Research Article

Effects of paleoclimatic variables on suitable open habitats for Pleistocene–Holocene megafauna in South America

Célia Cristina Clemente Machado^a 💿, Vivianne Albano de Lucena^a, Nathália Fernandes Canassa^{b,c},

David Holanda de Oliveira^d and Helder Farias Pereira de Araujo^e

^aCenter of Applied Biological and Social Sciences, Paraíba State University, João Pessoa, Paraíba, 58071-470, Brazil; ^bPostgraduate Program in Biodiversity and Conservation, Piauí Federal University, Floriano, Piauí, 64808-605, Brazil; ^cPostgraduate Program of Biological Sciences – Zoology, Paraíba Federal University, João Pessoa, Paraíba, 58050-585, Brazil; ^dPaleontology and Evolution Laboratory, Department of Biosciences, Paraíba Federal University, Areia, Paraíba, 58397-000, Brazil and ^eLaboratory of Biogeography and Ecosystem Services, Department of Biosciences, Paraíba Federal University, Areia, 58397-000, Brazil

Abstract

The cause of megafauna extinction in South America remains clouded in controversy, since it took place at a time of intense climate change and almost at the same time as the initial human influx into the continent. In this paper, we aimed to assess the effects of climate change on open vegetation habitats and, consequently, on megafauna extinction in South America by using a species distribution model, fossil records, and paleoclimatic projections. In addition, we evaluated the effects of climatic variables on the distribution of suitable habitats across South America. Our results demonstrated alternating intervals of expansion and contraction of suitable areas for megafauna persistence, mainly in response to lower and higher precipitation, in the last 21 ka in all regions of South America. However, the amplitude of this oscillation was more significant in the Brazilian Northeast. In the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions, greater precipitation stability resulted in greater stability in habitat suitability; therefore, for these regions, other factors must have predominated for the extinction of the megafauna. We therefore concluded that in the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions, climate change was not solely responsible for the disappearance of megafauna, but in the Brazilian Northeast, it may have been decisive.

Keywords: Paleoecology, MaxEnt, open vegetation, habitat modeling, Quaternary, environmental changes

Introduction

During the Pleistocene epoch, a set of large mammals with a body mass of over 44 kg, the megafauna, populated a large portion of our planet. Most of these animals became extinct in the late Pleistocene and Early Holocene, with some exceptions in Africa and Asia, where a diversity of large mammals still persists (Koch and Barnosky, 2006; Stuart, 2015; Svenning et al., 2024). South America showed the highest rate of extinction, where about 83% (approximately 50 genera) of large animals became extinct, as compared with only 25% in Africa (Lima-Ribeiro and Diniz-Filho, 2013; Faith, 2014). This extinction event primarily affected large mammals, but also significantly impacted other large vertebrates including crocodiles, giant lizards, and giant flightless birds in regions like Australasia (Allentoft et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2016; Saltré et al., 2016), Madagascar (Goodman and Jungers, 2014), and Southeast Asia (Meijer et al., 2019). This distinguishes it from mass extinctions, which typically have broader effects across a wide range of species, including plants.

Several megafauna extinction triggers have been pointed out (Prates and Perez, 2021), such as the role of climate change

Cite this article: Machado CCC, de Lucena VA, Canassa NF, de Oliveira DH, de Araujo HFP (2024). Effects of paleoclimatic variables on suitable open habitats for Pleistocene–Holocene megafauna in South America. *Quaternary Research* 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2024.33

(Grayson, 1984), human hunting (Martin, 1967; Burney and Flannery, 2005), hyperdisease (MacPhee, 1997; Rothschild and Laub, 2006), and extraterrestrial impact (Firestone et al., 2007). On the South American continent, the issue of megafauna extinction has generated many controversies, because this loss was synchronous with intense climate change and occurred almost at the same time as the initial human influx over the continent. However, specialists in the research field suggest abandoning debates involving a climate versus human hunting dichotomy as evidence pointing to climate-human mixed factors, varying across different regions, emerges (Barnosky and Lindsey, 2010; Lima-Ribeiro et al., 2013; Metcalf et al., 2016; Villavicencio, 2016; Araújo et al., 2021; Prates and Perez, 2021). Thus, simultaneously with climate-induced changes in vegetation, impacts from other causes, such as human hunting, are recognized to have enhanced the risk of extinction under the synergistic effect of multiple causes (Cione et al., 2003, 2009; Grayson, 2007).

Most of the South American megafauna were associated with open environments that were influenced by Quaternary climate change (Bond et al., 1995; Tonni and Scillato Yané, 1997; Cione et al., 2009). Despite their short duration, interglacial periods, characterized by increased temperatures and humidity, led to a shrinking of open vegetation areas and a reduction in biomass of the mammals adapted to this environment (Cione et al., 2009). On the other hand, during longer glacial periods, open-habitat-adapted mammal populations recovered. This alternation between open and closed vegetation areas versus high and

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Quaternary Research Center



Corresponding author: Célia Cristina Clemente Machado; Email: celiaccmachado1980@servidor.uepb.edu.br

low mammalian biomass is called the Broken Zig-Zag hypothesis. According to the hypothesis, periodic severe climatic changes during the Pleistocene caused dramatic modifications in the distribution and biomass of the biota in South America, and the expression zig-zag is used to stress the periodicity of the phenomenon (Cione et al., 2003). Based on this hypothesis, climate change during the late Pleistocene and Early Holocene is suggested to be the primary driver of changes in vegetation that affected food availability, reduced suitable habitats for megafauna, and caused a collapse in the geographic distribution of species, contributing to a reduction in their populations and an increased risk of extinction (Lopes et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2021).

