



# Multiple Solutions for Nonlinear Periodic Problems

Sophia Th. Kyritsi and Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou

*Abstract.* We consider a nonlinear periodic problem driven by a nonlinear nonhomogeneous differential operator and a Carathéodory reaction term  $f(t, x)$  that exhibits a  $(p - 1)$ -superlinear growth in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  near  $\pm\infty$  and near zero. A special case of the differential operator is the scalar  $p$ -Laplacian. Using a combination of variational methods based on the critical point theory with Morse theory (critical groups), we show that the problem has three nontrivial solutions, two of which have constant sign (one positive, the other negative).

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for the following nonlinear periodic problem:

$$(1.1) \quad -(\alpha(t, u'(t)))' = f(t, u(t)) \text{ a.e. on } T = [0, b], \\ u(0) = u(b), \quad u'(0) = u'(b).$$

Here  $\alpha: T \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous map such that for all  $t \in T$ ,  $\alpha(t, \cdot)$  is strictly monotone and  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . A special case of the differential operator in (1.1), is the scalar  $p$ -Laplacian. The reaction term  $f(t, x)$  is a Carathéodory function (i.e.,  $t \rightarrow f(t, x)$  is measurable and  $x \rightarrow f(t, x)$  is continuous), and we assume that  $f(t, \cdot)$  exhibits a  $(p - 1)$ -superlinear growth near  $\pm\infty$  and near 0,  $1 < p < \infty$ . However, to express this  $(p - 1)$ -superlinearity at  $\pm\infty$ , we do not employ the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition (AR-condition), which is normally used in such cases, but instead we use a less restrictive hypothesis.

Multiplicity results for nonlinear Sturm–Liouville and periodic problems were proved by Aizicovici, Papageorgiou, and Staicu [1]; Ben Naoum and De Coster [2]; De Coster [5]; del Pino, Manásevich, and Murúa [6]; Gasiński and Papageorgiou [8]; Manásevich, Njoku, and Zanolin [10]; Njoku and Zanolin [12]; Papageorgiou and Papageorgiou [14]; Papageorgiou and Papalini [15], and Yang [16]. In all of these papers, the differential operator is the scalar  $p$ -Laplacian, and the reaction term is either  $(p - 1)$ -linear or  $(p - 1)$ -sublinear near  $\pm\infty$ . It appears that the question of the existence of multiple solutions for “ $(p - 1)$ -superlinear” periodic problems has not previously been addressed. We also emphasize that in contrast to the scalar  $p$ -Laplacian, the differential operator here needs not be homogeneous.

Received by the editors June 9, 2010; revised July 2, 2010.

Published electronically August 3, 2011.

AMS subject classification: 34B15, 34B18, 34C25, 58E05.

Keywords:  $C$ -condition, mountain pass theorem, critical groups, strong deformation retract, contractible space, homotopy invariance.

## 2 Mathematical Background and Hypotheses

Our approach combines variational methods based on the critical point theory with Morse theory (critical groups).

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $X^*$  its topological dual. By  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  we denote the duality brackets for the pair  $(X^*, X)$ . Let  $\varphi \in C^1(X)$ . We say that  $\varphi$  satisfies the *Cerami condition* ( $C$ -condition) if the following is true: "Every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq X$  such that  $\{\varphi(x_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and  $(1 + \|x_n\|)\varphi'(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $X^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  admits a strongly convergent subsequence." Using this notion, we can have the following min-max characterization of certain critical values of  $\varphi$ , known in the literature as the *mountain pass theorem*.

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $\varphi \in C^1(X)$  satisfies the  $C$ -condition, and for  $x_0, x_1 \in X, r > 0, \|x_0 - x_1\| > r$ , we have*

$$\max\{\varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)\} < \inf\{\varphi(x) : \|x - x_0\| = r\} = \eta_r$$

and  $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \varphi(\gamma(t))$ , where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = x_0, \gamma(1) = x_1\},$$

then  $c \geq \eta_r$  and  $c$  is a critical value of  $\varphi$ .

For  $\varphi \in C^1(X)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we set  $\varphi^c = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \leq c\}$  and  $K_\varphi = \{x \in X : \varphi'(x) = 0\}$ .

