

3. Leray, J., La théorie des points fixes et ses applications en analyse, *Rend. Sem. Mat.*, **15** (1955–56), 65–74.  
 4. Leray, J., and Schauder, J., Topologie et équations fonctionnelles, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **51** (1934), 45–78.  
 5. Michael, J. H., Continuous mappings of subsets of the euclidean  $n$ -sphere, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.*, **5** (1957), 133–137.  
 6. Nagumo, Mitio, Degree of mapping in convex linear topological spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **73** (1951), 497–511.

THE UNIVERSITY  
GLASGOW

## ON CERTAIN RELATIONS BETWEEN PRODUCTS OF BILATERAL HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

by HARISHANKER SHUKLA

(Received 12th April, 1957)

**1. Introduction.** Darling [3] in 1932 and Bailey [2] in 1933 gave certain theorems on products of hypergeometric series. Again in 1948 Sears [4] used the relation which expresses the  $M\Phi_{M-1}(x)$  series in terms of  $M$  other series of the same type to derive transformations between products of both basic and ordinary hypergeometric series. In this paper I give certain general theorems on products of bilateral hypergeometric series together with some of their interesting special cases.

The following notation is used throughout the paper :

$$\begin{aligned} (a; n) &= (1-a)(1-aq)\dots(1-aq^{n-1}), \quad (a; 0) = 1, \\ (a; -n) &= (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} / a^n (q/a; n), \quad |q| < 1, \\ (a)_n &= a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1), \quad (a)_0 = 1, \quad (a)_{-n} = (-1)^n / (1-a)_n, \\ {}_r\Psi_r \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} \right] &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \dots (a_r)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \dots (b_r)_n} z^n, \\ {}_rH_r \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; z \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} \right] &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \dots (a_r)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \dots (b_r)_n} z^n, \\ {}_r\pi_r \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} \right] &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-a_1q^n)(1-a_2q^n)\dots(1-a_rq^n)}{(1-b_1q^n)(1-b_2q^n)\dots(1-b_rq^n)}, \\ {}_r\Gamma \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} \right] &= \frac{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)\dots\Gamma(a_r)}{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)\dots\Gamma(b_r)}, \end{aligned}$$

and idem  $(a; b)$  means that the preceding expression is repeated with  $a$  and  $b$  interchanged.

2. Slater [6], in 1952, gave the following relation which expresses the general bilateral  ${}_M\Psi_M(x)$  series in terms of  $M$  other series of the same type :

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} xA, q/xA, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_M, q/c_1, q/c_2, \dots, q/c_M ; \\ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_M, q/a_1, q/a_2, \dots, q/a_M \end{matrix} \right] \times {}_M\Psi_M \left[ \begin{matrix} c_1, c_2, \dots, c_M ; x \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_M \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{q}{a_1} \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1xA/q, q^2/Axa_1, a_1/c_1, a_1/c_2, \dots, a_1/c_M, qb_1/a_1, qb_2/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1 ; \\ a_1, q/a_1, a_1/a_2, a_1/a_3, \dots, a_1/a_M, qa_2/a_1, qa_3/a_1, \dots, qa_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad \times {}_M\Psi_M \left[ \begin{matrix} qc_1/a_1, qc_2/a_1, \dots, qc_M/a_1 ; x \\ qb_1/a_1, qb_2/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] + \text{idem } (a_1 ; a_2, a_3, \dots, a_M), \dots (2.1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_M}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M}, \quad |x| < 1, \quad \left| \frac{b_1 b_2 \dots b_M}{c_1 c_2 \dots c_M x} \right| < 1.$$

If we put  $x = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_M}$  in (2.1), the expression on the left vanishes and we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1/c_1, a_1/c_2, \dots, a_1/c_M, qb_1/a_1, qb_2/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1 ; \\ a_1/a_2, a_1/a_3, \dots, a_1/a_M, qa_2/a_1, qa_3/a_1, \dots, qa_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad \times {}_M\Psi_M \left[ \begin{matrix} qc_1/a_1, qc_2/a_1, \dots, qc_M/a_1 ; \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_M} \\ qb_1/a_1, qb_2/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] + \text{idem } (a_1 ; a_2, \dots, a_M) = 0, \dots (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left| \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_M} \right| < 1 \text{ and } \left| \frac{qb_1 b_2 \dots b_M}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M} \right| < 1.$$

