



DEPTHS IN RANDOM RECURSIVE METRIC SPACES

COLIN DESMARAIS ,* *University of Vienna*

Abstract

As a generalization of random recursive trees and preferential attachment trees, we consider random recursive metric spaces. These spaces are constructed from random blocks, each a metric space equipped with a probability measure, containing a labelled point called a hook, and assigned a weight. Random recursive metric spaces are equipped with a probability measure made up of a weighted sum of the probability measures assigned to its constituent blocks. At each step in the growth of a random recursive metric space, a point called a latch is chosen at random according to the equipped probability measure, and a new block is chosen at random and attached to the space by joining together the latch and the hook of the block. We use martingale theory to prove a law of large numbers and a central limit theorem for the insertion depth, the distance from the master hook to the latch chosen. We also apply our results to further generalizations of random trees, hooking networks, and continuous spaces constructed from line segments.

Keywords: Random metric space; depth; central limit theorem; preferential attachment tree; hooking network

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60C05
Secondary 60F05; 60D05; 05C80

1. Introduction

A well-studied class of random trees is random recursive trees, whereby a sequence of trees T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots is grown by attaching a new child to a vertex chosen uniformly at random to construct the successor. In this work, we generalize the model by constructing a sequence $\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots$ of metric spaces at random. At each step n in the growth process, a point called a *latch* is chosen at random according to a probability measure on the space \mathbf{G}_{n-1} . A new randomly chosen metric space \mathbf{B}_n called a *block*, equipped with a probability measure, is attached to the latch via a labelled point in \mathbf{B}_n , thereby creating a new metric space \mathbf{G}_n with a new probability measure defined as a weighted sum of the probability measures on \mathbf{G}_{n-1} and \mathbf{B}_n . Since the growth process generalizes the growth process of random recursive trees, we call $\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots$ a sequence of *random recursive metric spaces*. These random recursive metric spaces, defined more precisely below, resemble models of random metric spaces introduced in [24], though in contrast to the present work, the blocks in [24] are renormalized by a constant that vanishes with n . If each block consists of two vertices joined by an edge, and

Received 7 October 2022; accepted 14 February 2024.

* Postal address: Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna. Email: colin.desmarais@univie.ac.at

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Applied Probability Trust. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is included and the original work is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use.

the attachment of the block is done by fusing one of the vertices of the block with the latch, and the probability measure on the space is uniform on all vertices, then we construct random recursive trees as discussed above.

Several previously studied generalizations of random recursive trees are encompassed in our model as well. These include, for example, preferential attachment trees. Similar to random recursive trees, the growth process is described by attaching an edge at each step by fusing one vertex of the edge to a vertex v chosen at random, but in this case the choice of v is made proportional to the outdegree of v . Another generalization of random recursive trees is the weighted random recursive tree. In this context, every time a new vertex appears in the tree it is assigned a random weight, and the choice of the new parent at each step is made proportional to the weight of the vertex. If, instead of attaching a single edge at each step, an entire new graph is attached, the resulting model is a hooking network. In all of these examples, the *insertion depth*, that is, the distance to the root from the vertex chosen at random in each step in the growth process, has been shown to follow a normal limit law once scaled by $\sqrt{\ln n}$ (see, for example, [7, 8, 11, 16, 19, 20, 22, 25], and many more). The main result of this work is Theorem 1, where we prove a law of large numbers and a central limit theorem for the insertion depth in random recursive metric spaces.

In Sections 1.1 and 1.2, the description of the model introduced above is made more precise. The main result of this work (Theorem 1) is stated in Section 1.3 along with a brief outline of the proof method. Examples of our model, including random recursive trees, preferential attachment trees, weighted random recursive trees, hooking networks, and constructions from line segments, and how Theorem 1 applies, are included in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is contained in Section 3.

1.1. Weighted hooked metric probability spaces

We define a *weighted hooked (complete separable) metric probability space* to be a five-tuple (b, d, h, p, w) in the following way: (b, d) is a complete and separable metric space and h is a point identified in b called a *hook*, p is a Borel probability measure on b , and w is a real number called a *weight*. We wish to define a probability space of weighted hooked metric probability spaces. We start with the space \mathcal{X} of equivalence classes under isomorphism (bijective isometry) of (complete separable) metric measure spaces with full support (the support of the measure is the whole space). Gromov proved [12] that \mathcal{X} along with the Gromov–Prohorov metric d_{GP} is a complete separable metric space. We extend the Gromov–Prohorov metric by first defining the hooked (or rooted) Gromov (pseudo)distance d_{GP}^\bullet on the space of hooked metric measure spaces by

$$d_{GP}^\bullet((b_1, h_1, d_1, p_1), (b_2, h_2, d_2, p_2)) := \inf_{E, \phi_1, \phi_2} \max((d_P(\phi_{1,\#}(p_1), \phi_{2,\#}(p_2)), d_E(\phi_1(h_1), \phi_2(h_2))),$$

where the infimum is taken over all complete separable metric spaces (E, d_E) such that there exist isometric embeddings $\phi_1 : b_1 \rightarrow E$ and $\phi_2 : b_2 \rightarrow E$ from the complete separable metric spaces (b_1, d_1) and (b_2, d_2) to (E, d_E) . The points h_1 and h_2 are labelled points (hooks) in b_1 and b_2 respectively. The measures $\phi_{1,\#}(p_1)$ and $\phi_{2,\#}(p_2)$ on E are the push-forward measures of p_1 and p_2 respectively, while d_P is the Prohorov metric on the measures on E . Let \mathcal{X}^\bullet be the space of equivalence classes under hook-preserving isomorphisms of hooked metric measure spaces with full support, that is, (b_1, d_1, h_1, p_1) and (b_2, d_2, h_2, p_2) belong to the same equivalence class if there exists a bijective isometry $\phi : b_1 \rightarrow b_2$ such that $\phi(h_1) = h_2$. By using

similar arguments as for the Gromov–Hausdorff–Prohorov metric for rooted compact metric measure spaces in [1], we can show that $(\mathcal{X}^\bullet, d_{\text{GP}}^\bullet)$ is a complete and separable metric space (the only difference in the argument is that we can omit the ‘Hausdorff’ part). We use the word ‘hook’ in this work, but it serves the same purpose as the ‘root’ in [1]. Finally, since \mathcal{X}^\bullet and \mathbb{R} are Polish spaces, so is $\mathcal{X}^\bullet \times \mathbb{R}$, and so we can define a Borel probability measure on this space. We may now define a random block $\mathbf{B} = (B, D', H', P', W)$ as a random element of $\mathcal{X}^\bullet \times \mathbb{R}$ (according to some Borel probability measure).