Let  $Y_2 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq X$ . Then for every integer  $k \geq 0$ , let  $H_k(Y_1, Y_2)$  denote the  $k$ th-singular relative homology group for the pair  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  with the coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The critical groups of  $\varphi$  at an isolated critical point  $x_0 \in X$  with  $c = \varphi(x_0)$  are defined by  $C_k(\varphi, x_0) = H_k(\varphi^c \cap U, \varphi^c \cap U \setminus \{x_0\})$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $x_0$  such that  $K_\varphi \cap \varphi^c \cap U = \{x_0\}$ . The excision property of singular homology implies that this definition is independent of the particular choice of the neighborhood  $U$ . Suppose that  $\varphi \in C^1(X)$  satisfies the  $C$ -condition and  $-\infty < \inf \varphi(K_\varphi)$ . Let  $c < \inf \varphi(K_\varphi)$ . The critical groups of  $\varphi$  at infinity, are defined by  $C_k(\varphi, \infty) = H_k(X, \varphi^c)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . The deformation theorem implies that this definition is independent of the choice of  $c$ . Suppose  $K_\varphi$  is finite. We set  $M(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{rank } C_k(\varphi, x)t^k$ ,  $P(t, \infty) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{rank } C_k(\varphi, \infty)t^k$ . The *Morse relation* says that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{x \in K_\varphi} M(t, x) = P(t, \infty) + (1 + t)Q(t),$$

where  $Q(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \beta_k t^k$  is a formal series with nonnegative integer coefficients  $\beta_k$  (see Chang [3]).

In the study of problem (1.1), we shall use the following two spaces:

$$W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(0, b) = \{u \in W^{1,p}(0, b) : u(0) = u(b)\},$$

$$\widehat{C}(T) = C^1(T) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(0, b) = \{u \in C^1(T) : u(0) = u(b)\}.$$

Note that  $\widehat{C}(T)$  is an ordered Banach space with positive cone  $\widehat{C}_+ = \{u \in \widehat{C}(T) : u(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in T\}$ . This cone has a nonempty interior  $\text{int } \widehat{C}_+ = \{u \in \widehat{C}_+ : u(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in T\}$ .

The hypotheses on  $\alpha(t, x)$  are the following:

**H( $\alpha$ )** :  $\alpha(t, x) = h(t, |x|x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in T \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $h(t, x) > 0$  for all  $t \in T$ , all  $x > 0$ , and

- (i)  $\alpha \in C(T \times \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1(T \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}))$ ;
- (ii) there exist  $0 < c_0 < c_1$  such that  $c_0|x|^{p-2} \leq \alpha'_x(t, x) \leq c_1|x|^{p-2}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , for all  $(t, x) \in T \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ ;
- (iii) if  $G(t, x) = \int_0^x \alpha(t, s)ds$ , then there exists  $\eta \in L^1(T)$  such that  $pG(t, x) - \alpha(t, x)x \geq \eta(t)$  for a.a.  $t \in T$ , all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 2.2** Evidently, for a.a.  $t \in T$ ,  $\alpha(t, \cdot)$  is strictly monotone,  $G(t, \cdot)$  is strictly convex, and  $G(t, x) \leq \alpha(t, x)x$ . Moreover,

$$|\alpha(t, x)| \leq \frac{c_1}{p-1}|x|^{p-1}, \quad \alpha(t, x)x \geq \frac{c_0}{p-1}|x|^p,$$

$$\text{and } \frac{c_0}{p(p-1)}|x|^p \leq G(t, x) \leq \frac{c_1}{p(p-1)}|x|^p$$

for all  $(t, x) \in T \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.3** The following functions  $\alpha(t, x)$  satisfy hypotheses H( $\alpha$ ). Here  $\vartheta \in C^1(T)$  with  $\vartheta(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in T$ :

- $\alpha(t, x) = \vartheta(t)|x|^{p-2}x$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  (corresponds to the weighted scalar  $p$ -Laplacian);
- $\alpha(t, x) = \vartheta(t)[|x|^{p-2}x + |x|^{q-2}x]$  for  $2 \leq p$ ;
- $\alpha(t, x) = \begin{cases} \vartheta(t)[|x|^{p-2}x + |x|^{q-2}x], & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ \vartheta(t)[|x|^{p-2}x + c|x|^{r-2}x - (c-1)x] & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$   
with  $1 < r < p \leq q$  and  $r < 2 \leq p$  or  $2 < r \leq p < q$ ;
- $\alpha(t, x) = \vartheta(t)\left[|x|^{p-2}x + \frac{|x|^{p-2}x}{1+|x|^p}\right]$  for  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

**H(f)** :  $f: T \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function such that  $f(t, 0) = 0$  for a.a.  $t \in T$  and

- (i)  $|f(t, x)| \leq \widehat{\alpha}(t)(1 + |x|^{r-1})$  for a.a.  $t \in T$ , all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\widehat{\alpha} \in L^1(T)_+$ ,  $p < r < \infty$ ;
- (ii) if  $F(t, x) = \int_0^x f(t, s)ds$ , then  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^p} = +\infty$  uniformly for a.a.  $t \in T$  and there exist  $\mu > r - p$  and  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\beta_0 \leq \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t, x) - pF(t, x)}{|x|^\mu} \text{ uniformly for a.a. } t \in T;$$

- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, x)}{|x|^{p-2}x} = 0$  uniformly for a.a.  $t \in T$ ;

- (iv) there exist  $\widehat{c} > 0$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $f(t, x)x \geq -\widehat{c}|x|^p$  for a.a.  $t \in T$ , all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $F(t, x) \leq 0$  for a.a.  $t \in T$ , all  $|x| \leq \delta_0$ .

