Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Research Article

Cite this article: Davinack AA, Carlton JT, Pederson J (2024). Annotated checklist and community composition of introduced, cryptogenic, and native polychaetes in floating dock communities of New England, USA. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **104**, e103, 1–17. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0025315424000961

Received: 10 July 2024 Revised: 3 October 2024 Accepted: 9 October 2024

Keywords:

Annelida; barcoding; biofouling; DNA; invasions

Corresponding author: Andrew A. Davinack; Email: davinack_drew@wheatoncollege.edu

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom



Annotated checklist and community composition of introduced, cryptogenic, and native polychaetes in floating dock communities of New England, USA

Andrew A. Davinack¹, James T. Carlton² and Judith Pederson³

¹Biology Department, Wheaton College Massachusetts, Norton, MA, USA; ²Williams College – Mystic Seaport, Coastal and Ocean Studies Program, Mystic, CT, USA and ³Massachusetts Institute of Technology Sea Grant, Cambridge, MA, USA

Abstract

This study presents the first comprehensive annotated checklist of polychaetes collected from floating dock communities across New England and adjacent New York areas, emphasizing the significance of rapid biodiversity assessment surveys in understanding marine biodiversity. With 61% of the identified species classified as cryptogenic, the research highlights the complexity of marine biogeography and the challenges of distinguishing between native and nonnative species. The incorporation of DNA barcoding has significantly improved species identification and revealed the presence of cryptic species complexes. The study's findings illustrate the prevalence of tubicolous families (Spionidae, Serpulidae, Sabellidae, and Terebelllidae) that are susceptible to anthropogenic dispersal mechanisms. It also addresses the challenge posed by the high proportion of cryptogenic species, calling for enhanced taxonomic and genetic analyses to resolve their origins and ecological roles. Despite the temporal variation in polychaete composition across years, the absence of distinct community assemblages suggests a level of resilience within floating dock communities. Our study advocates for the continuation and expansion of rapid assessment surveys, coupled with the integration of genetic methodologies, to provide a clearer picture of marine biodiversity.

Introduction

In recent years, coastal Rapid Assessment Surveys (RAS) have proven valuable to assess the current distribution of non-native species, to detect new introductions, and to assess changes in our knowledge of the distribution of native species. In the New England-New York region, these surveys began in 2000 focusing on sampling biofouling communities on floating docks in marinas (Pederson *et al.*, 2021). Seven surveys have been held, generally every two to three years, between 2000 and 2019, largely including sites from Maine to Connecticut (with New York being sampled as well in 2003 and 2019) (Pederson *et al.*, 2005, 2021; Mathieson *et al.*, 2008; McIntyre *et al.*, 2013; Wells *et al.*, 2014; Kennedy *et al.*, 2020). The success of these surveys has resulted in similar surveys being deployed in European waters (Arenas *et al.*, 2006; Ashton *et al.*, 2006; Nall *et al.*, 2015; O'Shaughnessy *et al.*, 2023) and elsewhere. This type of repeated targeted sampling across an extensive geographic region coupled with the participation of taxon-specific experts has proven to be a successful method for biomonitoring.

The polychaetous annelids (Polychaeta) are among the most diverse marine organisms and are integral components of marine ecosystems, often dominating benthic communities in terms of abundance and species richness (Rouse et al., 2022). In addition, many commensal species have been implicated as introduced pests to commercially reared shellfish, thereby posing a threat to the global aquaculture trade (Simon and Sato-Okoshi, 2015; Davinack et al., 2024; Martinelli et al., 2024). However, identifying polychaetes poses significant challenges, even for expert polychaetologists. These difficulties stem in part from the increasing reports of cryptic species complexes and the constantly evolving taxonomy of these organisms, which can lead to uncertainty with regard to their status as native, introduced or cryptogenic species (Nygren, 2014; Darling and Carlton, 2018; Hutchings and Kupriyanova, 2018). A recent review by Darling and Carlton (2018), proposed the category of 'pseudocosmopolitan' for species whose perceived cosmopolitan status might be a misleading result of overly conservative taxonomic practices. This can be applied as a sub-category for cryptogenic species that are members of known species complexes that have yet to be resolved taxonomically and biogeographically. In one of the most recent rapid assessment surveys in New England, David and Krick (2019) incorporated DNA barcoding for the first time into the cataloging process that resulted in increased resolution of species diversity. These challenges underscore the importance of a comprehensive and nuanced approach to understanding polychaete diversity and distribution.