1.2. Definition of random recursive metric spaces

Let \mathbf{B} be a random block as defined in the previous section such that $W \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(W = 0) < 1$. For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathbf{B}_n = (B_n, D'_n, H'_n, P'_n, W_n)$ be independent and identically distributed copies of \mathbf{B} , and let $\mathbf{B}_0 = (B_0, D'_0, H, P'_0, W_0)$ be a random block which may or may not have the same distribution as \mathbf{B} . We add the condition that $W_0 > 0$; notice that W_0 might not have the same distribution as W .

Formally, random recursive metric spaces $\mathbf{G}_n = (G_n, D_n, H, P_n, S_n)$ are random elements from $\mathcal{X}^\bullet \times \mathbb{R}$ constructed recursively from the blocks \mathbf{B}_n . We start by setting $\mathbf{G}_0 = (G_0, D_0, H, P_0, S_0) = \mathbf{B}_0$. The identified point H serves as the *master hook* of all following random recursive metric spaces. At each step $n \geq 0$, we grow \mathbf{G}_{n+1} from \mathbf{G}_n by randomly choosing a point $v_{n+1} \in G_n$ called a *latch* according to the probability P_n . Next, we identify together the latch v_{n+1} with the hook H'_{n+1} to form G_{n+1} . We let D_{n+1} be the concatenation of the metrics D_n and D'_{n+1} in the canonical way and set $S_{n+1} = S_n + W_{n+1}$. Define P_{n+1} to be the weighted sum of the probabilities P_n and P'_{n+1} ; more precisely, extend the probability measures to all of G_{n+1} such that, for any event $A \subseteq G_{n+1}$, the extensions \widehat{P}_n and $\widehat{P'_{n+1}}$ satisfy $\widehat{P}_n(A) = P_n(A \cap G_n)$ and $\widehat{P'_{n+1}}(A) = P'_{n+1}(A \cap B_{n+1})$, and define

$$P_{n+1} = \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}} \widehat{P}_n + \frac{W_{n+1}}{S_{n+1}} \widehat{P'_{n+1}}. \tag{1}$$

Set $\mathbf{G}_{n+1} = (G_{n+1}, D_{n+1}, H, P_{n+1}, S_{n+1})$.

By the recursive nature of (1), the probability measure P_n is a weighted sum of extensions of the probability measures P'_k of the constituent blocks \mathbf{B}_k that make up \mathbf{G}_n . In a slight abuse of notation, we will write

$$P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{S_n} P'_k.$$

Models with constructions similar to random recursive metric spaces have been studied. These include models where the blocks consist of finite line segments [2, 3, 5, 13], and constructions of iterative gluing of metric spaces introduced by Sénizergues [24, 26]. In fact, the notation for random recursive metric spaces presented in this paper is heavily inspired by the notation introduced in [24]. In most of these models, the blocks are compact and scaled by a factor of roughly $n^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ as they are attached. Under certain assumptions, it is shown that the probability measures P_n converge weakly to some limiting measure, and that the limiting metric space is compact. Since our blocks are identically distributed, the growth of the random recursive metric space is unbounded (and so do not have a compact limit).

1.3. Main result

We start by defining the insertion depth of a block in a random recursive metric space, and the random depth within a block.

Definition 1. For a sequence of random recursive metric spaces $\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots$, define the (random) *insertion depth* Δ_n of the block \mathbf{B}_n as the distance from the master hook to the n th latch v_n . That is, $\Delta_n = D_n(H, v_n)$.

Definition 2 Given the block \mathbf{B}_n , define the *random depth* Δ'_n within \mathbf{B}_n to be the distance $D'_n(H'_n, U)$ from the hook H'_n to a point $U \in \mathbf{B}_n$ chosen at random according to P'_n .

Note that, for $n \geq 1$, all Δ'_n are identically and independently distributed to a random variable Δ' . The distance functions $D_n(H, x)$ and $D'_n(H_n, x)$ are continuous functions on their respective metric spaces, and so Δ_n and Δ'_n are measurable random variables. We are now ready to state the main result of this work.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}[W^2]$, $\mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2]$, and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]$ are all finite. Then

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\ln n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{\mathbb{E}[W]}, \quad \frac{\Delta_n - \mathbb{E}[W]^{-1}\mathbb{E}[W\Delta'] \ln n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{\mathbb{E}[W]}\right).$$

Remark 1. Note that we make no assumptions on $\mathbb{E}[(\Delta')^2]$ or $\mathbb{E}[\Delta']$ for Theorem 1. Of course, if W and Δ' are independent, then moment conditions on Δ' are required.

Remark 2. It may be tempting to hope for almost sure (a.s.) convergence instead of convergence in probability in Theorem 1. But almost sure convergence doesn't hold in even the simplest case, random recursive trees. Devroye proved that for the insertion depth Δ_n in random recursive trees, $\Delta_n / \ln n \xrightarrow{p} 1$ [8]. But it is well known that the degree of the root in random recursive trees is unbounded, so $\Delta_n = 0$ occurs infinitely often. Even more, we know that $H_n = \max\{\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n\}$ satisfies $H_n / \ln n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} e$ [23], and so almost sure convergence of the insertion depth cannot hold.

To prove Theorem 1, we make use of an observation present in many works on insertion depths in random recursive trees and their generalizations. For each n , define independent Bernoulli random variables $Y_{n,0}, Y_{n,1}, Y_{n,2}, \dots, Y_{n,n-1}$ such that

$$Y_{n,k} \sim \text{Be}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right).$$

It was shown in [8] that the insertion depth Δ_n of the n th vertex in a random recursive tree is distributed as the number of records in a uniform random permutation, and so has distribution $\Delta_n \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Y_{n,k}$. An application of Lindeberg's condition on the sum of the independent random variables $Y_{n,k}$ yields a normal limit law for Δ_n . The observation that the insertion depth can be written as a sum of Bernoulli random variables appears in several works; see, for example, [5, 9–11, 13, 15, 16, 22, 24, 25]. In this work, we show that the insertion depth for random recursive metric spaces is a sum of a product of $\Delta'_{n,k} \sim \Delta'_k$ and Bernoulli random variables $J_{n,k}$, this time with success probability W_k/S_k (see Lemma 1). We then approximate these sums of random variables with martingales that satisfy the conditions necessary to apply a martingale central limit theorem, specifically [14, Corollary 3.1].

