Review

Insights from 20 years of mammal population research in Indonesia

Ardiantiono[†1], Irene M.R. Pinondang[*†1], Desy S. Chandradewi[2], Gono Semiadi[3], Freddy Pattiselanno[4], Jatna Supriatna[5], Johny S. Tasirin[6], Nurul L. Winarni[7], Maria Voigt[1], Joseph W. Bull[1], Tatyana Humle[1], Nicolas J. Deere[1] and Matthew J. Struebig[1]

Abstract Mammal populations are declining in biodiverse tropical regions. Global analyses have identified Indonesia as a hotspot of vertebrate decline, although relatively few data are available to substantiate these claims. We reviewed research articles published during 2000–2020 on 104 medium-sized to large terrestrial mammal species found in Indonesia to help inform conservation management and future research. We identified 308 peer-reviewed studies published in English or Bahasa Indonesia, with an increase in publication rate (articles published per year) over time. Studies of species distributions dominated the literature, followed by publications on abundance, species diversity and combinations of these topics. Most publications concerned single-species studies conducted at a single location and a single point in time. We identify four key issues that should be addressed by future research and conservation efforts: (1) disproportionate focus on a small number of species; (2) geographical bias towards west Indonesia (Sumatra, Kalimantan and Java–Bali), with few published studies from central (Sulawesi, Nusa Tenggara and Maluku) and east (Papua) Indonesia; (3) limitations to survey design, sampling effort and data analysis; and (4) lack of long-term wildlife population studies. We also note challenges local researchers face in publishing their studies in international journals because of language barriers and costs. Greater use of existing biodiversity data and continued capacity building for local researchers, particularly those in central and east Indonesia, are critical to effectively guide future wildlife monitoring and improve the conservation status of Indonesian mammals.

Keywords Biodiversity loss, capacity building, defaunation, Indonesia, population monitoring, Southeast Asia, species conservation, tropics

The supplementary material for this article is available at doi.org/10.1017/S0030605323001539

Introduction

Maintaining biodiversity and ecological functioning is vital to ecosystem health and integrity, but wildlife populations continue to decline around the world (Ripple et al., 2017). Human activity threatens c. one-quarter of all living species (Díaz et al., 2019), and 32% of monitored species have experienced a decline in population size or distribution since 1900 (Ceballos et al., 2017). The loss of animal species, also referred to as defaunation, is a global issue, but it is particularly acute in biodiverse tropical regions where terrestrial mammal distributions have contracted by > 40% since the early 1990s (Gallego-Zamorano et al., 2020) and populations have declined by 13% since 1980 (Benítez-López et al., 2019).

Defaunation disproportionately affects terrestrial mammals, especially large-bodied species including carnivores and herbivores, through the combined impacts of habitat loss and overexploitation (Ripple et al., 2016; Benítez-López et al., 2019; Bogoni et al., 2020). Many medium-sized to large mammals exhibit multiple characteristics that increase their vulnerability to environmental and anthropogenic stressors: they have relatively low reproductive rates and delayed sexual maturity, large home ranges, low population densities, limited geographical distributions and substantial overlap with human populations and activities (Cardillo et al., 2008).

The loss of mammals in terms of their distribution, abundance and diversity threatens the provision of regional and global ecosystem services, food security and human well-being (Dirzo et al., 2014; Young et al., 2016). For

[†]Contributed equally.
[*]Corresponding author, imrp2@kent.ac.uk
[1]Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology (DICE), School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK
[2]Ministry of Environment and Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia
[3]Research Centre for Applied Zoology, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Cibinong, West Java, Indonesia
[4]Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Papua, Manokwari, West Papua, Indonesia
[5]Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Indonesia, Depok, West Java, Indonesia
[6]Department of Forest Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sam Ratulangi, Manado, North Sulawesi, Indonesia
[7]Research Center for Climate Change, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, West Java, Indonesia

Received 22 February 2023. Revision requested 12 May 2023.
Accepted 25 September 2023.

example, mammal declines can lead to altered habitat structure, which in turn hampers the regeneration of forests (Gardner et al., 2019). They are also linked to the emergence of zoonotic diseases, disrupted food supplies and negative interactions between people and wildlife (Singleton et al., 2010; Newbold et al., 2014; Holland et al., 2018).

