### **NEGLECTED VOICES IN AFRICAN STUDIES**

# Chinweizu's Vision: Unveiling the Complexities of Pan-Africanism and African Sovereignty

Richard Atimniraye Nyelade 回

University of Ottawa Email: ratim026@uottawa.ca

(Received 08 February 2024; revised 06 September 2024; accepted 08 November 2024)

## Abstract

The paper critically revisits Chinweizu's contributions to Pan-Africanism and African sovereignty, focusing on his analysis of Arab and Western imperialism, internal complicity, and the concept of "culturecide." His call for a distinct Black African identity is explored as a foundation for reclaiming sovereignty, while also addressing critiques of nativism and essentialism. A nuanced approach to decolonization is proposed, emphasizing its relevance in today's globalized world. Chinweizu's ideas challenge a rethinking of the intersections between history, culture, and power in the ongoing quest for African autonomy.

### Résumé

Cet article revisite de manière critique les contributions de Chinweizu au panafricanisme et à la souveraineté africaine, en concentrant son analyse sur l'impérialisme arabe et occidental, la complicité interne et le concept de « culturecide ». Son appel à une identité noire africaine distincte est exploré comme un fondement pour revendiquer la souveraineté tout en répondant aux critiques du nativisme et de l'essentialisme. Une approche nuancée de la décolonisation est proposée, soulignant sa pertinence dans le monde globalisé d'aujourd'hui. Les idées de Chinweizu remettent en question la nécessité de repenser les intersections entre l'histoire, la culture et le pouvoir dans la quête continue de l'autonomie africaine.

### Resumo

Este artigo revisita atentamente os contributos de Chinweizu para o pan-africanismo e a soberania africana, centrando-se na sua análise do imperialismo árabe e ocidental, da cumplicidade interna e do conceito de "culturcídio". O seu apelo à criação de uma identidade negra Africana diferente é explorado enquanto alicerce para exigir a

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of African Studies Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

#### 112 Richard Atimniraye Nyelade

soberania, ao mesmo tempo que critica o nativismo e o essencialismo. Propõe-se aqui uma abordagem um pouco diferente da descolonização, com ênfase na sua relevância para o atual mundo globalizado. As ideias de Chinweizu desafiam-nos a repensar as intersecções entre história, cultura e poder, na contínua procura da autonomia africana.

**Keywords:** Chinweizu; Pan-Africanism; culturecide; Black African identity; Arab and Western imperialism

### Introduction

In the dynamic and often contentious field of African studies, the contributions of Chinweizu stand out as both groundbreaking and provocative. His works (1973, 1975, 1978, 1983, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1990, 2021, 2022, 2023) have become seminal texts in the discourse on decolonization, African autonomy, and the critique of colonial legacies. Yet, despite his profound influence, Chinweizu remains an underrepresented figure in contemporary scholarship, overshadowed by more fashionable decolonial theorists, particularly those from Latin America, such as Annibal Quijano and Walter Mignolo, who dominate the contemporary intellectual landscape of prestigious Global North institutions. This article aims to rectify this oversight by revisiting Chinweizu's insights into the root causes of African civilization's downfall and proposing pathways for its resurgence.

Chinweizu's analysis of African history is anchored in his concept of "culturecide," which he identifies as a primary factor in the decline of African civilizations. He argues that the systematic destruction of African cultural frameworks by Africans themselves and colonial powers did more than just subjugate African societies; it incapacitated them, stripping away their ability to resist ongoing genocidal threats. This cultural annihilation, as Chinweizu contends, has left Africa vulnerable to the continued encroachments of internal and external powers, both European and Arab. The persistence of these vulnerabilities, he suggests, is evident in the ongoing "race war" that has plagued Black Africans for over 2,500 years.

Central to Chinweizu's critique is his assertion that the twenty-first century's most pressing issue for Africa is the problem of "African Power"—the necessity of building sufficient strength to end the long history of defeats and to ensure the continent's survival and dignity. He critiques the "Lugardist states," referring to the artificial colonial boundaries and political structures established since the Berlin Conference, which, by adhering to Westphalian principles and serving external interests, have obstructed the emergence of genuine African sovereignty and power. For Chinweizu, the failure to secure collective security, to build a powerful, industrialized "Black African state," and to reassert a strong African identity are the greatest challenges facing the continent today.

Furthermore, this article will explore Chinweizu's reflections on the downfall of African civilization, delving into his critiques of cultural and religious subjugation, the loss of sovereignty, and the internalization of negrophobic sentiments among Africans. Furthermore, this paper will explore his proposed solutions, including the need to build a "Black African superpower," inspired by the vision of Marcus Garvey, and the establishment of a collective security organization, similar to NATO, for the Global Black African community. By situating Chinweizu within the broader context of decolonial thought, this study seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on the revival of African civilization and the restoration of its autonomy on the global stage.

In addition to exploring Chinweizu's insights and proposed solutions, this article will also engage with the critiques of his work. These critiques include concerns about his tendency toward nativism, his cultural essentialism, and the polemical style that has both defined and constrained his influence. To address these issues, the article will draw on the perspectives of scholars such as Kwame Anthony Appiah (1993), who objects Chinweizu's essentialist views, Neil Larsen (2022), who critiques the jargon of decoloniality; Arjun Appadurai (2021), who discusses the future and past of decolonization; and Olúfémi Táíwò (2022), who challenges the notion of decolonization by advocating for a more nuanced understanding of African agency. By incorporating these critiques, the article aims to provide a balanced and comprehensive assessment of Chinweizu's contributions to African thought and the broader decolonial movement.

### Chinweizu's Background and Intellectual Journey

Chinweizu Ibekwe, universally known simply as Chinweizu, is a seminal figure whose extensive work spans criticism, poetry, and journalism. Born on March 26, 1943, in Eluoma, Isuikwuato, located in Nigeria's Abia State, Chinweizu has carved out a significant place for himself as a formidable critic, insightful essayist, and thought-provoking poet. His journey from the small town of Eluoma to the global stage as a scholar and critic reflects his intellectual growth and deep engagement with the critical issues facing Africa and its diaspora.

Chinweizu's educational journey began at the Government Secondary School in Afikpo and took him to the prestigious halls of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). At MIT, he pursued studies in philosophy and mathematics, earning a Bachelor of Science degree in 1967.

Chinweizu enrolled in the PhD program at the State University of New York (SUNY) at Buffalo, where he studied under the supervision of the renowned political scientist Claude E. Welch Jr. His dissertation was centered on a critical examination of Western imperialism and its devastating impact on Africa, a theme that would later define much of his intellectual work. However, during the course of his doctoral studies, Chinweizu encountered significant friction with his dissertation committee.

The precise nature of the disagreement between Chinweizu and his committee remains somewhat opaque, but it is generally understood that the discord arose from fundamental differences in intellectual perspectives. Chinweizu's approach, which was unapologetically critical of Western academia's Eurocentric paradigms, likely clashed with the more conventional expectations of his committee. Chinweizu's manuscript, which would eventually be published as *The West and the Rest of Us: White Predators, Black Slavers, and the African Elite* in 1975 by Random House, was a scathing indictment of Western imperialism and its collaborators within African elites. The radical tone and content of this work may have been too contentious for his committee, leading to a breakdown in their relationship. In response to the impasse, Chinweizu made a bold decision to withdraw his manuscript from the committee's review process. Rather than allowing the dispute to derail his academic aspirations, he took the unconventional step of publishing his dissertation as a book. The publication of *The West and the Rest of Us* was met with significant acclaim, establishing Chinweizu as a formidable intellectual force in postcolonial studies.