2. Applications of Theorem 1

In this section we outline how random recursive metric spaces generalize models of preferential attachment trees, hooking networks, and constructions of iterative gluing of line segments. We recover previous results on the insertion depths, and further generalize these models in natural ways to prove new results. The applications listed here are by no means exhaustive, but are meant to be illustrative of the generality of random recursive metric spaces and of Theorem 1.

2.1. Models of random trees

Consider the following generalization of random recursive trees. Set $\alpha \geq 0$, let A, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) nonnegative random real numbers such that $\mathbb{P}(A = 0) < 1$, and let A_0 be a strictly positive random real number. A sequence of trees T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots is constructed with an initial tree T_0 consisting of a single vertex v_0 acting as the root of all trees that follow. At each step $n \geq 0$ in the growth process, given A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n and T_n , a vertex v_k is chosen at random from T_n with probability

$$\frac{\alpha \deg^+(v_k) + A_k}{\sum_{i=0}^n (\alpha \deg^+(v_i) + A_i)}, \tag{2}$$

where $\deg^+(v_i)$ is the number of children of v_i , and an edge is drawn from v_k to a new child vertex v_{n+1} to form T_{n+1} . Since T_n has $n + 1$ vertices and n edges, the denominator of (2) simplifies to $\alpha n + \sum_{i=0}^n A_i$.

If $A_n = 1$ for all n , then T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots is a sequence of linear preferential attachment trees; this class of random tree models includes random recursive trees ($\alpha = 0$) and random plane-oriented recursive trees ($\alpha = 1$) [20, 27]. If the A_i are random and $\alpha = 0$, then a sequence of random weighted recursive trees is constructed as in [18, 22, 25]. Allowing $\alpha > 0$ results in a sequence of preferential attachment trees with additive i.i.d. random fitness (this model appears, for example, in [17]).

We can further generalize these models of random trees by giving random lengths to the edges, similar to models from [3, 4]. For a random variable $L \geq 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(L = 0) < 1$, when attaching the vertex v_n to the tree, we can give a ‘length’ $L_n \sim L$ to the edge joining v_n to its parent. The insertion depth Δ_n is then the sum of the lengths L_k along the path from the root to v_n . The random variable L_n can be independent of A_n , or we may have a joint distribution on (A_n, L_n) . The tree models described above correspond to the case $L = 1$.

We may construct these trees as random recursive metric spaces. For $n \geq 1$, consider blocks B_n where B_n is the graph K_2 consisting of two vertices joined by an edge. One of the vertices is labelled H'_n and we will call the other vertex v_n . The metric D'_n on the vertices of B_n is defined so that $D'_n(H'_n, v_n) = L_n$. The probability measure P'_n is defined such that $P'_n(H'_n) = \alpha / (\alpha + A_n)$ and $P'_n(v_n) = A_n / (\alpha + A_n)$. The weight of the block B_n is $W_n = \alpha + A_n$. Define B_0 with B_0 consisting of a single vertex v_0 with probability measure $P'_0(v_0) = 1$. Set the weight of B_0 to be $W_0 = A_0$. This construction allows us to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For $\alpha \geq 0$, let T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots be preferential attachment trees with fitness distribution A and random edge lengths with distribution L as described above, and let Δ_n be the insertion depth of the vertex v_n . If $\mathbb{E}[A^2]$, $\mathbb{E}[A^2L^2]$, and $\mathbb{E}[AL^2]$ are all finite, then

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\ln n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[AL]}{\alpha + \mathbb{E}[A]}, \quad \frac{\Delta_n - (\alpha + \mathbb{E}[A])^{-1} \mathbb{E}[AL] \ln n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[AL^2]}{\alpha + \mathbb{E}[A]}\right).$$

Proof. We start by showing that the random recursive metric spaces G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots constructed from the blocks B_n above are distributed as the trees T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots . First, we note that G_n is a tree; every time a latch is attached with a hook, these two vertices are joined together, leaving a new child adjacent to the latch. To see that the trees constructed coincide with the random tree models described above, look at the vertex v_k (belonging to the block B_k), and suppose $I_{n,k} \subseteq \{k + 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of indices of blocks B_i whose hook H'_i is joined with v_k . Then the probability that v_k is chosen as the latch to construct G_{n+1} , by the definition of P_n as the weighted sum of the probability measures P'_0, P'_1, P'_2, \dots , is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_n(v_k) &= \frac{W_k}{S_n} P'_k(v_k) + \sum_{i \in I_{n,k}} \frac{W_i}{S_n} P'_i(H'_i) = \frac{1}{S_n} \left((\alpha + A_k) \frac{A_k}{\alpha + A_k} + \sum_{i \in I_{n,k}} (\alpha + A_i) \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + A_i} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\alpha |I_{n,k}| + A_k}{S_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|I_{n,k}| = \text{deg}^+(v_k)$ and $S_n = A_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha + A_k) = \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^n A_k$, we see that $P_n(v_k)$ is the same as in (2), and so the two models are equal in distribution.

Next, we evaluate W and Δ' . We see that $\mathbb{E}[W] = \alpha + \mathbb{E}[A]$ and $\mathbb{E}[W^2] = \alpha^2 + 2\alpha\mathbb{E}[A] + \mathbb{E}[A^2]$. Conditioned on (A_n, L_n) , the random variable Δ'_n has distribution

$$\Delta'_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + A_n}, \\ L_n & \text{with probability } \frac{A_n}{\alpha + A_n}, \end{cases}$$

and $\Delta'_0 = 0$. Quick calculations yield $\mathbb{E}[W\Delta'] = \mathbb{E}[AL]$ and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2] = \mathbb{E}[AL^2]$, as well as $\mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2] = \alpha\mathbb{E}[AL^2] + \alpha\mathbb{E}[A^2L^2]$. The moment conditions to apply Theorem 1 are satisfied if $\mathbb{E}[A^2]$, $\mathbb{E}[A^2L^2]$, and $\mathbb{E}[AL^2]$ are all finite, proving the theorem. \square

When $L = 1$ these results coincide with central limit theorems proved for random recursive trees when $\alpha = 0$ [8, 19], for random plane-oriented recursive trees when $\alpha = 1$ [20], and for random weighted recursive trees (where stronger results on the profile of vertices were proved in [22, 25]). A weak law of large numbers was proved for specific cases of random recursive trees with random edge lengths [3, 13], but the general result of Theorem 2 is new.