Global analyses highlight Indonesia and other Southeast Asian countries as defaunation hotspots, but this conclusion is founded on limited data (Ceballos et al., 2017; Allan et al., 2019; Beyer & Manica, 2020). Indonesia harbours 738 terrestrial mammal species, representing 12% of global mammal diversity (Maryanto et al., 2019). Many Indonesian mammals are threatened, with 79 species (11%) categorized as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, 144 (20%) listed in CITES Appendices and 105 (14%) formally protected within the country (Maryanto et al., 2019). The increasing stressors acting on mammal populations in Indonesia result from multiple factors. Forest conversion in Indonesia is the most extensive amongst the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN; Estoque et al., 2019), with 9.79 million ha (11%) of primary forests lost during 2001–2019 (Gaveau et al., 2022). The country has also become a source and hub of the global illegal wildlife trade, with government reports estimating the financial turnover from such trade to be USD 600 million per year (Trinirmalaningrum et al., 2016).

Despite increased scientific attention and global analyses concerning these issues, there is a relative dearth of empirical data on the level of biodiversity change or defaunation in Indonesia, implying that the global perception could be under- or overestimating the extent of the problem. To address this, we reviewed research articles on mammal biodiversity, distribution and abundance across time and/or location within Indonesia that were published in English or Bahasa Indonesia during 2000–2020. We sought to characterize the contents of publications over time, identify knowledge gaps and propose recommendations to the scientific community on how to improve conservation management and future research in the region.

Methods

Literature search

Defaunation is a relatively new concept, having only been formally introduced in 2014 (Dirzo et al., 2014). Therefore, few publications were likely to include this term in their titles, abstracts or keywords. To assess the use of this term in the literature, we conducted a preliminary search on the Google (2021) and Google Scholar (2021) platforms in March 2021, using the keywords 'defaunation' in English and 'defaunasi' in Bahasa Indonesia. We found that even in recent scientific publications the use of 'defaunation' in Indonesia was mostly limited to local agricultural and veterinary studies, and the term was absent from ecological studies and rarely used in popular news coverage. Thus, we collated published scientific articles that evaluated variations in mammal diversity, distributions and abundance, representing different population parameters, either at a single location or over larger spatial scales, and across a range of temporal periods.

We focused on single- or multi-species studies of medium (1–10 kg adult body mass) to large (> 10 kg adult body mass) terrestrial mammals, excluding volant mammals, small mammals (< 1 kg adult body mass), domestic and introduced animals as these taxa are typically of less conservation concern (see Supplementary Material 1 for a rationale). Using a national checklist (Maryanto et al., 2019) as the principal reference, we generated a list of 157 terrestrial mammal taxa, which included arboreal and ground-dwelling species and comprised 128 medium-sized and 29 large species (Supplementary Table 1).

During March–September 2021,we conducted a systematic search of peer-reviewed articles published during January 2000–December 2020. The search used three academic databases: Scopus (Elsevier, The Netherlands) and Web of Science (Clarivate, USA) for English publications, and Indonesia's Garuda database (Garuda, 2020), in which local publications in Bahasa are more prominent. We chose this 21-year study period to capture the growing number of Indonesian biodiversity publications (Amelia & Rahmaida, 2017) up until the Covid-19 pandemic, which negatively affected scientific activity. We considered studies that utilized both primary and secondary data (e.g. meta-analyses) and excluded literature reviews.

Searching in Scopus and Web of Science databases began with the following terms (in the article title, abstract and keywords) with Boolean operators: mammal* AND Indonesia* AND (biodiv* OR divers* OR distribution OR population* OR density OR abundan*) NOT marine. These arguments imply that the article must include both mammal and Indonesia keywords, along with a minimum of one of the following keywords: biodiversity, diversity, distribution(s), population(s), density and abundance, whilst excluding studies from the marine realm. To complement these searches and maximize the number of relevant articles found, we conducted additional searches using combinations of two keywords: the common English name of the species and region where the species' distribution is known (e.g. for rhinoceros: rhino* AND Sumatra, rhino* AND Java, rhino* AND Kalimantan). We applied this search method for all species in all island groups of Indonesia as appropriate to the taxon (i.e. Sumatra, Kalimantan, Java–Bali, Sulawesi, Nusa Tenggara (Lesser Sunda), Maluku (Mollucas) and Papua), which involved 202 searches.

In the Garuda database we started the exploration using the terms 'mamalia' (Bahasa) or 'mammal' (English) within the title as the database only recognizes AND Boolean

operators. We continued the search by using the common Indonesian or English name of the species (e.g. badak or rhinoceros).

Database compilation

Once compiled, the two lead authors (ARD and IMRP) read each publication and recorded the following characteristics: population parameter (species diversity, distribution, abundance or a combination of these), location (i.e. one of the 34 provinces of Indonesia, or regions of West, Central and East Indonesia), status of study area (protected, unprotected or both), species group (single or multiple species), first author nationality (Indonesian or non-Indonesian), language (Bahasa Indonesia or English) and methodology (e.g. study design and data analysis).