Following the conceptual model of habitat restriction, in this study, we aimed to assess climate change effects on open vegetation habitat and, consequently, on megafauna extinction in South America by using a species distribution model (SDM), fossil records, and paleoclimatic projections (Nogués-Bravo, 2009; Varela et al., 2011), using taxa identified in the literature as being restricted to open habitats. In contrast to many studies that focus SDMs on one species or a group of related species, here, we intended to model the suitable habitat variation to which open-area megafauna were adapted. In addition, we evaluated the effects of climatic variables (temperature and precipitation) on the distribution of suitable habitats across regions to test whether these effects were homogeneous throughout South America. The hypothesis raised here is that if climate change increased the risk of extinction, it would have caused an important habitat restriction, specifically, considerable loss of open habitats. On the other hand, if climatic changes were not the only predictor, then the climatic conditions suitable for these taxa should not have totally disappeared and should have persisted throughout the Holocene.

Methods

We used an SDM to determine the potential distribution of suitable habitat for extinct South American megafauna taxa, guided by paleoecological studies indicating their restriction to open vegetation areas. These open areas were systems generally constrained by water availability, with a herbaceous layer dominated by grass species, and may have been accompanied by a variable component of woody vegetation (Bilenca and Miñarro, 2004). We used this approach because species restricted to these open areas would exhibit strong responses to Pleistocene climatic oscillations (Peçanha et al., 2017).

SDMs work as a combination of environmental variables and occurrence records of a species or taxon group to identify the environmental conditions where populations may be maintained (Dalapicolla, 2016). The general objective of SDMs is to obtain an environmental suitability map that defines which locations are more or less suitable for the survival of species, focusing on their ecological requirements, which is called a "modeled geographic distribution" or a "predictive map" (Elith and Leathwick, 2009). SDMs are very effective in showing potential habitat suitability for species survival across the landscape; therefore, they have become a popular tool to determine the potential geographic distribution of now-extinct taxa (Nogués-Bravo, 2009). By using a multispecies model to assess open vegetation habitat variation throughout the late Pleistocene and Holocene, we intended to minimize dispersal and inter- and intraspecific interactions that tend to generate over- or underestimated distribution models (Araújo et al., 2021). Multispecies models consider the ecological relationships, interactions, and shared

environmental preferences among multiple species. We used this approach because it describes shared environmental responses (Ovaskainen and Soininen, 2011; Pollock et al., 2014), which is important information in the face of the climatic variation felt throughout the Pleistocene and to understand its role in extinctions.

The predictive maps were generated using the Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) algorithm, v.3.3.3k (Phillips et al., 2006). MaxEnt models express a probability distribution where each grid cell has a predicted suitability of conditions for the species or group of species, obtained from a set of environmental variables and georeferenced occurrence locations (Elith et al., 2011). MaxEnt uses only occurrence data and background points of the species because absence data are often rare and unreliable, especially when working with extinct species (Phillips et al., 2006; Araújo et al., 2021). Grayson (1981) argued that the paleozoological record yields asymmetrical data concerning the presence/absence of taxa. He argued that data asymmetry arises because occurrence data only offer evidence regarding the presence of taxa in the area where their remains are recovered. However, he highlights that the absence of remains does not necessarily indicate the absence of a species. Information on the absence of taxa is often lacking for several reasons, such as the possibility that the remains of a taxon were not preserved, they were not collected due to inadequate sampling strategies, or their failure to be recovered was due to inappropriate collection techniques. Because of these and other shortcomings, Grayson (1981) recommended that analyses that depend only upon the taxa recorded as present within a fauna are to be preferred. Since then, other authors have provided additional arguments and examples (Lyman, 1995; Ervynck, 1999; Etnier, 2002). Additionally, Lyman (2008) estimated the magnitude of data asymmetry and emphasized the importance of using larger samples, as they offer a more accurate database with less asymmetry.

The highest value of the area under the curve (AUC) was used to assess the model performance. The receiver operator characteristic (ROC) statistic was used to assess model accuracy, with 10 repetitions of 10,000 maximum interactions, 10% of the mean replications were randomized as test data, and the remainder were randomized to model training in each replication. The "maximum sensitivity plus specificity threshold" was used as the cutoff value or tolerance threshold and identified the suitable areas (SAs). This is a common approach when using MaxEnt, since it maximizes the cases where the model erroneously assigns unsuitable habitat (true negative) and misses suitable habitat (false positive) (Ferraz et al., 2012; Jorge et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Vale et al., 2014). SDMs were performed in RStudio software (version 1.1.453) using the "dismo" package (Hijmans et al., 2021).

The taxa were selected considering literature references focusing on grazing behavior, diet of C_4 plants (grass), and occurrence in open areas, based on dental morphology and studies involving carbon isotopes. Our database consisted of four taxa: *Equus neogeus* (grazer with a diet consisting exclusively of C_4 grasses) (Dantas et al., 2017; Pansani et al., 2019), *Glyptodon clavipes* (grazer adapted to open environments) (Pérez et al., 2000; Zurita and Miño-Boilini, 2012), *Panochthus* spp. (grazer, mesoherbivore specialist, with a diet consisting of 96% C_4 plants and skull morphological features adapted to open environments) (Zurita et al., 2005; Xavier et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2019; Cuadrelli et al., 2023), and *Pampatherium humboldtii* (grazer, with skeletal, dental, and masticatory musculature adaptations to herbivory) (De Luliis et al., 2001).