Now, replacing  $M$  by  $M+N$  in (2.2) and then putting  $b_{M+1} = a_{M+1}, b_{M+2} = a_{M+2}, \dots, b_{M+N} = a_{M+N}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1/c_1, a_1/c_2, \dots, a_1/c_{M+N}, qb_1/a_1, qb_2/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1 ; \\ a_1/a_2, a_1/a_3, \dots, a_1/a_{M+N}, qa_2/a_1, qa_3/a_1, \dots, qa_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad \times {}_{M+N}\Psi_{M+N} \left[ \begin{matrix} qc_1/a_1, \dots, qc_M/a_1, qc_{M+1}/a_1, \dots, qc_{M+N}/a_1 ; \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{M+N}}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_{M+N}} \\ qb_1/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1, qa_{M+1}/a_1, \dots, qa_{M+N}/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad + \text{idem } (a_1 ; a_2, a_3, \dots, a_M) \\ & + a_{M+1} \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} a_{M+1}/c_1, \dots, a_{M+1}/c_M, a_{M+1}/c_{M+1}, \dots, a_{M+1}/c_{M+N}, qb_1/a_{M+1}, \dots, qb_M/a_{M+1}, q ; \\ a_{M+1}/a_1, \dots, a_{M+1}/a_M, a_{M+1}/a_{M+2}, \dots, a_{M+1}/a_{M+N}, qa_1/a_{M+1}, \dots, qa_M/a_{M+1} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad \times {}_{M+N}\Phi_{M+N-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} qc_1/a_{M+1}, \dots, qc_M/a_{M+1}, qc_{M+1}/a_{M+1}, \dots, qc_{M+N}/a_{M+1} ; \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{M+N}}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_{M+N}} \\ qb_1/a_{M+1}, \dots, qb_M/a_{M+1}, qa_{M+2}/a_{M+1}, \dots, qa_{M+N}/a_{M+1} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad + \text{idem } (a_{M+1} ; a_{M+2}, \dots, a_{M+N}) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

If we again put  $a_{M+1} = c_1 q^{-n}, a_{M+2} = c_2 q^{-n}, \dots, a_{M+N} = c_N q^{-n}$  and  $c_{M+1} = b_1 q^{-1-n}, c_{M+2} = b_2 q^{-1-n}, \dots, c_{M+N} = b_N q^{-1-n}$  in (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1/c_1, \dots, a_1/c_M, a_1 q/b_1, \dots, a_1 q/b_N, qb_1/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1 ; \\ a_1/a_2, \dots, a_1/a_M, a_1/c_1, \dots, a_1/c_N, qa_2/a_1, \dots, qa_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad \times \frac{(a_1/c_1 ; n)(a_1/c_2 ; n) \dots (a_1/c_N ; n)}{(a_1 q/b_1 ; n)(a_1 q/b_2 ; n) \dots (a_1 q/b_N ; n)} \\ & \quad \times {}_{M+N}\Psi_{M+N} \left[ \begin{matrix} qc_1/a_1, \dots, qc_M/a_1, q^{-n}b_1/a_1, \dots, q^{-n}b_N/a_1 ; \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M c_1 c_2 \dots c_N}{c_1 c_2 \dots c_M b_1 b_2 \dots b_N} q^{N-1} \\ qb_1/a_1, \dots, qb_M/a_1, q^{1-n}c_1/a_1, \dots, q^{1-n}c_N/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \quad + \text{idem } (a_1 ; a_2, a_3, \dots, a_M) = 0, \dots \dots \dots (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left| \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M c_1 c_2 \dots c_N q^{N-1}}{c_1 c_2 \dots c_M b_1 b_2 \dots b_N} \right| < 1 \text{ and } \left| \frac{b_1 b_2 \dots b_M q}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M} \right| < 1.$$

Hence we obtain the following general theorem on products of bilateral hypergeometric series, which follows because of (2.4), when we compare the coefficients of  $z^n$ .

