THE ROLE OF DOMINATION AND SMOOTHING CONDITIONS IN THE THEORY OF EVENTUALLY POSITIVE SEMIGROUPS

DANIEL DANERS™ and JOCHEN GLÜCK

(Received 25 January 2017; accepted 31 January 2017; first published online 29 March 2017)

Abstract

We carry out an in-depth study of some domination and smoothing properties of linear operators and of their role within the theory of eventually positive operator semigroups. On the one hand, we prove that, on many important function spaces, they imply compactness properties. On the other hand, we show that these conditions can be omitted in a number of Perron–Frobenius type spectral theorems. We furthermore prove a Kreĭn–Rutman type theorem on the existence of positive eigenvectors and eigenfunctionals under certain eventual positivity conditions.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 47D06; secondary 34G10, 47A10, 47B65.

Keywords and phrases: one-parameter semigroups of linear operators, eventually positive semigroup, domination condition, smoothing condition, Perron–Frobenius theory.

1. Introduction

The solution of a linear autonomous evolution equation is often described by means of a C_0 -semigroup on a Banach space, usually a function space. While one expects the solution semigroup in many models to be *positive*, that is, solutions with positive initial conditions remain positive, there are also examples which exhibit a more subtle type of positive behaviour. For example, it was noted in [9, 10] that the solution semigroup of the bi-harmonic heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d , while not being positive, is in some sense *eventually* positive. This observation complemented earlier results on the corresponding elliptic problem; see, for instance, [14–16] and the recent paper [22]. A similar phenomenon occurs for the semigroup generated by the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on a two-dimensional disk as shown in [2].

These observations suggest that a general theory of eventually positive C_0 semigroups would be useful. While such a theory has been developed in finite
dimensions during the last decade (see, for instance, [19, 20], [6, Theorem 2.9]
and [8]), a systematic study of this phenomenon in infinite dimensions was initiated

J. Glück was partially supported by a scholarship within the scope of the LGFG Baden-Württemberg, Germany.

^{© 2017} Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2017 \$16.00

only recently in [3, 4]. Several spectral results for infinite-dimensional operators with eventually positive powers were recently proved by the second author in [13], after eventually positive matrix powers had been intensively studied for at least two decades; see the introduction of [13] for references and additional details.

1.1. A domination and a smoothing condition. In the present note we are mainly concerned with two conditions appearing in various characterisation theorems in [3]. The conditions involve the *principal ideal* E_u generated by some element u of the positive cone E_+ of a real or complex Banach lattice E,

$$E_u := \{ f \in E : \exists c \ge 0, |f| \le cu \}.$$

It is a subspace of E and, when equipped with the gauge norm $\|\cdot\|_u$ given by

$$||f||_u := \inf\{c \ge 0 : |f| \le cu\},$$
 (1.1)

a Banach lattice in its own right. We will often assume that $u \in E_+$ is a *quasi-interior* point of the positive cone, that is, a point such that E_u is dense in E. We refer to [18, 21] for the general theory of Banach lattices.

First condition. Given a linear operator $A: E \supseteq D(A) \to E$, we refer to

$$D(A) \subseteq E_u$$
 (Dom)

as the *domination condition*. It plays an important role in the characterisation of eventually positive behaviour of the resolvent of A in [3, Theorem 4.4]. We call this a domination condition since for every $v \in D(A)$ it implies the existence of c > 0 such that $|v| \le cu$.

Second condition. If A generates a C_0 -semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ on E, then we refer to

$$\exists t_0 \ge 0 \colon e^{t_0 A} E \subseteq E_u \tag{Smo}$$

as the *smoothing condition*. This condition is an important assumption in [3, Theorem 5.2] which characterises eventual positivity of $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ by means of Perron–Frobenius like properties. We call (Smo) a smoothing condition since in general the gauge norm on E_u is stronger than the induced norm from E_u , and also because $(E_u, \|\cdot\|_u)$ is isometrically Banach lattice isomorphic to the space of real- or complex-valued continuous functions on some compact Hausdorff space E_u . The latter follows from the corollary to [21, Proposition II.7.2] and from Kakutani's representation theorem for AM-spaces [18, Theorem 2.1.3].

If E is the space of continuous complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space K, endowed with the supremum norm, then we have $E_u = E$ whenever u is a quasi-interior point of E_+ . Hence, conditions (Dom) and (Smo) are automatically fulfilled on such spaces. On many other Banach lattices, however, both conditions are quite strong. In a typical application we can think of E as an E-space over a bounded domain E with E with E as an appropriate Sobolev space. The vector E could, for instance, be the constant function

with value 1, in which case E_u coincides with $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In this case the domination condition (Dom) means that all functions in the domain of A are bounded; it is fulfilled if an appropriate Sobolev embedding theorem holds. The smoothing condition (Smo) means that the semigroup operator e^{t_0A} maps every function to a bounded function, that is, it 'smooths' unbounded initial data in some sense; see also the comment above.