We derived administration boundaries from the Indonesian Geospatial Information Agency (Badan Informasi Geospasial, 2022). The country is divided into three biogeographical regions according to the Wallace and Lydekker lines (Darajati et al., 2016; Badan Pusat Statistik, 2021): West (Sumatra, Java–Bali and Kalimantan; 1,160,165 km² land area across 5,385 islands), Central (Sulawesi, Nusa Tenggara and Maluku; 334,750 km² land area across 6,320 islands) and East (Papua; 421,991 km² land area across 5,061 islands). We confirmed protected area boundaries via the Ministry of the Environment and Forestry of Indonesia protected area database (Badan Informasi Geospasial, 2022)..

We determined species group according to the number of species studied in each article: single species (e.g. Sumatran orangutan *Pongo abelii*) or multi-species (e.g. primate community; Maryanto et al., 2019). We assumed the first author's nationality based on author names and affiliations. We classified publication language based on the principal language of each article, excluding the abstract. Lastly, we categorized studies based on whether they implemented an appropriate scientific design (i.e. reported the study design clearly in the publication or justified the selection of sample sizes/sites in relation to the research question) and utilized a statistical/modelling approach that accounted for imperfect detection.

Results

Our systematic literature search returned 308 peer-reviewed articles. The publication rate (number of publications per year) increased over time ($R^2 = 0.78$, $F_{1,19} = 67.1$, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 1). This trend was associated with increased numbers of publications on distribution (of which there were 125 over the study period, 40.6% of the total of 308 publications), abundance (84, 27.3%), diversity (42, 13.6%) and a combination of parameters (57, 18.5%) ($\beta = 0.68$, 0.41, 0.33 and 0.29, respectively; $P < 0.001$). The highest number of publications was produced in 2019.



FIG. 1 Number and type of publications on mammal biodiversity in Indonesia during 2000–2020.

Single-species publications dominated our search results (217, 70.5%). The rest focused on multiple species (e.g. herbivores or carnivores) or the mammal community as a whole. Most studies were conducted at a single point in time (277, 89.9%) and in one location (225, 73.1%). The number of articles published in English (155, 50.3%) was similar to that of papers published in Bahasa Indonesia (153, 49.7%). More English-language publications were led by non-Indonesian authors (90, 58.1%) than Indonesian authors (66, 42.6%), and all Bahasa articles were led by Indonesian authors.

Published studies showed a marked regional/provincial bias (Figs 2 & 3): most publications were based on research undertaken in West Indonesia (268, 87.0%), with much fewer studies focused on Central (23, 7.4%) and East (9, 2.9%) Indonesia. Even when accounting for variation in species numbers across the archipelago, publication effort (i.e. number of publications per number of species found in the island group) was much higher in western than eastern islands (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 2). For example, > 12 times more publications were produced for mammals in Java–Bali than for those in Papua. Only eight publications (2.6%) considered the national scale. The majority of research was based in three provinces in West Indonesia: West Java (37 articles, 12.0%), Central Kalimantan (23, 7.7%) and East Kalimantan (14, 4.5%). Nevertheless, four out of five provinces with no publications were located in West Indonesia: Bangka Belitung, Jakarta Capital Region, the Riau Islands and the newly established (2012) province of North Kalimantan. There were also no publications from the recently established (2004) West Sulawesi province in Central Indonesia. Most field studies were undertaken in sites that cover both protected and unprotected areas (193 sites; 41.0%), followed by studies focused solely on either



FIG. 2 (a) Spatial distribution of mammal research effort in Indonesia based on 308 studies published during 2000–2020. The colour of provinces reflects the number of publications focused on the mammals from that province. (b) Spatial distribution of publication effort (number of publications divided by number of species) per island group.

protected areas (181 sites; 39.0%) or unprotected areas (92 sites; 20.0%).

Of the 157 mammal species considered, 104 (66.2%) were studied as either a single focal taxon (64) or within a species group (40). Orangutans *Pongo* sp. (a total of 27 articles), tigers *Panthera tigris* (12) and Asian elephants *Elephas maximus* (11) had more single-species publications than other large mammal taxa (1–15 studies). The long-tailed macaque *Macaca fascicularis*, spangled ebony langur *Trachypithecus auratus* and Javan slow loris *Nycticebus javanicus* dominated publications about medium-sized mammals, with 18, 12 and 10 studies, respectively. Generalist large species such as wild boar *Sus scrofa* (32), southern red muntjac *Muntiacus muntjak* (32) and sun bear *Helarctos malayanus* (25), along with medium-sized species such as the long-tailed macaque (37), leopard cat *Prionailurus bengalensis* (36) and southern pig-tailed macaque *Macaca nemestrina* (28) were often studied in multi-species publications (Supplementary Table 1).



FIG. 3 Number of studies published during 2000–2020 focusing on the main regions of Indonesia, by study topic.