In this study, we present the first annotated checklist of polychaetes collected from floating dock communities in the New England – New York regions, which for centuries have had a long maritime history. Consequentially this has resulted in the potential historical movement

of marine species from other biogeographic regions. While previously published technical and peer-reviewed articles have summarized the findings of these surveys, granular details of the polychaete records were not previously assessed comprehensively and quantitatively. In addition, with the recent explosion in DNA barcoding, some previous identifications are no longer valid due to recent synonymizations. The polychaete checklist presented here is the product of almost 20 years of rapid biodiversity assessment surveys, reflecting a substantial effort in understanding marine biodiversity in New England. In addition to cataloguing these polychaetes, we delve into their biogeographic status, categorizing each species as native, introduced, or cryptogenic - the latter denoting species that cannot be definitively classified as either native or introduced (Carlton, 1996). Finally, we statistically analyse polychaete composition across different marinas and across different years. Altogether, this information is pivotal for understanding the changing dynamics of marine communities.

Materials and Methods

Description of study region and RAS protocol

Between 2000 and 2019, seven rapid assessment surveys were conducted at 56 marinas, largely along the New England coast (Figure 1). The names and GPS coordinates of the specific sites where at least one polychaete species was found (40 sites in total) are found in Table 1. These surveys were carried out in 2000, 2003, 2007, 2010, 2013, 2018 and 2019, with the 2019 survey specifically targeting Rhode Island and Connecticut (southern New England) and marinas in New York City (Brooklyn and Staten Island). Many of the marinas were sampled repeatedly across multiple years using the same methodology. The typical rapid assessment protocol involved sampling each marina for one hour by a team of taxonomists and research assistants. Over the past two decades, the number of workers has varied between 12-15 individuals with the sampling period occurring during summer months (either July or August). Sampling involved the scraping of material off docks and pilings and the amount can differ depending on the individual sampling. Team members sample broadly across any given marina, attempting to capture both protected sites in inner marina areas as well as more exposed outer sites. Biological material examined from these communities included mostly marine invertebrates and algae that were associated with subtidal structures such as submerged docks, pilings, ropes and buoys. While some organisms were identified on site, some groups such as polychaetes were stored alive in coolers with ice and transported to nearby university laboratories for further identification on the same day.

Identification of polychaetes was carried out using regional taxonomic keys to identify specimens to the species level. It should be noted that different polychaetologists were consulted on different surveys. For specimens that could not be identified to species level, these were stored in 99% ethanol for genetic barcoding, the results of which are available for the 2018 survey in David and Krick (2019). Distributional data collected were binary (presence/absence) and did not include relative abundance. In cases where multiple individuals of the same species were confirmed and recorded, some specimens were stored in 99% ethanol and archived as part of a community voucher at the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard University.

Data mining

We first reviewed the original species inventory spreadsheets that were compiled for each survey since 2000, in addition to reviewing summaries of surveys that have been published in the grey literature by the Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management (McIntyre et al., 2013; Wells et al., 2014; Kennedy et al., 2020). Historical records and species statuses were updated based on recent taxonomic revisions, DNA barcoding studies, updated biogeographical analyses and name/rank changes obtained from the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS Editorial Board, 2024) database. Furthermore, specimen records from the Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History invertebrate collections online database were examined. Based upon the literature cited for each species and upon our own biogeographic interpretations, we classified species as either Native (N), Introduced (I), Cryptogenic (C) or Unresolved cosmopolitan (U) (the latter referring to species that have been traditionally regarded as cosmopolitan in the literature but for which there are no studies to support this hypothesis). Finally, for each species we investigated whether common DNA barcodes were available for the species in the GenBank database, Barcode of Life Database (BoLD) and the DNA Databank of Japan (DDBJ). We tentatively assume that these deposited sequences were, in general, correctly identified, although these databases do not require indicating the expert taxonomists involved.