It should be noted that, for analytic semigroups, condition (Dom) implies (Smo); see [12, Remark 9.3.4] or the proof of [3, Corollary 5.3] for details and for a slightly stronger assertion. Since the assumptions (Dom) and (Smo) are fulfilled in many applications, they were not studied in much detail in [3]; it was merely demonstrated in [3, Example 5.4] that these conditions cannot be dropped in [3, Theorems 4.4 and 5.2] without one of the implications in those theorems failing.

1.2. Aim of this note. This paper is devoted to an in-depth study of the conditions (Dom) and (Smo). While both conditions are always fulfilled on spaces of continuous functions over a compact space, we will show in Section 2 that both conditions are rather strong on other function spaces such as the L^p -spaces. When $p \in [1, \infty)$, we see in Corollary 2.5 that condition (Smo) forces the semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ to be eventually compact.

In Section 3 we present a short intermezzo on the existence of positive eigenvectors complementing earlier results in [4, Theorem 7.7(i)]. In Sections 4 and 5 we show that some of the implications in the characterisation results in [3, Theorems 4.4 and 5.2] remain true without the conditions (Dom) and (Smo).

1.3. Eventual positivity: terminology. Several notions of eventual positivity were discussed in [3, 4], some of which we recall here. For a concise formulation we introduce some notation. Let E be a real or complex Banach lattice. As usual, we call $f \in E$ positive if $f \ge 0$, and we write f > 0 if $f \ge 0$ but $f \ne 0$. If $u, f \in E_+$, then we write $f \gg_u 0$ if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f \ge \varepsilon u$; in this case we call f strongly positive with respect to g. We denote by g be unded linear operators on g. An operator g be unded by g be unded linear operators on g. An operator g be unded by g be unded by g be unded linear operators on g. An operator g be unded by g be unded linear operators on g be unded linear operators on g be unded linear operators of g be under the unit of g because g be under the unit of g because g be unded linear operators of g because g be under the unit of g because g be under the unit of g because g b

Now, let E be a complex Banach lattice with real part $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $A:D(A)\to E$ be a linear operator. The operator A is called *real* if $D(A)=E_{\mathbb{R}}\cap D(A)+iE_{\mathbb{R}}\cap D(A)$ and if A maps $E_{\mathbb{R}}\cap D(A)$ to $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. The first notion of eventual positivity relates to the resolvent of A. We recall that the resolvent $\lambda\mapsto \mathcal{R}(\lambda,A):=(\lambda I-A)^{-1}\in\mathcal{L}(E)$ is an analytic map on the *resolvent set* $\rho(A)$. We denote the spectrum of A by $\sigma(A)$.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $A : E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ be a linear operator on a complex Banach lattice E and let $u \in E$ be a quasi-interior point of E_+ . Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \cap \sigma(A)$ be an isolated spectral value of A.

(a) The resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ is called *individually eventually strongly positive with* respect to u at λ_0 if, for every $0 < f \in E$, there exists a $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ with the following properties: $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1] \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)f \gg_u 0$ for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_1]$.

(b) The resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ is called *individually eventually strongly negative with* respect to u at λ_0 if, for every $0 < f \in E$, there exists a $\lambda_1 < \lambda_0$ with the following properties: $[\lambda_1, \lambda_0) \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $-\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)f \gg_u 0$ for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_0)$.

We speak of *individual* eventual positivity as λ_1 can depend on f. One can, of course, also define *uniform* eventual positivity; see [3, Definitions 4.1 and 4.2] for details.

The above definitions make sense even if λ_0 is not necessarily an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ (see [3, Definitions 4.1 and 4.2]), but Definition 1.1 is sufficient for our purposes. We will usually assume that λ_0 is a pole of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ as an analytic map on $\rho(A)$. Such a pole is always an eigenvalue of A, as seen in [24, Section VIII.8, Theorem 2]; if λ_0 has finite algebraic multiplicity as an eigenvalue of A, then the pole is of order one if and only if the geometric and algebraic multiplicities of λ_0 coincide.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ be the C_0 -semigroup on a complex Banach lattice E generated by an operator A and let $u \in E$ be a quasi-interior point of E_+ . The semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is called *individually eventually strongly positive with respect to u* if, for every $0 < f \in E$, there exists a time $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $e^{tA} f \gg_u 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$.

We talk about *uniform eventual positivity* if t_0 can be chosen independently of $f \in E_+$; see [3, Definition 5.1] for details. It is not difficult to see that A is a real operator if and only if the operator e^{tA} is real for every $t \in [0, \infty)$.

