

ON THE ERGODIC HILBERT TRANSFORM FOR OPERATORS IN L_p , $1 < p < \infty$

BY
RYOTARO SATO

Dedicated to Professor Shigeki Yano on his retirement from Tokyo Metropolitan University

ABSTRACT. In this paper the ergodic Hilbert transform is investigated at the operator theoretic level. Let T be an invertible positive operator on $L_p = L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ for some fixed p , $1 < p < \infty$, such that $\sup \{\|T^n\|_p: -\infty < n < \infty\} < \infty$. It is proved that the limit

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f(x)$$

exists almost everywhere and in the strong operator topology, where the prime denotes that the term with zero denominator is omitted. Related results are also proved.

1. Introduction and the ergodic Hilbert transform. In [7], Cotlar studied the ergodic Hilbert transform for invertible measure-preserving point transformations on a measure space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . (See also Calderón [3] and Petersen [12].) Recently, Campbell [4] (see also [5]) gave a direct new proof of Cotlar's results and then generalized these to invertible positive isometries on L_p by using ideas of A. Ionescu-Tulcea [10]. In this paper, however, we shall apply ideas of de la Torre [13] and generalize Campbell's results to the power-bounded operator case. In the proof below we use a known fact from the theory of classical discrete Hilbert transform.

The following theorem is basic.

THEOREM 1. *Let T be an invertible positive operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, such that $\sup \{\|T^n\|_p: -\infty < n < \infty\} = M < \infty$. Define the ergodic maximal Hilbert transform H^* , associated with T , as*

$$H^*f(x) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f(x) \right|.$$

*Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on M , such that $\|H^*f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L_p$.*

PROOF. For an integer $K \geq 1$, define the truncated maximal operator H_K^* as

Received by the editors September 10, 1985 and, in revised form, October 8, 1986.

1980 AMS subject classification: 47A35.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1985.

$$H_K^* f(x) = \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f(x) \right|.$$

If $i \geq 1$, then, since T^i is positive, it follows that

$$\left| \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^{k+i} f \right| \leq T^i \left(\left| \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f \right| \right) \leq T^i H_K^* f,$$

and therefore $H_K^* T^i f(x) \leq T^i H_K^* f(x)$ a.e. on X ; replacing f by $T^{-i} f$ we get

$$H_K^* f(x) \leq T^i H_K^* T^{-i} f(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } X.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int |H_K^* f|^p d\mu &\leq \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \int |T^i H_K^* T^{-i} f|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{M^p}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \int |H_K^* T^{-i} f|^p d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by a known result about the classical discrete Hilbert transform (see e.g. [9] or [6]) we have for almost all $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^L [H_K^* T^{-i} f(x)]^p &= \sum_{i=1}^L \left[\max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^{k-i} f(x) \right| \right]^p \\ &\leq A^p \sum_{i=-L-K}^K |T^i f(x)|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where A is an absolute constant depending only on p . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_K^* f\|_p^p &\leq \frac{M^p}{L} A^p \sum_{i=-L-K}^K \int |T^i f(x)|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{2(L+K)}{L} M^{2p} A^p \|f\|_p^p; \end{aligned}$$

letting $L \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|H_K^* f\|_p \leq 2M^2 A \|f\|_p$. This inequality establishes the theorem, because $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} H_K^* f(x) = H^* f(x)$ a.e. on X . (This proof was inspired by a paper [13] of de la Torre.)

THEOREM 2. *Let T be an invertible positive operator on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, such that $\sup \{\|T^n\|_p : -\infty < n < \infty\} < \infty$. Then the limit*

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f(x)$$

exists a.e. on X and in the strong operator topology.

PROOF. If $Hf(x)$ exists a.e. on X , then, by Theorem 1 together with Lebesgue's convergence theorem, $\sum'_{k=-n}^n (1/k) T^k f$ converges strongly to Hf . And to prove the a.e. existence of $Hf(x)$, we first remark that $\{h + (g - Tg) : h = Th, g \in L_p\}$ is a dense

subspace of L_p . This is due to a mean ergodic theorem (see e.g. [8], p. 662). By Theorem 1 and a standard approximation argument, it then suffices to prove that $H(g - Tg)(x)$ exists a.e. on X . To do so, we use the equation

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k(g - Tg) = g + Tg - \frac{1}{n}(T^{n+1}g + T^{-n}g) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i+1}\right)(T^{i+1}g + T^{-i}g).$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i+1}\right) |T^{i+1}g + T^{-i}g| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/i^2)(|T^{i+1}g| + |T^{-i}g|)$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/i^2)(|T^{i+1}g| + |T^{-i}g|) \right\|_p \leq 2M \|g\|_p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/i^2) < \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i+1}\right) |T^{i+1}g(x) + T^{-i}g(x)| < \infty$$

for almost all $x \in X$. Similarly, from the inequalities

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n^p)(|T^{n+1}g|^p + |T^{-n}g|^p) \right\|_1 \leq 2M^p \|g\|_p^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n^p) < \infty$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n^p)(|T^{n+1}g(x)|^p + |T^{-n}g(x)|^p) < \infty$$

for almost all $x \in X$; consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} [T^{n+1}g(x) + T^{-n}g(x)] = 0$$

for almost all $x \in X$. These observations establish the a.e. existence of $H(g - Tg)(x)$, completing the proof of Theorem 2.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 we have the following corollary. We note that much of the corollary is included in Campbell [4]; in particular, it follows from [4] that the limit in the corollary exists in the strong operator topology without the assumption of positivity of T .

