

Exponential Laws for the Nachbin Ported Topology

C. Boyd

Abstract. We show that for U and V balanced open subsets of (Qno) Fréchet spaces E and F that we have the topological identity

$$(\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_\omega) = \left(\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)\right), \tau_\omega \right).$$

Analogous results for the compact open topology have long been established. We also give an example to show that the (Qno) hypothesis on both E and F is necessary.

For U an open subset of a locally convex space E and F a Banach space we will denote by τ_o the topology on $\mathcal{H}(U; F)$ of uniform convergence on compact subsets of U . We shall say that a semi-norm p on $\mathcal{H}(U; F)$ is ported by the compact subset K of U if for every V open, $K \subset V \subset U$, there exists $c(V) > 0$ such that

$$p(f) \leq c(V) \|f\|_V \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(U; F).$$

The τ_ω or Nachbin ported topology on $\mathcal{H}(U; F)$ is the topology generated by all semi-norms ported by all compact subsets of U . A semi-norm p on $\mathcal{H}(U; F)$ is said to be τ_δ continuous if for each increasing countable open cover, $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, of U there is a positive integer n_o and $C > 0$ so that

$$p(f) \leq C \|f\|_{V_{n_o}} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(U; F).$$

The τ_δ topology on $\mathcal{H}(U; F)$ is the topology generated by all τ_δ -continuous semi-norms. When F is a complete locally convex space we define the τ_o and τ_ω topologies on $\mathcal{H}(U; F)$ by

$$(\mathcal{H}(U; F), \tau_o) = \lim_{\leftarrow \alpha \in \text{c.s.}(F)} (\mathcal{H}(U; F_\alpha), \tau_o)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{H}(U; F), \tau_\omega) = \lim_{\leftarrow \alpha \in \text{c.s.}(F)} (\mathcal{H}(U; F_\alpha), \tau_\omega).$$

We write $\mathcal{H}(U)$ for $\mathcal{H}(U, \mathbb{C})$. Many authors have investigated necessary conditions for the equivalence of τ_o and τ_ω . In [10] it is shown that the condition of quasinormability by operators, (Qno), is a necessary and sufficient condition for their equivalence, irrespective of the range space, on a balanced subset of a Fréchet Schwartz space. We shall now look at exponential laws for the Nachbin ported topology, where we show that (Qno) turns out

Received by the editors July 23, 1998; revised January 22, 1999.
 AMS subject classification: Primary: 46G20; secondary: 18D15, 46M05.
 ©Canadian Mathematical Society 2000.

to be of importance here also. For K a compact subset of a Fréchet space E we let $\mathcal{H}(K)$ denote the space of all holomorphic germs on K . Mujica, [16], defines $G(K)$ as the space of all linear map $\phi: \mathcal{H}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the property that the restriction of ϕ to each set of holomorphic germ defined and bounded on a neighbourhood of K is τ_o -continuous. He then proceeds to show that

$$G(K)'_i = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega) := \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ K \subset U}} (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\omega).$$

When K is quasinormable $G(K)$ is also quasinormable and we have that $G(K)'_b = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_\omega)$. In [8], [9] and [10] the space $G(K)$ proved useful in obtaining results about holomorphic functions on balanced open subsets of U . We shall use this approach once again here. Given a positive integer n we let $P^n(E)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{H}(U)$ (and $\mathcal{H}(K)$) of all n -homogeneous polynomials on E . As with $\mathcal{H}(K)$ it can be shown that for each open subset U of E and each positive integer n there are spaces $G(U)$ and $Q^n(E)$ such that $G(U)'_i = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_\delta)$ and $Q^n(E)'_i = (P^n(E), \tau_\omega)$. The space $Q^n(E)$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,n,\pi} E$, the space of n -fold symmetric tensors on E . We refer the reader to [12] for further information on infinite dimensional holomorphy.

In [2] Aron and Schottenloher show that for U and V two open subset of locally convex k -spaces E and F we have

$$(\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_o) = (\mathcal{H}(U), \tau_o) \epsilon (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_o) = \left(\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_o)\right), \tau_o \right).$$

In this paper we will show that this equality is also true in a limited sense for some Fréchet spaces with τ_o replaced by τ_ω . We show, if U and V are balanced open subsets of (Qno) spaces E and F , then

$$(\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_\omega) = \left(\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)\right), \tau_\omega \right).$$

This type of result is known as an exponential law (see [3], [4], [5] [11], [19] and [22].)

Let us begin by recalling the definition of (Qno) due to Peris [20]. Let E be a locally convex space and let $\mathcal{U}(E)$ be a fundamental system of absolutely convex neighbourhoods of 0. We shall say that E is quasinormable by operators, (Qno), if given U in $\mathcal{U}(E)$ there is V open in $\mathcal{U}(E)$, $V \subset U$ such that given $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $P \in L(E, E)$ such that

- (1) $P(V)$ is bounded in E ,
- (2) $(I - P)(V) \subset \epsilon U$.

Example of space which are (Qno) are Banach spaces, Fréchet Schwartz spaces with the bounded approximation property, Banach valued quasinormable Köthe echelon spaces of order p and $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ where Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Following Dineen, [13], if E is (Qno) then we shall say that the V corresponding to U in the above definition is *associated* to U .

Proposition 1 *Let K be a balanced compact subset of a locally convex space E . Then $G(K)$ is (Qno) if and only if E is (Qno).*

Proof Since E is complemented in $G(K)$ one direction is trivial. Now suppose that E is (Qno) and let W be a balanced open neighbourhood of 0 in $G(K)$. By Proposition 5 of [8] we may suppose that p_W , the Minkowski functional of W , has the form

$$p_W(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\phi_n|_{B_U^n},$$

for some U in $\mathcal{U}(E)$, where $\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n$ and $B_U^n = \{P \in P(^nE) : \|P\|_U \leq 1\}$. Using induction and the proofs of Propositions 3.4 and 3.3 of [20] it follows that $Q(^nE)$ is (Qno) and that if V is associated to U then $\bar{\Gamma} \otimes_{n,s} V$ is associated to $\bar{\Gamma} \otimes_{n,s} U$.

Define $q: G(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ by

$$q(\phi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |\phi_n|_{B_V^n}.$$

Then q is a continuous seminorm on $G(K)$ and we will denote its unit ball by Z .

Given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose n_o so that $\sum_{n=n_o}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \epsilon/2$. For each integer n , $0 \leq n \leq n_o$, we can find $P_n \in L(Q(^nE), Q(^nE))$ so that

- (1) $P_n(\bar{\Gamma} \otimes_{n,\pi} V)$ is bounded in E ,
- (2) $(I - P_n)(\bar{\Gamma} \otimes_{n,s} V) \subset \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+2}} \bar{\Gamma} \otimes_{n,s} U$.

Now define $P: G(K) \rightarrow G(K)$ by

$$P\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_o} P_n(\phi_n).$$

Then $P \in L(G(K), G(K))$ and $P(Z) \subset \sum_{n=0}^{n_o} \frac{1}{n^2} P_n(\bar{\Gamma} \otimes_{n,s} V)$ is clearly bounded in $G(K)$. Furthermore, if $\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \in Z$ then

$$\begin{aligned} p_W((I - P)(\phi)) &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_o} |(I - P_n)(\phi_n)|_{B_U^n} + \sum_{n=n_o}^{\infty} |\phi_n|_{B_U^n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_o} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $(I - P)(Z) \in \epsilon W$ and therefore $G(K)$ is (Qno). ■

Using an analogous proof we can show:

Proposition 2 *Let U be a balanced open subset of a locally convex space E . Then $G(U)$ is (Qno) if and only if E is (Qno).*

Before going on to prove our main result let us look at the relationship between various preduals of various spaces of holomorphic functions. For U an open subset of a Banach space E Mujica, [18], introduces the topology τ_{bc} on $\mathcal{H}^\infty(U)$. Using Theorem 4.4 of [18] τ_{bc} may be defined as the finest topology on $\mathcal{H}^\infty(U)$ which coincides with τ_c on each norm

bounded subset. The strong dual of $(\mathcal{H}^\infty(U), \tau_{bc})$ is denoted by $G^\infty(U)$. This is a Banach space and is a predual of $(\mathcal{H}^\infty(U), \|\cdot\|)$.

Let E be a Fréchet space with $\{p_n\}_n$ an increasing family of semi-norms which define its topology. For K a compact subset of E define U_n by

$$U_n = \left\{ x \in E : \inf_{y \in K} p_n(x - y) < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Then $\{U_n\}_n$ is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of K and each U_n is open in the Banach space E_n , the completion of $(E/p_n^{-1}(0), p_n)$.

It follows from the definition of $G(K)$ we have that

$$(*) \quad G(K) = \lim_{\leftarrow n} G^\infty(U_n).$$

Using $(*)$ and Theorem 6.1 of [19] we have:

Proposition 3 *Let K and L be compact subsets of Fréchet spaces E and F respectively then*

$$G(K \times L) = G(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi G(L).$$

Fréchet spaces E and F are said to have the (BB) property if every bounded subset of $E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$ is contained in the closed convex hull of the tensor product of a bounded subset of E and a bounded subset of F . When this happens $(E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b = L_b(E, F'_b)$. From Theorem 2 of [9] we get the following result.

Corollary 4 *Let U and V be balanced open subsets of Fréchet spaces E and F respectively then $\tau_o = \tau_\omega$ on $\mathcal{H}(U \times V)$ if and only if $\tau_o = \tau_\omega$ on $\mathcal{H}(U)$ and on $\mathcal{H}(V)$ and $(G(K), G(L))$ has (BB) for any K compact balanced in E and L compact balanced in F .*

Using Buchwalter’s Duality Theorem, Theorem 16.2.7 of [14], we have:

Corollary 5 *Let K and L be compact subsets of Fréchet spaces E and F respectively then $(\mathcal{H}(K \times L), \tau_o) = (\mathcal{H}(K), \tau_o) \epsilon (\mathcal{H}(L), \tau_o)$.*

We are now in a position to prove our main result.

Theorem 6 *Let U and V be balanced open subsets of (Qno) Fréchet spaces E and F respectively; then $(\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_\omega) = \left(\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)\right), \tau_\omega \right)$.*

Proof Let K and L be compact balanced subsets of E and F respectively then from Proposition 1 and Corollary 4.3 of [20] we see that $(G(K), G(L))$ satisfies (BB) and hence

$$(\mathcal{H}(K \times L), \tau_\omega) = G(K \times L)'_b = (G(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi G(L))'_b = L_b\left(G(K); (\mathcal{H}(L), \tau_\omega)\right).$$

Now let us take projective limits over all compact balanced subsets K of U and all L of V . We get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_\omega) &= \lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} \lim_{\leftarrow L \subset V} (\mathcal{H}(K \times L), \tau_\omega) \\ &= \lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} \lim_{\leftarrow L \subset V} L_b \left(G(K); (\mathcal{H}(L), \tau_\omega) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using 39.8.(10) of [15] this is equal to

$$\lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} L_b \left(G(K); \lim_{\leftarrow L \subset V} (\mathcal{H}(L), \tau_\omega) \right) = \lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} L_b \left(G(K); (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega) \right).$$

We can write $(\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)$ as

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \alpha \in \text{c.s.}((\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega))} X_\alpha,$$

where X_α is the Banach space associated with the seminorm α . Using 39.8.(10) of [15] again we have that

$$\lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} L_b \left(G(K), (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega) \right) = \lim_{\leftarrow \alpha \in \text{c.s.}((\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega))} \lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} L_b \left(G(K), X_\alpha \right).$$

Since $G(K)$ is (Qno) it follows from [20, Theorem 4.7] and [7, Proposition 1] that this is equal to

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \alpha \in \text{c.s.}((\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega))} \lim_{\leftarrow K \subset U} (\mathcal{H}(K, X_\alpha), \tau_\omega)$$

which of course is

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \alpha \in \text{c.s.}((\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega))} (\mathcal{H}(U, X_\alpha), \tau_\omega).$$

And this is equal to

$$\left(\mathcal{H} \left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega) \right), \tau_\omega \right). \quad \blacksquare$$

In particular, for U and V balanced open subsets of Banach spaces E and F respectively, we have $(\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_\omega) = \left(\mathcal{H} \left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega) \right), \tau_\omega \right)$.

Finally, we note that the above Theorem need not be true if we drop the assumption that