**Remark 2.4** Hypotheses  $H(f)$ (ii) and (iii) imply the  $(p - 1)$ -superlinear growth near  $\pm\infty$  and near 0. However, we do not employ the AR-condition, which says that there exist  $\tau > p$  and  $M > 0$  such that  $0 < \tau F(t, x) \leq f(t, x)x$  for a.a.  $t \in T$  and all  $|x| \geq M$ . Integrating this inequality, we obtain  $\widetilde{c}|x|^\tau \leq F(t, x)$  for a.a.  $t \in T$  and all  $|x| \geq M$ . Therefore the AR-condition dictates at least a  $\tau$ -growth near  $\pm\infty$  for  $F(t, \cdot)$ . In contrast,  $H(f)$ (ii) is much weaker and permits slower growth near  $\pm\infty$ . Similar conditions were also used by Costa and Magalhaes [4] and Fei [7].

**Example 2.5** The following functions satisfy  $H(f)$  (for the sake of simplicity we drop the  $t$ -dependence):

$$f_1(x) = |x|^{r-2}x - |x|^{p-2}x \text{ with } p < r \text{ and}$$

$$f_2(x) = |x|^{p-2}x(\ln|x|^p + 1).$$

Note that  $f_2$  does not satisfy the AR-condition.

In what follows, for the sake of notational simplicity, we set  $W = W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(0, b)$ . Let  $A : W \rightarrow W^*$  be the nonlinear map defined by  $\langle A(u), y \rangle = \int_0^b \alpha(t, u')y' dt$  for all  $u, y \in W$ . From Papageorgiou and Kyritsi [13], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6** *The map  $A : W \rightarrow W^*$  defined above is maximal monotone, strictly monotone and of type  $(S)_+$  i.e., if  $u_n \xrightarrow{w} u$  in  $W$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ , then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W$ .*

### 3 Solutions of Constant Sign

Let  $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Euler functional for problem (1.1) defined by

$$\varphi(u) = \int_0^b G(t, u') dt - \int_0^b F(t, x) dt \quad \text{for all } u \in W.$$

Evidently  $\varphi \in C^1(W)$ . Also for  $\lambda > 0$ , let

$$f_+^\lambda(t, x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0, \\ f(t, x) + \lambda x^{p-1} & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$f_-^\lambda(t, x) = \begin{cases} f(t, x) + \lambda x^{p-1} & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Set  $F_\pm^\lambda(t, x) = \int_0^b f_\pm^\lambda(t, s) ds$  and consider the  $C^1$ -functionals  $\varphi_\pm^\lambda : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\varphi_\pm^\lambda(u) = \int_0^b G(t, u') dt + \frac{\lambda}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \int_0^b F_\pm^\lambda(t, u) dt$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *If hypotheses  $H(\alpha)$  and  $H(f)$  hold, then  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_{\pm}^{\lambda}$  satisfy the C-condition.*

**Proof** First we prove this for  $\varphi$ . So, let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W$  be a sequence such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varphi(u_n)| &\leq M_1 \text{ for some } M_1 > 0, \\ \text{and } (1 + \|u_n\|)\varphi'(u_n) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From the convergence in (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \langle A(u_n), h \rangle - \int_0^b f(t, u_n)h dt \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n \|h\|}{1 + \|u_n\|}$$

for all  $h \in W$ , with  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \Rightarrow - \int_0^b \alpha(t, u'_n)u'_n dt + \int_0^b f(t, u_n)u_n dt \leq \varepsilon_n \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

(choosing  $h = u_n \in W$ ). From (3.1), we also have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^b pG(t, u'_n)dt - \int_0^b pF(t, u_n)dt &\leq pM_1 \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \\ \Rightarrow \int_0^b [f(t, u_n)u_n - pF(t, u_n)] dt &\leq M_2 \text{ for some } M_2 > 0, \text{ all } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

(adding (3.3) and using  $H(\alpha)$ (iii)).