To a great extent the long-term behaviour of a semigroup is determined by properties relating to the *spectral bound* $s(A) := \sup\{Re \ \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} \in [-\infty, \infty]$ of A. If $s(A) \in (-\infty, \infty)$, then of particular importance are the *peripheral spectrum* of A given by $\sigma_{per}(A) := \{\lambda \in \sigma(A) : Re \ \lambda = s(A)\}$ and the existence of a *dominant spectral value*, that is, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A)$ such that $\sigma_{per}(A) = \{\lambda_0\}$.

In Section 3 we will also encounter a slightly weaker notion of eventual positivity; see Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 and the preceding discussion.

We conclude this section by clarifying some notation we will use throughout. The *dual space* of a real or complex Banach lattice E is denoted by E'; it is also a Banach lattice and its positive cone E'_+ is called the *dual cone* of E_+ . A vector $\varphi \in E'$ is positive if and only if $\langle \varphi, f \rangle \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq f \in E$. Since E' is a Banach lattice, all the notation introduced above applies to the elements of this space, too; in particular, we write $\varphi > 0$ if a functional $\varphi \in E'$ fulfils $\varphi \geq 0$ but $\varphi \neq 0$. We call the functional $\varphi \in E'$ strictly positive if $\langle \varphi, f \rangle > 0$ for all $0 < f \in E$. Note that every quasi-interior point of E'_+ is a strictly positive functional, but the converse is not in general true. If $A : E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ is a densely defined linear operator, then its dual operator is denoted by $A' : E' \supseteq D(A') \to E'$.

2. Domination, smoothing and compactness

In this section, we show that, on certain types of Banach lattices, the conditions (Dom) and (Smo) have rather strong consequences. Let E be a complex Banach lattice and $u \in E_+$. The fact that the gauge norm on E_u is stronger than the induced norm

from E has severe consequences on every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ which maps E to E_u , as we shall see in the main theorems of this section.

To state the theorems, recall that a complex Banach lattice E is said to have order continuous norm if its real part $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ has order continuous norm (see [18, Definition 2.4.1] for a precise definition). Every L^p -space with $1 \le p < \infty$ has order continuous norm, as has the space c_0 of all real- or complex-valued sequences which converge to 0 endowed with the supremum norm. The space of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space K never has order continuous norm unless K is finite.

Lemma 2.1. Let E be a real or complex Banach lattice with order continuous norm and let $u \in E_+$.

- (i) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_u)$, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is weakly compact.
- (ii) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_u)$ is weakly compact, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is compact.

PROOF. It suffices to prove the lemma in the case where the scalar field is real. Since E has order continuous norm, every order interval in E is weakly compact (see [18, Theorem 2.4.2]). By definition of the gauge norm (1.1), every bounded set in E_u is contained in an order interval in E. Hence the natural injection $j: E_u \to E$ given by j(x) = x is weakly compact. If we are precise, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is the composition $j \circ T$.

- (i) As $T: E \to E_u$ is bounded and $j: E_u \to E$ is weakly compact we conclude that $T: E \to E$ is weakly compact.
- (ii) Because E_u is a Dunford–Pettis space and $j \in \mathcal{L}(E_u, E)$ is weakly compact, j is a Dunford–Pettis operator, that is, $x_n \to 0$ weakly in E_u implies that $x_n \to 0$ in E (see [18, Definition 3.7.6 and Propositions 3.7.9 and 1.2.13]). Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in E. Then by the weak compactness of T and the Eberlein–Šmulian theorem [5, Theorem V.6.1], we can find a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $Tx_{n_k} \to y$ weakly in E_u for some $y \in E_u$. Since $j \in \mathcal{L}(E_u, E)$ is a Dunford–Pettis operator, we conclude that $(j \circ T)x_{n_k} \to Ty$ in E. This proves that $T: E \to E$ is a compact operator.

THEOREM 2.2. Let E be a real or complex Banach lattice with order continuous norm and let $u \in E_+$. If $T_k \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and $T_k E \subseteq E_u$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$, then $T_2 T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is compact.

PROOF. By the closed graph theorem, $T_k \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_u)$ for k = 1, 2, where E_u is, as usual, endowed with the gauge norm. By Lemma 2.1(i), $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is weakly compact. As $T_2 : E \to E_u$ is continuous, it is also weakly continuous and hence the composition $T_2T_1 : E \to E_u$ is weakly compact. Lemma 2.1(ii) implies $T_2T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is compact. \square

Part (i) of the next theorem is the special case of Theorem 2.2 with $T_1 = T_2 = T$. If E is reflexive, we obtain an even stronger result. Examples of reflexive Banach lattices are the L^p -spaces with 1 on an arbitrary measure space.

THEOREM 2.3. Let E be a real or complex Banach lattice and let $u \in E_+$. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and that $TE \subseteq E_u$. Then the following assertions are true.

