



CORRIGENDUM

Continuity and catastrophic risk – CORRIGENDUM

H. Orri Stefánsson

Department of Philosophy, Stockholm University, Universitetsvägen 10 D, 114 18 Stockholm, Sweden; and Swedish Collegium for Advanced Study, Thunbergsvägen 2, 752 38 Uppsala, Sweden
Email: orri.stefansson@philosophy.su.se. URL: www.orrstefansson.is

doi: [10.1017/S0266267121000146](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266267121000146). Published online by Cambridge University Press: 7 July 2021.

The author would like to correct some text in the article above:

Page 4, line 24:-

Assumption 1 (Downward trade-off). For any triple $O_{i-1}, O_i, O_{i+1} \in \mathbf{O}$ and for any $p \in (0, 1)$, there is a $q \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$[O_{i+1}, p, O_i] \sim [O_{i+1}, q, O_{i-1}]$$

Should read:-

Assumption 1 (Downward trade-off). For any triple $O_{j-1}, O_j, O_i \in O$, where $j < i$, and for any $p \in (0, 1)$, there is a $q \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$[O_i, p, O_j] \sim [O_i, q, O_{j-1}]$$

Page 6, line 16:-

Assumption 2 (Upward trade-off). For any triple $O_{j-1}, O_j, O_{j+1} \in O$ and for any $p \in (0, 1)$, there is a $q \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$[O_j, p, O_{j-1}] \sim [O_{j+1}, q, O_{j-1}]$$

Should read:-

Assumption 2 (Upward trade-off). For any triple $O_j, O_i, O_{i+1} \in O$, where $j < i$, and for any $p \in (0, 1)$, there is a $q \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$[O_i, p, O_j] \sim [O_{i+1}, q, O_j]$$

The author would like to apologize for this error.