

The

An International Journal of Current Research
and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

VOLUME 8
NUMBER 2
JUNE 1985

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

The nature of the black–white difference on various psychometric tests: Spearman’s hypothesis Arthur R. Jensen

Four frames suffice: A provisional model of vision and space
Jerome A. Feldman

Choice, optimal foraging, and the delay-reduction hypothesis Edmund Fantino and Nureya Abarca

Also, Continuing Commentary on

“Hemispheric specialization” (Bradshaw & Nettleton) and

“Depression and stress” (Anisman & Zacharko)

C A M B R I D G E U N I V E R S I T Y P R E S S

ISSN 0140-525X

The Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editor

Stevan Harnad
20 Nassau St., Suite 240
Princeton, NJ 08542

Assistant Editor

Helaine Randerson

Associate Editors

Behavioral Biology

Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin
Hubert Markl/Universität Konstanz

Biosocial Behavior

Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London and MPI, Nijmegen

Cognitive Neuroscience

Lynn Nadel/U. California, Irvine

Developmental Psychology

Charles J. Brainerd/University of Alberta

Evolutionary Biology

Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

History and Systems

Julian Jaynes/Princeton

Language and Cognition

Peter Wason/University College, London

Language and Language Disorders

Max Coltheart/U. London

Neurobiology

Neuropharmacology

Susan D. Iversen/Merck Sharp and Dohme, Ltd.

Neuropsychology

Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

Neurophysiology

Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

Paleoneurology

Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

Philosophy

Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts

Psychobiology

Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut

David S. Olton/Johns Hopkins

Quantitative Methods

Donald B. Rubin/U. Chicago

Vision and Artificial Intelligence

Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

Editorial Policy *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called **Open Peer Commentary*** to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See *Instructions for Authors and Commentators*, inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write to the editor.

This publication was supported in part by NIH Grant LM 03539 from the National Library of Medicine.

*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

Copying This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (21 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970). Organizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes.

ISI Tear Sheet Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only.

For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

Subscriptions *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September and December. Four parts form a volume. The subscription price, which includes postage, of Volume 8 (1985) is US \$128.00 net in the U.S.A. and Canada (£72.00 in the U.K. and rest of the world) for institutions; US \$35.00 net (£57.00) for individuals; US \$32.00 net (£20.00) for BBS Associates; and US \$32.00 net for students (in the U.S.A. and Canada only) who provide proof of eligibility with order. Single parts cost US \$35.00 net (£20.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller or, in the U.S.A. and Canada direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes in the U.S.A. and Canada to *The Brain and Behavioral Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Promotion Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

Contents Volume 8:2 July 1985

Jensen, A. R. The nature of the black–white difference on various psychometric tests: Spearman’s hypothesis 193

Open Peer Commentary

Bardis, P. D. Jensen, Spearman’s <i>g</i> , and Ghazali’s dates: A commentary on interracial peace	219	Nettelbeck, T. What reaction times time	235
Baron, J. Reliability and <i>g</i>	220	Nichols, R. C. Intelligence and its biological substrate	236
Borkowski, J. G. & Maxwell, S. E. Looking for Mr. Good- <i>g</i> : General intelligence and processing speed	221	Poortinga, Y. H. Empirical evidence of bias in choice reaction time experiments	236
Brand, C. Jensen’s compromise with componentialism	222	Posner, M. I. Chronometric measures of <i>g</i>	237
Callaway, E. Event-related potentials and the biology of human information processing	223	Rabbitt, P. M. A. Oh <i>g</i> Dr. Jensen! or, <i>g</i> -ing up cognitive psychology?	238
Carlson, J. S. The issue of <i>g</i> : Some relevant questions	224	Rushton, J. P. Differential K theory and group differences in <i>g</i>	239
Carr, T. H. & McDonald, J. L. Different approaches to individual differences	225	Schafer, E. W. P. Neural adaptability: A biological determinant of <i>g</i> factor intelligence	240
Cattell, R. B. Intelligence and <i>g</i> : An imaginative treatment of unimaginative data	227	Schönemann, P. H. On artificial intelligence	241
Das, J. P. Interpretations for a class on minority assessment	228	Stanovich, K. E. The black–white differences are real: Where do we go from here?	242
Eysenck, H. J. The nature of cognitive differences between blacks and whites	229	Sternberg, R. J. The black–white differences and Spearman’s <i>g</i> : Old wine in new bottles that still doesn’t taste good	244
Gordon, R. A. The black-white factor is <i>g</i>	229	Vernon, P. E. Interpretation of black–white differences in <i>g</i>	244
Gustafsson, J.-E. Measuring and interpreting <i>g</i>	231	Whimbe, A. Focusing on trainable <i>g</i>	245
Johnson, R. C. & Nagoshi, C. T. Do we know enough about <i>g</i> to be able to speak of black-white differences?	232	Wilson, J. R. Jensen’s support for Spearman’s hypothesis is support for a circular argument	246
Jones, L. V. Golly <i>g</i> : Interpreting Spearman’s general factor	233		
Kline, P. The nature of psychometric <i>g</i>	234	Author’s Response	
Macphail, E. M. Comparative studies of animal intelligence: Is Spearman’s <i>g</i> really Hull’s <i>D</i> ?	234	Jensen, A. R. The black–white difference in <i>g</i> : A phenomenon in search of a theory	246