We obtained georeferenced information for fossil occurrences from bibliographic surveys of fossil findings in South America, from Prof. Dr. Mario Dantas's (Federal University of Bahia) personal data (Dantas et al., 2024), and from the Paleobiology Database (https://paleobiodb.org; accessed February 7, 2024) (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). We were able to collect a total of 292 georeferenced records (Fig. 1). The data represent the occurrences of fossils from the selected taxa that existed during



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of the selected megafauna taxa representative of last glacial maximum (ca. 21 ka) open vegetation areas in South America. Abbreviations: FG, French Guiana; SU, Suriname; GU, Guyana; VE, Venezuela; CO, Colombia; EC, Ecuador; PE, Peru; BR, Brazil; BO, Bolivia; PY, Paraguay; CL, Chile; UY, Uruguay; AR, Argentina. The human used for scale has a height of approximately 1.8 m. Map background made with Natural Earth. Free vector and raster map data @ naturalearthdata.com.

the last glacial maximum (LGM). Most of the compilated data correspond to stratigraphically dated specimens, while a few correspond to specimens with absolute dating. Therefore, the dating of the majority of occurrences span broad time intervals, but we selected all those demonstrating an occurrence during the LGM and assumed they were representative of that time, which is a method that has been applied to many other previously conducted modeling studies (Varela and Fariña, 2016; Villavicencio et al., 2019; Araújo et al., 2021). Furthermore, when examining studies with absolute dating conducted in South America, out of 62 dates across more than 40 publications (data compiled by Dantas et al., 2024), we observed that the majority, about 71%, are over 17.0 ka (Heinrich stadial), indicating a greater presence of these animals during the LGM and earlier times.

We combined the selected occurrence records with bioclimatic data to project the potential geographic distribution of the selected taxa during the LGM; we then used the obtained model to predict their potential distribution during the Heinrich stadial (HS: 17.0–14.7 ka), Bølling-Allerød (BA: 14.7–12.9 ka), Younger Dryas stadial (YDS: 12.9–11.7 ka), Early Holocene, Greenlandian (EH: 11.7–8.3 ka), Mid Holocene, Northgrippian (MH: 8.3–4.2 ka), and Late Holocene, Meghalayan (LH: 4.2–0.3 ka) (Fordham et al., 2017).

The bioclimatic variables were obtained from www.paleoclim. org, for the periods mentioned above, which provided 19 variables from several general circulation models (Brown et al., 2018). The bioclimatic variables were downloaded at a spatial resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes. To reduce the use of highly correlated variables, we ran Pearson's correlation within the "vegan package" (Oksanen et al., 2022) for RStudio. Highly correlated variables (r > 0.8) were eliminated to avoid redundant climate variables (Nascimento et al., 2021). The variables used were annual mean temperature (BIO1), mean diurnal range (BIO2), isothermality (BIO3), annual temperature range (BIO7), annual precipitation (BIO12), precipitation seasonality (BIO15), precipitation of driest quarter (BIO17), precipitation of warmest quarter (BIO18), and precipitation of coldest quarter (BIO19).

To test whether the effects of temperature and precipitation on the SA distributions were homogeneous throughout South America, we adopted two strategies. First, we grouped regions based on the disjunct distribution of SAs and the geographic distinction between them. Second, we used the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to find possible associations between climatic variables and SA distributions. A predictor in the GLMM contains random effects typically represented by the grouping variable to allow for the estimation of variance in the response variable within and among these groups (Harrison et al., 2018). As temperature and precipitation are not independent of location in South America, the regions identified in the first step were used for random effects in our analysis. We used the temperature and precipitation averages from each region and period as fixed predictors and the SA distribution from respective periods as the response variable. The GLMM was performed in RStudio using the "lme4" package (Bates et al., 2015). To check the correct distribution, dispersion, and outliers from our model, we used the "DHARMa" package (Florian, 2022).

Results

We obtained an AUC value of >0.905, a very good model performance, which suggested that the obtained model had a good measure of separability and was influenced by environmental **Table 1.** Relative contributions (%) of the environmental variables to the MaxEnt model for suitable areas of megafauna taxa restricted to open vegetation in South America

Bioclimatic variables	%
Annual precipitation	62.9
Annual mean temperature	14.6
Temperature annual range	7.4
Isothermality	4.7
Precipitation seasonality	2.6
Precipitation of warmest quarter	2.3
Precipitation of driest quarter	1.9
Precipitation of coldest quarter	1.9
Mean diurnal range	1.6

variables. Annual precipitation was the variable that most influenced the model (62.9%), followed by the annual mean temperature (14.6%) (Table 1). The highest values of the potential geographic distribution of the selected taxa correspond to ca. 400–500 mm of annual precipitation and between 5 and 15°C of annual mean temperature (Fig. 2).

During the LGM, the potential geographic distribution for the selected taxa predicted that open habitats were concentrated mainly in the Andean region, Brazilian Northeast, and the Chaco–Pampas region (Figs. 3 and 4). During all the other periods, the SAs remained in the same regions where they were in the LGM, with some smooth displacements and visible contraction in the Chaco–Pampas region. Significant SA shrinkage in the Brazilian Northeast was observed, with evidence of almost complete disappearance during the HS period (Fig. 4, Table 2).

Throughout the modeled periods, alternating moments of expansion and contraction of SAs for the megafauna were noticeable (Table 2). The HS and YDS were characterized by a severe decrease in SAs in the Brazilian Northeast, while in the Andean and the Chaco–Pampas regions, there was an increase in suitable habitat. From the EH onwards, SAs decreased progressively in all regions. Regarding the more recent modeled period (LH), all regions suffered climatic changes that reduced suitable habitat for megafauna: relatively small habitat shrinkage in the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions (-14.5% and -11.41%, respectively) and significant habitat contraction in the Brazilian Northeast (-75.5%). Therefore, it is clear that climate condition fluctuations were different across different regions of South America, resulting in distinct changes in SAs for open-area megafauna during the late Pleistocene and Holocene (Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2).

Precipitation was negatively correlated with the distribution of SAs for open-area megafauna (Table 3, Fig. 5). This association was not observed for temperature and the interaction between precipitation and temperature (Table 3). The effect of precipitation was responsible for drastically reducing the SA in the Brazilian Northeast, where the previous SA distribution was already lower, and the precipitation variation was broader than that of the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that South American climate changes, especially precipitation variations, during the late Pleistocene



Figure 2. The curves show the association between two environmental variables and the presence probability of suitable areas for four megafauna taxa restricted to open vegetation in South America, during the last glacial maximum (ca. 21 ka).

and Holocene, led to alternating periods of decrease and increase in megafauna-suitable habitat, supporting the Broken Zig-Zag hypothesis (Cione et al., 2003). However, the amplitude of these fluctuations varied among different regions: in the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions, the oscillation was more constrained, while in the Brazilian Northeast, it was broader. The Brazilian Northeast, with an already smaller SA, suffered more intense oscillations in precipitation over the past 21 ka, strongly impacting the extent of adequate habitat for the analyzed megafauna taxa. Yet, despite fluctuations, climatic conditions suitable for open vegetation areas persisted into the Holocene. This suggests that climate change was not the sole predictor of megafauna extinction in South America, but it may have been a more important determinant in certain regions, specifically in the Brazilian Northeast.

