Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Shitrit P, Zuckerman NS, Mor O, et al. Nosocomial outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant in a highly vaccinated population, Israel, July 2021. Euro Surveill 2021;26:2100822.
- Lim WY, Tan GSE, Htun HL, et al. First nosocomial cluster of COVID-19 due to the delta variant in a major acute-care hospital in Singapore: investigations and outbreak response. J Hosp Infect 2021;122:27–34.
- Abbas M, Robalo Nunes T, Martischang R, et al. Nosocomial transmission and outbreaks of coronavirus disease 2019: the need to protect both patients and healthcare workers. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2021;10:7.
- Divulgado boletim Informativo sobre transmissão de COVID-19 hospitalar. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária (ANVISA) website. https://www.gov.br/anvisa/pt-br/assuntos/noticias-anvisa/2021/divulgado-boletim-

- sobre-transmissao-hospitalar-da-covid-19. Published 2022. Accessed February 12, 2022.
- Dashboard Rede Genômica—Vigilância Genômica do SARS-CoV-2 no Brasil. Fundação Osvaldo Cruz (Fiocruz) website. http://www.genomahcov.fiocruz.br/dashboard/ Published 2022. Accessed February 12, 2022.
- Pham TM, Tahir H, van de Wijgert JHHM, et al. Interventions to control nosocomial transmission of SARS-CoV-2: a modelling study. BMC Med 2021;19:211.
- Read JM, Green CA, Harrison EM, et al. Hospital-acquired SARS-CoV-2 infection in the UK's first COVID-19 pandemic wave. Lancet 2021;398:1037–1038.
- Rhee C, Baker M, Vaidya V, et al. Incidence of nosocomial COVID-19 in patients hospitalized at a large US academic medical center. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3(9):e2020498.
- Zeiser FA, Donida B, da Costa CA, et al. First and second COVID-19 waves in Brazil: a cross-sectional study of patients' characteristics related to hospitalization and in-hospital mortality. Lancet Reg Health Am 2022;6:100107.

False-negative nasopharyngeal severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in immunocompromised patients resulting in healthcare worker exposures

Elena Beam MD^{1,2} , Michelle L. Meyer PhD², John C. O' Horo MD, MPH^{1,2,3} and Laura E. Breeher MD, MPH^{4,5}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, ²Infection Prevention and Control, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, ³Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, ⁴Division of Preventive, Occupational, and Aerospace Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota and ⁵Occupational Health Services, Rochester, Minnesota

To the Editor—Exposure to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection will remain a concern in healthcare settings, even with increasing vaccination rates among healthcare staff and patient populations. This is particularly true for healthcare workers (HCWs) who are immunocompromised because they have been noted to have a lower response to available COVID-19 vaccines. Pecific immunocompromised hosts, including hypogamma-globulinemic patients and those on anti–CD-20 inhibitors, are not only at risk for poor vaccine response but can also present with prolonged duration of symptoms and infection.

We describe 2 immunocompromised patients who were noted to have negative severe illness and acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) testing on nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs. Both patients were admitted for abnormal computed tomography chest findings, with associated respiratory symptoms of 42- and 100-day durations, respectively. Both cases occurred in immunocompromised individuals: a male aged 57 years with mantle cell lymphoma on rituximab and a female aged 59 years with history of follicular lymphoma on obinutuzumab therapy. Isolation precautions were discontinued once NP swab results returned negative. Both patients subsequently underwent bronchoscopy for bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) sampling, and SARS-CoV-2 reverse-

 ${\bf Author\ for\ correspondence:}\ Elena\ Beam,\ E-mail:\ beam.elena@mayo.edu$

Cite this article: Beam E, et al. (2022). False-negative nasopharyngeal severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in immunocompromised patients resulting in healthcare worker exposures. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 43: 1971–1972, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2021.447

transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests returned positive: one on day 26 of admission (cycle threshold value [Ct], 20.8), and the other on day 3 of admission (Ct, 31.8). Both patients received COVID-19–directed therapy with reported symptom improvement.

These 2 cases resulted in large exposure follow up investigations. In the first case, 10 HCWs were evaluated and 2 met significant-risk exposure criteria, both due to lack of eye protection in addition to a face mask when in close, prolonged contact with the unmasked patient [<2 m (6 feet) for >15 minutes]. The exposed HCWs were both fully vaccinated (complete vaccination series plus 2 weeks) and were offered RT-PCR testing at baseline and days 5–7 following the exposure according to the institution's occupational health and safety recommendations. Neither case resulted in known patient exposure.

In the second case, the delay in diagnosis and use of high-flow oxygen therapy and an Aerobika breathing device throughout prolonged hospitalization resulted in an even larger exposure follow up. In total, 184 HCWs were reviewed for exposures, and 83 were identified as having significant-risk exposures going back 14 days prior to the positive test. Significant risk exposures were due to lack of eye protection when interacting with the unmasked patient and/or use of a face mask rather than a respirator during an aerosolgenerating procedure or the postprocedure room clearance. Of the 83 exposed HCWs, 70 were fully vaccinated and were offered testing at baseline and day 5–7 following the exposure. Among these 83 HCWs, 13 (16%) were unvaccinated or were incompletely vaccinated, and serial PCR testing was arranged at baseline, day 5–7, and days 12–14 following the last exposure. HCWs who were

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America.