Discussion

We found that most publications on the mammal populations of Indonesia focused on the distribution and abundance of single species and were geographically biased towards the west of the country. Nevertheless, useful datasets are being generated as the conservation of medium and large mammals receives increased research attention and funding, improving our knowledge on population declines, range contractions and extirpation dynamics (MacKenzie et al., 2003; Peterman et al., 2013). We outline four major research gaps to be addressed.

Disproportionate focus on a few species

The taxonomic dominance of a few well-studied species in the Indonesian literature reflects funding priorities, species conservation status, familiarity with taxa and research capacity. Wildlife research and conservation measures require long-term financial support, which is often targeted at a narrow subset of high-profile species. The Indonesian

government focuses conservation actions on 25 priority species (MoEF, 2015), 14 of which were included in our review. These species were studied more than any other taxa, in part to meet government targets. Most research and conservation investments centre on funds raised for tigers, orangutans, rhinoceroses and elephants (KEHATI, 2019; Santika et al., 2022).

Familiarity and research accessibility also contribute to species bias. The long-tailed macaque received the greatest publication effort. This commensal primate widely coexists with people (Eudey et al., 2021), so the species can be easily observed, and most studies were undertaken in accessible human settlements and nearby forests (e.g. Santoso et al., 2019). Publication effort was also reflected by the presence of long-term species conservation projects in West Indonesia (e.g. Kalimantan orangutans, Knott et al., 2021; Sumatran tigers, Chandradewi et al., 2019; Javan lorises, Nekaris, 2016). If this trend continues, initiatives established more recently in Central and East Indonesia could lead to greater research effort in these regions (e.g. Talaud bear cuscus *Ailurops melanotis* in Sulawesi and long-beaked echidna *Zaglossus* sp. in Papua; Sheherazade, 2023).

Uneven geographical representation

Research effort was focused primarily in Java–Bali, Sumatra and Kalimantan, with relatively little work undertaken in eastern islands. Studies published on mammals from West Indonesia numbered 10 times more compared to those of the central islands (Sulawesi, Nusa Tenggara, Maluku), and 20 times more than those in East Indonesia (Papua).

Most Indonesian islands support medium to large mammals, but more species are found in the west, including conservation flagship and commensal species that attract research attention. However, even when this skewed diversity is accounted for, publication rates per species are still greater for Java–Bali, Sumatra and Kalimantan. In Central Indonesia, small-bodied mammals tend to receive a greater focus, given the interest in the Wallacea region in terms of evolutionary biology and biogeography (Broto & Mortelliti, 2019; Struebig et al., 2022). Taxonomic and molecular studies have also resulted in the splitting of several prominent species (e.g. tarsiers *Tarsius* spp. and macaques *Macaca* spp. in Sulawesi; lorises *Nycticebus* spp., gibbons *Hylobates* spp. and langurs *Presbytis* spp. and *Trachypithecus* spp. in Kalimantan and Sumatra) into many cryptic taxa, reducing the number of publications per species.

Research capacity is also concentrated in the western islands. Of the 219 publications led by Indonesian researchers, 73% were affiliated with universities based in Java, Sumatra or Kalimantan. Equally, NGOs and government ecological and conservation expertise are also disproportionately concentrated in this region. Research effort thus mirrors the distribution of threats to a restricted set of species. The 2 decades of research that we assessed coincided with intensive deforestation in Kalimantan and Sumatra (Margono et al., 2014; Gaveau et al., 2022), however, in recent years, industrial agriculture and mining have expanded eastwards (Supriatna et al., 2020; Voigt et al., 2021). Wildlife exploitation and trade are also prominent in Central and East Indonesia (Pattiselanno et al., 2019; Latinne et al., 2020), which could exacerbate population declines of endemic and forest-dependent species through habitat change. Thus, threats to mammals are shifting and/or expanding across the country, implying that research and monitoring efforts will need to follow suit to be effective.

Study design limitations

Most articles reported little information on study design, disregarded advances in survey methods and/or lacked robust statistical analyses. For example, 92% of the studies did not account for imperfect detection and would have benefitted from more rigorous analytical approaches such as occupancy modelling, distance sampling or capture–recapture. Sampling location often appeared to be based on accessibility (i.e. near forest boundaries or in easily accessible terrain), with publications frequently omitting key information on sampling approaches. Some were also prone to replication issues, such as limited sample sizes or highly unequal sampling efforts between habitat types/treatments. The potential for species misidentification (i.e. false positives) was high. For instance, Javan mouse deer *Tragulus javanicus* were reported beyond the confirmed species distribution in Java in several studies. To overcome these problems, researchers should consider species ecology (e.g. the grid size for camera trapping should be appropriate for the species' home ranges) and the minimum sample sizes required to support statistical models (e.g. through power analysis). Accounting for bias (e.g. imperfect detection and false positives) is essential to ensure scientifically robust conclusions can be derived from analyses.

Limited long-term population studies

Appropriate study design and data analysis also allow researchers to replicate population studies over time, which can be useful for tracking population trends and evaluating conservation impacts (Purwandana et al., 2014; Chandradewi et al., 2019). Yet this information is lacking from global defaunation analyses, especially in Southeast Asia (Dornelas et al., 2018). In Indonesia, most published biodiversity studies have been undertaken over short time frames, often to provide baseline data but without adequate planning for future monitoring.

Although comparing biodiversity patterns between various habitats or treatments can yield useful information on the effects disturbance, studying population or community

changes over time can provide deeper insights into response mechanisms (Setiawan et al., 2018). Long-term studies can also reveal the potential impacts of population changes on the wider community and ecosystem (e.g. removal of tigers can lead to surges of ungulate prey that in turn forage in farmland; Thinley et al., 2018). Yet we found only a few published examples that spanned more than 1 decade (e.g. siamang *Symphalangus syndactylus* densities in Sumatra, Lappan et al., 2017; Javan rhinoceros *Rhinoceros sondaicus* in Java, Setiawan et al., 2018).

Enhancing biodiversity research capacity in Indonesia

Mainstreaming well-designed wildlife population research is important for biodiversity conservation around the world. According to our review of the Indonesian literature, population information is available at local or regional scales for only 104 out of 156 medium-sized to large mammal species, and much of this is sparse. It is important to further enhance the scientific capacity of local researchers, who are highly capable in collecting data but often struggle to design or resource ecological studies appropriate for rigorous analysis. Access to training and/or literature is often limited, not least because the bulk of it is available only in English. This is also a problem for publishing research internationally, as only 28% of 156 articles in English-language journals were led by Indonesian authors.

Language is a barrier to many non-English speakers, making it difficult for them to remain updated with research advances and techniques, and to publish in international journals (Amano et al., 2021). Local-language publications are thus highly important for informing mammal population assessments, but these studies have limited exposure internationally and may not be considered in the context of global analyses (Amano et al., 2016). Publication costs are also often prohibitive, with a typical fee of USD 1,300 per article for open-access publication, which is equivalent to four times the monthly minimum wage in Jakarta, the capital city of Indonesia (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2022). There are few options available to fund these costs institutionally in Indonesia (Sunol & Saturno, 2008), leaving researchers reliant on fee waivers or open access agreements between publishers and institutions overseas. Thus, substantial amounts of data that would be useful for conservation and defaunation research are confined to the so-called grey literature and remain difficult to access, including for this review.

English-language proficiency in Indonesia is improving, and early-career researchers have greater access to postgraduate training and overseas scholarships than ever before. Nevertheless, more resources are needed in Bahasa, and universities, NGOs and local chapters of international professional societies (e.g. the Association for Tropical Biology and Conservation, and the Society for Conservation Biology) have key roles to play in providing these. Notable initiatives include Conservation Camps led by the Tambora Muda Conservationist Network, *R* statistical workshops by R-Ladies Indonesia and NGO scholarships (e.g. research fellowships by the Wildlife Conservation Society – Indonesia). This also represents an opportunity for local universities to establish more conservation-focused postgraduate programmes outside of Java to ensure capacity is built in the eastern regions of Indonesia.

Utilizing existing data

The ecological research and conservation programmes of Indonesia produce a significant amount of wildlife data that could inform population monitoring and conservation. For example, researchers have pooled tiger occupancy data from sign transect surveys across Sumatra from 2007 and 2009 (and new data are being collected using the same survey design), producing valuable 'bycatch' data on other, non-target species, although only tiger data have been analysed so far (Wibisono et al., 2011). The country also adopted the Spatial Monitoring and Reporting Tool (SMART) in protected areas nationwide, thus enabling more joined-up data on biodiversity and threats to be obtained (Kholis et al., 2016). The governmental launch of a national biodiversity database is also a promising development that should help track population and biodiversity trends. However, to maximize their utility for analyses, these databases will need significant investment in maintenance and data verification. Allowing researchers to query and analyse biodiversity data (in similar ways to the Global Biodiversity Information Facility) will be an important step towards achieving this goal.

Many tropical countries face significant challenges in monitoring large numbers of species, which hinders evidence-based conservation management (Ceballos et al., 2017). Our review of the literature published in English and Bahasa on mammal population research in Indonesia revealed notable knowledge gaps and issues that are also prevalent in other countries: species and geographical bias, poor study design and analysis, and limited long-term research. To establish robust wildlife population monitoring and investigate defaunation trends, it is necessary to increase research capacity and facilitate the sharing and utilization of existing data. Moreover, we advocate a holistic approach that integrates the ecological and human dimensions of conservation to better understand and address the interconnected drivers of biodiversity loss.