An important outcome of our study is that it reveals that we cannot consider the extinction of megafauna in South America to be a uniform or homogeneous event across the continent. Climatic fluctuations throughout the late Pleistocene and Holocene differed in various regions of the continent, leading to distinct variations in SA, which suggests that the extinction of South American megafauna occurred differently in different regions, and its causes may have been diverse as well.

Precipitation, not temperature, had the greatest influence on the SAs for the occurrence of megafauna in open vegetation areas. The influence of precipitation was expected since phenological cycles in fields, pastures, and other open areas are strongly seasonal and governed by rainfall. As it is responsible for water availability in the system, precipitation is generally considered to be the main factor that controls the structure and dynamics of the ecosystem and drives the biological processes (Notaro et al, 2010; Chen et al., 2020). In addition, in the tropics, changes in precipitation are often more significant and rapid than changes in temperature (Bonebrake and Mastrandrea, 2010). This is due to large-scale atmospheric processes, such as the El Niño-Southern Oscillation and the North Tropical Atlantic mode, which can cause dramatic changes in precipitation patterns in South America (Marengo et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2023).

The more dramatic oscillation in tropical precipitation may help us to better understand the drastic fluctuations in SAs in the Brazilian Northeast during Pleistocene–Holocene times. The

HS period, in which the climatically favorable areas for open habitats almost disappeared, corresponds to the period in which precipitation almost doubled (from 780 to 1450 mm). In the following period, the BA, a combination of lower precipitation and higher temperatures supported the recovery of open areas and, therefore, of SAs for large mammals specifically adapted to this type of environment. In the YDS, SAs shrunk again due to increased precipitation (greater than 1100 mm). Both the HS and YDS periods were characterized by a Northern Hemisphere decrease in temperature, possibly due to inputs of fresh and cold water into the North Atlantic, changing the density and patterns of the thermohaline circulation (Heinrich, 1988). As a result, atmospheric circulation patterns may also have been affected, as colder waters in the North Atlantic would have created a high-pressure center in the region, forcing the intertropical convergence zone to descend to latitudes that cover the Brazilian Northeast, translating to increased humidity and rainfall.

The influence of North Atlantic sea surface temperatures on precipitation levels in the Brazilian Northeast, which persists to the present day (Mao et al., 2022), may explain the significant increase in precipitation in the region during the HS and YDS and the resulting drastic reduction of SAs. Thus, the drastic reduction of SAs in the Brazilian Northeast leads us to think that in this particular region, climate change could indeed have deeply influenced the disappearance of the open-habitat megamammals over 44 kg. However, it cannot be the only driver, as there is fossil evidence in the region indicating that open-area megafauna survived the HS and YDS, including Toxodon platensis dated to 11,400–11,750 cal yr BP (10,050 \pm 30 ¹⁴C yr BP) (Dantas et al., 2013). Additionally, Faria et al. (2023) inferred very young ages for several megafaunal species (ranging from 3493 to 8189 cal yr BP) recovered from Itapipoca and Miranda (Ceará and Mato Grosso do Sul states, Brazilian Northeast).

Although there is no information about the dating of the fossil taxa used in the present research from the Brazilian Northeast, the dating of other megamammal fossils, such as *Catonyx cuvieri*, *Eremotherium laurillardi*, *Nothrotherium maquinense*, *Notiomastodon platensis*, *T. platensis*, and *Xenorhinotherium bahiense* (data compiled by Dantas et al., 2024), can support the chronology appointed by SA reductions shown here. Of all 36 recorded dates for these species in the Brazilian Northeast, 70% were dated to before the HS, and the remaining 30% were



Figure 3. Potential geographic distribution of the selected taxa during the last glacial maximum (LGM) (ca. 21 ka), Heinrich stadial (HS) (17.0–14.7 ka), Bølling-Allerød (BA) (14.7–12.9 ka), Younger Dryas stadial (YDS) (12.9–11.7 ka), Early Holocene, Greenlandian (EH) (11.7–8.3 ka), Mid Holocene, Northgrippian (MH) (8.3-4.2 ka), and Late Holocene, Meghalayan (LH) (4.2–0.3 ka). The area under the curve (AUC) indicates model performance.

dated to between the EH and BA. These results suggest the need for more studies and fossil dating in order to shed more light on the reasons that led to the extinction of megamammals in the Brazilian Northeast.

In the Chaco-Pampas region, despite the reduction of SAs for open-area megafauna, partially due to the evident

continental platform reduction, over 2 million $\rm km^2$ of SAs were still modeled for the EH, a reduction of only 11% when compared with the LGM. Additionally, a significant area of suitable habitat was also modeled for the EH in the Andean region. Therefore, our results suggest that climatic changes, which generated dynamic environmental variations



Figure 4. Suitable areas for open vegetation during the last glacial maximum (LGM) (ca. 21 ka), Heinrich stadial (HS) (17.0–14.7 ka), Bølling-Allerød (BA) (14.7– 12.9 ka), Younger Dryas stadial (YDS) (12.9–11.7 ka), Early Holocene (EH) (Greenlandian, 11.7–8.3 ka), Mid Holocene (MH) (Northgrippian, 8.3–4.2 ka), and Late Holocene (LH) (Meghalayan, 4.2–0.3 ka), considering all values superior to 0.3863 of probability (using maximum test sensitivity plus specificity threshold). The predicted map is divided into three major regions: Brazilian Northeast, Chaco–Pampas, and Andean.

between open and closed areas between the late Pleistocene and Holocene, were not able to explain the megafauna extinction in the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions. Distribution models based on single species (*E. neogeus*, *N. platensis*, and *Hippidion* spp.) also suggest a reduction in SAs during this time period (Villavicencio et al., 2019; Araújo et al., 2021)

Table 2. Suitable areas (SA) calculated according to maximum test sensitivity plus specificity threshold during the last glacial maximum (LGM), Heinrich stadial (HS), Bølling-Allerød (BA), Younger Dryas stadial (YDS), Early Holocene (EH), Mid Holocene (MH), and Late Holocene (LH) and percentage of area change (contraction/ expansion) for the predicted periods compared to the LGM

	Brazilian Northeast		Brazilian Northeast Chaco-Pampas		Andean		Total (South America)	
Time	SA (km²)	Change (%)	SA (km²)	Change (%)	SA (km²)	Change (%)	SA (km²)	Change (%)
LGM	901,594		2,586,428		1,147,648		4,635,671	
HS	19,919	-97.8	2,462,566	-4.79	1,331,664	16.0	3,814,149	-17.7
BA	535,389	-40.6	2,266,393	-12.37	1,094,444	-4.6	3,896,227	-16.0
YDS	276,069	-69.4	2,606,729	0.78	1,215,986	5.9	4,098,784	-11.6
EH	311,329	-65.5	2,501,362	-3.29	1,101,574	-4.0	3,914,265	-15.6
МН	249,436	-72.3	2,412,015	-6.74	1,001,976	-12.7	3,663,426	-21.0
LH	221,103	-75.5	2,291,184	-11.41	981,449	-14.5	3,493,736	-24.6

Table 3. Effects of precipitation and temperature and the interaction between them on the distribution of suitable areas for open-area megafauna in South America. The results are from the generalized linear mixed model in which the linear predictor contains as random effects the three different regions: the Andean, Brazilian Northeast, and Chaco–Pampas

	Intercept	Std. error	z value	P value
Intercept	8.684	0.6224	13.951	2×10^{-16} a
Precipitation	-0.00204	0.0006616	-3.083	0.002 ^b
Temperature	-0.01803	0.02346	-0.768	0.44237
Precipitation: Temperature	0.00001645	0.00003345	0.492	0.62291

Significance: a 0.001; b 0.01.



Figure 5. Effects of precipitation on the distribution of suitable areas for open-area megafauna in the three different regions of South America (Andean, Brazilian Northeast, and Chaco-Pampas) during the last glacial maximum (LGM) (ca. 21 ka), Heinrich stadial (HS) (17.0–14.7 ka), Bølling-Allerød (BA) (14.7–12.9 ka), Younger Dryas stadial (YDS) (12.9–11.7 ka), Early Holocene, Greenlandian (EH) (11.7–8.3 ka), Mid Holocene, Northgrippian (MH) (8.3–4.2 ka), and Late Holocene, Meghalayan (LH) (4.2–0.3 ka).

but not their total disappearance. Although a reduction of about 61% of potential area of distribution has been suggested for *E. neogeus*, for instance, 1,043,449 km² of modeled suitable area remained during the Holocene (Villavicencio et al., 2019).

Researchers widely agree that, in conjunction with climate change, the detrimental effects of factors such as human hunting exacerbate the risk of extinction through the combined effect of multiple causes (Cione et al., 2003, 2009; Grayson, 2007), and this could explain the megafauna extinction in the Andean and Chaco-Pampas regions (Barnosky and Lindsey, 2010; Prates and Perez, 2021). For instance, human predatory behavior has been suggested as a main factor driving the megafaunal decline in South America through the demonstration of a strong spatial and temporal relationship between fishtail projectile point technology, which is related to large mammal hunting, and the density and distribution of large mammal species, as well as with the distribution and fluctuation in human demography during this critical period (Prates and Perez, 2021). An alternative theory investigated in North America, as suggested by Faith (2011), proposed that late-glacial climate change, particularly increases in atmospheric CO₂, shifted herbivore ecosystem dynamics from a nutrient-accelerating mode to a nutrient-decelerating mode at the end of the Pleistocene, leading to reduced forage production, reduced rates of nutrient cycling through the food web, and ultimately reduced megafaunal population densities.

Our paper contributes to the discussion on the extinction of megafauna in South America by showing that climate change, particularly precipitation fluctuations, had an impact on the extent of SAs for large mammals restricted to open areas during the late Pleistocene and Holocene. Therefore, as precipitation fluctuations were more intense in the Brazilian Northeast, which had a smaller suitable habitat to begin with, the climate change factor was more significant in this region. In contrast, the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions experienced less variability in precipitation and, thus, had a larger SA that persisted until the Holocene, suggesting that climate change was a less critical factor in explaining the extinction of megafauna in these regions.

Conclusions

The considerable amount of area that remains viable in the LH for open habitats and for the megafauna adapted to it in the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions leads us to believe that habitat reduction induced by climatic changes was not the main factor that contributed to the extinction of these animals. On the other hand, in the Brazilian Northeast, where the SA was already smaller, climatic changes, more specifically precipitation patterns shifts, were more drastic and may have been decisive for the disappearance of these species.

Alternating intervals of expansion and contraction of SAs for megafauna persistence, mainly in response to lower and higher precipitation, have been observed over the last 21 ka in all regions of South America. However, the amplitude of this zig-zag oscillation was more significant in the Brazilian Northeast. In the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions, greater precipitation stability resulted in greater stability in habitat suitability; therefore, for these regions, other factors must have been predominant for megafauna extinction. We conclude that in the Andean and Chaco–Pampas regions, climate change was not solely responsible for the disappearance of megafauna, but in the Brazilian Northeast, it may have been decisive.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2024.33.