Statistical analyses

To assess the spatial and temporal differences in polychaete community composition across sites and across sampling years, we performed two separate Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) tests using the scikit-bio library (https://scikit.bio.org) in Python ver. 3.0. The tests compared the Jaccard dissimilarity indices between samples from different years while permutations (n = 999) were used to assess the significance of the observed difference. To assess temporal variation in species composition, we also constructed a NMDS (Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling) plot to identify any distinct clustering of samples by year.

Results

Polychaete diversity analysis

From 2000–2019, 46 polychaete species were found associated with floating dock communities from 40 marinas. Of these, approximately 61% were cryptogenic, 35% were native and less than 4% were either introduced or an unresolved cosmopolitan (one species for each of those two categories). These species represent 16 of the 85 accepted polychaete families, with the most well represented being the Spionidae (14%) followed by Terebellidae (12%) (Figure 2). A PERMANOVA test found that there was no significant difference in polychaete composition across marinas (pseudo-F = 1.11, P = 0.08). While we did detect a significant difference in species composition across years sampled (pseudo-F = 6.91, P = 0.001) this was not reflected in the NMDS plot, which did not exhibit distinct clustering of samples (Figure 3).

Annotated checklist of polychaeta associated with floating dock communities

FAMILY CAPITELLIDAE

Capitella teleta Blake et al., 2009 Synonymized names: Capitella sp. 1 [informal, 1976] Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: New England region, USA Barcodes available: Yes



Figure 1. Sampling localities for polychaetes collected during rapid assessment surveys carried out between 2000 and 2019.

Notes: *Capitella teleta* is the species name given by Blake *et al.* (2009) to replace *Capitella* sp. 1, an opportunistic capitellid worm that had been cultured in laboratory experiments for decades. The species appears to have a wide distribution, with genetic con-

firmation of its presence in the Pacific and Atlantic – likely the result of transoceanic introductions (Tomioka *et al.*, 2016).

Considering the long history of studies on *Capitella* sp. 1 along with the possibility of other potential cryptic species, pinpointing an exact geographic origin, i.e., the native range for the species is not possible to determine based on the current data.

FAMILY CIRRATULIDAE

Cirriformia grandis (Verrill, 1873) Synonymized names: Cirratulus grandis Verrill, 1873, Cirratulus tenuis Verrill, 1873 Status: Native Type Locality: 'New Haven to Vineyard Sound', southern New

England, USA **Barcodes available:** No

Notes: *Cirriformia grandis* has been reported exclusively from the western Atlantic, with a distribution from the Gulf of Maine to the Gulf of Mexico (Kinner and Maurer, 1971).

Ctenodrilus serratus (Schmidt, 1857)

Synonymized names: Ctenodrilus paradalis Claparède, 1863, Parthenope cirrata [auct. lapsus, cirrata for serrata], Parthenope serrata Schmidt, 1857 Status: Introduced Type Locality: Mediterranean Sea Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: While *Ctenodrilus serratus* is reported to have a cosmopolitan distribution (Bellan, 2001; Glasby *et al.*, 2009), it is likely a global species complex interlaced with introduced populations

(for example, Weidhase *et al.*, 2016). Westheide *et al.* (2003) demonstrated that *C. serratus* on both sides of the North Atlantic were genetically identical. Given the possibility of early dispersal in ships' sand ballast (Westheide *et al.*, 2003) along with its highly

