex exponents, Ann. of Math. (2), 25, (1924) pp. 261-78.
3. E. Schwengeler, Geometrisches über die Verteilung der Nullstellen spezieller ganzer Funktionen, Dissertation, Zurich (1925).
4. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, Cambridge (1902).

University College of Wales,
Aberystwyth