Acknowledgments. A special thanks to Prof. Dr. Mario Dantas, from the Federal University of Bahia (UFBA), for the provided georeferenced information of fossil occurrences. We are grateful to the anonymous reviewers and editors for their valuable suggestions during the drafting of this manuscript.

References

- Allentoft, M.E., Heller, R., Oskam, C.L., Lorenzen, E.D., Hale, M.L., Gilbert, M.T.P., Jacomb, C., Holdaway, R.N., Bunce, M., 2014. Extinct New Zealand megafauna were not in decline before human colonization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, 4922–4927.
- Araújo, T., Machado, H., Mothé, D., Avilla, L.S., 2021. Species distribution modeling reveals the ecological niche extinct megafauna from South America. Quaternary Research 104, 151–158.
- Barnosky, A.D., Lindsey, E.L., 2010. Timing of Quaternary megafaunal extinction in South America in relation to human arrival and climate change. *Quaternary International* 217, 10–29.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67, 1–48.
- Bilenca, D.N., Miñarro, F.O., 2004. Identificación de Áreas Valiosas de Pastizal en las Pampas y Campos de Argentina, Uruguay y sur de Brasil. Fundación Vida Silvestre Argentina, Buenos Aires.
- Bond, M., Cerdeño, E., López, G.M., 1995. Los ungulados nativos de América del Sur. In: Alberdi, M.T., Leone, G., Tonni, E.P. (Eds.), Evolución Biológica y Climática de la Región Pampeana Durante los Últimos Cinco Millones de Años. CSIC Monografías 12, pp. 257–277.
- Bonebrake, T.C., Mastrandrea, M.D., 2010. Tolerance adaptation and precipitation changes complicate latitudinal patterns of climate change impacts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 12581–12586.

- Burney, D.A., Flannery, T.F., 2005. Fifty millennia of catastrophic extinctions after human contact. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 20, 395–401.
- Chen, Z., Wang, W., Fu, J., 2020. Vegetation response to precipitation anomalies under different climatic and biogeographical conditions in China. Scientific Reports 10, 830. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57910-1
- Cione, A.L., Tonni, E.P., Soibelzon L., 2003. Broken Zig-Zag: Late Cenozoic large mammal and tortoise extinction in South America. *Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia"* 5, 1–19.
- Cione, A.L., Tonni, E.P., Soibelzon, L., 2009. Did humans cause the Late Pleistocene-Early Holocene mammalian extinctions in South America in a context of shrinking open areas? In: Haynes, G. (Ed.), American Megafaunal Extinctions at the End of the Pleistocene. Springer Science, Dordrecht, pp. 125–144.
- Cuadrelli, F., Zamorano, M., Barasoain, D., Anaya, F., Zurita, A.E., 2023. A peculiar specimen of *Panochthus* (Xenarthra, Glyptodontidae) from the Eastern Cordillera, Bolivia. *Andean Geology* 50, 57–74.
- Dalapicolla, J., 2016. Tutorial de Modelos de Distribuição de Espécies: Guia Prático Usando o MaxEnt e o ArcGIS 10. Laboratório de Mastozoologia e Biogeografia, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória.
- Dantas, M.A.T., Cherkinsky, A., Bocherens, H., Drefahl, M., Bernardes, C., França, L.M., 2017. Isotopic paleoecology of the Pleistocene megamammals from the Brazilian Intertropical Region: feeding ecology (δ^{13} C), niche breadth and overlap. *Quaternary Scientific Reviews* **170**, 152–163.
- Dantas, M.A.T., Dutra, R.P., Cherkinsky, A., Fortier, D.C., Kamino, L.H.Y., Cozzuol, M.A., Ribeiro, A.S., Vieira, F.S., 2013. Paleoecology and radiocarbon dating of the Pleistocene megafauna of the Brazilian Intertropical Region. *Quaternary Research* 79, 61–65.
- Dantas, M.A.T., Pansani, T.R., Asevedo, L., Araújo, T., França, L.M., Aragão, W.S., Santos, F.S., Cravo, E., Waldherr, F.R., Ximenes, C.L., 2024. Potential historically intertropical stable areas during the Late Quaternary of South America. *Journal of Quaternary Science* (in press). https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3624
- De Luliis, G., Bargo, M.S., Vizcaíno, S.F., 2001. Variation in skull morphology and mastication in the fossil giant armadillos *Pampatherium* spp. and allied genera (Mammalia: Xenarthra: Pampatheriidae), with comments on their systematics and distribution. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **20**, 743–754.
- Ding, R., Nnamchi, H.C., Yu, J., Li, T., Sun, C., Li, J., Tseng, Y., et al., 2023. North Atlantic oscillation controls multidecadal changes in the North Tropical Atlantic-Pacific connection. *Nature Communications* 14, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36564-3
- Elith, J., Leathwick, J.R., 2009. Species Distribution Models: ecological explanation and prediction across space and time. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 40, 677–697.
- Elith, J., Philips, S.J., Hastie, T., Dudik, M., Chee, Y.E. Yates, C.J., 2011. A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. *Biodiversity Research* 17, 43–57.
- Ervynck, A., 1999. Possibilities and limitations of the use of archaeozoological data in biogeographical analysis: a review with examples from the Benelux region. *Belgian Journal of Zoology* 129, 125–138.
- Etnier, M.A., 2002. The Effects of Human Hunting on Northern Fur Seal (*Callorhinus ursinus*) Migration and Breeding Distributions in the Late Holocene. PhD thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, USA.
- Faith, J.T., 2011. Late Pleistocene climate change, nutrient cycling, and the megafaunal extinctions in North America. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 1675–1680.
- Faith, J.T., 2014. Late Pleistocene and Holocene mammal extinctions on continental Africa. *Earth-Science Reviews* 128, 105–121.
- Faria, F.H.C., Carvalho, I.S., Júnior, H.I.A., Ximenes, C.L., Facincani, E.M., 2023. Holocene megafauna in Brazil: new records in Itapipoca (Ceará) and Miranda (Mato Grosso do Sul). In: Machado, A.F., Machado, E.F., Pohlmann Bulsing, K. (Eds.), XII Simpósio Brasileiro de Paleontologia de Vertebrados, Santa Maria e Quarta Colônia, RS: Boletim de Resumos. Sociedade Brasileira de Paleontologia, Santa Maria, RS, p. 47.

