



# Canadian Journal of Philosophy

FORGERY

*Michael Wreen*

143

ORIGINS ARE NOT ESSENCES IN  
EVOLUTIONARY SYSTEMATICS

*Mohan Matthen*

167

VARIETIES OF VISUAL REPRESENTATION

*John Dilworth*

183

RETURN TO MORAL TWIN EARTH

*David Merli*

207

PIERRE BAYLE, MATTER, AND THE  
UNITY OF CONSCIOUSNESS

*Jean-Pierre Schachter*

241

CRITICAL NOTICE OF LYNNE RUDDER BAKER

*PERSONS AND BODIES*

*Gary Wedeking*

267



Canadian  
Journal of  
Philosophy

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>FORGERY</b> <i>Michael Wreen</i>	143
	<b>ORIGINS ARE NOT ESSENCES IN EVOLUTIONARY SYSTEMATICS</b> <i>Mohan Matthen</i>	167
	<b>VARIETIES OF VISUAL REPRESENTATION</b> <i>John Dilworth</i>	183
	<b>RETURN TO MORAL TWIN EARTH</b> <i>David Merli</i>	207
	<b>PIERRE BAYLE, MATTER, AND THE UNITY OF CONSCIOUSNESS</b> <i>Jean-Pierre Schachter</i>	241
	<b>CRITICAL NOTICE OF LYNNE RUDDER BAKER PERSONS AND BODIES</b> <i>Gary Wedeking</i>	267
	Notes on Contributors/Sur les Collaborateurs	291
	Information for Authors/Avis aux Auteurs	
	Subscription Information/Abonnements	
	Other Business Information/ Services administratifs—détails supplémentaires	

**FROM THE  
EDITORIAL  
BOARD**

In 2001 the *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* received 185 submissions (excluding Critical Notices) and accepted 18, or 9.8% of them.

The journal's procedure for handling submissions is as follows. When a paper arrives at the journal's Lethbridge office, the Administrative Director sends it to an editor selected from the board of nine as having expertise in the paper's subject-matter. This editor reads the paper blind, and decides whether it is worth proceeding with. If the editor does proceed, he or she sends the paper, again blind, to (usually) two referees. Based on the referees' reports, the editor can then recommend the paper to the other editors. If he or she does, the referees' reports, editor's recommendation, and the paper (still blind) go to the editorial board as a whole for a vote.

Thomas Hurka  
Editorial Board Coordinator