# ASPECTS ON THE ILLITIZATION OF THE KINNEKULLE BENTONITES

ROLAND PUSCH<sup>1</sup> AND FRITZ T. MADSEN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Clay Technology AB and Lund University of Technology, S-22370 Lund, Sweden

2 Laboratory for Clay Mineralogy, Division of Geotechnical Engineering, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract - Earlier interpretations of the conversion of the virgin smectite of the Ordovician Kinnekulle K-bentonites into the present mixed-Iayer illite/smectite imply that it took place through charge increase of the smectite with subsequent uptake and fixation of potassium. Recent analyses show that the layer charge of the smectite component ofthe *I/S* is in fact low and they suggest that neoformation of aseparate illite phase took place. Pytte's kinetic model gives good agreement with the actual conversion rate for an activation energy of about  $25-27$  kcal/mole, depending on the adopted rate parameters, temperature history and assumed potassium source. In the Kinnekulle case the rate-controlling factor appears to have been the supply of potassium, which is concluded to have required large-scale, heat-induced groundwater convection.

Key words-Bentonite, Illitization, Smectites.

### INTRODUCTION

The Chasmops series of Kinnekulle contains bentonite layers that have been the subject of several mineralogical investigations (Thorslund 1945, Byström 1956, Velde and Brusewitz 1982, Brusewitz 1986, Inoue *et al* 1990), and of studies for evaluating the physical properties of the clay material in conjunction with research on the longevity of smectitic clays in repositories for highly radioactive waste products (Pusch 1983, Müller-Vonmoos *et al* 1990, 1994), The report ineludes some new findings concerning the mechanisms of conversion from smectite  $(S)$  to illite  $(I)$ , focusing on the rate-controlling function of the potassium uptake.

It is currently assumed that illite in bentonites results GEOLOGY from two processes: 1) collapse around selectively adsorbed  $K<sup>+</sup>$  of smectite layers with tetrahedral  $Si<sup>4+</sup>$  re- *Stratigraphy* placed by  $Al^{3+}$ , or 2) by a neoformation mechanism Figure 1 shows a general picture of the stratigraphy that involves dissolution of smectite and precipitation/ of the Kinnekulle hill area with diabase of Permian age growth of illite particles within populations of thin forming the top and covering an about 200 m high phyllosilicate particles (Nadeau *et al* 1985). This latter series of shales, limestones and sandstones, that rests mechanism is akin to the generally accepted process on crystalline bedrock. At about 95 m depth below the of diagenetically precipitated Hlite in the voids of sand- diabase cap bentonite layers are present, among which stones, by which regularly shaped illite laths grow. Thus, there is an approximately 2 m thick major bed that is for bentonites and deeply located smectite elays with of particular interest in the present context. very limited pore space it can be imagined that pre- Figure 2 shows the build-up of sediments at the thickness than about 50 Å by successive precipitation, the major bentonite bed for investigation at ETH, Switthe typical 10 A. spacing is observed. Applying this zerland.

model of smectite-to-illite conversion, Nadeau *et al*  (1985) explained the XRD patterns of apparent I/S mixed-layer minerals as an interparticle diffraction effect at hydrated smectite-like interfaces within aggregates (stacks) of thin phyllosilicate crystallites.

Layer-by-Iayer transition through simple lattice reorganization is not probable because of the misfit of I and S crystal lattices and the low probability of replacement of interlamellar Ca<sup>2+</sup> by  $K^+$  at normal Ca/K concentration ratios in the porewater. A further reason is the difficulty in explaining the mechanism by which tetrahedral Si is replaced by Al, and all this makes neoformation of illite a more conceivable process.

cipitation of 20 Å illite in close association with smec-<br>stratigraphic level where the major bentonite bed aptite partieles yields randomly interstratified I/S with pears. The map was worked out on the basis of a drillthe XRD-recorded percent illite layers increasing until core taken in 1982 for mineralogical and physical testthe smectite fraction has dropped to 20%, when or- ing by the University of Lulea under the heading of dering is observed. Dominance of homogeneously dis-<br>the senior author and for mineralogical characterizatributed 20 A. illite particles yields a rectorite-like pat- tion by the Swedish Geological Survey. Undisturbed tern and when the illite particles have grown to larger box sampies were extracted a couple ofyears later from

Copyright © 1995, The Clay Minerals Society 261



Figure 1. The Kinnekulle strata. Scale denotes approximate stratigraphic thickness in meters.

