

# FACTORIZATION AND BOUNDED APPROXIMATE IDENTITIES FOR A CLASS OF CONVOLUTION BANACH ALGEBRAS

by S. I. OUZOMGI

(Received 10 July, 1985)

An algebra  $A$  *factors* if, for each  $a \in A$ , there exist  $b, c \in A$  with  $a = bc$ . A *bounded approximate identity* for a Banach algebra  $A$  is a net  $(e_\alpha) \subset A$  such that  $ae_\alpha \rightarrow a$  and  $e_\alpha a \rightarrow a$  for each  $a \in A$  and such that  $\sup \|e_\alpha\| < \infty$ . It is well known [2, 11.10] that if  $A$  has a bounded approximate identity, then  $A$  factors. But a Banach algebra may factor even if it does not have a bounded approximate identity: an example which is non-commutative and separable, and an example which is commutative and non-separable, are given in [3, §22]. However, we do not know an example of a commutative, separable Banach algebra which factors, but which does not have a bounded approximate identity. See [4] for related work.

In this note, we show that, for a certain class of commutative, separable Banach algebras, an algebra factors if and only if it has a bounded approximate identity.

A real-valued function  $\omega$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is a *weight function* if  $\omega$  is Lebesgue measurable, if  $\omega(t) > 0$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ), and if

$$\omega(s+t) \leq \omega(s)\omega(t) \quad (s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Let  $\omega$  be a weight function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . We denote by  $L^1(\omega)$  the set of complex-valued, measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\|f\| \equiv \int_0^\infty |f(t)| \omega(t) dt < \infty.$$

As usual, we equate functions which are equal almost everywhere. Then  $L^1(\omega)$  is a Banach space with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. For  $f, g \in L^1(\omega)$ , we define  $f * g$  by setting

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(t-s)g(s) ds \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Then  $f * g$  is finite almost everywhere and defines an element of  $L^1(\omega)$ . With respect to this convolution multiplication,  $L^1(\omega)$  is a commutative Banach algebra, and clearly  $L^1(\omega)$  is separable. The algebras  $L^1(\omega)$  are discussed in [1], for example.

In the theorem below, we write  $m$  for Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\text{supp } f$  for the support of a function  $f$ . If  $A$  is an algebra, then  $A^2$  denotes the linear span of the set of products of two elements of  $A$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\omega$  be a weight function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then the following conditions on  $\omega$  are*

*Glasgow Math. J.* **28** (1986) 211–214.

equivalent:

- (1) there exists  $M > 0$  such that, for each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $m\{t \in [0, \delta]: \omega(t) < M\}$  is greater than 0;
- (2)  $L^1(\omega)$  has a bounded approximate identity;
- (3)  $L^1(\omega)$  factors;
- (4)  $[L^1(\omega)]^2 = L^1(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $E_n = \{t \in (0, 1/n]: \omega(t) \leq M\}$ . By hypothesis,  $m(E_n) > 0$ . Let  $\chi_n$  be the characteristic function of  $E_n$ , and let  $e_n = \chi_n/m(E_n)$ . Clearly,  $\|e_n\| \leq M$ , and so  $(e_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^1(\omega)$ .

A standard argument using the uniform continuity of a continuous function with compact support shows that  $(e_n)$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $L^1(\omega)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This is Cohen's factorization theorem [2, 11.10].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Immediate.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). To obtain a contradiction, suppose that (4) holds but that (1) fails. Define a function  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  by setting

$$\bar{\omega}(t) = \text{ess inf}\{\omega(s): 0 < s < t\} \quad (t > 0).$$

Then  $\bar{\omega}$  is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\bar{\omega}(t) \leq \omega(t)$  for almost all  $t > 0$ . Take  $s, t > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there are sets  $S \subset (0, s)$  and  $T \subset (0, t)$  such that  $S$  and  $T$  have positive measure and such that

$$\omega(s') \leq \bar{\omega}(s) + \varepsilon \quad (s' \in S), \quad \omega(t') \leq \bar{\omega}(t) + \varepsilon \quad (t' \in T).$$

Then  $S + T$  is a subset of  $(0, s + t)$  which has positive measure, and

$$\omega(s' + t') \leq \omega(s')\omega(t') \leq (\bar{\omega}(s) + \varepsilon)(\bar{\omega}(t) + \varepsilon) \quad (s' \in S, t' \in T).$$

Thus  $\bar{\omega}(s + t) \leq (\bar{\omega}(s) + \varepsilon)(\bar{\omega}(t) + \varepsilon)$ . This is true for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and so  $\bar{\omega}(s + t) \leq \bar{\omega}(s)\bar{\omega}(t)$ . Hence  $\bar{\omega}$  is a weight function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , because  $\bar{\omega}$  is measurable. Further  $\bar{\omega}$  is decreasing.

