European Psychiatry

www.cambridge.org/epa

Viewpoint

Cite this article: Ayuso-Mateos JL, Soriano JB, Ancochea J (2021). Face mask exemptions, psychiatric patients, and COVID-19. *European Psychiatry*, **64**(1), e6, 1–2 https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.107

Received: 04 November 2020 Accepted: 02 December 2020

Keywords:

Anxiety; COVID-19; face masks; psychiatric patients

Author for correspondence:

*Joan B. Soriano,

E-mail: jbsoriano2@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of European Psychiatry Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Face mask exemptions, psychiatric patients, and COVID-19

José Luis Ayuso-Mateos¹ 📵, Joan B. Soriano²* 📵 and Julio Ancochea² 📵

¹Department of Psychiatry, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Princesa (IIS-Princesa), CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain and ²Department of Pneumology, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain

It has been compulsory to wear face masks in all public spaces, both indoor and outdoor, since May 21, 2020 throughout Spain [1], a measure intended to prevent the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). However, there are some exceptions to this rule, including "... People for whom the use of a mask is inadvisable for duly justified health reasons, or who, due to their disability or dependency, present behavioural alterations that make its use unviable."

Such exemptions are not unique to Spain. For example, in England wearing a face covering is mandated since July 2020, but exemptions include "... not being able to put on, wear or remove a face covering because of a physical or mental illness or impairment, or disability" [2].

We believe that the generalized use of this exemption may carry significant risk of COVID-19 for many of the millions of mental patients in Spain, England, or elsewhere worldwide [3]. A recent paper by Wang et al. [4], concludes that patients diagnosed with a mental disorder in the last 12 months have a significantly higher risk of COVID-19 infection than patients without mental disorders, and they also present a worse outcome, as evidenced by higher rates of hospitalization and death.

Although several research groups have already examined the possible future magnifier effects of COVID-19 on mental health, and how to mitigate them [5, 6], there is still very limited evidence regarding how the use of face masks can affect mental health. A recent study from Poland examined the impact of face mask mandates on psychopathological manifestations, and found lower scores on all of the General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28) subscales after the implementation of such mandates [7]. We agree with these authors' findings that face masks not only provide protection against COVID-19, but also increase the individuals' level of perceived self-protection, as well as the level of social solidarity, thereby improving mental wellbeing. A study conducted between March and early April 2020 in healthcare workers across three regions in China found that the strongest significant risk factor for depression was reporting difficulty obtaining face masks [8].

There are medical and legal criteria to orient the debate on whether medical exemptions for masking are necessary or appropriate [9], and indeed similar exemptions for respiratory patients have been strongly questioned [10, 11]. Somehow, the ongoing uncertainty resembles past exemptions on tobacco restriction is psychiatric venues [12]. We concur that most/all health systems will need to adapt the delivery of mental health care to the demands of COVID-19 and both its direct and indirect consequences [13].

For our part, we strongly call on clinicians to be extremely cautious in facilitating any face mask exemption certificates to their patients, given the very limited available evidence on face masks and COVID-19 impacting mental health, and the complete absence of evidence that the potential unpleasantness of wearing a face mask and any associated anxiety might destabilize psychiatric patients. On the contrary, the emphasis should be on trying to educate everyone—regardless of their mental health status—about how to reduce exposure to COVID-19. As to that minority of cases in which, after a personalized assessment of the individual and contextual circumstances, clinicians consider that there are factors *which make the use of a mask unviable*, other measures such as other protective equipment, limiting external contacts or exposures, and else, should be recommended to minimize exposure to COVID-19.

Acknowledgments. JB Soriano is Senior Consultant at the COVID-19 Clinical Management Team, WHO Health Emergency Programme, World Health Organization HQ, Geneva, Switzerland.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Estado de alarma. Medidas urgentes [State of Emergency: Urgent measures]. Boletín Oficial del Estado: 20 May 2020, no. 142, https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2020/05/20/pdfs/BOE-A-2020-5142.pdf [accessed 22 October 2020].
- [2] Face covering exemptions, https://www.gov.uk/guidance/coronavirus-covid-19-safer-travel-guidance-for-passengers#exemptions-face-coverings [accessed 22 October 2020].

- [3] GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet. 2020; 396(10258):1204-22. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9.
- [4] Wang Q, Xu R, Volkow ND. Increased risk of COVID-19 infection and mortality in people with mental disorders: analysis from electronic health records in the United States. World Psychiatry. 2020. Online ahead of print. doi:10.1002/wps.20806.
- [5] Kuzman MR, Curkovic M, Wasserman D. Principles of mental health care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Eur Psychiatry. 2020;63(1):e45. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.54.
- [6] Sheridan Rains L, Johnson S, Barnett P, Steare T, Needle JJ, Carr S, et al. COVID-19 Mental Health Policy Research Unit Group. Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2020;17:1–12. doi: 10.1007/s00127-020-01924-7.
- [7] Szczesniak D, Ciulkowicz M, Maciaszek J, Misiak B, Luc D, Wieczorek T, et al. Psychopathological responses and face mask restrictions during the COVID-19 outbreak: results from a nationwide survey. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:161–2. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.027.

- [8] Lam SC, Arora T, Grey I, Suen LKP, Huang EY, Li D, et al. Perceived risk and protection from infection and depressive symptoms among healthcare workers in mainland China and Hong Kong during COVID-19. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:686. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00686.
- [9] Dorfman D, Raz M. Mask exemptions during the COVID-19 pandemic a new frontier for clinicians. JAMA Health Forum, https://jamanetwork. com/channels/health-forum/fullarticle/2768376 [accessed 17 September 2020]
- [10] Soriano JB, Anzueto A, Bosnic Anticevich S, Kaplan A, Miravitlles M, Usmani O, et al. Face masks, respiratory patients and COVID-19. Eur Respir J. 2020:2003325. doi:10.1183/13993003.03325-2020.
- [11] Samannan R, Holt G, Calderon-Candelario R, Mirsaeidi M, Campos M. Effect of face masks on gas exchange in healthy persons and patients with COPD. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2020. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.202007-812RL. Online ahead of print.
- [12] Brandt AM. The cigarette century: the rise, fall, and deadly persistence of the product that defined America. Cambridge, MA: Basic Books; 2009.
- [13] Fiorillo A, Gorwood P. The consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and implications for clinical practice. Eur Psychiatry. 2020; 63(1):e32. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.35.