#### Formation

Volcanic ash was deposited in seawater on top of about 120 m of muds and calcareous sediments in the Kinnekulle area in southwestern Sweden about 450 M years ago. Additional sediments of similar type and also silty and sandy sediments were deposited on top of the ash beds, yielding a series of marine sediments with a total thickness of several hundred meters. The ash, which originated from rhyolite or dacite lava, was converted to smectitic bentonite layers, which were consolidated under an estimated effective overburden pressure of 5-10 MPa, yielding a density of about 2.0-2.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and a water content of  $15-25%$ . The porosity was about 30-40%. About 300 M years ago, magma moved up and penetrated laterally into the sediment series at about 250 m height over the crystalline bedrock, forming a diabase layer with a thickness of several tens of meters and exposing the sediments to high temperatures. Pressures, precipitation of dissolved substances, and the heat pulse in Permian time transformed the clastic strata to sedimentary rock. The top part of the diabase is more coarse-grained than its lower part, which suggests that the present upper surface represents the central portion of the diabase layer (Johansson et al 1943), implying that its total thickness was on the order of 60 m.

Prequaternary erosion and Quaternary glaciation removed the sediments covering the diabase and its upper part, leaving about 30 m diabase over the sedimentary rock sequence. The maximum overburden pressure, which acted in Quaternary glacial time, is estimated at 30 MPa and it should have reduced the water content to about 10%, provided that the interstitial water was not frozen.



Figure 2. Detailed stratigraphy of the about 2 m thick bentonite bed and adjacent strata. Scale denotes stratigraphic distance in meters from top of exposure.

Where samples have been extracted through core drilling and excavation (Mossen bentonite quarry), the effective overburden presure has only been about 0.1 MPa in postglacial time, which means that the strongly overconsolidated, largely plastic bentonite layers have undergone expansion and an increase in water content to the present 22-35% in the 2 m thick central bentonite bed (Pusch 1983). The expansion and softening would have proceeded beyond this if cementation had not taken place.

#### Temperature history

The temperature history is not known in detail but a number of indications combine to give a highly prob-

Table I. Thermal data.

Heat conductivity, W/m, K	Heat capacity. J/kg, K
2.5	800
2.0	800
2.0	800
1.0	1600

able picture of the peak temperature and heat decay induced by the magma intrusion. Since the overburden hardly exceeded 500-1000 m before this event, the temperature cannot have been higher than  $20^{\circ}$ –30 $^{\circ}$ C if normal geothermal conditiöns prevailed. Hence, the heat pulse caused by the Permian magma intrusion is concluded to have been responsible for possible mineral conversion in the bentonite.

Two methods of estimating the temperature have been applied, namely thermal calculation of the heat evolution induced by the magma intrusion using a numerical finite difference code, and conodont analysis. The first approach was made by assuming "symmetric" conditions with respect to the stratigraphy, i.e., taking the diabase to have been 60 m thick and with several hundred meters thick sediments covering the diabase. The initial temperature of the intrusive magma forming the diabase was assumed to be 11 OO"C. The thermal properties of the various components have been summarized in Table 1 (Pusch 1994).

The calculated temperature increase was found to be as shown in Figure 3, from which one finds that the bentonite bed about 95 m below the base of the diabase would have reached a maximum temperature that was of about 140°C higher than before the heat pulse. Taking the initial temperature to have been 2O"C the maximum temperature would thus have been about  $160^{\circ}$ C and the temperature would have been in the interval 140-160°C for 300 years, 120-140°C for another 300 years, and 100-120"C for an additional period of 500 years.

Conodonts, a group of widely distributed phospatic microfossils, turn out to be useful as a semiquantitative index of thermal metamorphism (Bergström 1980). The color changes exhibited by conodonts during gradually increased heating is diagnostic and can be used as indicators of temperatures in the interval 80°-500°C. Such analyses of the limestone located about 10m above the bentonite bed have yielded values in the range of 110°-200°C, which fits well with the thermal calculation (Pusch 1983).