Site ID	Site name	Longitude	Latitude	State
WMM	Wayfarer's Marina	-69.0613	44.20948	ME
JEM	Journey's End Marina	-69.1021	44.10484	ME
DMC	Darling Marine Center dock	-69.5811	43.93508	ME
DMR	Maine Department of Marine Resources docks	-69.6408	43.84438	ME
BFM	Brewer South Freeport Maine	-70.1053	43.82094	ME
PYS	Portland Yacht Services	-70.2431	43.6623	ME
РНМ	Port Harbor Maine	-70.2309	43.64958	ME
WHM	Wells Harbor Maine	-70.5635	43.32	ME
UNH	University of New Hampshire Coastal Marine Lab	-70.7105	43.07172	NH
HRM	Hampton River Marina/State Pier	-70.818	42.89974	NH
GSP	Gloucester State Pier	-70.6509	42.61422	MA
CAM	Cape Ann Marina	-70.6807	42.61303	МА
TSP	Tucks Point Marina	-70.6807	42.61303	MA
НСМ	Hawthorne Cove Marina	-70.8817	45.5216	MA
СТМ	Constitution Marina	-71.0595	42.37121	MA
ROW	Rowes Wharf	-71.0497	42.35722	MA
MDI	MWRA Deer Island	-70.96	42.34722	MA
BFT	Black Falcon Terminal	-71.035	42.34325	MA
GHM	Green Harbor Marina	-70.649	42.08499	MA
BRP	Brewer's Plymouth	-70.6598	41.95638	MA
PEW	Port Edgewood Marina	-71.3907	41.78235	MA
SWM	Sandwich Marina	-70.5024	41.77107	MA
BNM	Bourne Marina	-70.6177	41.74462	MA
ММА	Massachusetts Maritime Academy	-70.6262	41.73858	MA
POP	Pope's Island	-70.9126	41.6387	MA
ALH	Allen's Harbor	-71.4113	41.62131	MA
MBL	Marine Biological Laboratory	-70.6735	41.52443	MA
WHC	Woods Hole Coast Guard Station	-70.6673	41.52247	MA
TRM	Tripps Marina	-71.0769	41.51462	MA
NPS	Newport Shipyard	-71.3232	41.49008	RI
FAD	Fort Adams State Park	-71.3364	41.47402	RI
PTJ	Point Judith Marina	-71.5172	41.38772	RI
BYY	Brewer Yacht Yard at Mystic	-71.968	41.34668	СТ
МҮС	Milford Yacht Club	-73.0508	41.21165	СТ
SHS	Stirling Harbor Shipyard	-72.3581	41.11228	СТ
BYH	Brewer Yacht Haven Marine Center	-73.5345	41.03285	СТ
ECM	East Creek Marina	-72.5702	40.94268	NY
SSS	South Street Seaport	-74.0024	40.70525	NY

Table 1. Floating dock marinas in New England and adjacent New York sites that were successfully sampled for polychaetes at rapid assessment surveys from 2000–2019

ME, Maine; NH, New Hampshire; MA, Massachusetts; RI, Rhode Island; CT, Connecticut; NY, New York.

Snug Harbor Cultural Center

patchy distribution in New England, we regard it as an introduced species. The species was found at only two sites in different years,

Great Kills Park

once in 2007 at the Hawthorne Cove Marina in Salem, Massachusetts, and three years later in 2010 at Port Edgewood Marina in Cranston, Rhode Island. While found in 2010, the record escaped reporting in McIntyre *et al.* (2013); there was no published report of the 2007 RAS, and we are thus first recording these records here. While Hansen *et al.* (1996) reported it from Long Island Sound, we find few published records of *Ctenodrilus serratus* for New England.

40.6455

40.5369

NY

NY

-74.1017

-74.133

SNC

GKS



- Serpulidae Cirratulidae Spionidae Sabellidae Phyllodocidae Polynoidae Fabriciidae Orbiniidae Terebellidae Melinnidae Syllidae Nephtyidae
- Nereididae

Figure 2. Proportion of polychaete families represented in the New England Rapid Assessment Surveys (2000-2019).

