

have been most welcome even if the contributions had not been original.

*Department of Neuropathology,
Institute of Psychiatry,
London, S.E.5.*

SABINA J. STRICH.

DEAR SIR,

All serious physicians and research workers will regret the publication of your peevish and highly misleading review of *Brain Hypoxia*, edited by J. B. Brierley and B. S. Meldrum.

I did not have the good fortune to attend the conference, but I have bought and studied this major and important work. It represents a landmark in our understanding of the way in which the insult of anoxia can damage the brain. Those who did attend the conference tell me that it was one of the most exciting and stimulating meetings of its kind. The delegates were all of international repute and their contributions are of the highest quality.

Serious psychiatrists are daily faced with the tragic results of our inadequate knowledge of brain hypoxia and its prevention. Workers in many other disciplines are also involved, particularly those concerned with obstetrics, perinatal paediatrics, neurosurgery and space travel. Epileptologists have an immediate and practical involvement.

The volume concerned is most beautifully edited and presented. Its publication is subsidized so that the price is remarkably low. This is a work that can be warmly and confidently commended to all your readers, except for those whose interests are limited to laboratory trivia.

CHRISTOPHER OUNSTED.

*The Park Hospital for Children,
Old Road,
Headington,
Oxford, OX3 7LQ.*

DEAR SIR,

I agree with both your correspondents that *Brain Hypoxia* is an excellent example of a book which is a collection of separate papers given at a symposium. It is well edited and printed, many of the papers are of a high standard, and Drs. Brierley and Meldrum can be congratulated on their production of a most attractive work. Nevertheless, it is only in the most unusual circumstances that the proceedings of a conference should be given wider circulation than among those who were actually present at it.

In general terms, the aims of scientific publication are: (1) to present the results of new research work and to discuss them, (2) to review the work done in a given field, and (3) to instruct students, other research workers, or a wider audience in a scientific

subject. It is unlikely that the proceedings of a symposium will contain any worthwhile new information, because the majority of authors always first publish their results in reputable scientific journals. It is unusual for reviews to be given at such meetings, nor can it be maintained that a collection of papers from a symposium is an adequate substitute for a properly ordered textbook.

In the list of periodicals which have been more than once quoted between 1950 and 1960 in papers published by the *Journal of Physiology*, no less than 383 journals would be expected to accept papers dealing with aspects of brain hypoxia. Why add to this disastrous state of affairs by printing at length all the papers read at meetings?

The aim of my review was to discourage the unfettered proliferation of books of this kind; I do not regard it as either 'unhelpful' or 'highly misleading'. Most libraries, for instance, will feel compelled to buy these works. I, for one, object to their resources being squandered in this way.

O. C. J. LIPPOLD.

*Department of Physiology,
University College London,
Gower Street,
London, W.C.1.*

THE TREATMENT OF OBSESSIONAL NEUROSIS

DEAR SIR,

Obsessional states constitute one of the most disabling forms of neurotic illness (1, 2). Consequently, the observation that tricyclic antidepressants in high dosage may bring relief to a proportion of patients suffering from these disorders (3)—a view which has received support from uncontrolled studies (4, 5)—is of special interest. In this communication we report a retrospective investigation into the long-term effects of this form of treatment undertaken to determine whether the findings justified carrying out a prospective controlled trial.

A search of the case records of in-patients and out-patients attending the Professorial Unit of this Department over the past six years revealed that only 16 patients could be confidently diagnosed as suffering from an obsessional neurosis. The criterion for inclusion were that:

- (1) ideas, images or impulses recognized as morbid and obtruding repeatedly into the individual's thought processes formed the central feature of the disorder;
- (2) there was a sustained resistance against the ideas and the acts towards which the individual was compelled;
- (3) they were not secondary to other conditions such