One concludes from the two ways of estimating the temperature history of the bentonite bed that it underwent heating to 150"C for about 300 years and that it was then exposed to about  $130^{\circ}$ C for 300 years and subsequently to  $100^{\circ}$ -130 $^{\circ}$ C for 400 years. The temperature subsequently dropped back to the original2O"C in an approximately 2000 years long cooling period.





Figure 3. Temperature conditions. Upper: evolution in the main bentonite bed in the first 1000 years after the magma intrusion. Lower: Temperature gradient over the main bentonite bed as evaluated from the thermal calculations.

The temperature gradient over the main bed cannot have exceeded about *0.05"C/cm* (Figure 3).

### MlNERALOGY

## *General*

The mineralogy of the bentonite layers is complex and there are indications of significant variation in the composition of the originally deposited material. The mineral components vary in the different grain size fractions, the  $\leq 1$  um fraction having been investigated most extensively.

# *Granulometry*

The  $<$ 2  $\mu$ m fraction forms 35–40% of the main bed as determined by use of pipette sedimentation analyses after gentle crushing and ultrasonic treatment of the soil dispersed in sodium pyrophospate solution. The



Figure 4. TEM micrographs of dispersed Kinnekulle material. Upper:  $7800 \times$  magnification. Lower:  $23,500 \times$  magnification showing "mossy" aggregates and minute crystallites with distinct boundaries.

grain size distribution is as follows:  $<$ 40  $\mu$ m = 69%,  $<$  20  $\mu$ m = 64%,  $<$  10  $\mu$ m = 58%,  $<$  6  $\mu$ m = 52% (Pusch 1971). In the electron microscope dispersed material appears as shown in Figure 4, which demonstrates the "mossy" character of smectite aggregates with a size of 1-5  $\mu$ m and the presence of numerous particles with distinct boundaries and a size of  $0.01-0.05 \mu$ m. The latter give the same impression as minute illite particles in postglacial and glacial clays (Pusch 1962) and may weIl represent neoformed illite crystallies.

#### *Microstructure*

The microstructure is not characterized by strong orientation; in fact both transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of ultrathin sections cut from acrylateembedded samples, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of freeze-dried sampies, show that the phyIlosilicates form rather small curved stacks that are collected more or less randomly in bigger aggregates as illustrated by Figure 5 (Pusch 1971). This sort of microstructure does not form under high effective stress conditions; it is indicative of crystal growth in voids.

# *Rock-forming minerals*

The upper part of the main bentonite bed has a lower content of pyrite, quartz and other phenocrysts and a



 $\frac{1 \text{ u m}}{2}$ 



Figure 5. Microstructure of Kinnekulle bentonite showing random grouping and orientation of phyllosilicate stacks. Upper: TEM photo. Lower: SEM photo.

higher content of titanium than the central part and this suggests different original compositions of the magmas. In fact, it has been stated that there is a difference in age of 35 M years of the upper and lower parts, which may weIl imply significant differences in ash composition (Velde and Brusewitz 1982).

*Biotite.* This Fe-bearing mineral, which forms 2-3% of the total mineral mass, gives the KinnekuIle bentonites

their characteristic brownish color. Weathering may have produced chlorite.

*Chlorite.* This Fe-bearing mineral is present in about the same quantities as biotite.

*Feldspars.* Plagioclase in strongly kaolinized form makes up 5-10%. Sanidine, a K-feldspar, represents 2-5% and suggests that K-bearing feldspars were not the major source of potassium for conversion of smectite to illite.

*Quartz, cristobalite and amorphous silica.* Quartz in the form of thin, wavy or wedge-shaped microscopic plates, is the most frequent phenocryst species. The quartz grains are most abundant in the  $2-6 \mu m$  fraction and 10caIly make up 40% of the total mineral content (Velde and Brusewitz 1982). SEM studies have shown the presence of very fine quartz, cristobalite and amorphous silica, which may aIl serve as cementing agents (Müller-Von moos *et al* 1990). Amorphous silica amounts to about 0.5% of the total mineral content, partly in the form of precipitations at the rim of the stacks of flakes by which interlamellar hydration is retarded and expansion partly prevented.

#### *Clay minerals*

The day mineral composition has been investigated and quantified in several comprehensive studies, which have given somewhat different results. Still, in general, they all indicate approximately the same composition of the about 2 m thick bentonite bed, namely that I/S mixed-layers dominate and that smectite (montmorillonite), kaolinite, illite and chlorite are also represented. Quartz and feldspars appear as well in the clay fraction (Byström 1956). Adjacent, thinner bentonite layers above and below the main bed have illite as major day mineral.

Using the abbreviations S for smectite, I for illite, Q for quartz and K for kaolinite, the weight percentages given by Brusewitz (1986) for the minus 1  $\mu$ m fraction are summarized in Table 2, along with I in I/S and relative K and Al contents. CEC values in meq/100 g are also given.

Table 2 shows that the average illite content in  $I/S$ decreases successively from about 60% at the upper boundary of the main bed to around 40% in its center, and that it again increases from the center of the bed to about 65% at 10 m depth. The K-data are in agreement with this and show that illitization started from outside the main bed and progressively moved towards its center from above as weIl as from below. Brusewitz (1986) concluded that the ordering of the I/S was  $R =$ 0 to  $R = 1$ , i.e., random to regular, in the uppermost part of the main bed and below it, while the larger part of the bed was said to have  $R = 0$ . Above the main bed the illite content in the I/S was stated to be as high as 90% and the ordering high  $(R = 3)$ . Brusewitz claimed that the illite content in I/S is constantly 50% in the



Table 2. Mineral content in the  $\lt 1 \mu m$  fraction (evaluated from Brusevitz 1986) and relative K- and AI contents of the  $<$  2  $\mu$ m fraction from linear EDX analysis (Pusch 1983).

lower part of the main bentonite bed, indicating that the conversion from smectite to illite is asymmetrie in the main bed, which is contradicted by the K and the Al-distributions in Table 2.

The layer charge of the I/S was found by Brusewitz (1986) to be 0.65-0.67 charges per formula unit for sampies B31 and B39, representing both the uppermost and central parts of the main bed. In contrast, Müller-Vonmoos *et al* (1994) found much lower layer charge of the I/S, i.e., 0.42 at the B31 level and 0.38 at the B39 level and they demonstrated that these data are correct. The present authors conclude that both lowand high-charge I/S are present and that a large part of the smectite has been preserved. The high-charge form represents illite that may weIl have been neoformed.

Recently, a more comprehensive and detailed investigation was made of the Kinnekulle bentonites by Inoue *et al* (1990) and it gave a more complete picture of their formation and of the conversion that they have undergone. This work comprised not only X-ray powder diffractometry and ordinary transmission electron microscopy, but also ordinary TEM of dispersed material and chemical analysis using EDX (AEM) technique for identifying possible differences between differently appearing partide assemblages. The comprehensive simulation of XRD spectra gave the conclusions and assumptions listed below.

1. The content of illite in  $I/S$  is 55–65% at the upper boundary (B31) of the main bed and in thinner layers (K 71) below the main bed. It is 50% in the central and lower parts (B38 and STM6) of the main bed, while it is 100% higher up in the Silurian strata.

2. The K-content of the illite interlayers is assumed to be 0.75 ions per illite half unit cell.

3. The Fe content of both the smectite and the illite is zero.

4. The thickness of EG smectite complex layers is 16.9 A.

5. The average number offlakes (layers) in diffracting crystallites is 10, assuming Gaussian distribution.

The TEM photography showed that all the I/S samples with 30-50% S were rather similar and dominated by flaky particles as illustrated also by the micrographs in Figure 5. However, they were also reported to contain a certain, small amount of laths with an average length/width ratio of 4, and a thickness of 30-100 A regardless of the S content. The length/width ratio is two to three times as high as recorded for  $\lt 1 \mu m$ particles in Swedish smectite-free glacial clays with illite as major clay mineral while the thickness is not very different; the median thickness value of the particles in the glacial clay being 50-200 A, and the lower and upper quartiles being  $40-100 \text{ Å}$  and  $80-600 \text{ Å}$ , respectively (pusch 1962). The preparation techniques and modes of determining the dimensions of the particles were similar and the results should therefore be comparable although the number of recordings was significantly higher in the Swedish study. The fact that the difference in particle thickness between the smectitie Kinnekulle clay and the smectite-free glacial clays is so small suggests that morphological data cannot be used as a safe indication of the nature and origin of the clay minerals. However, the presence of a certain fraction of lath-shaped crystallites in the Kinnekulle clays as well as the earlier mentioned content of minute particles with the characteristic morphology of illite crystallites do not contradict the hypothesis that neoformation of illite has taken place.