- Ferraz, K.M.P.M.B., de Siqueira, M.F., Alexandrino, E., da Luz, D.T.A., do Couto, H.T.Z., 2012. Environmental suitability of a highly fragmented and heterogeneous landscape for forest bird species in south-eastern Brazil. *Environmental Conservation* **39**, 316–324.
- Firestone, R.B., West, A., Kennett, J.P., Becker, L., Bunch, T.E., Revay, Z.S., Schultz, P.H., et al., 2007. Evidence for an extraterrestrial impact 12,900 years ago that contributed to the megafaunal extinctions and the Younger Dryas cooling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104, 16016–16021.
- Florian H., 2022. DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed) Regression Models. R package version 0.4.6. http://florianhartig.github.io/DHARMa/
- Fordham, D.A., Saltré, F., Haythorne, S., Wigley, T.M.L., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Chan, K.C., Brook, B.W., 2017. PaleoView: a tool for generating continuous climate projections spanning in the last 21 000 years at regional and global scales. *Ecography* **40**, 1348–1358.
- Goodman, S.M., Jungers, W.L., 2014. Extinct Madagascar: Picturing the Island's Past. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Grayson, D.K., 1981. A critical view of the use of archaeological vertebrates in paleoenvironmental reconstruction. *Journal of Ethnobiology* **1**, 28–38.
- Grayson, D.K., 2007. Deciphering North American Pleistocene extinctions. Journal of Anthropological Research 63, 185–213.
- Grayson, D.K., 1984. Nineteenth-century explanations of Pleistocene extinctions: a review and analysis. In: Martin, P.S., Klein, R.G. (Eds.), *Quaternary Extinctions: A Prehistoric Revolution*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, pp. 5-39.
- Harrison, X.A., Donaldson L., Correa-Cano M.E., Evans J., Fisher D.N., Goodwin C.E.D., Robinson B.S., Hodgson D.J., Inger R., 2018. A brief introduction to mixed effects modelling and multi-model inference in ecology. *PeerJ* 6, e4794. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4794
- Heinrich, H., 1988. Origin and consequences of cyclic ice rafting in the northeast Atlantic Ocean during the past 130,000 years. *Quaternary Research* 29, 142–152.
- Hijmans, R.J., Phillips S., Leathwick, J., Elith, J., 2021. dismo: Species Distribution Modeling. R package version 1.3-5. https://CRAN.R-project. org/package=dismo
- Jorge, M.L.S.P., Galetti, M., Ribeiro, M.C., Ferraz, K.M.P.M.B., 2013. Mammal defauntaion as surrogate of trophic cascades in hotspot. *Biological Conservation* 163, 49–57.
- Koch, P.L., Barnosky, A.D., 2006. Late Quaternary extinctions: state of the debate. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 37, 215–250.
- Lima-Ribeiro, M.S., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., 2013. Modelos Ecológicos e a Extinção da Megafauna: Clima e Homem na América do Sul. Editora Cubo, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brasil.
- Lima-Ribeiro, M.S., Nogués-Bravo, D., Terribile, L.C., Batra, P., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., 2013. Climate and humans set the place and time of Proboscidean extinction in late Quaternary of South America. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **392**, 546–556.
- Liu, C., White, M., Newell, G., 2013. Selecting thresholds for the prediction of species occurrence with presence-only data. *Journal of Biogeography* 40, 778–789.
- Lopes, R.P., Pereira, J.C., Kerber, L., Dillenburg, S., 2020. The extinction of the Pleistocene megafauna in the pampa of the southern Brazil. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 242, 106428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020. 106428
- Lyman, R.L., 1995. Determining when rare (zoo-)archaeological phenomena are truly absent. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 2, 369–424.
- Lyman, R.L., 2008. Estimating the magnitude of data asymmetry in palaeozoological biogeography. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 18, 85–94.
- MacPhee, R.D., 1997. The 40,000-year plague: humans, hyperdisease, and first-contact extinctions. In: Goodman, S.M., Patterson, B.D. (Eds.), *Natural Change and Human Impact in Madagascar*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC, pp. 169–217.
- Mao, Y., Zou, Y., Alves, L.M., Macau, E.E.N., Taschetto, A.S., Santoso, A., Kurths, J., 2022. Phase coherence between surrounding oceans enhances precipitation shortages in Northeast Brazil. *Geophysical Research Letters* 49, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097647