COROLLARY. *Let T be a positive operator on L_2 such that $\|T\|_2 \leq 1$. Then the limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} [T^k f(x) - T^{*k} f(x)]$$

exists a.e. on X and in the strong operator topology, where T^ denotes the adjoint operator of T .*

PROOF. By a dilation theorem (see e.g. [1] or [2]) there is another measure space $(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ and an invertible positive isometry U from $L_2(Y)$ onto itself so that

$$DT^n = PU^nD \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0,$$

where D is a positive isometry from $L_2(X)$ into $L_2(Y)$ and P is a positive self-adjoint projection from $L_2(Y)$ onto $DL_2(X) (\subset L_2(Y))$. It is directly seen that $DT^{*n} = PU^{-n}D$ for all $n \geq 0$. It follows that

$$D \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (T^k - T^{*k}) \right] = P \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} U^k \right] D.$$

Thus if we let, for any $f \in L_2(X)$,

$$H_U^*(Df)(y) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} U^k(Df)(y) \right| \quad (y \in Y)$$

and

$$H_U(Df)(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} U^k(Df)(y) \quad (y \in Y),$$

then, by Theorems 1 and 2, we see that $\|H_U^*(Df)\|_2 \leq C\|Df\|_2 < \infty$ and that $H_U(Df)(y)$ exists for almost all $y \in Y$. Therefore if we put

$$F_n(y) = \sup_{i \geq n} \left| H_U(Df)(y) - \sum_{k=-i}^i \frac{1}{k} U^k(Df)(y) \right|,$$

then $F_1 \geq F_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n\|_2 = 0$, so that $PF_1 \geq PF_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|PF_n\|_2 = 0$. Since

$$\left| PH_U Df - P \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} U^k \right] Df \right| \leq PF_n,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D^{-1} PH_U Df - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (T^k f - T^{*k} f) \right| &\leq D^{-1} \left| PH_U Df - P \left[\sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} U^k \right] Df \right| \\ &\leq D^{-1} PF_n; \end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof, because $D^{-1}PF_1 \geq D^{-1}PF_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ a.e. on X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^{-1}PF_n\|_2 = 0$.

2. The continuous parameter case.

THEOREM 3. Let $\{T_t; -\infty < t < \infty\}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of operators on L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, such that $\sup \{\|T_t\|_p; -\infty < t < \infty\} < \infty$ and $T_t \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then:

(1) if
$$H^-f = \sup_{0 < \epsilon < G} \left| \int_{\epsilon < |t| < G} \frac{T_t f}{t} dt \right|,$$

there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|H^-f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p \quad \text{for all } f \in L_p;$$

(2) *the limit*

$$\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow +0 \\ G \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < G} \frac{T_t f}{t} dt$$

exists a.e. on X and in the strong operator topology.

PROOF. (1) follows from Theorem 1 by a standard approximation argument (see e.g. [8], p. 691), and (2) follows from (1) as in Theorem 2. We omit the details.

In conclusion we note that the assumption of $T_t \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ does not necessarily imply $T_t \geq 0$ for all $-\infty < t < \infty$. In fact, let $L_p = L_p(dx)$ where dx denotes the Lebesgue measure on the real line $(-\infty, \infty)$ and let, for $t \geq 0$ and $f \in L_p$,

$$T_t f(x) = f(t+x) + \delta f(t+1+x)\chi_{[-t-1, -1]}(x)$$

where δ is any constant with $0 < \delta < 1$. It is easily seen that $T_t \geq 0$, $\|T_t\|_p \leq 1 + \delta$, $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$, and that T_t converges strongly to the identity operator $I = T_0$ as $t \rightarrow +0$. A simple computation shows that T_t^{-1} exists but it is not positive, and that $\|T_t^{-1}\|_p \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1}$. Therefore, by setting $T_{-t} = T_t^{-1}$ for $t \geq 0$, we have given an example. (This example is a modification of Example 3.1 in Kan [11].)

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Akcoglu and P. E. Kopp, *Construction of dilations of positive L_p -contractions*, Math. Z. **155** (1977), pp. 119–127.
2. M. A. Akcoglu and L. Sucheston, *Dilations of positive contractions on L_p spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **20** (1977), pp. 285–292.
3. A. P. Calderón, *Ergodic theory and translation-invariant operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **59** (1968), pp. 349–353.
4. J. T. Campbell, *Variations on the ergodic Hilbert transform*, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, 1984.
5. J. T. Campbell, *Spectral analysis of the ergodic Hilbert transform*, Indiana Univ. J. **35** (1986), 379–390.
6. R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), pp. 241–250.
7. M. Cotlar, *A unified theory of Hilbert transforms and ergodic theorems*, Rev. Mat. Cuyana **1** (1955), pp. 105–167.
8. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
9. R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), pp. 227–251.
10. A. Ionescu-Tulcea, *Ergodic properties of isometries in L^p spaces, $1 < p < \infty$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), pp. 366–371.
11. C. H. Kan, *Ergodic properties of Lamperti operators*, Canad. J. Math. **30** (1978), pp. 1206–1214.
12. K. Petersen, *Another proof of the existence of the ergodic Hilbert transform*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), pp. 39–43.
13. A. de la Torre, *A simple proof of the maximal ergodic theorem*, Canad. J. Math. **28** (1976), pp. 1073–1075.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY
OKAYAMA, 700 JAPAN