Dodecaceria concharum Örsted, 1843 Synonymized names: Dodecaceria caulleryi Dehorne, 1933, Heterocirrus graviera McIntosh, 1911, Nereis sextentaculata Delle Chiaje, 1828, Terebella ostreae Dalyell, 1853, Zeppelina mediopigmentata Gillandt, 1979

Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Denmark Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Similar to the closely related Ctenodrilus serratus, D. concharum has had a relatively problematic taxonomic history compounded by a lack of genetic data (Gibson, 2015).

> Tharyx acutus Webster and Benedict, 1887 Synonymized names: none Status: Native Type Locality: Maine Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Based on the distributional reports, descriptions, and revisions of the genus Tharyx by Hilbig and Blake (2000), Blake (2015), and Blake and Göransson (2015), T. acutus appears to be restricted to the northeastern United States.

FAMILY FABRICIIDAE

Fabricia stellaris (Müller, 1774)

Synonymized names: Amphicora fabricia (Müller, 1776), Amphicora fabricii [auct. misspelling], Amphicora sabella Ehrenberg, 1836, Fabricia affinis Leuckart, 1849, Fabricia amphicora Quatrefages, 1866, Fabricia leidyi Verrill, 1873, Fabricia sabella (Ehrenberg, 1836), Novafabricia bilobata Martin & Giangrande, 1991, Othonia fabricii (Johnston, 1835), Tubularia fabricia Müller, 1776, Tubularia stellaris Müller, 1774 Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Denmark

Notes: The majority of reports are from the Arctic and North Atlantic region (Davoult et al., 1999; Christie et al., 2003) with additional reports from the Caribbean Sea and the Mediterranean Sea (Davoult et al., 1999; Miloslavich et al., 2010). There are currently no biogeographic or population genetic studies available for this species.

FAMILY HESIONIDAE

Oxydromus obscurus (Verrill, 1873)

Synonymized names: Ophiodromus obscurus (Verrill, 1873), Podarke obscura Verrill, 1873 Status: Native Type Locality: Massachusetts, USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: The distribution of Oxydromus obscurus is largely restricted to the northeastern and northwestern Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico (Bellan, 2001; Felder and Camp, 2009). Lana (1984) reported the species from Paraná in Brazil, while Fauchald (1977) recorded the species from Panama, although a review by Rizzo and Salazar-Vallejo (2014) considers these identifications questionable. The species was also recently reported from Egypt (Abdelnaby, 2019), although this report is highly dubious because of an inadequate description.

FAMILY MELINNIDAE

Melinna cristata (Sars, 1851) Synonymized names: Sabellides cristata Sars, 1851 Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Norway

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Melinna cristata is found throughout the Atlantic Ocean, including north, east, mid, and south Atlantic (Gunton et al., 2023). While some barcodes do exist for the species, a large-scale phylogeographic study is needed to better understand the status of the species in New England.

FAMILY NEREIDIDAE

Alitta virens (Sars, 1835)

Synonymized names: Neanthes virens (Sars, 1835), Nereis (Alitta) virens Sars, 1835, Nereis (Neanthes) paucidentata Treadwell, 1939, Nereis (Neanthes) varia Treadwell, 1941, Nereis (Neanthes) virens Sars, 1835, Nereis virens Sars, 1835, Nereis yankiana Quatrefages, 1866

Year 2000 2003

2007

2010

2013

2018 2019



Figure 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot of polychaete species composition based on Jaccard dissimilarity indices. Each point represents the species composition of a sample, with the colour indicating the year of collection. The proximity of points suggests the similarity in species composition, with closer points indicating more similar communities. The axes, PCoA 1 and PCoA 2, represent the two dimensions explaining the most variation in the dataset.