2.2. Hooking networks

For a graph G , let $E(G)$ and $V(G)$ be the edge set and vertex set of G respectively, and let $\text{deg}(v)$ be the degree of a vertex in G . Let $\mathcal{C} = \{G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots\}$ be a collection of finite connected graphs (self-loops and multi-edges are allowed) called blocks, each with an identified vertex h_i called a hook, and each associated with a positive probability p_i such that $\sum p_i = 1$. Let $\chi \geq 0$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ be two parameters with the condition that $\chi + \rho > 0$. The hooking networks $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$ are constructed by first setting \mathcal{H}_0 as an isomorphic copy of a graph selected from \mathcal{C} (at random or not). For $n \geq 0$, \mathcal{H}_{n+1} is constructed from \mathcal{H}_n by first selecting a vertex v called a latch from \mathcal{H}_n with probability

$$\frac{\chi \text{deg}(v) + \rho}{\sum_{u \in V(\mathcal{H}_n)} (\chi \text{deg}(u) + \rho)}. \tag{3}$$

Once a latch is selected, a graph G_i is selected from \mathcal{C} with probability p_i , and an isomorphic copy of G_i is attached by fusing the hook h_i with the latch v . The selection probability in (3) is

very similar to the selection probability (2) for trees. The difference arises from the fact that (3) is a function of the vertex degree instead of the number of children, and the condition $\chi + \rho > 0$ guarantees that this probability is positive and well defined. Hooking networks were introduced in [21], where the set of blocks consisted of a single graph. The model was generalized in [6] to allow for finitely many blocks in \mathcal{C} .

We may generalize hooking networks further by replacing ρ with random fitnesses; whenever a graph G_i is attached to the hooking network, every vertex $v \neq h_i$ from G_i is assigned a random fitness ρ_v , such that $\chi \deg(v) + \rho_v \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\chi \deg(v) + \rho_v = 0) < 1$, independently of the construction of the hooking networks so far (though not necessarily independently of the other vertices within G_i). Then, for future hooking, the probability of selecting $v \in \mathcal{H}_n$ to be a latch is given by

$$\frac{\chi \deg(v) + \rho_v}{\sum_{u \in V(\mathcal{H}_n)} (\chi \deg(u) + \rho_u)}. \tag{4}$$

We can construct random recursive metric spaces that are distributed as hooking networks. For $n \geq 0$, we define \mathbf{B}_n so that B_n is a graph G_i selected at random from \mathcal{C} with probability p_i , with an identified hook $H'_n = h_i$, and D'_n is the standard graph metric on B_n . Let $\deg_{B_n}(v)$ be the degree of v within B_n . Random fitnesses ρ_v are then assigned to each vertex $v \in B_n$ such that when v is not the hook, $\chi \deg_{B_n}(v) + \rho_v \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\chi \deg_{B_n}(v) + \rho_v = 0) < 1$, while for the hooks we have the condition $\chi \deg_{B_0}(H) + \rho_H > 0$ when $n = 0$ and we set $\rho_{H'_n} = 0$ when $n \geq 1$ (since a hook will be fused with a vertex in the hooking network and only contribute $\chi \deg_{B_n}(H'_n)$ to future hooking). The weight of \mathbf{B}_n is given by

$$W_n = \sum_{v \in V(B_n)} (\chi \deg_{B_n}(v) + \rho_v) = 2\chi |E(B_n)| + \sum_{v \in V(B_n)} \rho_v,$$

where the last equality follows from the handshaking lemma. The probability P'_n is defined such that $P'_n(v) = (\chi \deg_{B_n}(v) + \rho_v)/W_n$. The condition $\chi \deg_{B_0}(H) + \rho_H > 0$ for $n = 0$ guarantees that $W_0 > 0$.

Given the definition of the blocks \mathbf{B}_n , we can explicitly calculate the values $\mathbb{E}[W]$, $\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']$, and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]$. To start, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \sum_{G_i \in \mathcal{C}} p_i \left(2\chi |E(G_i)| + \sum_{v \in V(G_i)} \mathbb{E}[\rho_v] \right). \tag{5}$$

Conditioned on \mathbf{B}_n , the distribution of Δ'_n is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\Delta'_n = k | \mathbf{B}_n) = \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{v \in V(B_n)} (\chi \deg_{B_n}(v) + \rho_v) \mathbf{I}_{\{D'_n(H'_n, v) = k\}},$$

and so

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n \Delta'_n | \mathbf{B}_n] = W_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \mathbb{P}(\Delta'_n = k | \mathbf{B}_n) = \sum_{v \in V(B_n)} D'_n(H'_n, v) (\chi \deg_{B_n}(v) + \rho_v).$$

If we let $\delta_{G_i}(v)$ be the distance from h_i to v in the graph G_i then, by the law of total expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[W\Delta'] = \sum_{G_i \in \mathcal{C}} p_i \sum_{v \in V(G_i)} \delta_{G_i}(v) (\chi \deg_{G_i}(v) + \mathbb{E}[\rho_v]), \tag{6}$$

and by a similar argument

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2] = \sum_{G_i \in \mathcal{C}} p_i \sum_{v \in V(G_i)} (\delta_{G_i}(v))^2 (\chi \deg_{G_i}(v) + \mathbb{E}[\rho_v]). \tag{7}$$

