

# 14

## Minimizers and Quasiminimizers

### 14.1 Quasiminimizers

In addition to currents and varifolds, there are several other ways to model minimal surfaces and related objects, see [139, 161]. Quasiminimizers provide a very natural and general setting for many variational problems. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be closed and unbounded such that for a fixed positive integer  $m$ ,  $0 < \mathcal{H}^m(E \cap B(x, r)) < \infty$  for  $x \in E, r > 0$ . We say that  $E$  is an  $m$ -quasiminimizer if for some  $M < \infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}^m(E \cap W) \leq M\mathcal{H}^m(f(E \cap W))$$

for all Lipschitz mappings  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $W = \{x: f(x) \neq x\}$  is bounded. If this holds with  $M = 1$ , then  $E$  minimizes  $m$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. The setting in the papers quoted below is more general. In particular, there is also a local, often very useful, version, but we skip it here. The quasiminimizers were introduced by Almgren in [9] under the name restricted sets. He proved that they are AD- $m$ -regular and  $m$ -rectifiable. David and Semmes investigated them in [150]. They re-proved Almgren's results and went further. The following is a special case of their results:

**Theorem 14.1** *If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a closed  $m$ -quasiminimizer, then  $E$  is AD- $m$ -regular, uniformly  $m$ -rectifiable and it contains big pieces of Lipschitz graphs (recall Section 5.2).*

Both Almgren's and David–Semmes's proofs use Lipschitz projections into  $k$ -dimensional cubical skeleta like in the Federer–Fleming proof of the deformation theorem of currents. First this gives AD-regularity. Then, by David and Semmes, via many complicated constructions, the big pieces of the Lipschitz graphs condition are verified.

The codimension 1 case was studied by different methods in [149] and [264].

All these papers contain many interesting results on and connections with various geometric variational problems.

There is much later work along these lines, see David's long paper [139] for a very general setting, for discussion and references. It seems to give the most general rectifiability results. In particular, there he used sliding conditions; the deformations were required to preserve given boundary pieces but were allowed to slide along them.

When minimizing Hausdorff measure the existence of minimizers is often a difficult question, both for the lack of lower semicontinuity and compactness. De Lellis, Ghiraldin and Maggi [162] established a general result to deal with this. For this they used Preiss's Theorem 4.11.

## 14.2 Mumford–Shah Functional

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and  $g$  a bounded measurable function in  $\Omega$ . The *Mumford–Shah functional*  $J$  is then defined by

$$J(u, K) = \int_{\Omega \setminus K} (u - g)^2 + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K) + \int_{\Omega \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2$$

for

$$(u, K) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) := \{(u, K) : K \subset \Omega \text{ relatively closed and } u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega \setminus K)\}.$$

We assume that there are  $(u, K) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  with  $J(u, K) < \infty$ , which is always true if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded. For many aspects of the Mumford–Shah functional, including applications to image segmentation and conjectures and results on minimizers, see the books [15] and [138]. Here we restrict the discussion to things related to rectifiability.

A minimizer for  $J$  is a pair  $(u, K) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  which gives the smallest value for  $J$ . Minimizers always exist, although it is far from obvious since Hausdorff measure is not lower semicontinuous. One way to prove the existence is to first minimize

$$\int_{\Omega} (u - g)^2 + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2$$

for  $u \in SBV(\Omega)$ , recall Section 12.3. Minimizers for this exist by the compactness properties of SBV. To get from this a minimizer for  $J$ , the problem that  $S_u$  need not be closed has to be dealt with. Here one cannot use the full  $BV(\Omega)$ , since it would give 0 for the infimum. Anyway, now  $S_u$  is  $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable by Theorem 12.13. This approach is discussed in [15]. In [138], a different approach without SBV is explained.