Following the book's publication, Chinweizu returned to SUNY Buffalo, where he demanded that his work be recognized as his dissertation. The impact of the book and its reception in the intellectual community was undeniable, and the university ultimately awarded him his PhD in 1976, one year after the book's release. This sequence of events not only resolved the disagreement with his advisors but also underscored the strength of Chinweizu's convictions and his commitment to intellectual independence.

The fallout from this episode had lasting implications for Chinweizu's career. The successful publication of his dissertation without the initial approval of his committee demonstrated his willingness to challenge established academic norms and assert his voice in the discourse on African decolonization. This experience also solidified his reputation as a polemicist unafraid to confront both Western and African elites, a stance that would characterize much of his subsequent work. Through this dispute, Chinweizu's scholarly trajectory was defined not by compromise, but by a steadfast adherence to his principles, ultimately setting the stage for his influential role in the intellectual decolonization movement.

Chinweizu's work has consistently focused on decolonizing the African mind and critiquing the remnants of colonialism in African literature and society. His scholarly interests are diverse, encompassing literature, African history, gender studies, African studies, and Pan-Africanism. After completing his education, Chinweizu briefly entered academia, teaching at MIT and San Jose State University, where he served as an associate professor in Afro-American studies until 1979. Disillusioned with the constraints of academia, he transitioned to journalism, contributing to *South* magazine in London and becoming one of the founding editorial members of *The Guardian* newspaper in Nigeria.

During the tumultuous period of the Nigerian Civil War, Chinweizu channeled his intellect and passion into the cause of Biafra by founding and editing the *Biafra Review* from 1969 to 1970. This was a time of both intellectual awakening and political activism for him, as he engaged deeply with the issues of African identity and sovereignty. His return to Nigeria marked a significant phase in his career as he became a vital voice in the nation's literary and journalistic spheres. As a columnist for *The Guardian* of Lagos and an editor of the literary magazine *Okike*, Chinweizu critiqued the elitism of some Nigerian authors, most notably Wole Soyinka, sparking vibrant debates on the direction of African literature.

Central to Chinweizu's work is his critique of both Arab and European colonial legacies in Africa. He argues that both forms of imperialism have left deep scars on the African continent, necessitating a nuanced understanding of Africa's historical and ongoing struggles with colonization and Islamization. His exploration of themes such as colonialism, gender roles, and the impact of Arab and European colonization on Africa challenges readers to reconsider historical

narratives and contemporary realities. In his view, the transatlantic slave trade, which he argues was not merely a trade but a form of warfare, targeted specifically Black Africans. He emphasizes that both Arabs and Europeans enslaved only people of Black complexion, leaving a lasting impact on the identities and histories of these populations.

# Chineizu's Vision of Africa's Glorious Past: Achievements and Contributions

Following the introduction of Chinweizu's intellectual journey and contributions to African thought, it is crucial to delve into his portrayal of Africa's glorious past. This examination not only highlights Africa's rich heritage but also provides context for understanding Chinweizu's critiques of its downfall and his proposed pathways for resurgence.

Chinweizu emphasizes that before its downfall and subsequent conquest by various foreign powers, Africa was a cradle of civilization, making significant advancements in science, technology, and culture. In his article "432 Centuries of Recorded Science and Technology in Black Africa" (2021) he meticulously documents these achievements, underscoring the continent's contributions to global human history.

One of the primary areas Chinweizu explores is the domestication of plants and animals. He notes that African civilizations domesticated various plants and animals thousands of years before other regions, such as Southwest Asia. He writes, "During the 1970s and 1980s, American and European investigators discovered evidence of such African scientific achievements as the domestication of assorted plants in The Egyptian Nile Valley ca. 18000 BP and domesticated cattle in the Kenyan Highlands, ca. 15000 BP" (Chinweizu 2021, 10). This early agricultural innovation played a crucial role in the development of complex societies in Africa.

Chinweizu also highlights Africa's metallurgical advancements, particularly the ancient expertise in metallurgy. He refers to the production of carbon steel in Tanzania, noting that it involved "using techniques the discoverers called 'semiconductor technology—the growing of crystals" (Chinweizu 2021, 10). This indicates that African societies had developed sophisticated methods for manipulating materials long before similar technologies emerged elsewhere.

Another remarkable achievement is the early African understanding of mathematics, evidenced by artifacts like the Ishango bone. Chinweizu points out that the Ishango bone "was first dated to 8,500 BP. That date made J. D. Bernal, the British historian of science, call it 'the oldest scientific document we know so far" (Chinweizu 2021, 14). This artifact is one of the earliest examples of mathematical notation, demonstrating the advanced intellectual capabilities of African societies.

The technological sophistication of Ancient Egypt is another focal point of Chinweizu's work. He elaborates on their achievements in science and technology, particularly in the construction of the pyramids and the use of coordinate geometry. He asserts, "The math of the pyramid age, the math they used to build the pyramids 50 centuries ago is the same as the modern math independently discovered in the 20C and used by modern computers" (Chinweizu 2021, 17). This statement reflects the enduring legacy of African knowledge systems and their influence on modern science.

Finally, Chinweizu underscores the advanced astronomy and calendars developed by the Ancient Egyptians. He highlights their use of a dual calendar system, stating, "The Ancient Egyptians used two main calendars: the civil or solar calendar of 365 days to the year and the Sothic or Sidereal calendar of 365¼ days. They are the origin of the calendar we are all still using today" (Chinweizu 2021, 20). This demonstrates the deep understanding of astronomy and timekeeping in ancient African civilizations, which laid the groundwork for subsequent developments in these fields.

Chinweizu's analysis of Africa's past glories and contributions to global civilization resonates strongly with the works of scholars such as Anténor Firmin, Martin Bernal, Ivan Van Sertima, and Cheikh Anta Diop. These scholars have been instrumental in challenging the Eurocentric narratives that have long dominated the understanding of world history. Anténor Firmin, in his seminal work The Equality of the Human Races (1885), argued against the pseudo-scientific racism of his time, asserting the intellectual and cultural capabilities of African civilizations. Similarly, Martin Bernal's Black Athena: The Afroasiatic Roots of Classical Civilization (1987) posits that Ancient Greek civilization was heavily influenced by Afroasiatic cultures, particularly those of Egypt, a view that aligns with Chinweizu's emphasis on the advanced scientific and technological achievements of Ancient Egypt. Ivan Van Sertima, in They Came Before Columbus: The African Presence in Ancient America (1976), argues for the presence and influence of African peoples in pre-Columbian America, echoing Chinweizu's broader claim of Africa's far-reaching influence on global civilizations. Cheikh Anta Diop, in The African Origin of Civilization: Myth or Reality (1974), presented compelling evidence that Ancient Egypt was fundamentally African in its culture and ethnicity, a point that Chinweizu also emphasizes in his discussions of African achievements in science, mathematics, and astronomy. Collectively, these scholars, including Chinweizu, have worked to reframe the narrative of African history, asserting the continent's foundational role in the development of global civilization.