The AEM analyses performed by Inoue *et a/ (1990)*  showed no significant difference in chemical composition between particles of different shape but the risk of escape of alkali ions like K from interlamellar and lattice positions in the course of the electron microscopy may have resulted in element distributions that were not relevant. A general observation was that the K and AI contents of the I/S increased and the Si and Mg decreased with decreasing S in the I/S.

Inoue *et al* (1990) concluded from their study that the dominant process of conversion of a smectite precursor in the Kinnekulle clay was cationic substitution described as a solid-state transformation mechanism, and not dissolution of smectite and neoformation of illite. They stated that the reason for this was the very limited space for supplying necessary elements like K during the conversion, referring to recent findings that the porosity and permeability significantly influence the morphology of I/S particles, the degree of illitization and the mode and mechanism of smectite-to-illite conversion. Thus, these investigators claimed that while the relatively large void space in tuffs and sandstones exposed to hydrothermal conditions yields growth of I/S crystals and formation of aseparate 1 phase, this may not take place in bentonites and shales since solutions do not circulate freely by which S-to-I conversion is retarded and hindered. We do not find support of this hypothesis in the case of the Kinnekulle bentonites as will be outlined below.

### MAJOR ALTERATION PROCESS

Recent research demonstrates that the porosity of smectite-rich clays resembling the Kinnekulle clays at the time when the diabase intrusion took place was sufficiently high to permit free growth of illite crystals in wider voids (Güven *et al* 1987). This fact and the illite-like morphology of the minute particles suggest that neoformation ofillite was the most probable S-to-I mechanism. This mechanism requires a certain activation energy for the involved dissolution and lattice formation and also access to potassium in dissolved form.

#### RATE MODELING

The conversion model proposed by Pytte (Pytte and Reynolds 1989) is based on the assumption that the conversion from smectite to illite is an Arrhenius-type process, which-in addition to the access to potassium-makes the rate of conversion a function of temperature. Assuming that it applies to the conversion mechanism proposed by Nadeau *et al* (1985) we will use this semi-empirical S-to-I model for estimating the conversion rate of the Kinnekulle bentonites. The major variables are the activation energy, the ratio of  $K^+$ / Na<sup>+</sup> and the smectite concentration as expressed by Eq. (I).

$$
-dS/dt = Ae^{-U/RT}f(K^+/Na^+)g(S)
$$
 (1)

where

$$
A = Constant
$$

 $U =$  Activation energy

 $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  = Concentration of potassium and sodium, respectively

 $S =$  Mole fraction of smectite in I/S assemblages

 $f =$  function of K/Na ratio

 $g =$  function of smectite concentration in I/S

 $R =$  universal gas constant

 $T = temperature$ 

The model has been applied by several investigators who used various different parameter values depending on the assumed hydrothermal conditions (Pusch *et al*  1988a and b, Altaner 1989, Kamei *et a/* 1990, Huang *et al* 1993). We will make the following assumptions:

1. The conversion of smectite to illite took place only in the heating period.

2. The f- and g-functions are the same as those applied by Huang *et a/ (1993).* 

3. The K/Na ratio is maintained constant throughout the conversion period.



Figure 6. Rate of conversion of smectite at a  $K^+$  concentration of 0.01 moles/liter in the porewater according to Pytte's model for various temperatures. Scale: Vertical = proportion of smectite ( $1 = 100\%$ ), Horizontal = log time from 0.001  $(1E-3)$  to 1 million (1E + 6) years. Upper: Activation energy 25 kcal/mole. Lower: Activation energy 27 kcal/mole.

4. The K<sup>+</sup> content in the porewater was 0.01 moles per liter porewater, corresponding to about 400 ppm, i.e., the potassium concentration in seawater.

5. The Ca-concentration is not important.

Using the activation energies 25 and 27 kcal/mole, the remaining smectite content for different temperatures and periods of time is given by the diagrams in Figure 6. The one referring to 25 kcal/mole shows that the 300 years long period with 150°C should have led to a reduction from 100% (smectite part = 1) to 50– 60% (smectite part =  $0.5-0.6$ ), while the subsequent 300 years long period with an average temperature of 130°C would have reduced it further to around 35%.



