

162

### Attitudes Toward the SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine in BIPOC Populations in Los Angeles County

Corey Jacinto<sup>1</sup>, James Huynh<sup>1</sup>, Johnny Huynh<sup>1</sup>, Esmeralda Melgoza<sup>1</sup>, Delia Melendrez-Gomez<sup>1</sup>, Taemin Ahn<sup>1</sup> and Edward Nadurata<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California- Los Angeles and <sup>2</sup>University of California-Irvine)

**OBJECTIVES/GOALS:** Our research has three main aims: 1. Measure attitudes toward a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine among BIPOC. 2. Assess the effectiveness of race-conscious public health messages in changing attitudes toward a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. 3. Test the efficacy of financial incentives to increase uptake of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** We surveyed 784 unvaccinated residents of L.A. County. To recruit participants, we collaborated with Qualtrics. The survey randomized participants to one of three public health messages, as well as one of two financial compensation schemes. Twenty-five participants completed semi-structured interviews via Zoom or telephone. Interviews were audio recorded, translated into English if needed, and transcribed. The inductive, semi-structured interview guide focused on three domains: i) concerns and distrust toward a COVID vaccine, ii) policy interventions and/or government action related to a COVID vaccine, iii) non-pharmacological policy interventions related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Major emergent themes were identified and analyzed using Watkins (2012) team analysis of qualitative data steps. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** Many BIPOC remain vaccine hesitant: 2/3 of the survey respondents stated that they did not intend to or were not sure if they planned to get vaccinated. Follow-up interviews show that fear of vaccine side effects, bodily autonomy in choosing to get vaccinated are major concerns. However, public health measures like masking and physical distancing remain preferred safety methods for BIPOC residents. Misinformation and overcommunication in public health messaging concerning vaccine eligibility may be a major barrier to vaccine uptake among BIPOC. **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** Real world financial compensation mechanisms need to provide large enough compensation to avoid a crowding out of altruistic vaccination motivations and to effectively incentivize increased vaccine uptake. Additionally, short race-conscious public health messages were ineffective at improving vaccine attitudes.

163

### Private or Public Health Insurance and Infant Outcomes in the United States\*

Desalyn Louise Johnson<sup>1</sup>, Waldemar Carlo<sup>2</sup>, Fazlur AKM Rahman<sup>3</sup>, Rachel Tindal<sup>4</sup> and Colm Travers<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Clinical and Translational Science (CCTS),

<sup>2</sup>Neonatology, The University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL, United States, <sup>3</sup>Biostatistics, The University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Public Health, Birmingham, AL, United States and <sup>4</sup>The University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL, United States

**OBJECTIVES/GOALS:** Health insurance status is associated with differences in access to healthcare and health outcomes. The objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that among infants born in the United States, maternal private insurance compared with public Medicaid insurance would be associated with a lower infant mortality rate (IMR). **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** This

ecological study used data from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) WONDER expanded linked birth and infant death records database 2017-2018. We included hospital-born infants from 20 to 42 weeks of gestational age (wga) if the mother had either private or Medicaid insurance. We excluded infants with congenital anomalies and infants who died due to congenital anomalies. We used negative-binomial regression adjusted for race, sex, multiple birth, and any maternal pregnancy risk factors (as defined by the CDC) to determine the difference in IMR between private and Medicaid insurance. Chi-square or Fishers exact test was used to compare differences in categorical variables between groups. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** We included 6,901,328 infants; 53.6% had private insurance and 46.4% were insured by Medicaid. Privately insured infants had a lower IMR compared with Medicaid insured infants (2.84/1000 vs. 5.32/1000; adjusted relative risk (aRR) 0.71; 95% confidence intervals (CI) 0.62 to 0.81;  $p < .0001$ ). The privately insured had higher rates of 1st trimester prenatal care compared to those with Medicaid (85.6% vs. 66.6%;  $p < .00001$ ). Rates of infant morbidity and maternal morbidity (per CDC definitions) were lower among the privately insured compared to those with Medicaid (both  $p < .00001$ ). The privately insured had lower rates of preterm (9.1% vs. 11.0%), extremely preterm (0.5% vs. 0.7%), low birth weight (7.1% vs. 9.6%), and extremely low birth weight (0.5% vs. 0.7%) births compared to those with Medicaid (all  $p < .001$ ). **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** Private insurance is associated with a lower IMR compared to Medicaid insurance. Privately insured pregnancies also have higher rates of early prenatal care, less morbidity, and less preterm and low birth weight births. There may be opportunities to improve access to care and pregnancy outcomes among Medicaid insured pregnancies in the United States.

164

### Better Together: Community Engagement During COVID-19

Jennifer Kraschnewski<sup>1</sup> and Andrea Murray<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Penn State College of Medicine

**OBJECTIVES/GOALS:** The Penn State CTSI has been working diligently to help communities in their fight against COVID-19. As the rapidly evolving COVID-19 situation has unfolded the Penn State CTSI has been able to provide support to our community stakeholders. As our communities have and are faced with unprecedented challenges, our CTSI has been there every step of the way. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** The Penn State CTSI is unique as it sits in rural Pennsylvania that not only spans a wide catchment area but also many diverse communities. The Penn State CTSI connected with our communities throughout the pandemic to bring timely and culturally appropriate information about the novel COVID-19 pandemic through our own institution and in partnership with community leaders. Stakeholder boards were formed to hear from various communities about hardships and challenges that were and are being faced due to COVID-19. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** The Penn State CTSI provided our communities with information through various different platforms to ensure that needs were being met in dissemination of pertinent information related to COVID-19. Not only was information tailored to the specific needs that were discussed during stakeholder boards, the information was provided in different languages and platforms in order to meet cultural and other needs to ensure health equity and literacy were met. **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** Not only did the Penn State CTSI provide these services to our current