Hypotheses  $H(f)$ (i) and (ii) imply that we can find  $\beta_1 \in (0, \beta_0)$  and  $\widehat{a}_1 \in L^1(T)_+$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_1|x|^\mu - \widehat{a}_1(t) \leq f(t, x)x - pF(t, x) \text{ for all } t \in T, \text{ all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using (3.5) in (3.4) we infer that  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq L^\mu(T)$  is bounded. It is clear that we can always assume that  $\mu < r$ . Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-t}{\mu}$ . Invoking the interpolation inequality, we can find  $M_3 > 0$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|u_n\|_r^r \leq M_3 \|u_n\|^{tr} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

In (3.2) we choose  $h = u_n \in W$ , and using the properties of  $\alpha(t, x)$ ,  $H(f)$ (i) and (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{c_0}{p-1} \|u'_n\|_p^p \leq c_2(1 + \|u_n\| + \|u_n\|^{tr}) \text{ for some } c_2 > 0, \text{ all } n \geq 1.$$

Recall that  $u \rightarrow \|u'\|_p + \|u\|_\mu$  is equivalent to the Sobolev norm. Since  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq L^\mu(T)$  is bounded and using (3.7) (note  $tr = r - \mu < p$ ), we infer that  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W$  is bounded. So, we may assume that  $u_n \xrightarrow{w} u$  in  $W$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $C(T)$ . In (3.2) we

set  $h = u_n - u$  and pass to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0$ , and so  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W$  (see Proposition 2.6). Therefore,  $\varphi$  satisfies the C-condition.

Next, with a slight variation of the above proof, we show that  $\varphi_{\pm}^{\lambda}$  satisfy the C-condition. So, as before, let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W$  be a sequence such that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varphi_+^{\lambda}(u_n)| &\leq M_3 \quad \text{for some } M_3 > 0, \quad \text{all } n \geq 1 \\ &\text{and } (1 + \|u_n\|)(\varphi_+^{\lambda})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W^* \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From the convergence in (3.8), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \langle A(u_n), h \rangle + \lambda \int_0^b |u_n|^{p-2} u_n h dt - \int_0^b f(t, u_n^+) h dt - \lambda \int_0^b (u_n^+)^{p-1} \right| \\ \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n \|h\|}{1 + \|u_n\|} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In (3.9) we choose  $h = -u_n^- \in W$  and obtain  $\frac{c_0}{p-1} \|(u_n^-)'\|_p^p + \lambda \|u_n^-\|_p^p \leq \varepsilon_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , hence

$$(3.10) \quad u_n^- \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, if in (3.9) we choose  $h = u_n^+ \in W$  and as before, we use (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain  $\int_0^b [f(t, u_n^+) u_n^+ - pF(t, u_n^+)] dt \leq M_4$  for some  $M_4 > 0$ , all  $n \geq 1$ . From this, as in the first part of the proof, using H(f)(ii) and the interpolation inequality, we show that  $\{u_n^+\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W$  is bounded. This fact and (3.10), imply that  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W$  is bounded, from which via Proposition 2.6, we conclude that  $\varphi_+^{\lambda}$  satisfies the C-condition. We proceed similarly for  $\varphi_-^{\lambda}$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2** *If hypotheses H(α) and H(f) hold, then  $u = 0$  is a local minimizer of  $\varphi_{\pm}^{\lambda}$  and  $\varphi$ .*

**Proof** We prove this for  $\varphi_+^{\lambda}$ , the proofs for  $\varphi_-^{\lambda}$  and  $\varphi$  being similar. Let  $\delta_0 > 0$  be as postulated by hypothesis H(f)(iv) and let  $u \in \widehat{C}(T)$  such that  $\|u\|_{C^1(T)} \leq \delta_0$ . Then  $\varphi_+^{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{c_0}{p(p-1)} \|u'\|_p^p + \frac{\lambda}{p} \|u^-\|_p^p \geq 0 = \varphi_+^{\lambda}(0)$ . Hence  $u = 0$  is a local  $\widehat{C}(T)$ -minimizer of  $\varphi_+^{\lambda}$ . From Papageorgiou–Papalini [15, Proposition 5], we infer that  $u = 0$  is also a local  $W$ -minimizer of  $\varphi_+^{\lambda}$ . ■

Clearly hypothesis H(f)(ii) implies the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3** *If hypotheses H(α) and H(f) hold, then  $\varphi_{\pm}^{\lambda}(\xi) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

Now we are ready to produce two nontrivial solutions of constant sign.

**Proposition 3.4** *If hypotheses H(α) and H(f) hold, then problem (1.1) has two non-trivial solutions of constant sign  $u_0 \in \text{int}\widehat{C}_+$ ,  $v_0 \in -\text{int}\widehat{C}_+$ .*

**Proof** From Proposition 3.2, we know that  $u = 0$  is a local minimizer of  $\varphi_+^\lambda$ . We may assume that  $u = 0$  is isolated, or, otherwise, we can easily see that we have a whole sequence of distinct positive solutions. Hence, reasoning as in Papageorgiou and Papalini [15, Proposition 6], we can find  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$  small such that

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi_+^\lambda(0) = 0 < \inf[\varphi_+^\lambda(u) : \|u\| = \varrho] = m_\varrho.$$