(i) If E has order continuous norm, then $T^2 \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is compact.

(ii) If E is reflexive, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is compact.

PROOF. (ii) By the closed graph theorem, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_u)$. Since E is reflexive, by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem every bounded set in E is contained in a weakly compact set. As $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_u)$ is continuous and thus weakly continuous, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_u)$ is weakly compact. By [18, Theorem 2.4.2(v)], every reflexive Banach lattice has order continuous norm, so Lemma 2.1(ii) shows that $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is compact.

In [3, Theorems 4.4 and 5.2], it was always assumed that certain spectral values of A are poles of the resolvent. In the corollaries below we will show that the above results imply that such assumptions are automatically satisfied if E has order continuous norm and if one of the conditions (Dom) or (Smo) is fulfilled. It is worthwhile pointing out that the assumption of the first corollary is a bit more general than condition (Dom).

COROLLARY 2.4. Let E be a complex Banach lattice, $u \in E_+$ and $A : E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ a linear operator with nonempty resolvent set. Suppose that $D(A^n) \subseteq E_u$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following assertions are true.

- (i) If E has order continuous norm, then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)^{2n}$ is compact for every $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.
- (ii) If E is reflexive, then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)^n$ is compact for every $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

In either case, all spectral values of A are poles of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ and have finite algebraic multiplicity.

PROOF. Let $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. Then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)^n E = D(A^n) \subseteq E_u$. Now Theorem 2.3(i) and (ii) yield (i) and (ii), respectively. In either case, [23, Theorem 5.8-F] implies that all spectral values of A are poles of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ and have finite algebraic multiplicity.

Corollary 2.4 is useful in proving that an operator in a concrete application has an eventually positive resolvent. This can often be done by using [3, Theorem 4.4]. As it turns out, if E has order continuous norm and the domination condition (Dom) is fulfilled, then, as a consequence of Corollary 2.4, some of the spectral theoretic assumptions in [3, Theorem 4.4] are automatically satisfied.

COROLLARY 2.5. Suppose that E is a complex Banach lattice with order continuous norm, $u \in E_+$ and $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is a C_0 -semigroup on E with $e^{t_0A}E \subseteq E_u$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$. Then the semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is eventually compact. In particular, all spectral values of E are poles of the resolvent E and have finite algebraic multiplicity. Moreover, the peripheral spectrum of E is finite.

PROOF. The semigroup is eventually compact since Theorem 2.3 implies that the operator e^{2t_0A} is compact. Hence, according to [7, Corollary V.3.2], all spectral values of A are poles of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ and have finite algebraic multiplicity. It now follows from [7, Theorem II.4.18] that the peripheral spectrum of A is finite.

A similar comment to that given after Corollary 2.4 also applies here. In order to show that a given semigroup is eventually positive, one can combine results from [3, Section 5] with Corollary 2.5.

3. The existence of positive eigenvectors

This section is devoted to a Kreĭn–Rutman type theorem about the existence of positive eigenvectors. For eventually positive semigroups, a related result was given in [4, Theorem 7.7(i)]. Similar results for eventually and asymptotically positive operators can be found in [13, Section 6]. The latter results also contain existence results about positive eigenvectors of the dual operator. The following theorem and its corollaries are in the same spirit. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is inspired by the proofs of [4, Theorem 7.7(i)] and [13, Theorem 6.1].

THEOREM 3.1. Let $A : E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ be a linear operator on a complex Banach lattice E and $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$ a pole of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$. Suppose that, for every $f \in E_+$,

$$(\lambda - \lambda_0) \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)f, E_+) \to 0$$
 (3.1)

as $\lambda \downarrow \lambda_0$. Then the following assertions hold.

- (i) The number λ_0 is an eigenvalue of A and the eigenspace $\ker(\lambda_0 I A)$ corresponding to λ_0 contains a positive, nonzero vector.
- (ii) If A is densely defined, then λ_0 is an eigenvalue of the dual operator A' and the corresponding eigenspace $\ker(\lambda_0 I A')$ contains a positive, nonzero vector.

We note in passing that in [3] the condition (3.1) is referred to as $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ being *individually asymptotically positive at* λ_0 if λ_0 is a first-order pole of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. (i) Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge 1$, denote the order of λ_0 as a pole of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ and let

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A) = \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k Q_k \tag{3.2}$$

be the Laurent series expansion of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ about λ_0 , where $Q_k \in \mathcal{L}(E)$. Then $Q_{-m} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{im}(Q_{-m}) \subseteq \ker(\lambda_0 I - A)$; see [24, Section VIII.8, Theorem 2]. In particular, λ_0 is an eigenvalue of A and $(\lambda - \lambda_0)^m \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A) \to Q_{-m}$ with respect to the operator norm as $\lambda \downarrow \lambda_0$. Hence assumption (3.1) implies that Q_{-m} is a positive operator. Since Q_{-m} is nonzero its range contains a positive nonzero vector and this vector is an eigenvector of A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 .