1972 Wing Hong Seto et al.

not fully vaccinated and had a significant-risk exposure were issued work restrictions and were advised to quarantine at home following CDC and local public health guidelines.

In total, serial PCR testing was arranged for 85 HCWs with significant-risk exposures to 1 of the 2 immunocompromised patients. Fortunately, none of the HCWs contracted COVID-19 due to the exposures. This finding was largely attributed to the high vaccination rate among exposed HCWs, of whom 85% were fully vaccinated, with partial vaccination in some of the remaining HCWs.

These 2 cases highlight additional infection prevention and control considerations in caring for immunocompromised individuals with risk of persistent COVID-19 infection. Isolation precautions were prematurely discontinued following negative NP swabs in both scenarios, leading to large-scale exposure among HCWs. These cases also highlight the overall unknown potential infectivity of immunocompromised patients with prolonged symptoms, where transmission risk may be lower in cases with negative NP swab results and positive BAL sampling results with high Ct values, suggesting decreased overall viral burden. A threshold for Ct-value infectivity in such patients, however, has not been established. Clinicians and infection prevention and control specialists should be aware of possible false-negative NP swab results in profoundly immunosuppressed hosts until more research can be conducted to understand the infectivity of persistent COVID-19 infection in this population.

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Boyarsky BJ, Chiang TP-Y, Ou MT, et al. Antibody response to the Janssen COVID-19 vaccine in solid-organ transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2021;105:e82-e83.
- Boyarsky BJ, Ruddy JA, Connolly CM, et al. Antibody response to a single dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2021. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220289
- 3. Boyarsky BJ, Werbel WA, Avery RK, *et al.* Antibody response to 2-dose SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine series in solid organ transplant recipients. *JAMA* 2021;325:2204–2206.
- Herishanu Y, Avivi I, Aharon A, et al. Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 2021:137:3165–3173.
- Monin L, Laing AG, Muñoz-Ruiz M, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of one versus two doses of the COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b2 for patients with cancer: interim analysis of a prospective observational study. *Lancet Oncol* 2021;22:765–778.
- Perry C, Luttwak E, Balaban R, et al. Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood Adv 2021;5:3053–3061.

Effective risk management strategy prevented severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) transmission in three private hospitals in Hong Kong throughout the pandemic

Wing Hong Seto MD¹ , Patricia T.Y. Ching RN¹, Andy M.W. Leung RN, MSSc², August W.M. Fok MD², Shing Cheung RN MSN³, Eddie W.Y. Cheung MD, MMSc³, Clara D. K. Kwok RN MSN⁴, Kenneth H.L. Tsang MD, MOM⁴ and Benjamin J. Cowling PhD, FFPH¹

¹WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology & Control, School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, ²Infection Control Unit, Hong Kong Adventist Hospital, Tsuen Wan, Hong Kong, ³Infection Control Unit, Hong Kong Adventist Hospital, Stubbs Road, Hong Kong and ⁴Infection Prevention & Control Unit, Hospital Administration, Gleneagles Hospital Hong Kong, Hong Kong

To the Editor—The subtropical city of Hong Kong has responded to the threat of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) with a local elimination strategy,¹ focusing on preventing virus introductions with border controls and timely application of public health and social measures to control community outbreaks if and when they occur.^{2,3} As part of the public health response, all confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 are isolated in designated public hospitals with isolation beds set up for this purpose. Following the 2003 SARS experience,^{4,5} 1,400 isolation beds were already available at the start of the pandemic for Hong Kong's population of

Author for correspondence: Prof Benjamin J. Cowling, E-mail: bcowling@hku.hk

Cite this article: Seto WH, et al. (2022). Effective risk management strategy prevented
severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) transmission in three private
hospitals in Hong Kong throughout the pandemic. Infection Control & Hospital
Epidemiology, 43: 1972–1974, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2021.500

7.5 million. More beds have been added throughout the pandemic, and at present >3,000 isolation beds are available. If COVID-19 cases are identified in patients in nondesignated hospitals, including private hospitals, the standing policy is for those patients to be transferred to the designated hospitals immediately. In addition, the Department of Health routinely traces close contacts of confirmed cases and quarantines them as one of the measures to control transmission in the community. To mitigate the risks posed by COVID-19, 3 private hospitals with nearly 700 beds have developed a 2-stage admission strategy resulting in zero hospital-acquired COVID-19 cases.

Stage 1 of the strategy focuses on screening incoming patients. All patients coming to the hospital are first screened by the appropriate clinical and epidemiological criteria: fever, travel history, occupation, contact with case, or cluster exposure (FTOCC). Any patient meeting 1 or more FTOCC criteria are isolated. All patients who

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America