Figure 7. Theoretical change in  $K^+$  concentration in the porewater of the sediments adjacent to the 0.3 m bentonite bed at Hamra on southern Gotland (Pusch and Karnland 1988b). Potassium dissolved in the porewater migrates towards the bed in which the  $S > I$  reaction creates a K-sink that drives potassium in from the overlying sediment, which becomes depleted at successively larger distance with increasing time.

The latest phase with an average temperature of 110°C lasting for 500 years would have led to a drop of the smectite part to around 30-35%. This gives fair agreement with the finding that the actual smectite part is 30-50%. One finds, however, that also a very small change in activation energy gives quite different results. Hence, using the activation energy figure 27 kcal/mole the smectite part is as high as 85% at the end of the 1100 years long period with temperatures exceeding 110°C. Still, taking the temperature to have reached 185°C with the activation energy maintained at 27 kcal/ mole one would also have obtained a good fit and such a temperature would in fact be reasonable with respect to all the recorded temperature indications. One concludes from this exercise that the degree of conversion evaluated from Pytte's model is very sensitive to the input data, particularly the activation energy and the temperature history, which hence have to be very accurately known in order to make meaningful calculations.

The other condition, i.e., that of access to potassium for the conversion, depends on how potassium was transported and taken up in the smectite, and what the available amount of potassium was. Assuming that potassium was not moved in by groundwater flow, the transport mechanism must have been diffusion with the driving force being the concentration gradient induced by the illitization process. Thus, a sink should have been created in the converting bentonite due to the fixation of potassium irrespective of whether illite was created by neoformation of a new phase or whether *I/S* mixed-layers were formed. Earlier studies of practical cases of smectite alteration have indicated that the diffusion rate in fact controls the conversion (Pusch and Karnland 1988, Altaner 1989, Pusch 1992). In these studies it was assumed that potassium was supplied only by the porewater of the marine sediments adjacent to the smectite elay layers, yielding partial depletion of potassium from the initial concentration 400 ppm as illustrated by Figure 7, which refers to a 0.3 m bentonite bed located at about 500 m depths on the island Gotland in the Baltic Sea. For this particular case the apparent diffusion coefficient was coneluded to have been between  $10^{-11}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s and  $10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s, assuming that the conversion was limited to the  $10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>7</sup>$ years period during which the temperature was 100°- 120°C.

The fact that the thinner bentonite layers elose to the main bed at Kinnekulle have undergone the strongest illitization and that there is a very obvious I/S profile in the main bed with minimum conversion in its center, elearly indicates that the conversion was controlled by the transport of potassium from the surroundings.

Making the same assumption for Kinnekulle as for the Gotland cases, i.e., that associated smectite conversion and diffusive transport of potassium into the bentonite layers took place in the approximately 1000- 1500 years 10ng period of significant heating, one finds that the change in potassium content in the porewater can have been noticeable only within the next few meters or possibly a few tens of meters from the main bentonite bed. A first and major question is then whether the available amount of potassium was sufficient to produce the recorded reduction of the smectite content by 50-70%, and it can be answered by considering the stoichiometrically required amount of about 5 percent by weight. Taking the initial content of smectite of the bentonite to have been 100% and estimating the density of the bentonite layers at 2.1  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$  and the porosity of the adjacent sediments at 30% in Permian time, one finds that even if all the potassium in the porewater of the sediments located between the diabase and the bentonite and between the bentonite and the crystalline bedrock would have been used up it could only have produced conversion of about 10-15% of the smectite. In fact, in order to yield the present 50-70% conversion one has to make either of the following assumptions:

1. The initial smectite content was only 10-20% of the total mineral mass.

2. The conversion to illite proceeded long after the heating period.

3. The access to potassium in the heating period was much higher than assumed, requiring dissolving reactant phases.

The first alternative would imply that the bentonite was not autochtonous but strongly contaminated by non-smectite detrital material in an erosion/redeposition process, a possibility that can be ruled out on the ground that the microstructure is typical of smectite-rich material in bentonites formed from vo1canic ash.