(ii) Now assume that A is densely defined so that it has a well-defined dual operator A'. Then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A') = \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)'$ for all $\lambda \in \rho(A) = \rho(A')$, so it follows from (3.2) that the Laurent expansion of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A')$ about λ_0 is given by

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A') = \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k Q'_k.$$

In particular, as $Q'_{-m} \neq 0$, the point $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A')$ is an *m*th-order pole of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A')$. As Q_{-m} is positive, so is Q'_{-m} and hence, $\operatorname{im}(Q'_{-m})$ contains a positive nonzero vector. As $\operatorname{im}(Q'_{-m}) \subseteq \ker(\lambda_0 I - A')$, this proves assertion (ii).

Let us formulate two corollaries where Theorem 3.1 is applied to eventually positive resolvents and to eventually positive semigroups.

First we recall the definition of an eventually positive resolvent from [4, Section 8]. Let $A: E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ be a linear operator on a complex Banach lattice E and let $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$. We call the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ of A individually eventually positive at λ_0 if for every $f \in E_+$ there exists $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ such that $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1] \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)f \ge 0$ for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_1]$. The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let $A: E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ be a linear operator on a complex Banach lattice E and let $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$ be a pole of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$. Suppose that the resolvent of A is individually eventually positive at λ_0 . Then assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 hold.

To formulate the second corollary, we recall the definition of an eventually positive semigroup from [4, Section 7]. Let $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on a complex Banach lattice E. We call this C_0 -semigroup *individually eventually positive* if, for every $f \in E_+$, there exists a time $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $e^{tA} f \geq 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. We recall from [4, Theorem 7.6] that the spectral bound s(A) of the generator of an individually eventually positive C_0 -semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is always contained in the spectrum unless $s(A) = -\infty$.

For individually eventually positive C_0 -semigroups we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 3.1 which is a generalisation of [4, Theorem 7.7(a)] in that it also yields the existence of a positive eigenvector for the dual operator.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ be an individually eventually positive C_0 -semigroup on a complex Banach lattice E. Suppose that $s(A) > -\infty$ is a pole of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$. Then assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 hold for $\lambda_0 = s(A)$.

PROOF. Since the semigroup is individually eventually positive and since $s(A) > -\infty$, it follows from [4, Corollary 7.3] that the resolvent of A fulfils property (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 for $\lambda_0 = s(A)$. Hence, the assertion follows from that theorem.

4. A Perron-Frobenius theorem for resolvents

In this section we prove a Perron–Frobenius type theorem for eventually positive resolvents. In contrast to the results of Section 3, we prove not only the existence but also the uniqueness of positive eigenvectors. Let us start by recalling that a Perron–Frobenius type property can be characterised by considering the spectral projection of the eigenvalue under consideration. More precisely, let $A: E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ be a real densely defined linear operator $A: E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ on a complex Banach lattice E, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$ a pole of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ and u a quasi-interior point of E_+ . A typical conclusion of such a Perron–Frobenius type theorem is as follows:

The eigenvalue λ_0 of A is geometrically simple and the corresponding eigenspace $\ker(\lambda_0 I - A)$ contains a vector $v \gg_u 0$. Moreover, the eigenspace (4.1) $\ker(\lambda_0 I - A')$ of the dual operator contains a strictly positive functional.

It was shown in [3, Corollary 3.3] that a very concise way of stating this conclusion is to say that the spectral projection P associated with λ_0 satisfies $P \gg_u 0$. Assertion (4.1) also implies that λ_0 is algebraically simple and the only eigenvalue with a positive eigenfunction.

It was further proved in [3, Theorem 4.4] that, under appropriate spectral assumptions combined with the domination condition (Dom), $P \gg_u 0$ is equivalent to a certain eventual positivity property of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$. On the other hand, it was demonstrated in [3, Example 5.4] that such an equivalence is no longer true if one drops the condition (Dom). However, we prove in the next theorem that some implications in [3, Theorem 4.4], namely '(ii) or (iii) \Rightarrow (i)', remain true without (Dom).

Theorem 4.1. Let $A: E \supseteq D(A) \to E$ be a densely defined and real linear operator on a complex Banach lattice E and let $u \in E_+$ be a quasi-interior point. Assume that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$ is a pole of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ and denote the corresponding spectral projection by P. If $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ is individually eventually strongly positive or negative with respect to u at λ_0 , then $P \gg_u 0$.