Author contributions Study conception, design: ARD, IMRP, MJS; literature search: ARD, IMRP; compilation of results, production of figures: IMRP; writing: ARD, IMRP, with input from MJS; revisions: all authors.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by a Leverhulme Trust Research Leader Award granted to MJS for researchers at the University of Kent and Universitas Indonesia to study wildlife populations in Indonesia. ARD and IRMP were also funded by the University of Kent's Global Challenges Doctoral Centre. We thank the National Research and Innovation Agency (formally RISTEK) for granting permission to undertake research in Indonesia (2/TU.B5.4/SIP/VIII/2021). This manuscript is dedicated to all conservationists, ecologists and biologists in Indonesia who work tirelessly to document and improve the status of wildlife populations in this highly biodiverse country.

Conflicts of interest None.

Ethical standards This research abided by the *Oryx* guidelines on ethical standards.

Data availability The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Supplementary Materials.

References

Allan, J.R., Watson, J.E.M., Di Marco, M., O'Bryan, C.J., Possingham, H.P., Atkinson, S.C. & Venter, O. (2019) Hotspots of human impact on threatened terrestrial vertebrates. *PLOS Biology*, 17, e3000598.

Amano, T., González-Varo, J.P. & Sutherland, W.J. (2016) Languages are still a major barrier to global science. *PLOS Biology*, 14, e2000933.

Amano, T., Rios Rojas, C., Boum, Y., Calvo, M. & Misra, B.B. (2021) Ten tips for overcoming language barriers in science. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5, 1119–1122.

Amelia, M. & Rahmaida, R. (2017) Produktivitas ilmiah peneliti Indonesia pada penelitian keanekaragaman hayati Indonesia berdasarkan basis data Scopus 1990–2015. *Journal Biological Indonesia*, 13, 241–251.

Badan Informasi Geospasial (2022) *Badan Informasi Geospasial (BIG) (Geospatial Information Agency)*. Cibinong, Indonesia. big.go.id/en [accessed January 2024].

Badan Pusat Statistik (2021) *Luas daerah dan jumlah pulau menurut provinsi, 2021.* Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi DKI Jakarta, Jakarta, Indonesia. bps.go.id/indikator/indikator/view_data_pub/0000/api_pub/UFpWMmJZOVZlZTJnc1pXaHhDV1hPQT09/da_01/1 [accessed 14 June 2022].

Badan Pusat Statistik (2022) *Upah minimum provinsi (UMP) DKI Jakarta (Rupiah), 2020–2022.* Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi DKI Jakarta, Jakarta, Indoneasia. jakarta.bps.go.id/indicator/6/1287/1/upah-minimum-provinsi-ump-dki-jakarta.html [accessed 14 June 2022].

Benítez-López, A., Santini, L., Schipper, A.M., Busana, M. & Huijbregts, M.A.J. (2019) Intact but empty forests? Patterns of hunting induced mammal defaunation in the tropics. *PLOS Biology*, 17, e3000247.

Beyer, R.M. & Manica, A. (2020) Historical and projected future range sizes of the world's mammals, birds, and amphibians. *Nature Communications*, 11, 5633.

Bogoni, J.A., Peres, C.A. & Ferraz, K.M.P.M.B. (2020) Extent, intensity and drivers of mammal defaunation: a continental-scale analysis across the Neotropics. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 14750.

Broto, B. & Mortelliti, A. (2019) The status of research on the mammals of Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Mammal Review*, 49, 78–93.

Cardillo, M., MacE, G.M., Gittleman, J.L., Jones, K.E., Bielby, J. & Purvis, A. (2008) The predictability of extinction: biological and external correlates of decline in mammals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 275, 1441–1448.

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R. & Dirzo, R. (2017) Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114, E6089–E6096.

Chandradewi, D.S., Semiadi, G., Pinondang, I., Kheng, V. & Bahaduri, L.D. (2019) The second collaborative Sumatra-wide tiger survey. *Cat News*, 69, 41–42.

Darajati, W., Pratiwi, S., Herwinda, E., Radiansyah, A.D., Nalang, V.S., Nooryanto, B. et al. (2016) *Indonesian Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan 2015–2020*. Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/BAPPENAS, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Díaz, S., Settele, J., Brondízio, E.S., Ngo, H.T., Agard, J., Arneth, A. et al. (2019) Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. *Science*, 366, eaax3100.

Dirzo, R., Young, H.S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N.J.B. & Collen, B. (2014) Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science*, 345, 401–406.

Dornelas, M., Antão, L.H., Moyes, F., Bates, A.E., Magurran, A.E., Adam, D. et al. (2018) BioTIME: a database of biodiversity time series for the Anthropocene. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 27, 760–786.

Estoque, R.C., Ooba, M., Avitabile, V., Hijioka, Y., DasGupta, R., Togawa, T. & Murayama, Y. (2019) The future of Southeast Asia's forests. *Nature Communications*, 10, 1829.

Eudey, A., Kumar, A., Singh, M. & Boonratana, R. (2021) *Macaca fascicularis*. In *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2021. doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2021-2.RLTS.T12551A204494260.en.

Gallego-Zamorano, J., Benítez-López, A., Santini, L., Hilbers, J.P., Huijbregts, M.A.J. & Schipper, A.M. (2020) Combined effects of land use and hunting on distributions of tropical mammals. *Conservation Biology*, 34, 1271–1280.

Gardner, C.J., Bicknell, J.E., Baldwin-Cantello, W., Struebig, M.J. & Davies, Z.G. (2019) Quantifying the impacts of defaunation on natural forest regeneration in a global meta-analysis. *Nature Communications*, 10, 4590.

Garuda (2020) *Garuda (Garba Rujukan Digital)*. Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi (Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology), Jakarta, Indonesia. garuda.kemdikbud.go.id [accessed January 2024].

Gaveau, D.L.A., Locatelli, B., Salim, M.A., Husnayaen, Manurung, T., Descals, A. et al. (2022) Slowing deforestation in Indonesia follows declining oil palm expansion and lower oil prices. *PLOS One*, 17, e0266178.

Google (2021) *Google Search*. Google, Mountain View, USA. google.com [accessed March 2021].

Google Scholar (2021) *Google Scholar*. Google, Mountain View, USA. scholar.google.com [accessed March 2021].

Holland, K.K., Larson, L.R. & Powell, R.B. (2018) Characterizing conflict between humans and big cats *Panthera* spp: a systematic review of research trends and management opportunities. *PLOS One*, 13, e0203877.

KEHATI (2019) *Annual Report TFCA – Sumatera 2019*. KEHATI – The Indonesian Biodiversity Foundation, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Kholis, M., Puspita, O.R., Gunaryadi, D. & Sadikin, L.A. (2016) *Pedoman implementasi SMART di kawasan konservasi.* Kelompok Kerja SMART, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Knott, C.D., Kane, E.E., Achmad, M., Barrow, E.J., Bastian, M.L., Beck, J. et al. (2021) The Gunung Palung Orangutan Project: twenty-five years at the intersection of research and conservation in

a critical landscape in Indonesia. *Biological Conservation*, 255, 108856.

Lappan, S., Sibarani, M., Rustiati, E.L. & Andayani, N. (2017) Abrupt decline in a protected population of siamangs (*Symphalangus syndactylus*) in southern Sumatra. *Folia Primatologica*, 88, 255–266.

Latinne, A., Saputro, S., Kalengkongan, J., Kowel, C.L., Gaghiwu, L., Ransaleleh, T.A. et al. (2020) Characterizing and quantifying the wildlife trade network in Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 21, e00887.

MacKenzie, D.I., Nichols, J.D., Hines, J.E., Knutson, M.G. & Franklin, A.B. (2003) Estimating site occupancy, colonization, and local extinction when a species is detected imperfectly. *Ecology*, 84, 2200–2207.

Margono, B.A., Potapov, P.V., Turubanova, S., Stolle, F. & Hansen, M.C. (2014) Primary forest cover loss in Indonesia over 2000–2012. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 730–735.

Maryanto, I., Maharadatunkamsi, Achmadi, A.S., Wiantoro, S., Sulistyadi, E., Yoneda, M. et al. (2019) *Checklist of the Mammals of Indonesia.* Research Center for Biology, Indonesia Institute of Sciences (LIPI), Bogor, Indonesia.

MoEF (2015) *SK Dirjen KSDAE No. SK.180/IV-KKH/2015. Penetapan dua puluh lima satwa terancam punah prioritas untuk ditingkatkan populasinya sebesar 10% pada tahun 2015–2019.* Ministry of Environment and Forestry, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Nekaris, K.A.I. (2016) The Little Fireface Project: community conservation of Asia's slow lorises via ecology, education, and empowerment. In *Ethnoprimatology: Primate Conservation in the 21st Century* (ed. M.T. Weller), pp. 259–272. Springer, New York, USA.

Newbold, T., Hudson, L.N., Phillips, H.R.P., Hill, S.L.L., Contu, S., Lysenko, I. et al. (2014) A global model of the response of tropical and sub-tropical forest biodiversity to anthropogenic pressures. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281, 20141371.

