

SOME RECURRENCE RELATIONS AND SERIES FOR THE GENERALISED LAPLACE TRANSFORM

by B. R. BHONSLE
(Received 2 June, 1959)

1. **Introductory.** The Laplace transform

$$f(p) = p \int_0^\infty e^{-px} h(x) dx \quad (\text{Re } p > 0) \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.1)$$

has been generalised by Varma [4] by the relation

$$\phi(p) = p \int_0^\infty e^{-px} (px)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} W_{k,m}(px) h(x) dx \quad (\text{Re } p > 0), \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.2)$$

which reduces to (1.1) when $k = -m + \frac{1}{2}$ by virtue of the identity

$$W_{-m+\frac{1}{2},m}(x) = x^{-m+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x}. \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.3)$$

We shall define $\phi_{k,m,\lambda}(p)$ by the relation

$$\phi_{k,m,\lambda}(p) = p \int_0^\infty e^{-px} (px)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} W_{k,m}(px) x^\lambda h(x) dx \quad (\text{Re } p > 0). \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.4)$$

The object of this paper is to obtain some recurrence formulae and series for $\phi_{k,m,\lambda}(p)$ and to use them to obtain recurrence formulae and series for MacRobert's E -function.

2. **Formulae required in the proof.** We have [5, p. 352]

$$W_{k,m}(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{k-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2}}(z) + (\frac{1}{2} - k + m) W_{k-1,m}(z), \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.1)$$

$$W_{k,m}(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{k-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2}}(z) + (\frac{1}{2} - k - m) W_{k-1,m}(z) \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.2)$$

and

$$z W'_{k,m}(z) = (k - \frac{1}{2}z) W_{k,m}(z) - \{m^2 - (k - \frac{1}{2})^2\} W_{k-1,m}(z). \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.3)$$

We have also [3, p. 201]

$$\frac{d}{dz} [z^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z} W_{k,m}(z)] = -z^{m-1} e^{-z} W_{k+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2}}(z). \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.4)$$

It will be observed that (2.2) can be obtained from (2.1) by using the property

$$W_{k,-m}(z) = W_{k,m}(z). \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.5)$$

From (2.3) we also observe that

$$W'_{k,-m}(z) = W'_{k,m}(z). \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.6)$$

Harishanker has obtained the following series for $W_{k,m}(z)$

$$W_{k+n,m}(z) = (-1)^n \Gamma(m+k+n+\frac{1}{2}) n! \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r z^{r/2} W_{k+r/2,m+r/2}(z)}{(n-r)! r! \Gamma(m+k+r+\frac{1}{2})} \quad (\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2} - k + m) > 0), \quad \dots\dots(2.7)$$

and

$$W_{k-n, m}(z) = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(m+k+n+\frac{1}{2}) n!}{\Gamma(m+k+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r z^{r/2} W_{k-r/2, m+r/2}(z)}{(n-r)! r!} \quad (\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2}-k+m) > 0). \tag{2.8}$$

3. Recurrence formulae for the Whittaker’s confluent hypergeometric function.

Eliminate $W_{k-1, m}(z)$ between (2.1) and (2.2), divide by $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, replace k by $k + \frac{1}{2}$ and m by $m - \frac{1}{2}$ to obtain

$$(m-k-\frac{1}{2})W_{k, m}(z) = (\frac{1}{2}-k-m)W_{k, m-1}(z) + (2m-1)z^{-\frac{1}{2}}W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}}(z). \tag{3.1}$$

This has been otherwise obtained by Rathie [2, p. 392].

In (2.2) replace m by $m - 1$ and eliminate $z^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{k-\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}}(z)$ from this relation and (2.1) to get

$$W_{k, m-1}(z) + (\frac{1}{2}-k+m)W_{k-1, m}(z) = (\frac{3}{2}-k-m)W_{k-1, m-1}(z) + W_{k, m}(z). \tag{3.2}$$

Equating the values of $W'_{k, m}(z)$ from (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$(m+k-z-\frac{1}{2})W_{k, m}(z) = \{m^2 - (k-\frac{1}{2})^2\}W_{k-1, m}(z) - z^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}}(z). \tag{3.3}$$

Simplifying (2.4), we get

$$zW'_{k, m}(z) = (\frac{1}{2}z - m + \frac{1}{2})W_{k, m}(z) - z^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}}(z). \tag{3.4}$$