The proof of the implications '(ii) or (iii) \Rightarrow (i)' in [3, Theorem 4.4] cannot simply be adapted to work in our more general setting here. The major obstacle is that [3, Lemma 4.8] relies on the domination condition (Dom). Here, we use a different approach which has been inspired by the proof of [1, Proposition B-III.3.5]. We also need a simple auxiliary result which was implicitly contained in the proof of [4, Lemma 7.4].

Lemma 4.2. Let E be a complex Banach lattice and $(T_j)_{j\in J}\subseteq \mathcal{L}(E)$ an individually eventually positive net of operators, in the sense that for all $f\in E_+$ there exists $j_0\in J$ such that $T_jf\geq 0$ for all $j\geq j_0$. Then, for every f in the real part $E_\mathbb{R}$ of E, there exists $j_1\in J$ such that $|T_jf|\leq T_j|f|$ for all $j\geq j_1$.

PROOF. Choose $j_1 \in J$ such that $T_j f^+ \ge 0$ and $T_j f^- \ge 0$ for all $j \ge j_1$. For all those j we then obtain $T_j(|f|+f)=2T_jf^+ \ge 0$ and $T_j(|f|-f)=2T_jf^- \ge 0$. Hence, $T_j|f|\ge -T_jf$ and $T_j|f|\ge T_jf$ and thus $T_j|f|\ge |T_jf|$ for all $j\ge j_1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. We may assume throughout the proof that $\lambda_0 = 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ is individually eventually strongly positive with respect to u at $\lambda_0 = 0$. We will show that (4.1) is fulfilled.

According to Corollary 3.2, we can find vectors $0 < v \in \ker A$ and $0 < \varphi \in \ker A'$. We observe that *every* element $0 < w \in \ker A$ satisfies $w \gg_u 0$. Indeed, by assumption, for each such w we can find a number $\lambda > 0$ for which $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $w = \lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)w \gg_u 0$. Therefore, $v \gg_u 0$.

Next we show that the functional φ is strictly positive. For every $0 < f \in E$ we can find a number $0 < \lambda \in \rho(A)$ such that $\lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A) f \gg_u 0$; in particular, $\lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A) f$ is a quasi-interior point of E_+ . Hence,

$$\langle \varphi, f \rangle = \langle \lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A') \varphi, f \rangle = \langle \varphi, \lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A) f \rangle > 0.$$

Thus, φ is indeed strictly positive.

It remains to show that $\ker A$ is one-dimensional. To this end, we first prove that $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ is a sublattice of the real part $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ of E. Fix $w \in E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$. By Lemma 4.2 we can find a number $0 < \lambda \in \rho(A)$ such that $|w| = \lambda |\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)w| \leq \lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)|w|$. By testing the positive vector $\lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)|w| - |w|$ against the strictly positive functional $\varphi \in \ker A'$, we obtain

$$\langle \varphi, \lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A) | w | - | w | \rangle = \langle \lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A') \varphi, | w | \rangle - \langle \varphi, | w | \rangle = 0$$

and thus, $\lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, A)|w| = |w|$. This proves that $|w| \in E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$, so $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ is indeed a sublattice of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We have seen above that every nonzero positive vector $w \in \ker A$ satisfies $w \gg_u 0$ and is thus a quasi-interior point of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence, according to [21, Theorem II.6.3, Corollary 2], v is also a quasi-interior point of the positive cone of the Banach lattice $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ (when endowed with the norm inherited from $E_{\mathbb{R}}$). We have thus shown that every positive nonzero element of the real Banach lattice $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ is a quasi-interior point of its positive cone. This implies that $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ is one-dimensional (see [17, Lemma 5.1] or [11, Remark 5.9]). Since A is real, we have $\ker A = E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A + iE_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$, so we conclude that $\ker A$ is one-dimensional over the complex field. This proves (4.1).

Now assume instead that $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ is individually eventually strongly negative with respect to u at $\lambda_0 = 0$. Then the resolvent of -A, which is $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, -A) = -\mathcal{R}(-\lambda, A)$ for all $\lambda \in \rho(-A) = -\rho(A)$, is individually eventually strongly positive with respect to u at 0. Hence, by what we have just seen, the spectral projection of -A associated with 0 is strongly positive with respect to u. This spectral projection coincides with P, which proves the assertion.

5. A Perron–Frobenius theorem for semigroups

In this final section we pursue a similar goal to that in Section 4, but this time for eventually positive semigroups instead of resolvents. In [3, Theorem 5.2] it was shown that, under some assumptions which include the smoothing condition (Smo), individually eventually strong positivity with respect to u of a semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is equivalent to a certain spectral condition that includes the Perron–Frobenius properties discussed at the start of the previous section. By [3, Example 5.4], this result fails in general if the smoothing condition (Smo) is dropped. However, we will now prove that at least a certain part of [3, Theorem 5.2] remains true without the condition (Smo).