The second alternative would require transformation of the precursor smectite to a high-charge form in the heating period and subsequent conversion to illite solely controlled by the access to potassium. This implies lattice conversion through replacement of tetrahedral silica by aluminum in a solid-solution process as proposed by Brusewitz (1986) and Inoue *et al (1990)*  and conversion to illite over the entire 400 million years that have passed since this event. This would have allowed for migration of potassium from larger distances like in the Gotland cases, leading to the observed high degre of illitization.

The third alternative would imply that the potassium concentration was maintained high in the sediment series in the heating period, requiring comprehensive dissolution of K-bearing minerals and/or groundwater flow to bring the potassium-rich solution to the bentonite layers. This condition may weIl have been caused by the heat pulse at the magma intrusion, since it could have generated a sufficiently high porewater pressure to cause hydraulic fracturing and an increase in porosity, yielding comprehensive groundwater convection. This possibility is considered to be plausible also since the assymmetric K-distribution with much less illitization in the lower part of the main bed would fit with the smaller impact of heating deeper down in the sediment series. Assuming Pytte's model to be valid, one finds that the actual *I1S* ratios in the main bed are obtained for a constant K-concentration of about 0.05 moles per liter (Figure 8).

#### *Mechanisms 0/ dissolution and precipitation*

The current view is that silica is released from smectite undergoing transformation from low to high charge, but neoformation of illite may also have yielded free silica. Thus, the increased solubility of silicate minerals and thereby the enhanced concentration of dissolved silica and aluminum caused by the heating of the Kinnekulle limestone-embedded bentonites led to release of both Si and Al and diffusion of these elements from the bentonite layers into the adjacent limestone sediments. Silica was transported out while complexation of aluminum caused retainment of this element in the



Figure 8. Rate of conversion of smectite at a  $K<sup>+</sup>$  concentration of 0.05 moles per liter according to Pytte's model. Compare with lower diagram in Figure 6.

bentonite. The bentonite layers, and particularly the main bed, may thus have caused enrichment of silica in the surrounding limestone, yielding precipitation of silica compounds and cementation when the temperature dropped (Figure 1). It is in fact probable that the precipitated illite layers made up part of the cement by connecting smectite aggregates in the fashion indicated schematically in Figure 9, which implies that neoformation of illite took place in either of the processes proposed by Nadeau.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

The conversion of the smectite to illite in the Kinnekulle bentonites has been interpreted earlier as replacement of tetrahedral silica by aluminum and coalescence around interlamellar  $K<sup>+</sup>$  yielding high charge *I/S,* while the present report suggests that neoformation ofillite took place in the fashions proposed by Nadeau. This is primarily because the heating led to an average charge of the I/S that is not much higher than that of smectite (Müller-Vonmoos *et al* 1994), indicating that a large part of the smectite remained intact and that high-charge illite layers were formed in dose association of the smectite stacks. Secondly, the particle morphology does not speak against neoformation of illite. Thirdly, the uniform heating of the main bed should have led to the same degree of cementation of all parts of the bed if released tetrahedral silica had formed the cement, while in fact the peripheral parts were much more affected. This shows that illitization is strongly associated with the cementation and that neoformation of illite with a cementing function may have taken place.

Assuming the illitization to have taken place in the heating period, it is found that the access to potassium







Figure 9. Congruent dissolution of smectite (upper) and formation of I/S by precipitation of illite layers using up available potassium from accessory minerals (central), and formation of aseparate illite phase (lower) (pusch and Kamland 1988a).

must have been much greater than offered by diffusion of potassiurn in the porewater of the adjacent sediments, which calls for verification of the processes proposed here.

Pytte's model is a practical tool for estimating the rate of conversion of smectite to illite provided that the temperature evolution can be determined and that the condition for access to potassium is really fulfilled.