However, the assertions of African antecedence in various global achievements have faced significant challenges. Scholars such as Mary Lefkowitz and others have raised concerns about the robustness of the evidence supporting these claims, arguing that it is often selective or interpretative rather than conclusive. In her book *Not Out of Africa: How Afrocentrism Became an Excuse to Teach Myth as History* (1996), Lefkowitz contends that many Afrocentrist claims contradict established historical evidence and are driven more by political motives than by rigorous scholarship. Similarly, critics such as Stephen Howe in *Afrocentrism: Mythical Pasts and Imagined Homes* (1998) have pointed out that the methodologies employed by proponents of African antecedence, like Bernal in *Black Athena* (1987), often rely heavily on speculative connections rather than solid historical evidence. These critiques highlight the ongoing debate within African studies, underscoring the difficulties of integrating new historical perspectives with established academic standards. Despite these criticisms, the works of Chinweizu and his intellectual contemporaries continue to be influential, sparking important discussions about the true origins and contributions of African civilizations to global history. While acknowledging the critiques of Afrocentrism as challenging certain methodological rigor, it is essential to consider that such critiques may sometimes overlook the broader purpose of figures like Chinweizu, whose work, although potentially flawed in methodology, aims to assert a historical agency for African civilizations that has been persistently marginalized in traditional scholarship; thus, while Chinweizu's essentialist claims may not entirely withstand academic scrutiny by established standards, they nonetheless serve a critical function in expanding historical discourse and challenging Eurocentric and Arabcentric narratives, which may, at the very least, compel a more nuanced reexamination of African contributions within global history.

# Self-Sabotage: Chinweizu's Reflections on African Agency in Civilization's Downfall

Chinweizu's poem "Chinweizu on Ancestors' Anger" (2010b) from the *Collection of Articles by Professor Chinweizu*, strongly critiques the internal factors contributing to the downfall of African civilization, emphasizing how Africans themselves have perpetuated their own subjugation. He portrays a deep sense of betrayal by Africans who, through their actions and mindset, have facilitated their continued oppression, leading to the anger of their ancestors.

One of the most striking aspects of Chinweizu's critique is his condemnation of Africans who have abandoned their cultural and racial identity, seeking validation and identity from foreign influences. He laments, "For two and a half millennia / Driven by brainwashed shame, / They have bleached their black identity, / Scraping it off like shit from their fine skin; / They have scrammed from their black identity / Like a man fleeing his menacing shadows!" (Chinweizu 2010b, 343). This quote illustrates the self-inflicted damage that Africans have caused by rejecting their own heritage in favor of foreign identities, contributing to their ongoing subjugation.

Chinweizu critiques the role of religion in the downfall of African civilization, specifically condemning the adoption of foreign religions and ideologies at the expense of Africa's own cultural practices. He vividly illustrates this by writing, "And like whales / Demented by sea-borne infections in their brains, / And are panting for white theologies / From St Peter's / From the Ka'aba, / From the Kremlin" (Chinweizu 2010b, 344). This imagery highlights how, in their eagerness to embrace foreign religions, Africans have lost touch with their spiritual and cultural roots, ultimately weakening their civilizations.

As Sigmund Freud discusses in Moses and Monotheism (1939), Chinweizu also links Africa's spiritual decline to the rise of monotheistic religions, tracing it back to Akhenaten's introduction of Atenism in ancient Egypt. He argues that the monotheistic faiths that followed—Judaism, Christianity, Islam, and Marxism as an ideology—are all part of a "heretic theological line" that originated with Akhenaten and contributed to the spiritual and cultural disintegration of Africa (Chinweizu 2010b, 344). According to Chinweizu, these religions were "fashioned by white imitators" and subsequently imposed on Africa, leading to the continent's spiritual subjugation and eventual downfall (Chinweizu 2010b, 344).

Chinweizu's critique of monotheistic religions can be further linked to the development and perpetuation of the ideology of the "Curse of Ham," a concept acknowledged and propagated within Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. This ideology, which falsely claims that Black Africans, as descendants of Ham, are divinely cursed to be eternally enslaved by Semites (Arabs and Jews) and Japhetites (Europeans and all other non-Black communities), has been historically used to justify the subjugation and enslavement of African peoples. Scholars such as David Goldenberg, in his work The Curse of Ham: Race and Slavery in Early Judaism, Christianity, and Islam (2003), have explored how this myth was incorporated into religious doctrines and used to legitimize racial hierarchies. Similarly, other scholars like Stephen R. Haynes in Noah's Curse: The Biblical Justification of American Slavery (2002) and Bernard Lewis in Race and Slavery in the Middle East (1990) have examined how the "Curse of Ham" was interpreted and applied in various contexts to reinforce the subordination of Africans. This ideological construct, rooted in the same monotheistic traditions critiqued by Chinweizu, has played a significant role in the spiritual and cultural disintegration of Africa, further contributing to its downfall.

The poem also reflects on the consequences of this cultural abandonment, leading to a sense of self-contempt and inferiority among Africans. Chinweizu critiques this mindset, stating, "O paragon of self-contempt / With a genius of suicide!" (Chinweizu 2010b, 343). He suggests that this internalized self-hatred is a form of cultural suicide, as it erodes the very foundations of African identity and sovereignty.

Chinweizu further explores the betrayal of the African heritage through the adoption of foreign names and customs, symbolizing a deeper loss of identity and self-worth. He describes this as: "That one claims he is an Arab. / He now wears around his neck / A fake genealogical chain / Linking himself to the Quraish" (Chinweizu 2010b, 344). This quote highlights the extent to which some Africans have gone to deny their own ancestry, thus perpetuating the cycle of subjugation.

The anger of the ancestors, as portrayed by Chinweizu, is a direct result of this betrayal and loss of identity. He writes, "Behold the ancestors! / The volcanic anger of progenitors!" (Chinweizu 2010b, 345), indicating that the ancestors are enraged by the actions of their descendants who have failed to uphold and protect the legacy of African civilization. This anger is not only a reflection of past mistakes but also a warning against the continued erosion of African cultural and historical integrity.

Chinweizu's analysis extends to the African diaspora, particularly in the context of the transatlantic slave trade and the creation of what he terms "niggers"—a term he uses to describe Black individuals who have internalized white supremacist ideologies to the point of becoming detrimental to their own race. He states, "The Nigger is a pathological and very dangerous entity, a type of zombie" created by systematic terrorism, torture, and brainwashing during the centuries of the Maafa (Chinweizu 2015a, 5). The emergence of this "nigger"

mentality, which Chinweizu views as the greatest internal impediment to the welfare and survival of the Black race, further exemplifies the responsibility that Africans and their diasporas bear in the ongoing downfall of their civilization.

He continues by addressing the failure of Africans to adopt the correct solutions to their problems, as prescribed by Marcus Garvey, and attributes this failure to the "nigger mentality" that prevents them from recognizing and implementing the necessary measures for their survival and autonomy. As he poignantly observes, "Something must be wrong with people who behave like that, who refuse to take the known cure for their condition but prefer to follow the prescriptions of quack doctors" (Chinweizu 2015a, 7). This self-destructive behavior, according to Chinweizu, is a manifestation of the deep-rooted spiritual and cultural disorientation that has plagued African civilizations for millennia.

While Chinweizu's critiques reveal significant insights into the internal challenges faced by African civilizations, particularly the impacts of cultural abandonment and the adoption of foreign ideologies, it remains essential to interrogate the extent to which his assertions withstand broader historical scrutiny; although his powerful use of metaphor and language evokes a compelling narrative of self-sabotage, his essentialist conclusions may oversimplify the complex intersections of internal and external factors in Africa's history, thus warranting a balanced analysis that both acknowledges the validity of his concerns about identity and heritage while remaining cautious of potential reductions in his interpretations.

### Western Imperialism: Catalyst for the Decline of African Societies

Chinweizu's critique of Western imperialism is a central theme in his diverse body of work, where he dissects the multifaceted impact of Western domination on Africa. His seminal book, *The West and the Rest of Us: White Predators, Black Slavers, and the African Elite* (1975), critically examines how Western imperialism has exploited and hindered African development. Chinweizu's analysis emphasizes the destructive impact of Western imperialism, tracing its origins back over two and half millennia and highlighting its persistent influence on African societies.

Chinweizu identifies the fall of Egypt to the Persians in 525 BC as the starting point of a long history of subjugation and decline for African civilizations. He argues that the conquest of Egypt marked the beginning of a series of invasions that systematically dismantled the once-great civilization, setting a precedent for future conquests across the continent. He states, "The long and short of it is that 525 BC was the final defeat of Egypt, about 2,500 years ago. After the Persians, the Greeks defeated the Persians and took over Egypt. Then the Romans took over and occupied it till the Arabs invaded Egypt. The Arab invasion was the turning point because all the previous conquerors just sent people to administer Egypt, but the Arabs came in large numbers to live" (Chinweizu 2010a, 439). This historical perspective sets the stage for understanding how successive waves of foreign invasions, including those by Europeans, played a crucial role in the downfall of African civilizations.

Chinweizu emphasizes that this loss of sovereignty was catastrophic, arguing, "Loss of sovereignty is the worst thing that can happen to a people. The Egyptians tried long and hard to maintain their sovereignty and power: it took the white people more than 1000 years of repeated attempts to finally overrun Egypt. But once they finally accomplished it, it was one white group after another" (Chinweizu 2010a, 439). This early history of invasion and conquest laid the foundation for the subsequent exploitation and domination of Africa by Western powers, culminating in the era of colonialism.

Moving forward in time, Chinweizu highlights the devastating impact of the fifteenth- to nineteenth-century transatlantic slave trade, which he refers to as the "Maafa experience." He argues that the slave trade was not merely an economic activity but a form of warfare designed to depopulate and destabilize African societies. Chinweizu critiques the portrayal of the transatlantic slave trade as mere commerce, instead framing it as a systematic campaign of warfare against African peoples. He writes:

The transatlantic slave trade was not trade; it was war, warfare. The trading part was simply a minor step in the process. They instigated wars in the Negro parts of Africa, collected the prisoners of war, sold them on, got them sold to them on the coast, took them across the ocean, sold them to planters over there who then enslaved them. The majority of the experience wasn't trading; it was slavery and warfare. They were not enslaving all Africans; they targeted people of black complexion. (Chinweizu 2015a, 5)

This analysis underscores how the transatlantic slave trade contributed to the collapse of African societies by stripping them of their human resources and creating lasting social and economic disruptions.

Chinweizu extends his critique of Western imperialism to the colonial period, arguing that the twentieth century was particularly disastrous for Black Africa due to the deliberate "culturecide" inflicted by colonial powers. He states, "The 20th century has been the most disastrous century, so far, for Black Africa. It was the century in which, under colonialism, Black Africa was subjected to culture-cide at the hands of White Power. That culturecide destroyed our ability to resist the genocide that is now taking place" (Chinweizu 2006, 11). He sees colonialism as a continuation of the same destructive patterns that began with the ancient conquests, now executed through the systematic destruction of African cultural frameworks, which rendered African societies unable to resist further exploitation and subjugation.

In the postcolonial era, Chinweizu argues that the legacies of colonialism continue to manifest in the form of neocolonialism, where African countries remain economically and politically dependent on their former colonizers. He identifies various mechanisms through which Western powers maintain control over Africa, including economic policies imposed by institutions like the IMF and the World Bank. He writes, "When the IMF, World Bank, etc., lure African countries into their Debt Trap and saddle them with the debt burden—that is economic warfare" (Chinweizu 2010a, 17). This economic exploitation, according

to Chinweizu, is a continuation of the imperialist project, ensuring that Africa remains subordinated to Western interests.

Chinweizu's analysis of neo-colonialism also extends to the political sphere, where he sees Western powers using African leaders as proxies to maintain control over the continent. He critiques this ongoing manipulation of African governance, arguing that it perpetuates the cycle of exploitation and prevents Africa from achieving true independence and autonomy.

Chinweizu's critique of Western imperialism, though impassioned and forcefully articulated, raises essential questions regarding the balance between historical evidence and interpretive narrative; his sweeping conclusions—linking ancient invasions, the transatlantic slave trade, and modern economic policies under neocolonialism—invite scrutiny over their methodological soundness, as the connective threads between these epochs may not fully withstand rigorous historical analysis, yet his arguments undeniably serve as a powerful call to reevaluate Africa's role and agency within global history, challenging Westerncentric narratives and urging a more complex understanding of Africa's path to autonomy and self-determination.

### Arab Imperialism and Its Impact on African Civilization

Besides his stance against Western imperialism in Africa, Chinweizu has also shed light on the less discussed topic of Arab expansionism on the continent, echoing concerns that this poses a significant threat to the preservation of African identity and heritage. His analysis draws heavily upon Chancellor Williams's seminal work, *The Destruction of Black Civilization* (1974), which posits the existence of an Arab strategy aimed at dominating not just North Africa but the entirety of the continent, coveting its vast resources. Chinweizu concurs with Williams, particularly emphasizing the phenomena of Islamization and Arabization as mechanisms that erode local religions and cultures, thereby undermining the very fabric of African society.

He introduces the concept of "Afro-Arab borderlands," referring to regions like Sudan and Mauritania that lie adjacent to the Sahel, to underscore the geographical and cultural frontlines of this Arab expansion. Chinweizu characterizes North African and Middle Eastern Arabs as a form of colonialist presence in Africa, albeit one that predates and, in some aspects, surpasses European colonial efforts in terms of the depth of economic and political control exerted.

Chinweizu's critique extends to the African Union's inclusivity, where he questions the membership of Algeria and Libya, suggesting that their involvement might hinder rather than help the cause of Pan-African unity and cooperation. This perspective paints a complex picture of intra- continental relationships in Africa, where the legacy of colonialism, whether European or Arab, continues to influence the dynamics of power, culture, and identity.

The book *Collection of Articles by Professor Chinweizu*, prepared by Ambakisye-Okang Dukuzumurenyi (Chinweizu 2010a) identifies three articles where Chinweizu develops in detail his thoughts about Arab imperialism in Africa.

In "USAfrica—The Arab Agenda," Chinweizu delves into the historical and ongoing "race war" between Arabs and Africans, tracing its origins back to the Arab conquest of Egypt in 642 BCE. He highlights various instances of Arab expansionism and aggression towards African societies across centuries, including enslavement, cultural and religious imposition, and territorial conquests in regions like Nubia, Ethiopia, Songhai, and Sudan. Chinweizu argues that such actions constitute a long-standing race war, where Arab expansionism has often been at the expense of African sovereignty, culture, and well-being. He criticizes the Organization of African Unity (OAU)/African Union (AU) for inadequately defending African interests against Arab aggression. The article presents a critical examination of the dynamics between African and Arab relations, emphasizing the need for Black African unity and resistance against external domination.