We can also develop equations for $\mathbb{E}[W^2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2]$, but we simply note that these expressions are only dependent on \mathcal{C} , the probabilities p_1, p_2, \dots , and the distributions of the ρ_v . We know what conditions are needed to apply Theorem 1 to the random recursive metric spaces $\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots$ constructed from the blocks \mathbf{B}_n . We simply need to show that these random recursive metric spaces are distributed as hooking networks. To avoid confusion with the blocks G_i , we will write $\mathbf{G}_n = (\mathcal{G}_n, D_n, H, P_n, S_n)$ for the random recursive metric spaces.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \{G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots\}$ be a set of finite graphs with probabilities p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots that sum to 1, and independently for each graph G_i , let ρ_v be a fitness distribution for each $v \in G_i$ satisfying the conditions above. Let $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$ be hooking networks constructed as above, and let Δ_n be the insertion depth of the n th block. If $\mathbb{E}[W^2], \mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2]$, and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]$ are all finite, then*

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\ln n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{\mathbb{E}[W]}, \quad \frac{\Delta_n - \mathbb{E}[W]^{-1}\mathbb{E}[W\Delta'] \ln n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{\mathbb{E}[W]}\right),$$

where $\mathbb{E}[W], \mathbb{E}[W\Delta']$, and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]$ are given in (5), (6), and (7) respectively.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we only need to show that the selection of a latch v_n in the random recursive metric space \mathbf{G}_n is made with probability (4) to prove they have the same distribution. Let v be a vertex in \mathcal{G}_n , and let k be the smallest value for which v belongs to \mathbf{B}_k (recall that all hooks other than H are fused with some other vertex in the hooking network). Let $I_{n,v} \subseteq \{k+1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of indices of blocks \mathbf{B}_i whose hook H'_i is fused with v . Since $\rho_{H'_i} = 0$ for all $i \in I_{n,v}$, the probability of selecting v as a latch to construct \mathcal{G}_{n+1} is given by

$$P_n(v) = \frac{W_k}{S_n} P'_k(v) + \sum_{i \in I_{n,v}} \frac{W_i}{S_n} P'_i(H'_i) = \frac{1}{S_n} \left(\chi \deg_{\mathbf{B}_k}(v) + \rho_v + \sum_{i \in I_{n,v}} \chi \deg_{\mathbf{B}_i}(H'_i) \right).$$

Since fusing a hook H'_i to v increases the degree of v by $\deg_i(H'_i)$ in the hooking network, then $\chi \deg_{\mathbf{B}_k}(v) + \rho_v + \sum_{i \in I_{n,v}} \chi \deg_{\mathbf{B}_i}(H'_i) = \chi \deg(v) + \rho_v$, where $\deg(v)$ is the degree of v in \mathcal{G}_n , which is the numerator in (4). Every time a block \mathbf{B}_k is attached to the hooking network, $|E(\mathbf{B}_k)|$ new edges are added, and using again that $\rho_{H'_n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$,

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n W_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(2\chi |E(\mathbf{B}_k)| + \sum_{v \in V(\mathbf{B}_k)} \rho_v \right) = 2\chi |E(\mathcal{G}_n)| + \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{G}_n)} \rho_v.$$

By applying the handshaking lemma, S_n is the denominator in (4). Thus, the probability of choosing any vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{G}_n)$ to be a latch is equal to (4), and the random recursive metric spaces are distributed as hooking networks. Applying Theorem 1 completes the proof. \square

The classic hooking networks are recovered if the ρ_v are all equal to a deterministic ρ for all vertices v other than H'_n for $n \geq 1$ (and $\rho_{H'_n} = 0$). If \mathcal{C} is also a finite collection of finite graphs, then it is evident that the moment conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. When the weights W_n

are deterministic, which holds, for example, when $\chi = 0$ and all blocks have the same number of vertices, or when $\rho = 0$ for all vertices and all blocks have the same number of edges, a normal limit law for the insertion depth was proved in [7] (where the term *affinity* is used for the weight of the blocks). Theorem 3 generalizes this result in the classic case by allowing for blocks that produce random weights W_n . When ρ_v are random, the moment conditions for Theorem 3 are also met if \mathcal{C} is finite and if $\mathbb{E}[\rho_v^2] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[\rho_v \rho_u] < \infty$ for all u, v in a graph G_i .

The set of blocks \mathcal{C} can also be infinite. We present an example where the blocks are paths of geometric lengths. Fix $p \in (0, 1)$ and let $\chi = 0$ and $\rho = 1$ (so latches are chosen uniformly at random among all the vertices when growing the hooking network). Let $W_n \sim \text{Ge}(p)$, and let B_n be a path of length W_n (with $W_n + 1$ vertices). Then the weight of this block is W_n and $P'_n(v) = 1/W_n$ for $v \neq H'_n$ (and $P'_n(H'_n) = 0$). Given W_n , the random variable Δ'_n is distributed as $\mathbb{P}(\Delta'_n = j) = 1/W_n$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Quick calculations reveal that $\mathbb{E}[W] = 1/p$, $\mathbb{E}[W\Delta'] = 1/p^2$, and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2] = (2 - p)/p^3$. Applying Theorem 3 gives

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\ln n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{p}, \quad \frac{\Delta_n - (1/p) \ln n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{2 - p}{p^2}\right).$$

We note that we may apply Theorem 1 to further generalizations of hooking networks; for example, we may also assign random lengths to the edges (similar to the random lengths added to edges of trees in Section 2.1), though we note that the shortest path from one vertex to another may change depending on the edge lengths.

2.3. Constructions from line segments

In the applications we have seen so far, the blocks have been discrete metric spaces. But we can certainly take continuous spaces as well. As a simple example, we present random trees constructed from line segments similar to those in [2, 5, 13].

Let W, W_1, W_2, \dots be i.i.d. nonnegative random variables with $\mathbb{P}[W = 0] < 1$, and let B_n be a line segment of length W_n . We recursively construct the spaces $\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots$ in the following way: \mathcal{T}_0 is the line segment B_0 with one endpoint called a root, and for $n \geq 0$, sample a point v uniformly at random from \mathcal{T}_n and glue the segment B_n to v . A law of large numbers for the insertion depth was proved for the case $W = 1$ in [13]. We apply Theorem 1 to the more general spaces \mathcal{T}_n .