- Marengo, J.A., Alves, L.M., Alvala, R.C.S., Cunha, A.P., Brito, S., Maraes, O.L.L., 2018. Climatic characteristics of the 2010-2016 drought in the semiarid Northeast Brazil region. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 90, 1973–1985.
- Martin, P.S., 1967. Prehistoric overkill. In: Martin, P.S., Wright, H.E. (Eds.), Pleistocene Extinctions. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, pp. 75–120.
- Meijer, H.J.M., Louys, J., O'Connor, S., 2019. First record of avian extinctions from the Late Pleistocene and Holocene of Timor Leste. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 203, 170–184.
- Metcalf, J.L., Turney, C., Barnett, R., Martin, F., Bray, S.C., Vilstrup, J.T., Orlando, L., et al., 2016. Synergistic roles of climate warming and human occupation in Patagonian megafaunal extinctions during the Last Deglaciation. *Science Advances* 2, p.e1501682. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501682
- Miller, G., Magee, J., Smith, M., Spooner, N., Baynes, A., Lehman, S., Fogel, M., et al., 2016. Human predation contributed to the extinction of the Australian megafaunal bird *Genyornis newtoni* ~47 ka. Nature Communications 7, 10496. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10496
- Nascimento, N.F.F., Agne, C.E.Q., Batalha-Filho, H., Araujo, H.F.P., 2021. Population history of the Blue-backed Manakin (*Chiroxiphia pareola*) supports Plio-Pleistocene diversification in the Amazon and shows a recent connection with the Atlantic Forest. *Journal of Ornithology* 162, 549–563.
- Nogués-Bravo, D., 2009. Predicting the past distribution of species climatic niches. Global Ecology and Biogeography 18, 521–531.
- Notaro, M., Liu, Z., Gallimore, R.G., Williams, J.W., Gutzler, D.S., Collins, S., 2010. Complex seasonal cycle of ecohydrology in the Southwest United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* 115, 1–20. https://doi. org/10.1029/2010JG001382
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R., *et al.*, 2022. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.6-4. The Comprehensive R Archive Network. http://cran.r-project.org
- Ovaskainen, O., Soininen, J., 2011. Making more out of sparse data: hierarchical modeling of species communities. *Ecology* **92**, 289–295.
- Pansani, T.R., Muniz, F.P., Cherkinsky, A., Pacheco, M.L.A.F., Dantas, M.A.T., 2019. Isotopic paleoecology (δ^{13} C, δ^{18} O) of Late Quaternary megafauna from Mato Grosso do Sul and Bahia States, Brazil. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **221**, 105864. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.105864
- Peçanha, W.T., Althoff, S.L., Galiano, D., Quintela, F.M., Maestri, R., Gonçalves, G.L., Freitas, T.R.O., 2017. Pleistocene climatic oscillations in Neotropical open areas: refuge isolation in the rodent Oxymycterus nasutus endemic to grasslands. PLoS One 12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187329
- Pérez, L.M., Scillato-Yané, G.J., Vizcaíno, S.F., 2000. Estudio morfofuncional del aparato hioideo de *Glyptodon* cf. *G. clavipes* (Cingulata, Glyptodontidae). *Ameghiniana* 37, 293–299.
- Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling* 190, 231–259.
- Pollock, L.J., Tingley, R., Morris, W.K., Golding, N., O'Hara, R.B., Parris, K.M., Vesk, P.A., McCarthy, M.A., 2014. Understanding co-occurrence by modelling species simultaneously with a Joint Species Distribution Model (JSDM). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5, 397–406.
- Prates, L., Perez, I., 2021. Late Pleistocene South American megafaunal extinctions associated with rise of Fishtail points and human population. *Nature Communications* 12, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22506-4
- Rothschild, B.M., Laub, R., 2006. Hyperdisease in the late Pleistocene: validation of an early 20th century hypothesis. *Naturwissenschaften* **93**, 557–564.
- Saltré, F., Rodríguez-Rey, M., Brook, B.W., Johnson, C.N., Turney, C.S.M., Alroy, J., Cooper, A., et al., 2016. Climate change not to blame for late Quaternary megafauna extinctions in Australia. Nature Communications 7, 10511. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10511
- Silva, J.A., Leal, L.A., Cherkinsky, A., Dantas, M.A.T., 2019. Late Pleistocene meso-megamammals from Anagé, Bahia, Brazil: taxonomy and isotopic paleoecology (δ¹³C). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 96, 102362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2019.102362
- Stuart, A.J., 2015. Late Quaternary megafaunal extinctions on the continents: a short review. *Geological Journal* **50**, 338–363.
- Svenning, J.-C., Lemoine, R.T., Bergman, J., Buitenwerf, R., Le Roux, E., Lundgren, E., Mungi, N., Pedersen, R.Ø., 2024. The late-Quaternary

megafauna extinctions: patterns, causes, ecological consequences and implications for ecosystem management in the Anthropocene. *Cambridge Prisms: Extinction* **2**, e5, https://doi.org/10.1017/ext.2024.4.

- Tonni, E.P., Scillato Yané, G.J., 1997. Una nueva localidad con mamíferos pleistocenos en el norte de Argentina. Aspectos paleozoogeográficos. In: Associação Brasileira de Estudos do Quaternário (Org.), VI Congresso da Associação Brasileira de Estudos do Quaternário. ABEQUA, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil, pp. 345–348.
- Vale, C.G., Tarroso, P., Brito, J.C., 2014. Predicting species distribution at range margins: testing the effects of study area extent, resolution and threshold selection in the Shara-Sahel transition zone. *Diversity and Distributions* 20, 20–33.
- Varela, L., Fariña, R.A., 2016. Co-occurrence of mylodontid sloths and insights on their potential distributions during the late Pleistocene. *Quaternary Research* 85, 66–74.
- Varela, S., Lobo, J.M., Hortal, J., 2011. Using species distribution models in paleobiogeography: a matter of data, predictors and concepts. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **310**, 451–463.

- **Villavicencio, N.A.**, 2016. Late Quaternary megafaunal extinctions in southern Patagonia and their relation to environmental changes and human impacts. *PAGES Magazine* **24**, 58–59.
- Villavicencio, N.A., Corcoran, D., Marquet, P.A., 2019. Assessing the causes behind the Late Quaternary extinction of horses in South America using species distribution. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 7, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00226
- Xavier, M.C.T., Dantas, M.A.T., Silva-Santana, C.C., 2018. Megafauna Pleistocênica da microrregião de Senhor do Bonfim, Bahia. *Estudos Geológicos* 28, 19–31.
- Zurita, A.E., Miño-Boilini, A.R., 2012. The Pleistocene Glyptodontidae Gray, 1869 (Xenarthra: Cingulata) of Colombia and some considerations about the South American Glyptodontinae. *Revista Brasileira de Paleontologia* 15, 273–280.
- Zurita, A., Scillato-Yané, G.J., Carlini, A.A., 2005. Paleozoogeographic, biostratigraphic, and systematic aspects of the Genus *Sclerocalyptus* Ameghino, 1891 (Xenarthra, Glyptodontidae) of Argentina. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 20, 121–129.