Moreover, the article "Arab Colonialism: USofAfrica, NO!!! USofBLACK-Africa, YES" critiques the notion of a unified African identity that includes both Arab and sub-Saharan African nations, arguing that the historical and ongoing actions of Arab states towards African countries constitute a form of colonialism. Chinweizu contends that Arab expansion and enslavement of African people, along with efforts to Arabize and Islamize African societies, are indicative of a colonial agenda. He draws parallels between European and Arab forms of imperialism, both of which have sought to exploit and dominate African project, suggesting that true African unity and liberation can only be achieved by acknowledging and addressing the Arab colonial legacy and its continuing impact on African societies.

In the article "Can Muslims Peacefully Coexist with Non-Muslim Neighbours? See Sudan, Arabs and Blacks," Chinweizu explores the complex relationships between Muslim and non-Muslim communities in Africa, with a particular focus on Sudan. He documents the historical context of Arab-Muslim expansion into African territories, highlighting the violent conquests, slave raids, and attempts at Arabization and Islamization of African societies. The article discusses the Janjaweed militia's atrocities in Darfur as a contemporary manifestation of the Arab-African race war, underscoring the deep-seated tensions and conflicts that arise from attempts to impose Arab and Islamic identities on African populations. Chinweizu argues that these dynamics challenge the possibility of peaceful coexistence, as they are rooted in a history of aggression, cultural erasure, and exploitation. The piece serves as a call to recognize and address the underlying issues of racial and religious domination in the quest for genuine intercommunal harmony. However, Chinweizu's critique does not limit to the West and to the Arab world, but also to the African elite.

Chinweizu's critique of Arab imperialism as a central factor in the downfall of African civilization is echoed in the works of other scholars, notably Malek Chebel and Tidiane Ndiaye, who have explored similar themes in their analyses of the historical relationship between Africa and the Arab world. Chebel, in his book *L'esclavage en terre d'islam (Slavery in Islamic Lands)* (2007), documents the extensive history of slavery in Islamic lands, highlighting the brutal exploitation of African peoples that contributed to the erosion of African societies. Chebel

argues that the Arab slave trade, which predates the European transatlantic slave trade, had a profound and lasting impact on African civilizations, leading to demographic changes, social disruptions, and the loss of cultural autonomy. Similarly, Ndiaye in *Le génocide voilé* (*The Veiled Genocide*) (2008), posits that the Arab slave trade was not merely a commercial enterprise but a genocidal campaign that sought to annihilate African cultures and peoples. Ndiaye's use of the term "genocide" underscores the severity of the Arab impact on Africa, drawing a parallel to the more widely recognized atrocities of European colonialism.

Despite the compelling nature of Chinweizu's arguments, his work, along with that of other Afrocentric scholars like Molefi Asante, has faced significant criticism from various quarters, particularly from scholars like Ali Mazrui. Mazrui (2000) coined the term "Black Orientalism" to describe the Afrocentric approach that he sees as mirroring the Eurocentric biases of traditional Orientalism, but directed towards the Arab and broader Muslim world. In his critique of Henry Louis Gates Jr.'s documentary *Wonders of the African World*, Mazrui (2000) argues that Afrocentric scholars, by emphasizing the Arab slave trade and the perceived threat of Islam to African identity, create a monolithic and negative portrayal of Arab culture and Islam that ignores the complex and often positive interactions between Africa and the Arab world.

The debate between Afrocentric scholars and their critics highlights the challenges of interpreting Africa's historical interactions with the Arab world. While Chinweizu's analysis brings to light important aspects of Arab imperialism's impact on African civilizations, Mazrui's critique reminds us of the dangers of essentializing and simplifying these interactions. This tension between acknowledging historical wrongs and recognizing the complexity of cultural exchanges is a central theme in the ongoing discourse on African history and identity.

Chinweizu's incisive critique of Arab imperialism raises critical issues regarding the historical consequences of Arab expansionism in Africa, yet it is essential to consider whether his portrayal of Arab influence as uniformly negative adequately accounts for the complex layers of cultural, religious, and economic interactions that have occurred over centuries; his narrative, though powerful in its call for an African-centered perspective, risks overlooking moments of genuine cultural exchange and cooperation that challenge a strictly adversarial view, suggesting that a more nuanced approach may be necessary to fully understand the intricate dynamics between African societies and the Arab world.

### Pathway for Africa's Revival and Sovereignty: Chinweizu's Vision

Chinweizu's extensive body of work not only critiques the historical and ongoing exploitation of Africa but also presents a vision for the continent's revival, sovereignty, and prosperity. Central to his argument is the need for Africa to reclaim its power, identity, and autonomy through collective action and strategic development. He outlines several key pathways that he believes are essential for Africa to overcome its historical challenges and secure a prosperous future. Chinweizu (2009) emphasizes the importance of collective security as a paramount objective for Pan-Africanism, which he argues has been neglected for too long. He asserts that for Africa to protect its sovereignty and ensure the survival of its people, it must prioritize the organization of collective security. He identifies the "problem of African Power" as the central challenge of the twenty-first century, arguing that without sufficient power, Africa will continue to suffer defeats and disasters. He writes, "The problem of the 21st century is the problem of African Power: How to build enough of it to guarantee the survival and sovereign autonomy of Pan-Africa" (Chinweizu 2009, 96). This assertion reflects his belief that political, economic, and military power are crucial for Africa to resist external domination and to chart its own course in global affairs.

In another powerful assertion, Chinweizu calls for the establishment of a "Black African League" to serve as the collective security organization for the Black African world. He argues, "They must build a Black African League that will organize the collective security of the Black African World" (Chinweizu 2009, 385). This organization, he envisions, would function similarly to NATO or the defunct Warsaw Pact, providing a unified front against any form of external aggression or internal division that threatens the continent's stability.

Chinweizu (2006) further argues that African nations must profoundly change their priorities, moving away from consumerism and focusing instead on building power and security on the continent. He states, "If Black Africans wish to survive, they must profoundly change their priorities: Not slothful consumerism here on earth, not paradise for their souls in the hereafter, but collective security here on earth must become their ruling passion" (Chinweizu 2006, 384). This call to action emphasizes the need for a shift in mindset among African leaders and populations, urging them to prioritize the long-term survival and autonomy of the continent over short-term gains or external influences.

Chinweizu's discourse on Black Africa is marked by a deliberate essentialization that seeks to highlight the unique identity and challenges of Black people, separate from the broader African identity that includes non-Black populations. His reflection on figures like Marcus Garvey underscores the importance of uncompromising Black solidarity as a foundation for true emancipation. As he articulates, "Of the great black redeemers of the 20th century only Garvey escaped the syndrome principally because he was unequivocal and uncompromising on black solidarity. Significantly he did not call his movement Pan-Africanism with all the equivocation on race that is harboured by that term; he called it the United Negro Improvement Association, a name which unequivocally implies a redemption movement of blacks by blacks for blacks" (Chinweizu 2010a, 47). This passage reflects Chinweizu's assertion that Garvey's movement was distinct in its clarity of purpose, which was focused exclusively on the upliftment and empowerment of Black people, rather than a broader, more inclusive Pan-Africanism that might dilute the specific racial struggles faced by Black Africans.