In the notation of random recursive metric spaces, define the blocks B_n in the following way. Let B_n be a copy of the line segment $[0, W_n]$ with Euclidean metric D'_n , and let the point 0 be the hook H'_n . Let P'_n be uniform on B_n (so P'_n has the same distribution as the uniform distribution $U[0, W_n]$). Set the weight of B_n as W_n . We can show that the random recursive metric spaces are distributed as \mathcal{T}_n , and so we just need to find the moment conditions on W needed to apply Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots$ be the random spaces defined above, and let Δ_n be the distance from the root to where the n th segment is glued. If $\mathbb{E}[W^4] < \infty$, then*

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\ln n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[W^2]}{2\mathbb{E}[W]}, \quad \frac{\Delta_n - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}[W])^{-1}\mathbb{E}[W^2] \ln n}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[W^3]}{3\mathbb{E}[W]}\right).$$

Proof. It is evident that the random recursive metric spaces constructed from B_n are distributed as $\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots$; at each step $n \geq 0$ we can sample v from \mathcal{T}_n by first sampling

the segment B_k with probability W_k/S_n and sampling a point uniformly in B_k . From the first two moments of the uniform distribution we get that

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n \Delta'_n | W_n] = \frac{W_n^2}{2}, \quad \mathbb{E}[W_n (\Delta'_n)^2 | W_n] = \frac{W_n^3}{3}, \quad \mathbb{E}[(W_n \Delta'_n)^2 | W_n] = \frac{W_n^4}{3},$$

and so, from the law of total expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[W \Delta'] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W^2]}{2}, \quad \mathbb{E}[W (\Delta')^2] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W^3]}{3}, \quad \mathbb{E}[(W \Delta')^2] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W^4]}{3}.$$

Thus, we apply Theorem 1 if $\mathbb{E}[W^4] < \infty$, proving the theorem. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Given the blocks B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots , for every n define for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ the sequence of independent (conditioned on the blocks) random variables $Y_{n,k} = J_{n,k} \Delta'_{n,k}$, where

$$J_{n,k} \sim \text{Be}\left(\frac{W_k}{S_k}\right),$$

$\Delta'_{n,k} \sim \Delta'_k$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\Delta'_{n,0} \sim \Delta'_0$. Notice that $J_{n,0} = 1$. Conditioned on B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots , the random variables $J_{n,k}$ and $\Delta'_{n,k}$ are taken to be independent (though of course W_k and $\Delta'_{n,k} \sim \Delta'_k$ are dependent on the block B_k).

As mentioned in the introduction, several previous works have described the insertion depth in related models as sums of Bernoulli random variables. Here, we provide a similar observation for random recursive metric spaces, in this case describing the insertion depth as the sum of $Y_{n,k}$. Let G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots be random recursive metric spaces constructed from the blocks B_n . By the definition of the probability measure P_n , we can choose the latch v_{n+1} by first choosing a block B_k already attached to G_n with probability W_k/S_n , and then choosing the latch v_{n+1} within B_k according to P'_k . Once the new block B_{n+1} is attached to v_{n+1} , we will say that the block B_{n+1} is a child of the block B_k . Similarly define the notion of blocks as parents, descendants, and ancestors. For a fixed n , let $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_t$ be all the values k_i for which $J_{n,k_i} = 1$, and let $k_{t+1} = n$. We will develop a coupling between the collection of random variables $Y_{n,k}, J_{n,k}, \Delta'_{n,k}$ and random recursive metric spaces, whereby $J_{n,k} = 1$ if and only if B_k is an ancestor of B_n in the random recursive metric space and $D_n(v_{k_i}, v_{k_{i+1}})$ is distributed as Δ_{n,k'_i} for all $i = 0, \dots, t$. If this holds, then

$$\Delta_n = \sum_{i=0}^t D_n(v_{k_i}, v_{k_{i+1}}) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} J_{n,k} \Delta'_{n,k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Y_{n,k}.$$

The following lemma is contained in the proof of [24, Lemma 3], but we provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1. *With $Y_{n,k}$ defined above, the insertion depth Δ_n of the n th block added to a random recursive metric space G_n is distributed as $\Delta_n \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Y_{n,k}$.*

Proof. Fix the step n throughout this proof. For a sequence of blocks B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n , sample the random variables $J_{n,k}, \Delta'_{n,k}$, and $Y_{n,k}$ as described above. We construct a sequence of random recursive metric spaces G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n . Set $G_0 = B_0$. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, choose the latch v_k by first choosing a block B_{ℓ_k} in the following way:

- If $k = n$ or $J_{n,k} = 1$, then $\ell_k = \max_{0 \leq k' < k} \{J_{n,k'} = 1\}$. This is always defined since $J_{n,0} = 1$. Next, choose the latch v_k within B_{ℓ_k} according to P'_{ℓ_k} conditioned on $D'_{\ell_k}(H_{\ell_k}, v_k) = \Delta'_{n,\ell_k}$ (this is well defined by the Radon–Nikodym theorem since $\{x \in B_{\ell_k} : D'_{\ell_k}(H_{\ell_k}, x) = \Delta'_{n,\ell_k}\}$ is a closed set in B_{ℓ_k} , and so belongs to the sigma algebra for which P'_{ℓ_k} is defined).
- If $k \neq n$ and $J_{n,k} = 0$, then ℓ_k is chosen at random among $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ with probability W_{ℓ_k}/S_{k-1} , and v_k is chosen in B_{ℓ_k} according to P'_{ℓ_k} .

If $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_t$ are all the values k_i for which $J_{n,k_i} = 1$, notice that the parent of B_n is B_{k_t} , the parent of B_{k_t} is $B_{k_{t-1}}$, and so on until B_0 . Conversely, if $J_{n,k} = 0$, then B_k cannot be the parent of any of $B_{k_1}, B_{k_2}, \dots, B_{k_t}, B_n$. Therefore, the block B_k is an ancestor of B_n if and only if $J_{n,k} = 1$.