Using (2.6) with (3.4), we obtain

$$zW'_{k, m}(z) = (\frac{1}{2}z + m + \frac{1}{2})W_{k, m}(z) - z^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}}(z). \tag{3.5}$$

4. Recurrence formulae for the generalised Laplace transform $\phi_{k, m, \lambda}(p)$. Using

(3.2), we get

$$p\phi_{k, m-1, \lambda+1}(p) + (\frac{1}{2}-k+m)\phi_{k-1, m, \lambda}(p) = p(\frac{3}{2}-k-m)\phi_{k-1, m-1, \lambda+1}(p) + \phi_{k, m, \lambda}(p). \tag{4.1}$$

Using (3.3), we get

$$(m+k-\frac{1}{2})\phi_{k, m, \lambda}(p) - p\phi_{k, m, \lambda+1}(p) = \{m^2 - (k-\frac{1}{2})^2\}\phi_{k-1, m, \lambda}(p) - p\phi_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}, \lambda+1}(p). \tag{4.2}$$

5. Recurrence formulae for MacRobert’s E-function. If

$$x^\lambda h(x) = x^{\lambda-1} E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2} : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1} : \frac{1}{x}\right),$$

then [2, p. 392]

$$\phi_{k, m, \lambda}(p) = p^{1-\lambda} E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2}, \lambda, \lambda+2m : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \lambda+m-k+\frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

The formulae (4.1) and (4.2), on replacing λ by $\alpha_{r-1}, \lambda+2m$ by α_r and $\lambda+m-k+\frac{1}{2}$ by β_s , then give us

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2}, \alpha_{r-1}+1, \alpha_r-1 : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s\right) + (\beta_s - \alpha_{r-1}) E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s+1\right) \\ &= (1 + \beta_s - \alpha_r) E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}+1, \alpha_r-1 : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s+1\right) + E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_r - \beta_s) E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\right) - E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2}, \alpha_{r-1}+1, \alpha_r+1 : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s+1\right) \\ &= (\beta_s - \alpha_{r-1})(\alpha_r - \beta_s) E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s+1\right) - E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-2}, \alpha_{r-1}+1, \alpha_r : p : \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

6. Series for the generalised Laplace transform. Using the results (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain the following series for the generalised Laplace transform, $\phi_{k, m, \lambda}(p)$,

$$\phi_{k+n, m, \lambda}(p) = (-1)^n \Gamma(k+m+n+\frac{1}{2}) n! \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r \phi_{k+r/2, m+r/2, \lambda}(p)}{(n-r)! r! \Gamma(m+k+r+\frac{1}{2})} \quad (\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2}-k+m) > 0), \dots\dots\dots(6.1)$$

and

$$\phi_{k-n, m, \lambda}(p) = \frac{n! \Gamma(m+k-n+\frac{1}{2}) (-1)^n}{\Gamma(m+k+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r \phi_{k-r/2, m+r/2, \lambda}(p)}{(n-r)! r!} \quad (\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2}-k+m) > 0). \dots\dots\dots(6.2)$$

7. Series for the MacRobert's E-function. Using (5.1) with the results (6.1) and (6.2), we obtain the following finite series involving MacRobert's E-function

$$E \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r : p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s - n : \end{matrix} \right) = (-1)^n n! \Gamma(1 + \alpha_r - \beta_s + n) \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{(-1)^t E \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_r + t : p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s : \end{matrix} \right)}{(n-t)! t! \Gamma(1 + \alpha_r - \beta_s + t)} \dots\dots\dots(7.1)$$

and

$$E \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r : p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s + n : \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n n! \Gamma(1 + \alpha_r - \beta_s - n)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_r - \beta_s)} \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{(-1)^t E \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_r + t : p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1}, \beta_s + t : \end{matrix} \right)}{(n-t)! t!} \dots\dots\dots(7.2)$$

My thanks are due to Dr N. G. Shabde for the help and guidance I received from him during the preparation of this paper and to Professor T. M. MacRobert for his various valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Harishanker, *Proc. Benares Math. Soc.*, **4** (1942), 51-57.
2. C. B. Rathie, *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. (India)* **21** (1955), 382-393.
3. K. M. Saksena, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India*, **21** (1953), 202-208.
4. R. S. Varma, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India*, **20** (1951), 209.
5. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *Modern analysis*, 4th edn (Cambridge, 1940).

GOVERNMENT ENGINEERING COLLEGE,
JABALPUR, M.P., INDIA