Chinweizu's opposition to continental Pan-Africanism, which seeks to unite all African peoples under a single political and cultural umbrella, is a direct outgrowth of his essentialization of Black Africa. He critiques figures like Kwame Nkrumah, whose vision of Pan-Africanism included alliances with Arab nations. Chinweizu argues that such inclusivity dilutes the original intent of Pan-Africanism, which he believes should be focused solely on Black Africans. He states, "Nkrumah's Arabophilia subverted the Garveyism of his Black Star symbol; it truncated his Pan-Negro Pan-Africanism into a continentalist Pan-Africanism which would exclude Garvey from membership of its organizations and which distorted Pan-Africanism into an Arab and Black African tango" (Chinweizu 2010a, 48). This critique reflects Chinweizu's concern that by incorporating non-Black entities into the Pan-African framework, the movement loses its focus on the specific challenges and struggles faced by Black Africans.

Moreover, Chinweizu likens the adoption of continental Pan-Africanism to the folly of the Trojans who brought the Greek wooden horse within their walls, only to hasten their own destruction. He provocatively asks, "Those blacks who espouse continentalist Pan-Africanism are they not like those Trojans who carried the Greek wooden horse into their own citadel and hastened their people's destruction?" (Chinweizu 2010a, 48). This metaphor underscores his belief that a Pan-Africanism that includes non-Black entities is not only misguided but potentially destructive to the very people it aims to uplift. For Chinweizu, the only viable path forward for Black Africans is a Pan-Africanism that is unapologetically focused on the interests and empowerment of Black people alone.

Chinweizu's vision for Africa's revival includes the integration and industrialization of regional federations such as ECOWAS (Economic Community of West African States) and SADC (Southern African Development Community). He believes that these regional blocs, if properly integrated and industrialized, could serve as the foundation for a Black African superpower. He asserts, "For building a Black African superpower, as urged by Garvey, an ECOWAS or SADC Federation, or some equivalent in East or Central Africa is more than enough. Just one of them, if integrated and industrialized by 2060, would meet the need" (Chinweizu 2009, 383). This statement reflects his belief in the potential of regional cooperation and industrial development as key drivers of economic independence and global influence.

Chinweizu calls for the creation of a new black identity that resists external manipulation and reclaims sovereignty. He writes, "The black man who would not suffer his brains to be inflated with flattery, Soaked in whiskey, Shattered with nuances of contempt" (Chinweizu 2010b, 346). This vision of a new African man, empowered and autonomous, is central to his pathway toward sovereignty. He further emphasizes the importance of resisting external forces and embracing indigenous knowledge as essential steps in reclaiming African autonomy: "And their eyes, Smarting at this circus of absurdities, Are thirsting for the new black man, The black man who would not be whipped; The black man who would not sell his kind" (Chinweizu 2010b, 346).

Chinweizu also advocates for the formation of strategic alliances that can enhance Africa's global influence. He envisions the creation of a "Black World League of Nations" as a historic necessity for the twenty-first century. He argues, "We also need a Black African League that shall be the collective security organization of Global Black Africa, our equivalent of NATO and the defunct Warsaw Pact. These are the two things we need in this 21st century to implement the Garvey requirement for Black African survival" (Chinweizu 2009, 383). This league, he believes, would not only provide security but also serve as a platform for Africa to assert its interests on the global stage.

In addition to collective security and economic empowerment, Chinweizu's concept of "mental decolonization" is central to his vision for African liberation. He argues that the colonization of the African mind has been one of the most insidious and damaging legacies of Western imperialism. In *Decolonising the African Mind*, Chinweizu (1987) asserts that the mental liberation of Africans is essential for breaking free from the influence of Western ideologies and reclaiming African identity. He writes, "Decolonization must begin in the mind. The colonizers sought not only to control our lands and resources but also to colonize our minds, to make us view ourselves and the world through their eyes" (Chinweizu 1987, 3). This statement highlights the psychological dimensions of colonization and the importance of reclaiming African consciousness as a step toward full liberation.

Chinweizu argues that African intellectuals and leaders must reject the Eurocentric frameworks that have dominated African education, culture, and governance. Instead, they should embrace and promote indigenous knowledge systems, cultural practices, and languages. This reclamation of African intellectual and cultural heritage is seen as essential for restoring the dignity and sovereignty of African peoples. As Chinweizu puts it: "We must purge our minds of the inferiority complexes instilled by the colonizers, and we must reclaim the pride in our heritage, our history, and our identity" (1987, 12). The process of mental decolonization is thus framed as both a personal and collective journey that is vital for Africa's future.

Besides, to counter their spiritual subjugation, Chinweizu calls for a reawakening of African spiritual consciousness. He envisions a "new black man" who is "shielded by ramparts of cunning, / By parapets of reticence" and who resists the allure of foreign gods and ideologies (Chinweizu 2010, 346). This new African identity is grounded in indigenous spiritual traditions and is resistant to the cultural and religious imperialism that has plagued the continent for centuries.

Chinweizu's pathway for Africa's revival, sovereignty, and prosperity is multifaceted, encompassing the need for collective security, the building of African power, economic integration and industrialization, cultural and spiritual revival, and strategic global alliances. His vision is rooted in a deep understanding of Africa's historical challenges and a steadfast commitment to reclaiming the continent's autonomy and dignity. Through his work, Chinweizu offers a comprehensive blueprint for African nations to overcome their past and secure a future of true independence and prosperity.

## **Critiques and Limitations of Chinweizu's Thought**

Chinweizu's work has played a crucial role in the discourse on decolonization and African identity, but it has also attracted significant critiques. Scholars have highlighted several limitations in his approach, particularly concerning his nativism, polemical style, and essentialist leanings. These critiques are essential for understanding the broader debates around decolonization and the role of African thought in the global intellectual landscape.

One of the most prominent critiques of Chinweizu's work is its nativist undertones, which sometimes lead to a polemical and exclusionary stance. Wole Soyinka, in his essay "Neo-Tarzanism: The Poetics of Pseudo-Tradition" (1975), provides a sharp critique of Chinweizu and his coauthors, accusing them of a superficial understanding of African traditions. Soyinka argues that their approach to African poetics, which emphasizes a return to an unadulterated traditionalism, lacks the depth required to engage with the complexities of African literature and culture fully. He writes, "Their case is worse than overstated; it is mis-stated. And it is not only modern poetry by Africans which has been maligned in the process but the very traditional poetry whose virtues they present as exemplar" (Soyinka 1975, 39).

Soyinka's critique underscores the danger of reducing African culture to a set of static, idealized traditions, thereby ignoring the dynamic and evolving nature of African societies. This nativist approach, while aiming to resist colonial influences, can inadvertently lead to an oversimplified and monolithic view of African identity. Chinweizu's polemical style, which often presents his ideas in stark, uncompromising terms, further exacerbates this issue by alienating those who might otherwise engage with his arguments.

The distinction between a "decolonial" and "decolonizing" Africa is another area where Chinweizu's work has faced criticism. Neil Larsen, in his critique "The Jargon of Decoloniality" (2022), challenges the notion that decolonization should merely involve a return to precolonial identities and practices. Larsen argues that such a view is overly simplistic and fails to address the complexities of contemporary African societies, which have been irrevocably shaped by both colonialism and global modernity. He suggests that a truly decolonial approach must engage with present realities and future possibilities, rather than idealizing a precolonial past. Larsen states, "The tendency to set up an overly simplistic opposition between Western modernity and non-Western indigeneity misses the forms of power that came through cultural and epistemological domination" (Larsen 2022, 14).