To prove that G_0, G_n, \dots, G_n is distributed as random recursive metric spaces, we need only show that the choice of ℓ_k is made with probability W_{ℓ_k}/S_{k-1} . Indeed, we see that, conditioned on the blocks B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n , for $k < n$, ℓ_k is chosen with probability

$$\mathbb{P}(J_{n,k} = 1, J_{n,\ell_k} = 1, J_{n,\ell_k+1} = \dots = J_{n,k-1} = 0) + \mathbb{P}(J_{n,k} = 0) \frac{W_{\ell_k}}{S_{k-1}}, \tag{8}$$

and when $k = n$, $\ell_k < n - 1$ is chosen with probability

$$\mathbb{P}(J_{n,\ell_k} = 1, J_{n,\ell_k+1} = \dots = J_{n,k-1} = 0), \tag{9}$$

and $\ell_k = n - 1$ is chosen with probability $\mathbb{P}(J_{n,n-1} = 1) = W_{n-1}/S_{n-1} = W_{\ell_k}/S_{k-1}$. Since, conditioned on the blocks B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(J_{n,\ell_k} = 1, J_{n,\ell_k+1} = \dots = J_{n,k-1} = 0) &= \frac{W_{\ell_k}}{S_{\ell_k}} \prod_{k'=\ell_k+1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{W_{k'}}{S_{k'}}\right) \\ &= \frac{W_{\ell_k}}{S_{\ell_k}} \prod_{k'=\ell_k+1}^{k-1} \frac{S_{k'-1}}{S_{k'}} = \frac{W_{\ell_k}}{S_{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

both (8) and (9) simplify to W_{ℓ_k}/S_{k-1} . Therefore, we have indeed constructed a sequence of random recursive metric spaces and, from the construction described, we see that $\Delta_n = D_n(H, v_n) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Y_{n,k}$. \square

We now introduce the martingales we will use to prove Theorem 1. For every $n \geq 1$ and $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, let $\mathcal{F}_{n,k}$ be the σ -algebra generated by W_1, \dots, W_k and all $Y_{m,\ell}$ defined for $m \leq n, \ell \leq k$. Note that the filtrations $(\mathcal{F}_{n,k})_{k=0}^{n-1}$ are nested (that is, $\mathcal{F}_{n,k} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{n,k+1}$). Define

$$M_{n,k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k (Y_{n,\ell} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,\ell} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,\ell-1}]), \quad L_{n,k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,\ell} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,\ell-1}],$$

so that $\sum_{\ell=0}^k Y_{n,\ell} = Y_{n,0} + M_{n,k} + L_{n,k}$. Then, by Lemma 1, $\Delta_n \sim Y_{n,0} + M_{n,n-1} + L_{n,n-1}$. We will apply a martingale central limit theorem, namely [14, Corollary 3.1], to $\{M_{n,k}, 1 \leq k < n, n \geq 2\}$ scaled by $\sqrt{\ln n}$ to prove Theorem 1. We begin with some useful calculations.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $\mathbb{E}[W^2]$, $\mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2]$, and $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]$ are all finite. Then, for almost every sequence B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots of blocks, the following hold:*

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{k\mathbb{E}[W]} + O(k^{-2}), \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y_{n,k} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}])^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{k\mathbb{E}[W]} + O(k^{-2}), \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{Y_{n,k} > c\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta' > c\}}]}{k\mathbb{E}[W]} + O(k^{-2}), \quad c > 0. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Throughout this argument we use that for almost every sequence W_1, W_2, \dots of weights, $S_k = k\mathbb{E}[W] + o(k^{3/4})$. This is guaranteed, for example, by the law of the iterated logarithm, since the W_i are i.i.d. and have finite variances. Also note that given our conditions $\mathbb{E}[W^2] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2] < \infty$, since W and Δ' are nonnegative, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}[W^2\Delta'] = \mathbb{E}[W^2\Delta' \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta' \leq 1\}}] + \mathbb{E}[W^2\Delta' \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta' > 1\}}] \leq \mathbb{E}[W^2] + \mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2] < \infty.$$

Define $\mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}^* = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_{n,k-1} \cup \sigma(W_k, \Delta'_{n,k}))$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}^*] = \frac{W_k}{S_{k-1} + W_k} \Delta'_{n,k} = \Delta'_{n,k} \left(\frac{W_k}{S_{k-1}} - \frac{W_k^2}{S_{k-1}^2 + S_{k-1}W_k} \right).$$

Since S_{k-1} and W_k are nonnegative, the second term in the parentheses above is bounded by $W_k^2 S_{k-1}^{-2}$. From our approximation for S_k and since $\mathbb{E}[W^2\Delta'] < \infty$, applying the tower rule yields that, almost surely,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}^*] | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{k\mathbb{E}[W]} + O(k^{-2}),$$

which is (10). Similarly, we have the conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}^*] = \frac{W_k}{S_{k-1} + W_k} (\Delta'_{n,k})^2,$$

and from our approximation for S_k and the assumption $\mathbb{E}[(W\Delta')^2] < \infty$, applying the tower rule yields that, almost surely,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{k\mathbb{E}[W]} + O(k^{-2}).$$

Subtracting $(\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}])^2 = O(k^{-2})$ from the equation above yields (11). Finally, note that $Y_{n,k} > c$ if and only if $J_{n,k} = 1$ and $\Delta'_{n,k} > c$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{Y_{n,k} > c\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}^*] = \frac{W_k}{S_{k-1} + W_k} (\Delta'_{n,k})^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta'_{n,k} > c\}}.$$

Using the tower rule once more provides that (12) holds almost surely. □

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this work.

Proof of Theorem 1. We start by proving that $\{(\ln n)^{-1/2} M_{n,k}, 1 \leq k < n, n \geq 2\}$ satisfies the conditions necessary to apply [14, Corollary 3.1]. Note that

$$M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1} = Y_{n,k} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}]. \tag{13}$$

We see immediately that $\mathbb{E}[M_{n,k}] = 0$, and from (13) we have

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = 0.$$

From the moment conditions stated in Theorem 1, we also have $\mathbb{E}[M_{n,k}^2] < \infty$ for all n and k . Thus, $\{(\ln n)^{-1/2} M_{n,k}, 1 \leq k < n, n \geq 2\}$ is a zero-mean square-integrable martingale array.