THEOREM 5.1. Let $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ be a real C_0 -semigroup on a complex Banach lattice E and let $u \in E_+$ be a quasi-interior point. Assume that s(A) is not equal to $-\infty$ and a pole of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\cdot,A)$. If $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is individually eventually strongly positive with respect to u, then the spectral projection P corresponding to s(A) satisfies $P \gg_u 0$.

For a similar reason to Section 4, we cannot simply modify the relevant part of the proof of [3, Theorem 5.2]. Instead we adapt the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.1 for semigroups.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1. We may assume throughout that s(A) = 0. According to Corollary 3.3, there exist a vector $0 < v \in \ker A$ and a functional $0 < \varphi \in \ker A'$. To prove (4.1) we now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 with $\lambda_0 = s(A) = 0$.

First note that every vector $0 < w \in \ker A$ satisfies $w \gg_u 0$. Indeed, for each such vector we can find a time $t \ge 0$ for which $w = e^{tA}w \gg_u 0$. In particular, $v \gg_u 0$. Next we prove that the functional φ is strictly positive. To this end, let $0 < x \in E$. We can find a time $t \ge 0$ such that $e^{tA}x \gg_u 0$, so $e^{tA}x$ is a quasi-interior point of E_+ . Hence, as φ is nonzero,

$$\langle \varphi, x \rangle = \langle (e^{tA})' \varphi, x \rangle = \langle \varphi, e^{tA} x \rangle > 0,$$

which shows that φ is indeed strictly positive.

To conclude the proof, we still have to show that $\ker A$ is one-dimensional. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, let us first show that $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ is a sublattice of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. So, take $w \in E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ and choose a time $t_1 \ge 0$ such that $|w| = |e^{tA}w| \le e^{tA}|w|$ for all $t \ge t_1$; such a time t_1 exists according to Lemma 4.2. For $t \ge t_1$ we test the positive vector $e^{tA}|w| - |w|$ against the strictly positive functional $\varphi \in \ker A'$, thus obtaining

$$\langle \varphi, e^{tA} | w | - | w | \rangle = \langle (e^{tA})' \varphi, | w | \rangle - \langle \varphi, | w | \rangle = 0$$

and hence $e^{tA}|w| = |w|$. For $t \ge 0$, this implies $e^{tA}|w| = e^{tA}e^{t_1A}|w| = e^{(t+t_1)A}|w| = |w|$. Therefore, $|w| \in \ker A$, so $E_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \ker A$ is indeed a sublattice of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. Now the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 show that $\ker A$ is one-dimensional.

If the peripheral spectrum of A is finite and consists of poles of the resolvent and if the smoothing condition (Smo) is fulfilled, then [3, Theorem 5.2] asserts, among other things, that individual eventual strong positivity of $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ with respect to u implies that the semigroup $(e^{t(A-s(A))})_{t\geq 0}$ is bounded. It is an interesting question whether this result remains true without the condition (Smo). This does not even seem to be clear if the semigroup is strongly positive with respect to u, that is, if $e^{tA} \gg_u 0$ for $all \ t > 0$.

If, however, the semigroup under consideration is eventually norm continuous, then the situation is much simpler. In this case we obtain the following corollary which shows that the implication '(i) \Rightarrow (ii)' in [3, Corollary 5.3] is true under weaker assumptions than stated there.

COROLLARY 5.2. Let $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ be a real and eventually norm-continuous C_0 -semigroup on a complex Banach lattice E and let $u \in E_+$ be a quasi-interior point. Assume that $s(A) > -\infty$ and that the peripheral spectrum of A is finite and consists of poles of the resolvent. If $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is individually eventually strongly positive with respect to u, then the rescaled semigroup $(e^{t(A-s(A))})_{t\geq 0}$ is bounded, the spectral bound s(A) is a dominant spectral value of A and the corresponding spectral projection P satisfies $P \gg_u 0$.

PROOF. We may assume that s(A) = 0. First recall from [4, Theorem 7.6] that s(A) is a spectral value of A. It follows from Theorem 5.1 that $P \gg_u 0$. Hence, s(A) is a first-order pole of $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, A)$ according to [3, Corollary 3.3], and this in turn implies that $\sigma_{\text{per}}(A)$ consists of first-order poles of the resolvent (see [4, Theorem 7.7(ii)]).

