Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 20 (1), 1978

## A SUM OF RECIPROCALS OF LEAST COMMON MULTIPLES

## BY

## D. BORWEIN

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem conjectured by P. Erdös.

THEOREM. Let  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k$  be integers satisfying  $1 \le a_0 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_k$ , and let  $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$  denote the least common multiple of  $a_{i-1}$  and  $a_i$ . Then

(1) 
$$\frac{1}{[a_0, a_1]} + \frac{1}{[a_1, a_2]} + \dots + \frac{1}{[a_{k-1}, a_k]} \le 1 - \frac{1}{2^k},$$

with equality occurring if and only if  $a_i = 2^i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ .

**Proof.** For i = 1, 2, ..., k, let  $c_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$ , and let

$$s_i = \frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{c_i}$$

Then  $c_i = u_i a_{i-1} = v_i a_i$  where  $u_i > v_i \ge 1$ . Hence

(2) 
$$\frac{1}{c_i} \leq \frac{1}{a_i},$$

and, since  $c_i^{-1} \le (u_i - v_i)c_i^{-1}$ ,

(3) 
$$\frac{1}{c_i} \le \frac{1}{a_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{a_i}.$$

It follows from (3) that

$$(4) s_i \leq \frac{1}{a_0} - \frac{1}{a_i}.$$

To establish (1) we consider three cases which exhaust all possible conditions on the integers  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k$ .

Case 1.  $a_k \leq 2^k$ . Then, by (4),

$$s_k \le 1 - \frac{1}{a_k} \le 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Received by the editors December 15, 1976.

117

CASE 2.  $a_i > 2^i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ . Then, by (2),

$$s_k \leq \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_k} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

CASE 3.  $a_j \le 2^j$  for some positive integer  $j \le k$ , and  $a_i \ge 2^i$  for  $j+1 \le i \le k$ . Then, by (2) and (4),

$$s_k = s_j + \frac{1}{c_{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{c_k} < 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Thus (1) holds in all three cases. Further, it is immediate that equality occurs in (1) when  $a_i = 2^i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ .

Suppose next that

$$s_k = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \, .$$

Then, by (4), we have  $1-2^{-k} \le 1-a_k^{-1}$  so that  $a_k \ge 2^k$ ; and we cannot have  $a_k > 2^k$  for Case 2 and Case 3 show that this would lead to  $s_k < 1-2^{-k}$ . Hence

$$a_{k} = 2^{k}$$

If k = 1 there is nothing further to prove. For k > 1, we have, by (1) with k - 1 in place of k, and (2), that

$$1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \ge s_{k-1} = s_k - \frac{1}{c_k} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Hence

$$s_{k-1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}},$$

and repetition yields the desired conclusion that

$$a_i = 2^i$$
 for  $1 \le i \le k$ .

THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA N6A 5B9

118