Define a function  $\Omega$  on  $(0, \infty)$  by

$$\Omega(\delta) = \sup\left\{\frac{\bar{\omega}(s+t)}{\bar{\omega}(s)\bar{\omega}(t)}: s, t > 0, s+t \leq \delta\right\} \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Clearly,  $\Omega$  is monotonically increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Since (1) fails,  $\bar{\omega}(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 0+$ , and so  $\Omega(\delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ .

For  $t > 0$ , set

$$S_t = \{s \in (0, t): \omega(s) \leq 2\bar{\omega}(t)\}.$$

Then  $S_t$  has positive measure, and  $\omega(s) \leq 2\bar{\omega}(s)$  ( $s \in S_t$ ). We can inductively define a sequence  $(\delta_n)$  such that  $0 < \delta_{n+1} < \delta_n$ , such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega(\delta_n) < \infty$ , and such that  $m(A_n) > 0$ , where  $A_n = S_{\delta_n} \cap (\delta_{n+1}, \delta_n)$ .

Set

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega(\delta_n)}{m(A_n)\omega(t)} \chi_{A_n}(t) \quad (t > 0).$$

Then  $\int_0^{\infty} |f(t)| \omega(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega(\delta_n) < \infty$ , and so  $f \in L^1(\omega)$ .

We shall show that  $f \notin [L^1(\omega)]^2$ . To obtain a contradiction, suppose that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i * h_i$ , where  $g_1, \dots, g_k, h_1, \dots, h_k \in L^1(\omega)$ . Then

$$f(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_0^t |g_i(t-s)h_i(s)| ds \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Since  $\bar{\omega}(t) \leq \omega(t)$  for almost all  $t$  and  $\omega(t) \leq 2\bar{\omega}(t)$  for  $t \in \text{supp } f$ , we have

$$\Omega(\delta_n) = \int_{A_n} f(t)\omega(t) dt \leq 2\Omega(\delta_n)K_n,$$

where

$$K_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{A_n} \int_0^t |g_i(t-s)h_i(s)| \omega(t-s)\omega(s) ds dt.$$

Thus  $K_n \geq 1/2$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). However,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^t |g_i(t-s)| \omega(t-s) |h_i(s)| \omega(s) ds dt \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|g_i\| \|h_i\| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $K_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the required contradiction.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. If  $\omega$  is bounded in a neighborhood of 0, then clearly the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. However, it is easy to give a weight function  $\omega$  for which  $\text{ess lim sup}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(t) = \infty$ , but which satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

In the above proof, we introduced a new weight function  $\bar{\omega}$ . This was necessary because there are weight functions  $\omega$  for which (1) fails, but which are such that

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \text{ess sup} \left\{ \frac{\omega(s+t)}{\omega(s)\omega(t)} : s, t > 0, s+t \leq \delta \right\} > 0.$$

To exemplify these two remarks, we give one construction.

Let  $(c_n), (\delta_n)$  be sequences with  $c_1 = 0, c_{n+1} > c_n, \delta_1 = 1$ , and  $0 < \delta_{n+1} < \delta_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\eta_n(t) = (c_{n+1} - c_n)t$  ( $t \in [0, \delta_n]$ ) and let  $\eta_n(t) = 0$  ( $t > \delta_n$ ). Then

$\eta_n(s+t) \leq \eta_n(s) + \eta_n(t)$  for  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\eta(t) = \sum \eta_n(t)$ , and let  $\omega(t) = \exp \eta(t)$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ). Then  $\omega$  is a weight function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\eta(t) = c_{n+1}t$  ( $t \in (\delta_{n+1}, \delta_n]$ ). Suppose further that  $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n/n$  and that  $c_{n+1} = n/\delta_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). On  $[\delta_n/n, 2\delta_n/n]$ ,  $\eta(t) \leq 2$ , and so  $\omega$  satisfies condition (1), above. However, on  $[\delta_n/2, \delta_n]$ ,  $\eta(t) \geq n/2$ , and so  $\text{ess lim sup}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(t) = \infty$ .

Secondly, take  $\omega$  as above, choosing  $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n/4$  and  $c_n = n/\delta_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then  $\omega(s+t) = \omega(s)\omega(t)$  for  $s, t \in (\frac{1}{4}\delta_n, \frac{1}{2}\delta_n]$ . However,  $\eta(t) \geq c_{n+1}\delta_{n+1}$  for  $t \in (0, \delta_n]$ , and so (1) fails.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper is a part of a Ph.D. thesis submitted to Leeds University. I should like to thank my supervisor H. G. Dales for his constant help and encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. G. Bade and H. G. Dales, Norms and ideals in radical convolution algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **41** (1981), 77–109.
2. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras* (Springer, 1973).
3. R. S. Doran and J. Wichmann, *Approximate identities and factorization in Banach modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 768 (Springer, 1979).
4. S. I. Ouzomgi, Factorization and automatic continuity for an algebra of infinitely differentiable functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **30** (1984), 265–280.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH  
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90840