#### REFERENCES

- Altaner, S. P. 1989. Calculation of K diffusional rates in bentonite beds. *Geochim. Cosmochi. Acta* 53: 923-931.
- Bergström, S. M. 1980. Conodonts as paleotemperature tools in Ordovician rocks of the Caledonides and adjacent areas in Scandinavia and the British Isles. *Geologiska Föreningens* i *Stockholm Förhantilingar* 102: Pt. 4, Stockholm, 377- 392.
- Brusewitz, A. M. 1986. Chemica1 and physica1 properties ofPaleozoic bentonites from KinnekulIe, Sweden. *Clays & Clay Miner.* 34: 442-454.
- Byström, A. M. 1956. Mineralogy of the Ordovician bentonite beds at KinnekuIle, Sweden. *Swedish Geological Survey, Ser. C. No. 540.* Stockholm.
- Güven, N., L. L. Carney, and B. E. Ridpath. 1987. Evaluation of geothermal drilling fluids using a commercial bentonite and a bentonite/saponite mixture. *Contractor Report SAND 86-7180, SANDIA Nat. Laboratories.* Albuquerque, New Mexico, 122 pp.
- Huang, W-L., J. M. Longo, and D. R. Pevear. 1993. An experimentally derived kinetic model for smectite-to-illite conversion and its use as a geothermometer. *Clays* & *Clay Miner.* 41: 162-177.
- Inoue, A., T. Watanabe, N. Kohyama, and A. M. Brusewitz. 1990. Characterization of illitization of smectite in bentonite beds at Kinneku1le, Sweden. *Clays* & *Clay Miner.*  38: 241-249.
- Johansson, S., N. Sundius, and A. H. Westergärd. 1943. Beskrivning tiIl kartbladet Lidköping. *Swedish Geological*  Survey, Ser. Aa 182, Stockholm.
- Kamei, G., T. Arai, Y. Yusa, N. Sasaki, and Y. Sakuramoto. 1990. Estimation of illitization rate of smectite from the thermal history of Murakami Deposit, Japan. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* 176: 657-663.
- Mü1ler-Vonmoos, M., G. Kahr, F. Bucher, and F. T. Madsen. 1990. Investigation of KinnekuIle K-bentonite aimed at

assessing the long-term stability of bentonites under repository conditions. *Eng. Geol.* 28: 269-280.

- MüIler-Vonmoos, M., G. Kahr, and F. T. Madsen. 1994. IntracrystaIline swelling of mixed-layer illite/smectite in K-bentonites. *Clay Miner.* 29: 205-213.
- Nadeau, P. H., M. J. Wilson, W. J. McHardy, and J. M. Tait. 1985. The conversion of smectite to illite during diagenesis. Evidence from some illitic clays from bentonites and sandstones. *Mineral. Mag.* 49: 393-400.
- Pusch, R. 1962. Clay particles. *Swedish Building Research Council, Transactions* 40: Stockholm.
- Pusch, R. 1971. Microstructural features of pre-Quatemary clays. Acta Universitatis Stockholmiensis, Stockholm Con*tributions in Geology* XXIV:I.
- Pusch, R. 1983. Stability of deep-sited smectite minerals in crystlline rock-Chemical aspects. *SKBF/KBS Technical Report 83-16.* Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co. Stockholm.
- Pusch, R., and O. Karnland. 1988a. Hydrothermal effects on montmorillonite. A preliminary study. *SKB Technical Report 88-15.* Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co. Stockholm.
- Pusch, R., and O. Kamland. 1988b. Geological evidence of smectite 10ngevity. The Sardinian and Gotland cases. *SKB Technical Report 88-26.* Swedish Nucler Fuel and Waste Management Co. Stockholm.
- Pusch, R. 1992. Investigations of a clay profile on southem Gotland of presumed value for documentation of smectite/ illite conversion. *SKB Arbetsrapport* 92-74. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co. Stockholm.
- Pusch, R. 1994. *Waste Disposal in Rock. Developments in Geotechnical Engineering.* New York: Elsevier Pub!. Co., 76 pp.
- Pytte, A. M., and R. C. Reynolds. 1989. The thermal transformation of smectite to illite. In *Thermal History 0/ Sedimentary Basins.* N. D. Naeser and T. H. McCulloh, eds. New York: Springer-Verlag, 133-140.
- Thorslund, P. 1945. Om bentonitlager i Sveriges kambrosilur. *Geologiska Föreningens* i *Stockholm Förhandlingar*  67: 286.
- Watanabe, T. 1988. The structural model of illite/smectite interstratified minerals and the diagram for its identification. *Clay Science* 7: 97-114.
- Velde, B., and A. M. Brusewitz. 1982. Metasomatic and non-metasomatic lowgrade metamorphism of Ordovician meta-bentonites in Sweden. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46:*  447-452.

*(Received 10 March* 1994; *accepted* 6 *August* 1994; *Ms.*  2477)