Arjun Appadurai, in his essay "Beyond Domination: The Future and Past of Decolonization" (2021), adds another layer to this critique by emphasizing that regions and civilizations are products of human action rather than preordained physical realities. He argues, "History produces geography, not the other way around," challenging the static view of African identity that Chinweizu's nativist approach might imply (Appadurai 2021, 68). Appadurai's perspective suggests that a decolonizing Africa must be understood as a dynamic process that goes beyond simply reclaiming the past.

The usefulness of the concept of "African thought" as opposed to specific themes within African thought is also debated. Olúfemi Táíwò, in his work *Against Decolonisation: Taking African Agency Seriously* (2022), critiques the essentialist and homogenizing tendencies within the discourse of African thought. Táíwò argues that such approaches can obscure the diversity and richness of African intellectual traditions, reducing them to a singular narrative that may not reflect the complexities of African realities. He posits that "such an approach risks

homogenizing the rich diversity of African intellectual traditions and fails to account for the specific historical and cultural contexts that shape these traditions" (Táíwò 2022, 92). Táíwò's critique highlights the need for a more nuanced understanding of African thought that recognizes the multiple, often conflicting, intellectual currents that exist within the continent.

Kwame Anthony Appiah (1993; 2005; 2007; 2018) has extensively critiqued cultural and race essentialism, which are prominent in Chinweizu's writings. Appiah argues that essentialist notions of race and culture are not only philosophically flawed but also politically dangerous. In *The Lies that Bind: Rethinking Identity*, Appiah writes, "The idea that cultures are sealed boxes or fixed essences is a fallacy that denies the fluidity and hybridity inherent in all human cultures" (2018, 45). This critique is particularly relevant to Chinweizu's rejection of inclusive categories like Steve Biko's notion of "black" in favor of a more racially essentialist view. Appiah's argument suggests that such essentialism overlooks the complex realities of identity in postcolonial Africa and risks reinforcing the very divisions that it seeks to overcome.

Chinweizu's work, while undeniably significant in the discourse on decolonization, is not without its limitations. The critiques highlighted by Larsen, Appadurai, Táíwò, Soyinka, and Appiah point to the dangers of nativism, cultural essentialism, and the oversimplification of African thought. These critiques challenge us to engage with Chinweizu's ideas critically, recognizing the need for a more nuanced, dynamic, and inclusive approach to decolonization that goes beyond the binaries of past and present, tradition and modernity, and embraces the complexities of African identities and intellectual traditions.

Chinweizu's essentialist and nativist perspectives undoubtedly provide a powerful critique of colonial and postcolonial structures; however, they risk constraining African thought within narrow, static frameworks that may not adequately reflect the continent's pluralistic intellectual heritage, suggesting the need for a balanced approach that appreciates both historical depth and evolving cultural realities.

## Chinweizu's Response to Critiques about Essentialism and Nativism

In reflecting on the intellectual journey of Chinweizu and his impact on the discourse surrounding the downfall of African civilization and the pathways to its resurgence, it is essential to consider the critiques that have been leveled against his work, particularly those concerning nativism and cultural essentialism. These critiques suggest that Chinweizu's focus on a distinct Black African identity might oversimplify the complexities of African history and culture, potentially reinforcing the very binaries that have long been the tools of colonial oppression.

However, Chinweizu himself addresses these critiques with a nuanced understanding that his focus is not to essentialize but to diagnose. In a poignant exchange during a conference titled "The Sources of Black Africa's Stagnation— A Theme in Niggerology (2015b), Chinweizu was confronted with the critique that his emphasis on Black Africans could be seen as essentializing the rich and complex African history. To this, Chinweizu responded with characteristic clarity: "The point is not to essentialize, but to diagnose. Our weaknesses are a result of specific historical processes, not some innate deficiency. By understanding how these processes have shaped our behavior and attitudes, we can begin to address them" (Chinweizu 2015b).

This response encapsulates Chinweizu's approach—his intent is not to perpetuate stereotypes or create a monolithic identity but to illuminate the historical forces that have contributed to the present challenges faced by Black Africans. His work seeks to empower by providing a clear-eyed analysis of these forces and offering practical solutions for overcoming them. Chinweizu's focus on the distinct experiences of Black Africans, particularly those targeted by the transatlantic slave trade, is not an exclusionary tactic but a necessary lens through which to understand the unique historical traumas that have shaped the Black African identity.

Chinweizu further elaborates on this point by questioning the very concept of "African" identity: "We African people ... we are black Africans. We are not African people; we are black Africans. The question is who are we? When did we become Africans? How did that term get to be applied to us? When you examine the history, you find that it's an externally derived concept from the experience in the diaspora" (Chinweizu 2015b). Here, Chinweizu challenges the external impositions on African identity, urging a reclamation of the Black African experience as distinct and worthy of its own narrative, free from the homogenizing forces that have often sought to dilute it.

In addressing the historical specificity of the Black African experience, Chinweizu emphasizes the deliberate targeting of Black Africans in both the trans-Saharan and transatlantic slave trades, which he characterizes as racial wars against Black people. He argues that these atrocities were not indiscriminate but rather specifically aimed at Black Africans. Taking the example of the transatlantic slave trade, he notes, "They didn't enslave everybody in Africa. North Africans were not enslaved, the Arabs there, the whites in southern Africa were not enslaved—they were not enslaving all Africans. We have to find out who were being enslaved, and that's who we are. We are the victims and targets of that Maafa experience" (Chinweizu 2015b). This focus on the targeted nature of these slave trades underscores Chinweizu's broader argument that the path to revival and sovereignty for Black Africa must begin with an honest confrontation of these historical truths. By acknowledging the specific racial dimensions of these historical events, Chinweizu lays the foundation for a more authentic and empowered reclamation of African identity and autonomy.

Thus, while critiques of Chinweizu's work are valid and necessary for a robust intellectual discourse, his own responses reveal a deep commitment to diagnosing the roots of Black Africa's challenges with precision and a focus on practical solutions. His work is a clarion call to Black Africans to reclaim their history, understand the forces that have shaped their present, and chart a course toward a future defined by sovereignty and dignity. Chinweizu's vision is not merely about resistance; it is about resurgence, built on a foundation of self-knowledge and collective action. Chinweizu's reflections, critiques, and responses form a tapestry of thought that is as complex as it is compelling, urging us to engage deeply with the past in order to forge a future where Black Africa can rise once more. His defense against accusations of essentialism is persuasive in its focus on historical specificity and diagnosis; however, his framing risks oversimplifying African identity by drawing stark racial boundaries that may overlook the complexities of cultural exchange and adaptation throughout African history.

# Conclusion

Chinweizu's critique of African responsibility and complicity, alongside Arab and Western imperialism, serves as a profound reflection on the multifaceted challenges facing contemporary African identity. His work compels us to reconsider the intersections of history, culture, and power in the ongoing struggle for African autonomy. By diagnosing the deliberate targeting of Black Africans through both the trans-Saharan and transatlantic slave trades, Chinweizu underscores the necessity of confronting these historical events to pave the way for Africa's resurgence.

Chinweizu challenges the reductionist narratives often found in decolonization discourse, urging us to move beyond simplistic binaries such as victimhood versus resistance. He advocates for a more nuanced understanding of the internal and external forces that have shaped African societies. Central to his argument is the call for a distinct Black African identity, separate from broader African or global constructs, which he believes is essential for reclaiming African sovereignty.