For a minimizer  $(u, K)$ ,  $u$  is in  $C^1(\Omega \setminus K)$ , which follows from the fact that it solves the PDE  $\Delta u = u - g$ . For  $K$  there are conjectures which are only partially solved. David and Semmes proved the following in [148], see also [138]:

**Theorem 14.2** *If  $(u, K)$  is a minimizer for  $J$  and  $B(x, 2r) \subset \Omega$ , then  $K \cap B(x, r)$  is contained in an AD- $(n - 1)$ -regular uniformly  $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable set.*

The key to the proof is that the failure of the Poincaré inequality in the complement of an AD- $(n - 1)$ -regular set  $E$  at most scales implies uniform rectifiability of  $E$ . This is understandable because the validity of the Poincaré inequality requires that  $E$  does not separate the space too much. More precisely:  $E$  is uniformly  $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable if there exists a positive number  $c$  such that for all  $M \geq 1$  the set  $F(E, c, M)$  of pairs  $(x, r)$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $0 < r < d(E)$ , satisfying the following condition, is a Carleson set: for all balls  $B(x_i, r_i) \subset B(x, r) \setminus E$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with  $r_i > cr$  and for all  $f \in W^{1,1}(B(x, Mr) \setminus E)$ ,

$$\left| r_1^{-n} \int_{B(x_1, r_1)} f - r_2^{-n} \int_{B(x_2, r_2)} f \right| \leq Mr^{1-n} \int_{B(Mx, r) \setminus E} |\nabla f|. \tag{14.1}$$

David and Semmes proved this by showing that this condition implies the local symmetry of Theorem 5.9. Another proof is described in [138]. The converse is false; an example is a coordinate hyperplane with the balls of radius  $1/10$  centred in the integer lattice removed.

For slight simplicity, assume  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . To prove that for a minimizer  $(u, K)$  the set  $F(K, c, M)$  is a Carleson set, one applies (14.1) with  $u = f$  and constructs a competitor to get for some  $p < 2$ ,

$$\omega_p(x, Mr) = r^{p/2-n} \int_{B(x, Mr) \setminus K} |\nabla u|^p > \varepsilon(M) > 0.$$

As  $r^{1-n} \int_{B(x, r) \setminus K} |\nabla u|^2$  is bounded, it is not very difficult to prove that the set of  $(x, r)$  such that  $\omega_p(x, r) > \varepsilon$  satisfies a Carleson condition, from which it follows that  $F(K, c, M)$  is a Carleson set.

Theorem 14.2 holds for a much larger class of quasiminimizers.

### 14.3 Some Free Boundary Problems

In [141], David, Engelstein and Toro studied the following two-phase free boundary problem. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain and  $q_+$  and  $q_-$  bounded continuous functions on  $\Omega$ . Let

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + q_+(x)^2 \chi_{\{u>0\}}(x) + q_-(x)^2 \chi_{\{u<0\}}(x)) \, dx.$$

Among other things they proved that if  $u$  is an almost minimizer (we omit the definition) for  $J$ , then, under slight extra conditions, the sets  $\Omega \cap \partial\{x \in \Omega: u(x) > 0\}$  and  $\Omega \cap \partial\{x \in \Omega: u(x) < 0\}$  are locally AD- $(n - 1)$ -regular and uniformly  $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable. The proof is a complicated mixture of potential theory and geometric measure theory. In particular, proving the AD-regularity is quite demanding and achieved with estimates for the harmonic measure.

We shall return to the corresponding one-phase problem in Section 15.6.

Rigot [390] proved the uniform rectifiability of sets almost minimizing perimeter, recall Section 12.1. Let  $g(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  with  $g(x) = o(x^{(n-1)/n})$ .

**Theorem 14.3** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be Lebesgue measurable. If*

$$P(E) \leq P(F) + g(\mathcal{L}^n((E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)))$$

*whenever  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $F = E$  outside some compact set, then  $E$  is equivalent to  $E'$  for which  $\partial E'$  is AD- $(n - 1)$ -regular and uniformly  $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable.*

She proved this by showing that  $\partial E'$  is a Semmes surface, recall Section 8.7.