E and F are both Qno spaces. In [21] an example of a Banach space E and a Fréchet Schwartz space F so that the (E, F) property fails (BB) is given. Let us consider these spaces. Given a locally convex space G we shall use G'_c to denote the dual of G endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of G . Since F is a Fréchet Schwartz space it will follow from [17] that $\tau_o = \tau_\omega$ on $\mathcal{H}(V)$. The map from $\mathcal{H}(U \times V)$ into $\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)\right)$ which sends $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ identifies $L_c(E; F'_c)$ with a complemented subspace of $(P(^2E \times F), \tau_o)$ and hence, using the idea of Lemma 1 of [1] (replacing barrelled with bornological), identifies $L_c(E; F'_{c\text{bor}})$ with a complemented subspace of $(P(^2E \times F), \tau_\omega)$. Since F'_b is complemented in $(\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)$ we see that $L_b(E, F'_b)$ is complemented in $L_b\left(E, (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega)\right)$ and hence in $\left(\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega), \tau_\omega\right)\right)$. If $(\mathcal{H}(U \times V), \tau_\omega)$ and $\left(\mathcal{H}\left(U; (\mathcal{H}(V), \tau_\omega), \tau_\omega\right)\right)$ are equal then they induce the same topology on $L(E, F'_b)$. Thus we see that $L_b(E, F'_b) = L_c(E, F'_{b\text{bor}})$ and so $L_b(E, F'_b)$ is complemented in $(P(^2E \times F), \tau_\omega)$. Since $(P(^2E \times F), \tau_\omega)$ is a DF-space it follows that $L_b(E, F'_b)$ is a DF-space. Applying Proposition 2 of [6] this implies that (E, F) has (BB) which is not possible by our choice of E and F .

References

- [1] J. M. Ansemil and S. Ponte, *Topologies associated with the compact open topology on $\mathcal{H}(U)$* . Proc. Roy. Irish. Acad. Sect. A (1) **82**(1982), 121–128.
- [2] R. Aron and M. Schottenloher, *Compact holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces and the approximation property*. J. Funct. Anal. (1) **21**(1976), 7–30.
- [3] K. D. Bierstedt and R. Meise, *Nuclearity and the Schwartz property in the theory of holomorphic functions on metrizable locally convex spaces*. In: Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy and Applications (ed. M. Matos), North-Holland Math. Stud. **12**(1977), 93–129.
- [4] S. Bjon, *On an exponential law for spaces of holomorphic functions*. Math. Nachr. **131**(1987), 201–204.
- [5] S. Bjon and M. Lindström, *A general approach to infinite dimensional holomorphy*. Monatsh. Math. **101**(1986), 11–26.
- [6] J. Bonet, J. C. Díaz and J. Taskinen, *Tensor stable Fréchet and DF-spaces*. Collect. Math. (3) **42**(1991), 199–236.
- [7] J. Bonet, P. Domański and J. Mujica, *Completeness of spaces of vector-valued holomorphic germs*. Math. Scand. **75**(1995), 250–260.
- [8] C. Boyd, *Distinguished preduals of the space of holomorphic functions*. Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid (2) **6**(1993), 221–231.
- [9] ———, *Montel and reflexive preduals of the space of holomorphic functions*. Studia Math. (3) **107**(1993), 305–315.
- [10] C. Boyd and C. Peris, *A projective description of the Nachbin ported topology*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (3) **197**(1996), 635–657.
- [11] R. Brown, *Function spaces and product topologies*. Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **15**(1964), 238–250.
- [12] S. Dineen, *Complex analysis on locally convex spaces*. North-Holland Math. Stud. **57**, 1981.
- [13] ———, *Quasinormable spaces of holomorphic functions*. Note Mat. (1) **13**(1993), 155–195.
- [14] H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [15] G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1979.
- [16] J. Mujica, *A completeness criterion for inductive limits of Banach spaces*. Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Approximation Theory II (ed. G. I. Zapata), North-Holland Math. Stud. **86**(1984), 319–329.
- [17] ———, *A Banach-Dieudonné theorem for the space of germs of holomorphic functions*. J. Funct. Anal. **57**(1984) 32–48.
- [18] ———, *Linearization of bounded holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **324**(1991), 867–887.
- [19] ———, *Linearization of holomorphic mappings of bounded type*. Progress in Functional Analysis (eds. K.-D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet, J. Horvath and M. Maestre), North-Holland Math. Stud. **130**(1992), 149–162.
- [20] A. Peris, *Quasinormable spaces and the problem of Topologies of Grothendieck*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. **19**(1994), 167–203.

- [21] ———, *Topological tensor product of a Fréchet Schwartz space and a Banach space*. *Studia Math.* (2) **106**(1993), 189–196.
- [22] M. Schottenloher, *ε -products and continuation of analytic mappings*. *Analyse Fonctionnelle et Applications* (ed. L. Nachbin), C. R. du Colloque d'Analyse, Instituto de Matematica, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, 1972. Herman Press, Paris, 1974, 261–270.

*Department of Mathematics
University College Dublin
Belfield
Dublin 4
Ireland*