Then (3.11) together with Propositions 3.1 and 3.4 permits the use of Theorem 2.1 (the mountain pass theorem), and we obtain  $u_0 \in W$  such that

$$(3.12) \quad \varphi_+^\lambda(0) = 0 < m_\varrho \leq \varphi_+^\lambda(u_0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi_+^\lambda)'(u_0) = 0.$$

From the inequality in (3.12), we infer that  $u_0 \neq 0$ . From the equality in (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad A(u_0) + \lambda|u_0|^{p-2}u_0 = N_+^\lambda(u_0),$$

where  $N_+^\lambda(u)(\cdot) = f_+^\lambda(\cdot, u(\cdot))$  for  $u \in W$ . Acting on (3.13) with  $-u_0^- \in W$ , we obtain  $u_0 \geq 0$ ,  $u_0 \neq 0$ . Then (3.13) becomes  $A(u_0) = N(u_0)$ , where  $N(u)(\cdot) = f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$  for all  $u \in W$ . Hence  $u_0 \in \widehat{C}(T)$  and solves (1.1) (see [8]). Also, from  $H(f)(iv)$  we have  $(\alpha(t, u'(t)))' \leq \widehat{c}u_0(t)^{p-1}$  a.a. on  $T$ , hence  $u_0 \in \text{int } \widehat{C}_+$  (see Montenegro [11]). Similarly, working with  $\varphi_-^\lambda$ , we obtain another constant sign solution  $v_0 \in -\text{int } \widehat{C}_+$ . ■

### 4 Critical Groups at Infinity

In this section we compute the critical groups at infinity for  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_\pm^\lambda$ .

**Proposition 4.1** *If hypotheses  $H(\alpha)$  and  $H(f)$  hold, then  $C_k(\varphi, \infty) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .*

**Proof** Hypotheses  $H(f)(i)$  and (ii) imply that given any  $\xi > 0$ , we can find  $\widehat{\alpha}_2 \in L^1(T)_+$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad F(t, x) \geq \frac{\xi}{p}|x|^p - \widehat{\alpha}_2(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \text{ all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $u \in \partial B_1 = \{u \in W : \|u\| = 1\}$  and  $s > 0$ . Then

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(su) &\leq \frac{c_1 s^p}{p(p-1)} \|u'\|_p^p - \int_0^b F(t, su) dt \\ &\leq \frac{s^p}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p-1} - \xi \|u\|_p^p \right) + \|\widehat{\alpha}_2\|_1 \quad (\text{see (4.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\xi > \frac{1}{(p-1)\|u\|_p^p}$ , from (4.2) we see that

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi(su) \leq \frac{c_1 s^p}{p(p-1)} \|u'\|_p^p - \int_0^b F(t, su) dt \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Using (3.5), for every  $u \in W$  we have

$$(4.4) \quad \int_0^b [pF(t, su) - f(t, u)u] dt \leq -\beta_1 \int_0^b |u|^\mu dt + \|\widehat{\alpha}_2\|_1.$$

Choose  $\eta < -(\|\widehat{\alpha}_2\|_1 + 1) < 0$ . From (4.3) we see that for  $s > 0$  large and  $u \in \partial B_1$ , we have

$$(4.5) \quad p \varphi(su) \leq \frac{c_1 s^p}{p-1} - \int_0^b pF(t, su) dt \leq \eta < 0 = \varphi(0) \quad (\text{since } \|u\| = 1).$$

Clearly then, we can find  $s^* > 0$  such that  $\varphi(s^*u) = \eta$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \varphi(su) &= \langle \varphi'(su), u \rangle = \int_0^b \alpha(t, su') u' dt - \int_0^b f(t, su) u dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \left[ s^p \frac{c_1}{p-1} - \int_0^b pF(t, su) u dt + \|\widehat{\alpha}_2\|_1 \right] \quad (\text{see (4.4)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} [\eta + \|\widehat{\alpha}_2\|_1] < 0 \quad (\text{see (4.5) and recall that } \eta < -(\|\widehat{\alpha}_2\|_1 + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the above  $s^* > 0$  is unique, and we denote it by  $\gamma(u) > 0$ . We have  $\varphi(\gamma(u)u) = \eta$ ,  $u \in \partial B_1$ , and moreover, the implicit function theorem implies that  $\gamma \in C(\partial B_1)$ . We set  $\widehat{\gamma}(u) = \frac{1}{\|u\|} \gamma\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)$  for all  $u \in W \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\widehat{\gamma} \in C(W \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\varphi(\widehat{\gamma}(u)u) = \eta$  for all  $u \in W \setminus \{0\}$ . Also,  $\varphi(u) = \eta$  implies  $\widehat{\gamma}(u) = 1$ . Hence, if for  $u \neq 0$  we set

$$\widehat{\gamma}_0(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \in \varphi^\eta, \\ \widehat{\gamma}(u) & \text{if } u \notin \varphi^\eta, \end{cases}$$

then  $\widehat{\gamma}_0 \in C(W \setminus \{0\})$ . Consider the homotopy  $h(\tau, u) = (1 - \tau)u + \tau \widehat{\gamma}_0(u)u$ . We have  $h(0, u) = u$ ,  $h(1, u) \in \varphi^\eta$  and  $h(\tau, \cdot)|_{\varphi^\eta} = \text{id}|_{\varphi^\eta}$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , hence  $\varphi^\eta$  is a strong deformation retract of  $W \setminus \{0\}$ . Also, by considering the homotopy  $h(\tau, u) = (1 - \tau)u + \tau \frac{u}{\|u\|}$ , we see that  $\partial B_1$  is a strong deformation retract of  $W \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus  $\varphi^\eta$  and  $\partial B_1$  are homotopy equivalent and  $\partial B_1$  is contractible in itself. Therefore choosing  $\eta < \inf \varphi(K_\varphi)$ , we conclude that

$$C_k(\varphi, \infty) = H_k(W, \varphi^\eta) = H_k(W, \partial B_1) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0$$

(see Granas–Dugundji [9]). ■

In a similar way, we show the triviality of the critical groups at infinity of  $\varphi_\pm^\lambda$ .

**Proposition 4.2** *If hypotheses  $H(\alpha)$  and  $H(f)$  hold, then  $C_k(\varphi_\pm^\lambda, \infty) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .*



**Proof** We prove this for  $\varphi_+^\lambda$ , the proof for  $\varphi_-^\lambda$  being similar. Again, from H(f)(i) and (ii) we see that for any  $\xi > 0$ , we can find  $\widehat{\alpha}_3 \in L^1(T)_+$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad F(t, x^+) \geq \frac{\xi}{p}(x^+)^p - \widehat{\alpha}_3(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \text{ all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $\partial B_+ = \{u \in \partial B_1 : u^+ \neq 0\}$ . Then for  $u \in \partial B_+$  and  $s > 0$  we have

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi_+^\lambda(su) \leq s^p \left[ \frac{c_1}{p-1} + \lambda \|u^-\|_p^p - \xi \|u^+\|_p^p \right] + \|\widehat{\alpha}_3\|_1 \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow +\infty$$

(see (4.6) and recall that  $\xi > 0$  is arbitrary).

From H(f)(i) and (ii), we can find  $\beta_1 \in (0, \beta_0)$  and  $\widehat{\alpha}_4 \in L^1(T)_+$  such that

$$(4.8) \quad f(t, x^+)x^+ - pF(t, x^+) \geq \beta_1(x^+)^{\mu} - \widehat{\alpha}_4(t) \quad \text{a.a. } t \in T, \text{ all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $u \in W$ , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \int_0^b [pF(t, u^+) - f(t, u^+)u^+] dt \leq -\beta_1 \int_0^b (u^+)^{\mu} dt + \|\widehat{\alpha}_4\|_1 \quad \text{(see (4.8)).}$$

Choose  $\eta < -(\|\widehat{\alpha}_4\|_1 + 1) < 0$ . From (4.6) and (4.7), we see that for  $s > 0$  large

$$(4.10) \quad s^p \left[ \frac{c_1}{p-1} + \lambda \|u^-\|_p^p - \int_0^b pF(t, su^+) dt \right] \leq \eta < 0 = \varphi_+^\lambda(0).$$

Hence we can find  $\widehat{s} > 0$  such that  $\varphi_+^\lambda(\widehat{s}u) = \eta$ . Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \varphi_+^\lambda(su) &= \langle (\varphi_+^\lambda)'(su), u \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \left[ \frac{c_1 s^p}{p-1} + s^p \lambda \|u^-\|_p^p - \int_0^b pF(t, su^+) dt + \|\widehat{\alpha}_4\|_1 \right] \quad \text{(see (4.9))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} [\eta + \|\widehat{\alpha}_4\|_1] < 0 \quad \text{(see (4.10) and recall that } \eta < -(\|\widehat{\alpha}_4\|_1 + 1)), \end{aligned}$$

the above  $\widehat{s} > 0$  is unique and we denote it by  $\gamma^+(u) > 0$ . Then  $\varphi_+^\lambda(\gamma^+(u)u) = \eta$  for all  $u \in \partial B_+$ , and by the implicit function theorem,  $\gamma^+ \in C(\partial B_+)$ . Let  $E_+ = \{u \in W : u^+ \neq 0\}$  and set  $\widehat{\gamma}^+(u) = \frac{1}{\|u\|} \gamma^+\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . Then  $\widehat{\gamma}^+ \in C(E_+)$  and  $\varphi_+^\lambda(\widehat{\gamma}^+(u)u) = \eta$  for all  $u \in E_+$ . In addition,  $\varphi_+^\lambda(u) = \eta$  implies  $\widehat{\gamma}^+(u) = 1$ . So, if for  $u \in E_+$  we set

$$\widehat{\gamma}_0^+(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \in (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta, \\ \widehat{\gamma}^+(u) & \text{if } u \notin (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta, \end{cases}$$

then  $\widehat{\gamma}_0^+ \in C(E_+)$ . Let  $h(t, u) = (1-t)u + t\widehat{\gamma}_0^+(u)u$ . We have

$$(4.11) \quad (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta \text{ is a strong deformation retract of } E_+.$$

Also, using  $\widehat{h}(t, u) = \frac{(1-t)u+t\widehat{u}_0}{\|(1-t)u+t\widehat{u}_0\|}$ , we see that

$$(4.12) \quad E_+ \text{ is contractible in itself.}$$

From (4.11) and (4.12), it follows that  $H_k(W, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta) = H_k(W, E_+) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  (see Granas and Dugundji [9]), hence choosing  $\eta < \inf \varphi_+^\lambda(K_{\varphi_+^\lambda})$ , we infer  $C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, \infty) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Similarly we show that  $C_k(\varphi_-^\lambda, \infty) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , this time using  $\partial B_- = \{u \in \partial B_1 : u^- \neq 0\}$ . ■

### 5 Three Solutions Theorem

In this section, we prove the full multiplicity theorem for problem (1.1), producing three nontrivial solutions.

**Theorem 5.1** *If hypotheses  $H(\alpha)$  and  $H(f)$  hold, then problem (1.1) has at least three nontrivial solutions:  $u_0 \in \text{int}\widehat{C}_+$ ,  $v_0 \in -\text{int}\widehat{C}_+$ , and  $y_0 \in C^1(T)$ .*

**Proof** From Proposition 3.3, we already have two constant sign solutions  $u_0 \in \text{int}\widehat{C}_+$  and  $v_0 \in -\text{int}\widehat{C}_+$ . Suppose that  $\{0, u_0, v_0\}$  are the only critical points of  $\varphi$ . Then we can easily see that  $\{0, u_0\}$  are the only critical points of  $\varphi_+^\lambda$ , and  $\{0, v_0\}$  are the only critical points of  $\varphi_-^\lambda$ .

*Claim 1:*  $C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, u_0) = C_k(\varphi_-^\lambda, v_0) = \delta_{k,1}\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Let  $\widehat{\eta} < 0 = \varphi_+^\lambda(0) < \eta < \varphi_+^\lambda(u_0)$  (see (3.12)). We have  $(\varphi_+^\lambda)^{\widehat{\eta}} \subseteq (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta \subseteq W$ . We consider the long exact sequence of homological groups corresponding to this triple of sets:

$$(5.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_k(W, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^{\widehat{\eta}}) \xrightarrow{i} H_k(W, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{k-1}((\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^{\widehat{\eta}}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Here  $i$  is the embedding of  $(\varphi_+^\lambda)^{\widehat{\eta}}$  into  $(\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta$ , and  $\partial$  is the boundary map. We have

$$(5.2) \quad H_k(W, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^{\widehat{\eta}}) = C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, \infty) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0 \text{ (see Proposition 4.2),}$$

$$(5.3) \quad H_k(W, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta) = C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, 0) = \delta_{k,0}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0 \text{ (see Proposition 3.2).}$$

From (5.2) and (5.3), we see that in (5.1) only the tail  $k = 1$  is nontrivial. Moreover, from the exactness of (5.1) and the rank theorem, we see that  $\text{rank } C_1(\varphi_+^\lambda, u_0) = \text{rank } H_1(W, (\varphi_+^\lambda)^\eta) \leq 1$ . On the other hand recall that  $u_0$  is a critical point of mountain pass type for  $\varphi_+^\lambda$  (see the proof of Proposition 3.4). So,  $\text{rank } C_1(\varphi_+^\lambda, u_0) \geq 1$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, u_0) = \delta_{k,1}\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Similarly we show that  $C_k(\varphi_-^\lambda, v_0) = \delta_{k,1}\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . This proves Claim 1.

*Claim 2:*  $C_k(\varphi, u_0) = C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, u_0)$  and  $C_k(\varphi, v_0) = C_k(\varphi_-^\lambda, v_0)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Let  $h_+(s, u) = (1 - s)\varphi(u) + s\varphi_+^\lambda(u)$ ,  $(s, u) \in [0, 1] \times W$ . We will show that there exists  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$  small such that  $u_0$  is the only critical point in  $\overline{B}_\varrho(u_0) = \{u \in W : \|u - u_0\| \leq \varrho\}$  of  $h_+(s, \cdot)$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Indeed, if this is not the case, then we can find  $t_n \rightarrow t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $W$  and  $(h_+)'_u(s_n, u_n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . From Papageorgiou and Papalini [15, proof of Proposition 5], we know that

$\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \widehat{C}(T)$  is relatively compact. So, we have  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $\widehat{C}(T)$  and since  $u_0 \in \text{int } \widehat{C}_+$ , we will have  $u_n \in \text{int } \widehat{C}_+$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Because  $\varphi|_{\widehat{C}_+} = \varphi_+^\lambda|_{\widehat{C}_+}$ , it follows that  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq n_0}$  are all distinct critical points of  $\varphi$ , a contradiction to our assumption. Also, reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we show that for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $h_+(s, \cdot)$  satisfies the  $C$ -condition. Then by virtue of the homotopy invariance property of the critical groups (see Chang [3]), we have

$$C_k(\varphi, u_0) = C_k(h_+(0, \cdot), u_0) = C_k(h_+(1, \cdot), u_0) = C_k(\varphi_+^\lambda, u_0)$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . Similarly for the triple  $\{\varphi, \varphi_-^\lambda, v_0\}$ . This proves Claim 2.

From Propositions 3.2 and 4.1, we have

$$(5.4) \quad C_k(\varphi, 0) = \delta_{k,0}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad C_k(\varphi, \infty) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

From Claims 1, 2, (5.4), and the Morse relation (see (2.1)), with  $t = -1$ , we have  $2(-1)^1 + (-1)^0 = 0$ , a contradiction. So,  $\varphi$  has a critical point  $y_0 \notin \{0, u_0, v_0\}$ . Then  $y_0 \in C^1(T)$  solves problem (1.1). ■

**Acknowledgment** The authors wish to thank the referee for his corrections and remarks.

## References

- [1] S. Aizicovici, N. S. Papageorgiou, and V. Staicu, *Periodic solutions for second order differential inclusions with the  $p$ -Laplacian*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322**(2006), no. 2, 913–929. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.09.077>
- [2] A. K. Ben-Naoum and C. De Coster, *On the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of the  $p$ -Laplacian separated boundary value problem*. Differential Integral Equations **10**(1997), no. 6, 1093–1112.
- [3] K.-C. Chang, *Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 6, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [4] D. G. Costa and C. A. Magalhães, *Existence results for perturbations of the  $p$ -Laplacian*. Nonlinear Anal. **24**(1995), no. 3, 409–418. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X\(94\)E0046-J](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(94)E0046-J)
- [5] C. De Coster, *Pairs of positive solutions for one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. Nonlinear Anal. **23**(1994), no. 5, 669–681. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X\(94\)90245-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(94)90245-3)
- [6] M. A. del Pino, R. Manásevich, and A. E. Murúa, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions with prescribed period for a second order quasilinear ODE*. Nonlinear Anal. **18**(1992), no. 1, 79–92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X\(92\)90048-J](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(92)90048-J)
- [7] G. Fei, *On periodic solutions of superquadratic Hamiltonian systems*. Electron. J. Differential Equations **2002**, no. 8, 12 pp.
- [8] L. Gasiński and N. S. Papageorgiou, *On the existence of multiple periodic solutions for equations driven by the  $p$ -Laplacian and a nonsmooth potential*. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **46**(2003), no. 1, 229–249.
- [9] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed point theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [10] R. Manásevich, F. I. Njoku, and F. Zanolin, *Positive solutions for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*. Differential Integral Equations **8**(1995), no. 1, 213–222.
- [11] M. Montenegro, *Strong maximum principles for supersolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*. Nonlinear Anal. **37**(1999), no. 4, 431–448. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(98\)00057-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(98)00057-1)
- [12] F. I. Njoku and F. Zanolin, *Positive solutions for two-point BVPs: existence and multiplicity results*. Nonlinear Anal. **13**(1989), no. 11, 1329–1338. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X\(89\)90016-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(89)90016-3)
- [13] N. S. Papageorgiou and S. Th. Kyritsi-Yiallourou, *Handbook of applied analysis*. Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics, 19, Springer, New York, 2009.

- [14] E. H. Papageorgiou and N. S. Papageorgiou, *Two nontrivial solutions for quasilinear periodic equations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132**(2004), no. 2, 429–434.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07076-X>
- [15] N. S. Papageorgiou and F. Papalini, *Pairs of positive solutions for the periodic scalar  $p$ -Laplacian*. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **5**(2009), no. 1, 157–184. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11784-008-0061-x>
- [16] X. Yang, *Multiple periodic solutions of a class of  $p$ -Laplacian*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314**(2006), no. 1, 17–29.

*Department of Mathematics, Hellenic Naval Academy, Piraeus 18539, Greece*  
*e-mail:* skyrits@math.ntua.gr

*National Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Zografou Campus, Athens 15780, Greece*  
*e-mail:* npapg@math.ntua.gr