### THEOREM.

$$a_1 \Pi \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1/c_1, \dots, a_1/c_M, a_1 q/b_1, \dots, a_1 q/b_N, q b_1/a_1, \dots, q b_M/a_1; \\ a_1/a_2, \dots, a_1/a_M, a_1/c_1, \dots, a_1/c_N, q a_2/a_1, \dots, q a_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ \times {}_M\Psi_M \left[ \begin{matrix} q c_1/a_1, \dots, q c_M/a_1; zt \\ q b_1/a_1, \dots, q b_N/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] {}_N\Psi_N \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1/c_1, \dots, a_1/c_N; z \\ a_1 q/b_1, \dots, a_1 q/b_N \end{matrix} \right] \\ + \text{idem } (a_1; a_2, a_3, \dots, a_M) = 0, \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (2.5)$$

*where*

$$t = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_M}, \quad |z| < 1, \quad |zt| < 1,$$

$$\left| \frac{q^N c_1 c_2 \dots c_N}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_N z} \right| < 1, \quad \left| \frac{qb_1 b_2 \dots b_M}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M z} \right| < 1, \quad M > 1 \text{ and } N > 0.$$

*Special cases.* (i) If we take  $N = M$  and put  $b_1 = a_1, b_2 = a_2, \dots, b_M = a_M$  in (2.5), we get the following theorem :

$$a_1 \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) \dots \left( 1 - \frac{a_1}{a_M} \right) \right]^{-1} {}_M\Phi_{M-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} qc_1/a_1, \dots, qc_M/a_1; zt \\ qa_2/a_1, \dots, qa_M/a_1 \end{matrix} \right] {}_M\Phi_{M-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1/c_1, \dots, a_1/c_M; z \\ a_1q/a_2, \dots, a_1q/a_M \end{matrix} \right] \\ + \text{idem } (a_1; a_2, a_3, \dots, a_M) = 0, \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$t = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_M}{qc_1 c_2 \dots c_M}, \quad |z| < 1 \text{ and } |zt| < 1.$$

Now if we replace  $zt$  by  $z$ ,  $qc_r/a_1$  by  $A_r$ , and  $qa_r/a_1$  by  $B_{r-1}$  in (2.6), it becomes Sears' theorem [4, § 6.2] on products of basic hypergeometric series. Hence (2.5) is a basic bilateral generalisation of Sears' theorem.

(ii) If we let  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (2.5) in the usual manner, we get the following transformation between products of ordinary bilateral hypergeometric series :

$$\Gamma \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1 - a_2, a_1 - a_3, \dots, a_1 - a_M, a_1 - c_1, \dots, a_1 - c_N, 1 + a_2 - a_1, \dots, 1 + a_M - a_1; \\ a_1 - c_1, \dots, a_1 - c_M, 1 + a_1 - b_1, \dots, 1 + a_1 - b_N, 1 + b_1 - a_1, \dots, 1 + b_M - a_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\ \times {}_M H_M \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 + c_1 - a_1, \dots, 1 + c_M - a_1; z \\ 1 + b_1 - a_1, \dots, 1 + b_M - a_1 \end{matrix} \right] {}_N H_N \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1 - c_1, \dots, a_1 - c_N; z \\ 1 + a_1 - b_1, \dots, 1 + a_1 - b_N \end{matrix} \right] \\ + \text{idem } (a_1; a_2, a_3, \dots, a_M) = 0, \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (2.7)$$

where  $|z| = 1$  for convergence.

3. In this section I deduce another general relation between the products of ordinary bilateral hypergeometric series. Slater [6] gave the following relation between  $M$  series of the type  ${}_M H_M (1)$ :

If we first replace  $M$  by  $M+N$  in (3.1) and then  $c_{M+1}, c_{M+2}, \dots, c_{M+N}, b_{M+1}, b_{M+2}, \dots, b_{M+N}$  by  $c_{M+1}-n, c_{M+2}-n, \dots, c_{M+N}-n, b_{M+1}-n, b_{M+2}-n, \dots, b_{M+N}-n$ , respectively, we get,

Hence we get the following transformation between products of ordinary bilateral series which can be proved with the help of (3.2) when we compare the coefficients of  $z^n$ :

where  $|z|=1$ .

4. Finally, it may be noted that it has not been found possible to deduce a relation corresponding to (3.3) for basic bilateral series.

Also, the transformation (2.4) can be directly obtained from the basic bilateral integral given by Slater [7, § 10 (24)], if we make the same substitutions as required for deducing (2.4).

I am grateful to Dr R. P. Agarwal for his kind guidance during the preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. W. N. Bailey, *Generalized hypergeometric series* (Cambridge, 1935).
  2. W. N. Bailey, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 8 (1933), 100–107.
  3. H. B. C. Darling, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 34 (1932), 323–339.
  4. D. B. Sears, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 53 (1951), 181–191.
  5. D. B. Sears, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 53 (1951), 138–157.
  6. L. J. Slater, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* (2), 3 (1952), 73–80.
  7. L. J. Slater, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* (2), 3 (1952), 206–216.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY