



## Explicit Rational Functions on Fermat Curves and a Theorem of Greenberg

PAVLOS TZERMIAS

Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 210089, 617 N. Santa Rita, The University of Arizona,  
Tucson, AZ 85721-0089, U.S.A. e-mail: tzermias@math.arizona.edu

(Received: 1 March 1999; accepted in final form: 25 August 1999)

**Abstract.** This paper is concerned with the arithmetic of curves of the form  $v^p = u^s(1 - u)$ , where  $p$  is a prime with  $p \geq 5$  and  $s$  is an integer such that  $1 \leq s \leq p - 2$ . The Jacobians of these curves admit complex multiplication by a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . We find explicit rational functions on these curves whose divisors are  $p$ -multiples of divisors representing  $(1 - \zeta)^2$ - and  $(1 - \zeta)^3$ -division points on the corresponding Jacobians. This also gives an effective version of a theorem of Greenberg.

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000):** 11G30, 14G05.

**Key words:** Fermat curves, rational functions, Greenberg's theorem.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers and let  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $p$  be a fixed prime, such that  $p \geq 5$ , and let  $\epsilon$  be a fixed primitive  $2p$ th root of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Also define  $\zeta$  by  $\zeta = \epsilon^2$ . Let  $K$  be the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ . For  $s = 1, 2, \dots, p - 2$ , let  $F_{p,s}$  be a smooth projective model of the affine curve (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

$$v^p = u^s(1 - u).$$

Each  $F_{p,s}$  is a curve of genus  $(p - 1)/2$  and its Jacobian  $J_{p,s}$  admits complex multiplication induced by the automorphism  $\zeta$  of  $F_{p,s}$  defined by  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, \zeta v)$ . We define the endomorphism  $\pi$  of  $J_{p,s}$  by  $\pi = 1 - \zeta$ . It is a well-known theorem of Greenberg [6] that the kernel of the endomorphism  $\pi^3$  of  $J_{p,s}$  is  $K$ -rational. In fact, combining Greenberg's result with the work of Coleman [1], Gross and Rohrlich [7] and Kurihara [8], one has the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \geq 5$ . For  $s = 1, 2, \dots, p - 2$ , we have  $J_{p,s}[p^\infty](K) = J_{p,s}[\pi^3]$ . Moreover, if  $l$  is a prime such that  $l \neq p$ , then  $J_{p,s}[l^\infty](K) = \{0\}$ , unless  $l = 2$  and  $(p, s) \in \{(7, 2), (7, 4)\}$ .*

It should be noted that Theorem 1 is not effective, i.e. there is no systematic way known to produce explicit generators for the groups  $J_{p,s}[\pi^2]$  or  $J_{p,s}(K)_{\text{tors}}$  in general.

Such generators are only known for the ‘isomorphic’ cases  $(p, s) = (7, 2)$  and  $(p, s) = (7, 4)$  (see [10]) and for the case  $p = 5$  (see [2], [4] and [12]). Finding a non-trivial  $K$ -rational point on the curve which induces a torsion point on the Jacobian was crucial for settling the specific cases mentioned above. On the other hand, in view of the results of [3], such a point cannot exist for  $p \geq 11$ . It would be useful to have explicit information on the generators of  $J_{p,s}[\pi^2]$  or  $J_{p,s}(K)_{tors}$  in the general case. For example, in a recent paper [5], Grant used the 5-torsion on  $J_{5,1}$  to construct a set of Abelian units which can be used to verify Rubin’s conjecture in a case when the  $L$ -series has a second order zero at  $s = 0$ . Also, in [9], McCallum gave a general formula for the Cassels–Tate pairing on the  $\pi$ -torsion part of the Shafarevich–Tate group of  $J_{p,s}$  over  $K$ . He noted that, for the formula to be applied directly, one needs to find an explicit rational function on  $F_{p,s}$  whose divisor equals  $p$  times a divisor representing a  $\pi^2$ -torsion point on  $J_{p,s}$ . In the absence of such a function, McCallum used a  $p$ -adic approximation technique instead.

In this Letter, we construct such an explicit rational function on  $F_{p,s}$ . We also obtain a similar result for the case of  $\pi^3$ -torsion points on  $J_{p,s}$ . It should be noted that McCallum has a method (unpublished) that, given  $p$  and  $s$ , will construct such rational functions. Our approach is different and produces an explicit formula for all  $p$  and  $s$ . This also gives an effective version of Theorem 1, i.e. we get an algorithm that, given  $p$  and  $s$ , will, in principle, explicitly compute the associated divisors. We have used MAPLE to run this algorithm for the case of  $\pi^2$ -torsion points; this is discussed in more detail in the last section.

Our method is based on the fact that  $F_{p,s}$  admits the Fermat curve  $F_p$  given by  $X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0$  as an unramified cover, whose Galois group is generated by the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $F_p$ , where  $\sigma(X, Y, Z) = (\zeta X, \zeta^{-s} Y, Z)$ . We will use the Jacobian  $J_p$  of  $F_p$  to perform our calculations, by means of results of [11] and [13]. Denote by  $f_{p,s} : F_p \rightarrow F_{p,s}$  the associated covering map. Depending on the context, we will use the same symbol  $f_{p,s}$  to denote the induced maps  $Div(F_p) \rightarrow Div(F_{p,s})$  and  $J_p \rightarrow J_{p,s}$ . Also,  $f_{p,s}^*$  will be used to denote the dual maps  $Div(F_{p,s}) \rightarrow Div(F_p)$  or  $J_{p,s} \rightarrow J_p$  or the induced embedding of the function field of  $F_{p,s}$  in the function field of  $F_p$ .

Consider the rational functions  $x = X/Z$  and  $y = Y/Z$  on  $F_p$ . Define

$$c = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (\zeta^j - 1)^j, \quad f(x, y) = c \left( x^{p-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \left( x^{p-2-k} \prod_{l=1}^{k+1} (\epsilon - \zeta^l y) \right) \right).$$

Now consider the following functions on  $F_p$ :

$$h_1(x, y) = \frac{\epsilon - x}{y}, \quad h_2(x, y) = (xy)^{\frac{s(1-p)}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} f(x, \zeta^j y),$$

$$g_m(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \prod_{l=0}^k h_m(\zeta^{-l}x, \zeta^{ls}y),$$

for  $m = 1, 2$ . The rational functions  $g_m(x, y)$  are not identically 0 on  $F_p$  (it can be shown that  $g_2(-\zeta, 0) = g_1(\epsilon\zeta, 0) = 1$ ). Let *Norm* denote the norm map from the function field of  $F_p$  to that of  $F_{p,s}$ . Our main result is the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $p$  and  $s$  be as in Theorem 1. For  $m = 1, 2$ , there exists a divisor  $E_m$  on  $F_{p,s}$  such that  $pE_m = \text{div}(\text{Norm}(g_m(x, y)))$  and the divisor class of  $E_m$  generates the  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module  $J_{p,s}[\pi^{m+1}]$ .*

*Remark.* Making use of the universal covering space of  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ , Rohrlch showed in [11] that the function  $\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} ((\epsilon\zeta^j - x)(\epsilon\zeta^j - y))^j$  has a  $p$ th root in the function field of  $F_p$ . The next proposition shows that  $f(x, y)$  is such a  $p$ th root.

**2. Auxiliary Results**

**PROPOSITION 1.**

$$f(x, y)^p = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} ((\epsilon\zeta^j - x)(\epsilon\zeta^j - y))^j.$$

*Proof.* First we show that the polynomial  $f(x, y)$  is symmetric in  $x, y$ . Since

$$f(0, y) = c \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (\epsilon - \zeta^i y) = c \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^i y^{p-1-i} = f(y, 0),$$

the monomials  $y^r$  and  $x^r$  appear with the same coefficient in  $f(x, y)$ , for each  $r \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Also, for  $1 \leq s \leq r \leq p-2$ , the coefficient of  $x^{p-1-r} y^s$  in  $f(x, y)$  equals

$$(-1)^s c \epsilon^{r-s} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq r} \zeta^{i_1} \dots \zeta^{i_s}.$$

We claim that we have the following identities:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq r} \zeta^{i_1} \dots \zeta^{i_s} = \zeta^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}} \prod_{j=r+1-s}^r (\zeta^j - 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (\zeta^j - 1)^{-1},$$

for  $1 \leq s \leq r \leq p-2$ . The claim is clearly true when  $s = 1$  or  $r = s$ . Suppose it is true for  $s \leq l$  or  $s = l + 1$  and  $r = m$ . Using the recursive formula

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{l+1} \leq m+1} \zeta^{i_1} \dots \zeta^{i_{l+1}} = \zeta^{m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq m} \zeta^{i_1} \dots \zeta^{i_l} + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{l+1} \leq m} \zeta^{i_1} \dots \zeta^{i_{l+1}}$$

and induction one sees that the claim is true for  $s = l + 1$  and  $r = m + 1$ . The symmetry of  $f(x, y)$  in  $x, y$  now follows from the equality

$$\zeta^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}} \prod_{j=r+1-s}^r (\zeta^j - 1) = (-1)^s \zeta^{s(r+1)} \prod_{j=p-r}^{p-r+s-1} (\zeta^j - 1).$$

Now we can prove the equality in Proposition 1. First note that the two sides agree on  $(0, \epsilon)$ . This follows from the definition of the constant  $c$  and the relations

$$\bar{c} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} c, \quad c\bar{c} = p^{-1}, \quad (D)$$

where  $\bar{c}$  is the complex conjugate of  $c$ .

Now consider the points at infinity on  $F_p$ :

$$a_j = (0, \epsilon\zeta^j, 1), \quad b_j = (\epsilon\zeta^j, 0, 1), \quad c_j = (\epsilon\zeta^j, 1, 0),$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$ . By Rohrlch’s results in [11], it remains to show that

$$\text{div}(f(x, y)) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j (a_j + b_j) - (p - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j.$$

Looking at each summand in the definition of  $f(x, y)$  and using [11], it follows that the order of  $f(x, y)$  at  $a_j$  equals  $j$ , for all  $j$ . By the symmetry of  $f(x, y)$  in  $x, y$ , we get that the order of  $f(x, y)$  at  $b_j$  also equals  $j$ , for all  $j$ . Also by [11], the only possible poles of  $f(x, y)$  are the points  $c_j$ , each of order at most  $p - 1$ . So the polar part of  $\text{div}(f(x, y))$  has degree at most  $p(p - 1)$ . On the other hand, by what has been said above, the degree of the zero part of  $\text{div}(f(x, y))$  is at least  $p(p - 1)$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

LEMMA 1.

$$\prod_{l=0}^{p-1} h_1(\zeta^l x, \zeta^{-ls} y) = 1 = \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} h_2(\zeta^l x, \zeta^{-ls} y).$$

*Proof.* The first assertion is trivial. For the second assertion, note that, by Proposition 1,

$$\prod_{l=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta^l x, \zeta^{-ls} y)^p = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} ((\epsilon\zeta^j - \zeta^l x)(\epsilon\zeta^j - \zeta^{-ls} y))^j = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (xy)^{pj} = (xy)^{\frac{p^2(p-1)}{2}}.$$

Therefore, there exists an integer  $\lambda$  such that

$$\prod_{l=0}^{p-1} \frac{f(\zeta^l x, \zeta^{-ls} y)}{(xy)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} = \zeta^\lambda.$$

Now let  $\phi(x, y) = (xy)^{(1-p)/2}f(x, y)$ . Writing  $\phi(x, y)$  in terms of the rational functions  $X/Y$  and  $Z/Y$ , it is easy to show that, for  $0 \leq l \leq p - 1$ ,

$$\phi(c_l)^2 = \zeta^{-l(l+1)} c^2 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{j^2} \right)^2 = \zeta^{-l(l+1)},$$

where the last equality follows from the classical theory of Gauss sums together with the relations (D) displayed in the proof of Proposition 1. Therefore,

$$\zeta^{2\lambda} = \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} \phi(c_l)^2 = 1,$$

so  $\lambda$  is divisible by  $p$ , and this implies the second assertion of Lemma 1.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Consider the following divisors of degree 0 on  $F_p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j b_j - \frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b_j, \\ C_2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{j(j+1)}{2} a_j - s \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{j(j+1)}{2} b_j + \frac{s(p-1)}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j b_j - \frac{p+1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j a_j + \\ &\quad + \frac{p^2-1}{12} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j - \frac{s(p-1)(p-5)}{12} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b_j. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $f_{p,s}(C_i) = 0$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . By parts (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 2 in [13], we get that

$$f_{p,s}^*(J_{p,s}[\pi^3]) = \langle C_1, C_2 \rangle, \quad f_{p,s}^*(J_{p,s}[\pi^2]) = \langle C_1 \rangle. \tag{E}$$

Note that, although the latter theorem was stated only for  $p \geq 11$  in [13], its proof shows that it is still valid for  $p = 5$ ; moreover, by substituting  $J_{p,s}(K)_{tors}$  by  $J_{p,s}[\pi^3]$  in the same proof, one sees that the equalities (E) also hold for  $p = 7$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *For  $m = 1, 2$ , the divisors  $D_m = C_m + \text{div}(g_m(x, y))$  satisfy the relation  $\sigma(D_m) = D_m$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\sigma(a_j) = a_{j-s}$  and  $\sigma(b_j) = b_{j+1}$ . A tedious calculation (using results of [11]) shows that

$$\sigma(C_1) - C_1 = \text{div}(h_1(x, y)), \quad \sigma(C_2) - C_2 = \text{div}(h_2(x, y)).$$

Now, as in the proof of Hilbert’s Theorem 90, Lemma 1 gives

$$h_m(x, y) = \frac{g_m(x, y)}{g_m(\zeta^{-1}x, \zeta^s y)},$$

for  $m = 1, 2$ . Since  $\text{div}(g_m(\zeta^{-1}x, \zeta^s y)) = \sigma(\text{div}(g_m(x, y)))$ , Lemma 2 follows.

Therefore,  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are invariant under the group of automorphisms of  $F_p$  generated by  $\sigma$ . Since  $F_{p,s}$  is the quotient of  $F_p$  by the latter group, there exist divisors  $E_m$  of degree 0 on  $F_{p,s}$  such that  $D_m = f_{p,s}^*(E_m)$ , for  $m = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $f_{p,s}^*([E_m]) = [D_m] = [C_m]$ . By the proof of Theorem 2 in [13], we have that  $\text{Ker}(f_{p,s}^*) = J_{p,s}[\pi]$ . Therefore, by the displayed equalities (E), we see that  $[E_m]$  generates the  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module  $J_{p,s}[\pi^{m+1}]$ , for  $m = 1, 2$ . Moreover, by standard properties of coverings,

$$\begin{aligned} pE_m &= f_{p,s}(f_{p,s}^*(E_m)) = f_{p,s}(D_m) = f_{p,s}(C_m) + f_{p,s}(\text{div}(g_m(x, y))) \\ &= f_{p,s}(\text{div}(g_m(x, y))) = \text{div}(\text{Norm}(g_m(x, y))), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that for a rational function  $g$  on  $F_p$ , the relation  $f_{p,s}(\text{div}(\sigma(g))) = f_{p,s}(\text{div}(g))$  implies that  $f_{p,s}(\text{div}(g)) = \text{div}(\text{Norm}(g))$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

#### 4. The Divisor $E_1$

In this Section, we discuss the problem of explicitly writing down the divisor  $E_1$  of Theorem 2. By the previous Section, we only need to compute  $\text{div}(g_1(x, y))$ . This will explicitly determine  $D_1$  and hence also  $E_1$  by the formula  $D_1 = f_{p,s}^*(E_1)$ , where  $f_{p,s}((x, y)) = (u, v) = (-x^p, (-1)^{s-1}x^s y)$ .

Clearly, any pole of  $g_1(x, y)$  has to be a pole of  $h_1(\zeta^{-l}x, \zeta^{ls}y)$ , for some  $l$  such that  $0 \leq l \leq p - 2$ . Therefore, by [11], the only possible poles of  $g_1(x, y)$  are the points  $b_j$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$  and

$$\text{div}\left(\prod_{l=0}^k h_1(\zeta^{-l}x, \zeta^{ls}y)\right) = (p - k - 1) \sum_{j=0}^k b_j - (k + 1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{p-1} b_j,$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq p - 2$ . Hence, the polar part of  $\text{div}(g_1(x, y))$  equals  $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j b_j$ . Therefore, we only need to compute the zeros of  $g_1(x, y)$ . Using the change of variables  $a = \epsilon/y$  and  $b = -x/y$ , we need to solve the following system of two polynomial

equations in two unknowns  $a$  and  $b$ :

$$a^p + b^p = 1, \quad 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \prod_{l=0}^k (\zeta^{-ls} a + \zeta^{-l(s+1)} b) = 0.$$

We have used the Gröbner basis package in MAPLE to solve the above system for specific values of  $p$  and  $s$ . We list the output of the calculations in terms of the coordinates  $(u, v)$  of points in the support of  $E_1$ . The formulas  $u = -b^p/a^p$ ,  $v = -\epsilon^{s+1}b^s/a^{s+1}$  send  $(a, b)$  to  $(u, v)$ .

$p = 5, s = 1$

$$(v + \zeta)(v + \zeta^2) = 0, \quad u = (\zeta^2 - 1)v - (\zeta^2 + \zeta),$$

$$E_1 = \sum(u, v) - 2(1, 0).$$

Since the hyperelliptic involution  $(u, v) \mapsto (1 - u, v)$  of  $F_{5,1}$  acts as multiplication by  $-1$  on  $J_{5,1}$ , we get that the divisor class  $[(-\zeta^2 - \zeta^3, -\zeta) - (1, 0)]$  generates  $J_{5,1}[\pi^3]$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module. This is the same divisor as in [2], [4] and [12].

$p = 7, s = 1$

$$v^3 + (-\zeta^5 + \zeta^2 + \zeta)v^2 + (\zeta^5 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^2 + \zeta)v - \zeta = 0,$$

$$u = (\zeta^4 + 2\zeta^3 + 2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta)v^2 + (\zeta^4 + \zeta^3 - \zeta - 1)v - (\zeta^3 + \zeta^2 + \zeta),$$

$$E_1 = \sum(u, v) - 3(1, 0).$$

$p = 7, s = 2$

$$v^3 + (1 - \zeta^5 - 2\zeta^4 - \zeta^3 + \zeta^2)v^2 + (1 - \zeta^4 - \zeta^3)v + 1 = 0,$$

$$u = (\zeta^5 - \zeta)v^2 + (\zeta^5 - \zeta)v + (\zeta^5 + \zeta^3 + 1),$$

$$E_1 = \sum(u, v) - 3(1, 0).$$

Prapavessi [10] showed that every point in  $J_{7,2}(K)$  can be represented by a divisor of degree 0 supported on the Weierstrass points on  $F_{7,2}$  (see also [1] where the Weierstrass points on  $F_{7,2}$  are computed). The points  $(u, v)$  that we found above are not Weierstrass points.

$$p = 11, s = 1$$

$$v^5 - (\zeta^9 + \zeta^8 + 2\zeta^7 + \zeta^6 + \zeta^5 - \zeta^2 - \zeta) v^4 + (\zeta^6 + 2\zeta^5 + 2\zeta^4 + 3\zeta^3 + 2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta + 1) v^3 \\ + (\zeta^9 + \zeta^8 + 2\zeta^7 + 2\zeta^6 + 2\zeta^5 + 2\zeta^4 + 2\zeta^3 + 2\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1) v^2 - (\zeta + 1) v - \zeta^2 = 0,$$

$$u = -(\zeta^9 + 2\zeta^8 + \zeta^7 + \zeta^6 - \zeta^5 - 3\zeta^4 - 3\zeta^3 - 4\zeta^2 - 3\zeta - 2) v^4 + \\ + (\zeta^8 + 3\zeta^7 + 5\zeta^6 + 7\zeta^5 + 8\zeta^4 + 8\zeta^3 + 6\zeta^2 + 4\zeta + 2) v^3 + \\ + (\zeta^9 + 2\zeta^8 + 3\zeta^7 + 4\zeta^6 + 5\zeta^5 + 4\zeta^4 + 3\zeta^3 + \zeta^2 - 1) v^2 + \\ + (\zeta^8 + \zeta^7 + \zeta^6 + \zeta^5 - \zeta^3 - \zeta^2 - \zeta - 1) v - (\zeta^5 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^2 + \zeta),$$

$$E_1 = \sum(u, v) - 5(1, 0).$$

It would be interesting to recognize a precise pattern in the output of our calculations for the above cases; we have not been able to do so.

### Acknowledgements

I thank Bill McCallum for his encouragement, Robert Coleman and David Grant for their interest in the problem and an anonymous referee for suggesting a number of improvements on the exposition. Part of this work was done during my stay at the Max Planck Institute in Bonn. I am indebted to Herbert Gangl and Ulf Kühn for introducing me to MAPLE.

### References

1. Coleman, R.: Torsion points on abelian étale coverings of  $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **311**(1) (1989), 185–208.
2. Coleman, R.: Torsion points on Fermat curves, *Compositio Math.* **58** (1986), 191–208.
3. Coleman, R., Tamagawa, A. and Tzermias, P.: The cuspidal torsion packet on the Fermat curve, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **496** (1998), 73–81 .
4. Grant, D.: A proof of quintic reciprocity using the arithmetic of  $y^2 = x^5 + 1/4$ , *Acta Arith.* **75** (1996), 321–337.
5. Grant, D.: Units from 5-torsion on the Jacobian of  $y^2 = x^5 + 1/4$  and the conjectures of Stark and Rubin, *J. Number Theory* (to appear).
6. Greenberg, R.: On the Jacobian variety of some algebraic curves, *Compositio Math.* **42** (1981), 345–359.
7. Gross, B. and Rohrlich, D.: Some results on the Mordell–Weil group of the Jacobian of the Fermat curve, *Invent. Math.* **44** (1978), 201–224.
8. Kurihara, M.: Some remarks on conjectures about cyclotomic fields and  $K$ -groups of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , *Compositio Math.* **81** (1992), 223–236.



9. McCallum, W.: On the Shafarevich-Tate group of the jacobian of a quotient of the Fermat curve, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), 637–666.
10. Prapavessi, D.: On the Jacobian of the Klein curve, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122**(4) (1994), 971–978.
11. Rohrlich, D.: Points at infinity on the Fermat curves, *Invent. Math.* **39** (1977), 95–207.
12. Tzermias, P.: Arithmetic of cyclic quotients of the Fermat quintic, *Acta Arith.* **84**(4) (1998), 375–384.
13. Tzermias, P.: Torsion parts of Mordell–Weil groups of Fermat Jacobians, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1998), No. 7, 359–369.