Pattiselanno, F., Apituley, J.R.M., Arobaya, A.Y.S. & Koibur, J.F. (2019) Using wildlife for local livelihood – experiences from the Bird's Head Peninsula, West Papua, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 20, 1839–1845.

Peterman, W.E., Rittenhouse, T.A.G., Earl, J.E. & Semlitsch, R.D. (2013) Demographic network and multi-season occupancy modeling of *Rana sylvatica* reveal spatial and temporal patterns of population connectivity and persistence. *Landscape Ecology*, 28, 1601–1613.

Purwandana, D., Ariefiandy, A., Imansyah, M.J., Rudiharto, H., Seno, A., Ciofi, C. et al. (2014) Demographic status of Komodo dragons populations in Komodo National Park. *Biological Conservation*, 171, 29–35.

Ripple, W.J., Chapron, G., López-Bao, J.V., Durant, S.M., Macdonald, D.W., Lindsey, P.A. et al. (2016) Saving the world's terrestrial megafauna. *BioScience*, 66, 807–812.

Ripple, W.J., Chapron, G., Lopez-Bao, J.V., Durant, S.M., Macdonald, D.W., Lindsey, P.A. et al. (2017) Conserving the world's megafauna and biodiversity: the fierce urgency of now. *BioScience*, 67, 197–200.

Santika, T., Sherman, J., Voigt, M., Ancrenaz, M., Wich, S.A. & Wilson, K.A. et al. (2022) Effectiveness of 20 years of conservation investments in protecting orangutans. *Current Biology*, 32, 1754–1763.

Santoso, B., Febriani, S.L. & Subiantoro, D. (2019) Pemetaan konflik monyet ekor panjang (*Macaca fascicularis raffles*) di Desa Sepakung Kecamatan Banyubiru Kabupaten Semarang. *Indonesian Journal of Conservation*, 8, 138–145.

Setiawan, R., Gerber, B.D., Rahmat, U.M., Daryan, D., Firdaus, A.Y., Haryono, M. et al. (2018) Preventing global extinction of the Javan rhino: tsunami risk and future conservation direction. *Conservation Letters*, 11, e12366.

Sheherazade (2023) *Kerja sama ilmuwan-masyarakat merangsang geliat konservasi 'spesies terlupakan' Indonesia*. The Conversation, 26 June 2023. theconversation.com/kerja-sama-ilmuwan-masyarakat-merangsang-geliat-konservasi-spesies-terlupakan-indonesia-202451 [accessed 26 June 2023].

Singleton, G.R., Belmain, S., Brown, P.R., Aplin, K. & Htwe, N.M. (2010) Impacts of rodent outbreaks on food security in Asia. *Wildlife Research*, 37, 355–359.

Struebig, M., Aninta, S.G., Beger, M., Bani, A., Barus, H., Brace, S. et al. (2022) Safeguarding imperiled biodiversity and evolutionary processes in the Wallacea center of endemism. *BioScience*, 72, 1118–1130.

Sunol, R. & Saturno, P.I. (2008) Challenge to overcome language barriers in scientific journals: announcing a new initiative from the ISQua journal. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 20, 1–2.

Supriatna, J., Shekelle, M., Fuad, H.A.H., Winarni, N.L., Dwiyahreni, A.A., Farid, M. et al. (2020) Deforestation on the Indonesian island of Sulawesi and the loss of primate habitat. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 24, e01205.

Thinley, P., Rajaratnam, R., Lassoie, J.P., Morreale, S.J., Curtis, P.D., Vernes, K. et al. (2018) The ecological benefit of tigers (*Panthera tigris*) to farmers in reducing crop and livestock losses in the eastern Himalayas: implications for conservation of large apex predators. *Biological Conservation*, 219, 119–125.

Trinirmalaningrum, Dalidjo, N., Rahardjo, J., Pribadi, A., Widarto, A. & Santosa, A. (2016) *Potret perdagangan ilegal satwa liar di Indonesia.* Perkumpulan SKALA, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Voigt, M., Supriatna, J., Deere, N.J., Kastanya, A., Mitchell, S.L., Rosa, I.M.D. et al. (2021) Emerging threats from deforestation and forest fragmentation in the Wallacea centre of endemism. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16, 94048.

Wibisono, H.T., Linkie, M., Guillera-Arroita, G., Smith, J.A., Sunarto, Pusparini, W. et al. (2011) Population status of a cryptic top predator: an island-wide assessment of tigers in Sumatran rainforests. *PLOS One*, 6, e25931.

Young, H.S., McCauley, D.J., Galetti, M. & Dirzo, R. (2016) Patterns, causes, and consequences of Anthropocene defaunation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 47, 333–358.