Now, let $\sigma_{per}(A) = \{i\beta_1, \dots, i\beta_n\}$ and denote by $Q \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ the spectral projection of A associated with $\sigma_{per}(A)$. Since $\sigma_{per}(A)$ consists of first-order poles of the resolvent, we have $QE = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \ker(i\beta_k I - A)$. Hence, the semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is bounded on the range of Q. On the other hand, since the semigroup is eventually norm continuous and since $\sigma_{per}(A)$ is isolated from the rest of spectrum by assumption, it follows from [7, Theorem II.4.18] that the spectral bound of $A|_{\ker Q}$ satisfies $\mathrm{s}(A|_{\ker Q}) < 0$. Using again that our semigroup is eventually norm continuous, we conclude from [7, Corollary IV.3.11] that $e^{tA} \to 0$ on $\ker Q$ with respect to the operator norm as $t \to \infty$. Hence, $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ is indeed bounded as claimed.

Finally, the boundedness and individual eventual positivity of $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ imply immediately that this semigroup is *individually asymptotically positive* (see [3, Definition 8.1]). It follows from [3, Theorem 8.3] that s(A) is a dominant spectral value of A.

Acknowledgements

Some of the results presented here appear in the PhD thesis of the second author [12], in particular Corollary 3.3, Theorem 4.1 and a weaker version of Theorem 2.2. We would like to thank James Kennedy for useful discussions on some of the material.

References

- [1] W. Arendt, A. Grabosch, G. Greiner, U. Groh, H. P. Lotz, U. Moustakas, R. Nagel, F. Neubrander and U. Schlotterbeck, *One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1184 (Springer, Berlin, 1986).
- [2] D. Daners, 'Non-positivity of the semigroup generated by the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator', Positivity 18 (2014), 235–256.
- [3] D. Daners, J. Glück and J. B. Kennedy, 'Eventually and asymptotically positive semigroups on Banach lattices', J. Differential Equations 261 (2016), 2607–2649.
- [4] D. Daners, J. Glück and J. B. Kennedy, 'Eventually positive semigroups of linear operators', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 433 (2016), 1561–1593.
- [5] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. I. General Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, VII (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958).
- [6] E. M. Ellison, L. Hogben and M. J. Tsatsomeros, 'Sign patterns that require eventual positivity or require eventual nonnegativity', *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 19 (2009), 98–107.
- [7] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 194 (Springer, New York, 2000).
- [8] C. Erickson, 'Sign patterns that require eventual exponential nonnegativity', *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 30 (2015), 171–195.
- [9] A. Ferrero, F. Gazzola and H.-C. Grunau, 'Decay and eventual local positivity for biharmonic parabolic equations', *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 21 (2008), 1129–1157.
- [10] F. Gazzola and H.-C. Grunau, 'Eventual local positivity for a biharmonic heat equation in Rⁿ', Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S 1 (2008), 83–87.
- [11] J. Glück, 'Growth rates and the peripheral spectrum of positive operators', *Houston J. Math.* Preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07483.
- [12] J. Glück, Invariant Sets and Long Time Behaviour of Operator Semigroups, PhD Thesis, Universität Ulm, 2016.

- [13] J. Glück, 'Towards a Perron-Frobenius theory for eventually positive operators', Preprint, 2016, https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08498.
- [14] H.-C. Grunau and G. Sweers, 'Positivity for equations involving polyharmonic operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions', *Math. Ann.* 307 (1997), 589–626.
- [15] H.-C. Grunau and G. Sweers, 'The maximum principle and positive principal eigenfunctions for polyharmonic equations', in: *Reaction Diffusion Systems (Trieste 1995)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 194 (Dekker, New York, 1998), 163–182.
- [16] H.-C. Grunau and G. Sweers, 'Sign change for the Green function and for the first eigenfunction of equations of clamped-plate type', Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 150 (1999), 179–190.
- [17] H. P. Lotz, 'Über das Spektrum positiver Operatoren', Math. Z. 108 (1968), 15–32.
- [18] P. Meyer-Nieberg, Banach Lattices, Universitext (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
- [19] D. Noutsos and M. J. Tsatsomeros, 'Reachability and holdability of nonnegative states', SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 30 (2008), 700–712.
- [20] D. D. Olesky, M. J. Tsatsomeros and P. van den Driessche, 'M_√-matrices: a generalization of M-matrices based on eventually nonnegative matrices', Electron. J. Linear Algebra 18 (2009), 339–351.
- [21] H. H. Schaefer, Banach Lattices and Positive Operators, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 215 (Springer, New York, 1974).
- [22] G. Sweers, 'An elementary proof that the triharmonic Green function of an eccentric ellipse changes sign', *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **107** (2016), 59–62.
- [23] A. E. Taylor, Introduction to Functional Analysis (John Wiley & Sons, New York; Chapman & Hall, London, 1958).
- [24] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Classics in Mathematics (Springer, Berlin, 1995).

DANIEL DANERS, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia e-mail: daniel.daners@sydney.edu.au

JOCHEN GLÜCK, Institut für Angewandte Analysis, Universität Ulm, D-89069 Ulm, Germany e-mail: jochen.glueck@uni-ulm.de