For the conditional variance, we conclude from (11) and (13) that, almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1})^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(Y_{n,k} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}])^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{\mathbb{E}[W]} \ln n + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1}}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1} \right] \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{\mathbb{E}[W]}.$$

As for the conditional Lindeberg condition, we start by noting that, for any $c > 0$, (10) guarantees that, almost surely, for large enough k we have $\mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] < c$. Thus, almost surely, for large enough k , $|Y_{n,k} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}]| > c$ implies that $Y_{n,k} > c$ and that $(Y_{n,k} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}])^2 < Y_{n,k}^2$. Thus, from (12) and (13), almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1})^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{|M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1}| > c\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] &< \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k}^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{Y_{n,k} > c\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta' > c\}}]}{\mathbb{E}[W]} \ln n + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, since $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2] < \infty$, we have $\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta' > \varepsilon \sqrt{\ln n}\}}] \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1}}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \right)^2 \mathbf{I}_{\{|M_{n,k} - M_{n,k-1}| > \varepsilon \sqrt{\ln n}\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1} \right] \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

All the conditions of [14, Corollary 3.1] are satisfied, and so

$$\frac{M_{n,n-1}}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{\mathbb{E}[W]} \right). \tag{14}$$

By applying (10), we have, almost surely,

$$L_{n,n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n,k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{\mathbb{E}[W]} \ln n + O(1). \tag{15}$$

Since $\Delta_n \sim Y_{n,0} + M_{n,n-1} + L_{n,n-1}$, we can conclude from (14) and (15) the central limit theorem

$$\frac{\Delta_n - \mathbb{E}[W]^{-1} \mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{\sqrt{\ln n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[W(\Delta')^2]}{\mathbb{E}[W]} \right).$$

As for the weak law of large numbers, we have $M_{n,n-1}/\ln n \xrightarrow{d} 0$ from (14) and Slutsky's theorem. Since we have convergence in distribution to a constant, the convergence holds in probability as well. From (15), we can conclude that $L_{n,n-1}/\ln n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[W]^{-1}\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']$, and so

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\ln n} = \frac{Y_{n,0} + M_{n,n-1} + L_{n,n-1}}{\ln n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}[W\Delta']}{\mathbb{E}[W]}.$$

□

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referees for their comments in improving the presentation of this work, and in particular, an anonymous referee for suggesting the martingale approach for the proof of Theorem 1. The author would also like to thank Svante Janson for valuable and helpful discussions in the preparation of this work. This work was partially completed while the author was a PhD student at Uppsala University.

Funding information

There are no funding bodies to thank relating to the creation of this article.

Competing interests

There were no competing interests to declare which arose during the preparation or publication process of this article.

References

- [1] ABRAHAM, R., DELMAS, J. F. AND HOSCHEIT, P. (2013). A note on the Gromov–Hausdorff–Prokhorov distance between (locally) compact metric measure spaces. *Electron. J. Probab.* **18**, 14.
- [2] AMINI, O., DEVROYE, L., GRIFFITHS, S. AND OLVER, N. (2017). Explosion and linear transit times in infinite trees. *Prob. Theory Relat. Fields* **167**, 325–347.
- [3] BOROVKOV, K. AND VATUTIN, V. (2006). On the asymptotic behaviour of random recursive trees in random environments. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **38**, 1047–1070.
- [4] BROUTIN, N. AND DEVROYE, L. (2006). Large deviations for the weighted height of an extended class of trees. *Algorithmica* **46**, 271–297.
- [5] CURIEN, N. AND HAAS, B. (2017). Random trees constructed by aggregation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **67**, 1963–2001.
- [6] DESMARAIS, C. AND HOLMGREN, C. (2020). Normal limit laws for vertex degrees in randomly grown hooking networks and bipolar networks. *Electron. J. Combinatorics* **27**, #P2.45.
- [7] DESMARAIS, C. AND MAHMOUD, H. (2022). Depths in hooking networks. *Prob. Eng. Inf. Sci.* **36**, 941–949.
- [8] DEVROYE, L. (1988). Applications of the theory of records in the study of random trees. *Acta Informatica* **6**, 123–130.
- [9] DOBROW, R. P. (1992). On the distribution of distances in recursive trees. *J. Appl. Probab.* **33**, 749–757.
- [10] DRMOTA, M. (2009). *Random Trees: An Interplay Between Combinatorics and Probability*. Springer, Vienna.
- [11] ESLAVA, L. (2022). Depth of vertices with high degree in random recursive trees. *ALEA Latin Amer. J. Probab. Math. Statist.* **19**, 839–857.
- [12] GROMOV, M. (1999). *Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces*. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA.
- [13] HAAS, B. (2017). Asymptotic heights in random trees constructed by aggregation. *Electron. J. Probab.* **22**, 21.
- [14] HALL, P. AND HEYDE, C. C. (1980). *Martingale Limit Theory and its Application*. Academic Press, New York.
- [15] KUBA, M. AND WAGNER, S. (2010). On the distribution of depths in increasing trees. *Electron. J. Combinatorics* **17**, #R1.37.
- [16] LODEWIJKS, B. (2022). On joint properties of vertices with a given degree or label in the random recursive tree. *Electron. J. Probab.* **27**, 149.
- [17] LODEWIJKS, B. AND ORTGIESE, M. (2020). A phase transition for preferential attachment models with additive fitness. *Electron. J. Probab.* **25**, 146.

- [18] LODEWIJKS, B. AND ORTGIESE, M. (2021). The maximal degree in random recursive graphs with random weights. Preprint, arXiv:2007.05438.
- [19] MAHMOUD, H. (1991). Limiting distributions for path lengths in recursive trees. *Prob. Eng. Inf. Sci.* **5**, 53–59.
- [20] MAHMOUD, H. (1992). Distances in random plane-oriented recursive trees. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **41**, 237–245.
- [21] MAHMOUD, H. (2019). Local and global degree profiles of randomly grown self-similar hooking networks under uniform and preferential attachment. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **111**, 101930.
- [22] MAILLER, C. AND BRAVO, G. U. (2019). Random walks with preferential relocations and fading memory: A study through random recursive trees. *J. Statist. Mech. Theory Exp.* **9**, 093206.
- [23] PITTEL, B. (1994). Note on the heights of random recursive trees and random m -ary trees. *Random Structures Algorithms* **5**, 337–347.
- [24] SÉNIZERGUES, D. (2019). Random gluing of metric spaces. *Ann. Prob.* **57**, 3812–3865.
- [25] SÉNIZERGUES, D. (2021). Geometry of weighted recursive and affine preferential attachment trees. *Electron. J. Prob.* **26**, 80.
- [26] SÉNIZERGUES, D. (2022). Growing random graphs with a preferential attachment structure. *ALEA Latin Amer. J. Prob. Math. Statist.* **19**, 259–309.
- [27] SZYMAŃSKI, J. (1987). On a nonuniform random recursive tree. *Ann. Discrete Math.* **33**, 297–306.