Feldman, J. A. Four frames suffice: A provisional model of vision and space 265

Open Peer Commentary

Andersen, R. A. Head-centered coordinates and the stable feature frame	289	Kosslyn, S. M., Mainwaring, S. D. & Corcoran, T. A. Connectionism: There’s something to it	297
Browse, R. A. & Butler, B. E. Could three frames suffice?	290	Kuipers, B. The cognitive map overlaps the environmental frame, the situation, and the real-world formulary	298
Dodwell, P. C. Theories of perception as experimental epistemology	291	Oatley, K. Reliable computation in parallel networks	299
Glassman, R. B. Linking features in dimension of mind and brain	293	Richmond, B. J. & Goldberg, M. E. On computer science, visual science, and the physiological utility of models	300
Grossberg, S. Four frames do not suffice	294	Zucker, S. W. Does connectionism suffice?	301
Haber, R. N. Three frames suffice: Drop the retinotopic frame	295	Author’s Response	
Hinton, G. E. Three frames suffice	296	Feldman, Jerome A. Tunnel vision will not suffice	302

Fantino, E. & Abarca, N. Choice, optimal foraging, and the delay-reduction hypothesis 315

Open Peer Commentary

Barnard, C. J. Skinner box ecology: Rules to forage by	330	Branch, M. N. Preference for a hypothesis: Is the case “closed”?	332
Bovet, P. The adaptive fitness of randomness in choice and foraging behavior	331	Brown, B. L. Pavlovian factors in choice behavior	385
		Caraco, T. Encounter processes, prey densities, and efficient diets	333

Castonguay, T. W. Studies of food choice: The nutritional challenge	334	Lea, S. E. G. Optimality: Sequences, variability, learning	343
Davison, M. Foraging for a science of behavior	335	McNair, J. N. Optimal foraging for operant conditioners	343
Dinsmoor, J. A. The integrative power of the CS-US interval in other contexts	336	Mellgren, R. L. Outcome and mechanism in foraging	344
Gass, C. L. Reaching for an integrated science of behavior	337	Peden, B. F. Foraging and feeding in operant simulations	345
Green, R. F. An interdisciplinary approach to foraging behavior	338	Rowland, N. Of rats and men	346
Hanson, J. On the nature of support for optimal foraging theory	338	Sato, M. & Sakagami, T. Is simulated foraging similar to natural foraging?	346
Houston, A. I. Choice and preference—you can't always want what you get	339	Shettleworth, S. J. Questions about foraging	347
Kacelnik, A. & Krebs, J. R. Rate of reinforcement matters in optimal foraging theory	340	Snyderman, M. Levels of explanation	348
Killeen, P. R. Delay reduction: A field guide for optimal foragers?	341	Sokolowski, M. B. Genetic aspects to differences in foraging behavior	348
Kruse, J. M. Alternative approaches to the psychology of foraging	342	Wilkie, D. M. The validation problem	349
		Authors' Response	
		Fantino, E. & Abarca, N. The delay-reduction hypothesis: A choice solution	350

Continuing Commentary

On Bradshaw J. L. & Nettleton, N. C. The nature of hemispheric specialization in man. BBS 4: 51–91.

Coltheart, M. Right-hemisphere reading revisited	363	Author's Response	
Zaidel, E. & Schweiger, A. Right-hemisphere reading: A case of "déjà lu"	365	Bradshaw, J. L. Reading and the right hemisphere	367

On Anisman, H. & Zacharko, R. M. Depression: The predisposing influence of stress. BBS 5:89–137.

Algarabel, S. Learned helplessness, human depression, and perhaps endorphins?	369	Lloyd, C. & Swann, A. Depression and adaptation to stress: Toward a systems model	371
Baenninger, R. Neurochemistry and psychological "diseases"	369	Post, R. M. Stress sensitization, kindling, and conditioning	372
Friedhoff, A. J. & Platt, J. E. Stress and depression: Definitional problems	370	Steele, T. E. Stress and depression: Anything new?	373
Izard, C. E. The role of emotions in a systems view of depression	371	Authors' Response	
		Anisman, H. & Zacharko, R. M. More stress	374