Chinweizu's analysis goes beyond merely critiquing external imperial forces; it also engages in a self-reflective examination of African agency in the downfall of African civilization. He compels us to confront the uncomfortable truth that the internalization of colonial mentalities and the abandonment of African cultural roots have significantly contributed to Africa's subjugation. For Chinweizu, this internal critique is crucial for a genuine decolonization process, emphasizing the need for Africans to reclaim their history, culture, and identity from within, rather than focusing solely on resisting external influences.

Furthermore, Chinweizu highlights the importance of acknowledging the specific historical traumas that have shaped the Black African experience, particularly the targeted nature of the trans-Saharan and transatlantic slave trades. He argues for a nuanced understanding of African identity that fully recognizes these historical injustices. This perspective challenges us to rethink the ways in which history has been used to shape identities and power dynamics in Africa and the diaspora.

Chinweizu's thoughts, while critiqued for their nativism and essentialism, highlight the importance of specificity in understanding the African experience. Chinweizu's vision for Africa's future is not just about resisting external domination but also about fostering a deep sense of self-knowledge and collective action. His emphasis on mental decolonization, cultural revival, and strategic alliances offers a comprehensive framework for addressing the lingering effects of colonialism and neocolonialism. In today's globalized world, where the fight for cultural and intellectual sovereignty continues, Chinweizu's ideas remain highly relevant. His work reminds us that the path to true African autonomy requires a dynamic and inclusive approach that embraces the complexity of African experiences. As we continue to grapple with the legacy of imperialism and the quest for selfdetermination, Chinweizu's insights provide a valuable guide for navigating the challenges ahead and reclaiming a future defined by dignity, power, and sovereignty for Black Africa.

**Author Biographies.** Richard Atimniraye Nyelade holds a PhD in sociology from Shanghai University and is a lecturer at the University of Ottawa, specializing in international relations and diplomacy. He is also a PhD candidate in anthropology, researching diplomacy, sovereignty, and unrecognized states, focusing on Taiwan and Somaliland. Currently on fieldwork in Somaliland, Richard is actively engaged in research.

## References

- Appadurai, A. 2021. "Beyond Domination: The Future and Past of Decolonization". *The Nation*, March 9. https://www.thenation.com/article/world/decolonization-future-past/
- Appiah, K. A. 1993. In My Father's House: Africa in the Philosophy of Culture. New York: Oxford University Press.
- -----. 2005. The Ethics of Identity. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- ------. 2007. Cosmopolitanism: Ethics in a World of Strangers. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- -----. 2018. The Lies That Bind: Rethinking Identity. New York: Liveright Publishing.
- Bernal, M. 1987. Black Athena: Afroasiatic Roots of Classical Civilization, Volume I: The Fabrication of Ancient Greece, 1785–1985. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Chebel, M. 2007. L'esclavage en terre d'islam. Paris: Fayard.
- Chinweizu. 1975. The West and the Rest of Us: White Predators, Black Slavers, and the African Elite. New York: Random House.

—. 1978. Energy Crisis and Other Poems. Lagos: NOK Publishers.

- Chinweizu. 2006. Lugardism, UN Imperialism and the Prospect of African Power. In *Collection of Articles* by *Professor Chinweizu*, edited by A.-O. Dukuzumurenyi, 351–85. Self-published https://www.acade mia.edu/26905101/Collection\_of\_Articles\_by\_Professor\_Chinweizu.
  - . 2009. Marcus Garvey and the Black Power Movement: Legacies and Lessons for contemporary Black Africa. In *Collection of Articles by Professor Chinweizu*, edited by A.-O. Dukuzumurenyi, 385–416. Self-published.
- -----. 2010a. Collection of Articles by Professor Chinweizu. Compiled by Ambakisye-Okang Dukuzumurenyi. Self-published.
- 2010b. Chinweizu on Ancestors' Anger. In Collection of Articles by Professor Chinweizu, edited by A. O. Dukuzumurenyi, 343–50. Self-published.
- 2015a. Niggerology: Study of the Nigger and Niggerism. In *Collection of Articles by Professor Chinweizu*, edited by A.-O. Dukuzumurenyi, 3–19. Self-published.
- —. 2015b. The sources of Black Africa's stagnation—a theme in Niggerology [Video], April 29. YouTube. Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MZsB4THhN0o
- —. 2021. 432 Centuries of Recorded Science and Technology in Black Africa. African and Asian Studies, 20(1–2), 9–50.
- Chinweizu. 1987. Decolonising the African Mind. Lagos: Pero Press; distributed outside Nigeria by SUNDOOR.
  - —. 1973. "Prodigals, Come Home!" In *African Literature: An Anthology of Criticism and Theory*, edited by Tejumola Olaniyan and Ato Quayson. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2007.

#### 132 Richard Atimniraye Nyelade

Chinweizu, Onwuchekwa Jemie, and Ihechukwu Madubuike. 1983. *Toward the Decolonization of African Literature*, Volume 1. Washington, DC: Howard University Press.

Chinweizu. 1986. Invocations and Admonitions: 49 Poems and a Triptych of Parables. Lagos : Pero Press. ——. 1988. Voices from Twentieth-Century Africa: Griots and Towncriers. London: Faber & Faber.

——. 1990. Anatomy of Female Power: A Masculinist Dissection of Matriarchy. Lagos, Nigeria: Pero Press; distributed outside Nigeria by SUNDOOR.

Chinweizu, Maazi. 2022. The Reconstituted Virgin and Other Satires. Kindle edition. [Self-published]. Diop, C. A. 1974. *The African Origin of Civilization: Myth or Reality*. Chicago, IL: Lawrence Hill Books.

Firmin, A. 1885. *De l'egalite des races humaines*. Paris: Librairie Cotillon, F. Pichon.

Freud, S. 1939. Moses and Monotheism. New York: Vintage Books.

Goldenberg, D. M. 2003. The Curse of Ham: Race and Slavery in Early Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Howe, S. 1998. Afrocentrism: Mythical Pasts and Imagined Homes. London and New York: Verso.

Haynes, S. R. 2002. Noah's Curse: The Biblical Justification of American Slavery. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Larsen, N. 2022. The Jargon of Decoloniality. Catalyst, 6(2). https://catalyst-journal.com/2022/09/the-jargon-of-decoloniality

Lefkowitz, M. R. (1996). Not Out of Africa: How Afrocentrism Became an Excuse to Teach Myth as History. New York: Basic Books.

Lewis, B. (1990). Race and Slavery in the Middle East: An Historical Enquiry. New York: Oxford University Press.

Mazrui, A. A. (2000). Black Orientalism? Further Reflections on "Wonders of the African World." *The Black Scholar*, 30 (1): 15–18.

Ndiaye, T. 2008. Le génocide voilé. Paris: Gallimard.

Soyinka, W. 1975. Neo-Tarzanism: The Poetics of Pseudo-tradition. Transition, 48: 38-44.

- Táíwò, O. 2022. Against Decolonisation: Taking African Agency Seriously. London: Hurst Publishers.
- Van Sertima, I. 1976. They Came before Columbus: The African Presence in Ancient America. New York: Random House.

Williams, C. 1974. The Destruction of Black Civilization. Chicago, IL: Third World Press.

**Cite this article:** Atimniraye Nyelade, R. 2025. "Chinweizu's Vision: Unveiling the Complexities of Pan-Africanism and African Sovereignty." *African Studies Review* **68** (1): 111–132. https://doi.org/10.1017/asr.2024.228