Status: Cryptogenic (Pseudocosmopolitan) Type Locality: Norway Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: David and Krick (2019) classified *A. virens* from New England as pseudocosmopolitan, owing to the fact that it is part of a species complex and morphologically indistinguishable from its sibling species, *A. brandti* and *A. grandis* (Villalobos-Guerrero and Bakken, 2018).

Hediste diversicolor (Müller, 1776)

Synonymized names: Neanthes diversicolor (Müller, 1776), Nereis (Hediste) diversicolor Müller, 1776, Nereis (Nereis) diversicolor Müller, 1776, Nereis brevimanus Johnston, 1840, Nereis depressa Frey & Leuckart, 1847, Nereis diversicolor Müller, 1776, Nereis sarsii Rathke, 1843, Nereis versicolor [misspelling for diversicolor], Nereis viridis Johnston, 1840

Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: North Sea Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Hediste diversicolor* is a well-known environmental indicator species that is increasingly used to detect emerging contaminants (Catalano *et al.*, 2012; Moltedo *et al.*, 2019; Silva *et al.*, 2020). The worm is one of the more ubiquitous polychaetes found throughout marinas in the New England region, usually buried within mudpacks on fouling communities (David and Krick, 2019). It has an amphi-Atlantic distribution, with other reports outside of this area being dubious due to the species' problematic taxonomic history (Scaps, 2002). A phylogeographic study by Virgilio *et al.* (2009) recovered three cryptic lineages for *H. diversicolor*, indicating that multiple species may be present in different geographical regions. Unfortunately, the western Atlantic was not included in that study but is an area ripe for future investigation.

Nereis pelagica Linnaeus, 1758

Synonymized names: Heteronereis arctica Örsted, 1843, Heteronereis assimilis Örsted, 1843, Heteronereis grandifolia (Rathke, 1843), Heteronereis migratoria Quatrefages, 1866, Nereilepas fusca Örsted, 1843, Nereis (Lycoris) pelagica Linnaeus, 1758, Nereis (Nereis) pelagica Linnaeus, 1758, Nereis bowerbanckii Quatrefages, 1866, Nereis delagica [auct. misspelling for pelagica], Nereis deneticulata Stimpson, 1853, Nereis ferruginea Gunnerus, 1770, Nereis fimbriata Müller, 1776, Nereis fulgens Dalyell, 1853, Nereis grandifolia Rathke, 1843, Nereis renalis Johnston, 1840, Nereis reynaudi Quatrefages, 1866, Nereis subulicola Leach in Johnston, 1865, Nereis verrucosa Müller, 1776 Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Western Europe Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Bakken and Wilson (2005) in their phylogeny of the

Nereididae reported *Nereis pelagica* as a cosmopolitan species. However, in light of the large number of cryptic complexes currently being uncovered in this group, a species complex may be involved.

Platynereis dumerilii (Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833)
Synonymized names: Eunereis africana Treadwell, 1943, Heteronereis fucicola Örsted, 1843, Heteronereis maculata Bobretzky, 1868, Heteronereis malmgreni Claparède, 1868, Iphinereis fucicola (Örsted, 1843), Leontis dumerili (Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833), Leptonereis maculata Treadwell, 1928, Mastigonereis quadridentata Schmarda, 1861, Mastigonereis striata Schmarda, 1861, Nereilepas variabilis Örsted, 1843, Nereis (Platynereis) dumerilii Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833, Nereis (Platynereis) dumerilii striata (Schmarda, 1861), Nereis

(Platynereis) striata (Schmarda, 1861), Nereis alacris Verrill, 1879, Nereis antillensis McIntosh, 1885, Nereis dumerilii Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833, Nereis glasiovi Hansen, 1882, Nereis peritonealis Claparède, 1868, Nereis taurica Grube, 1850, Nereis zostericola Örsted, 1843, Platynereis dumerili [auct. misspelling],

Platynereis jucunda Kinberg, 1865, Platynereis striata (Schmarda, 1861), Uncinereis lutea Treadwell, 1928, Uncinereis trimaculosa

Treadwell, 1940

Status: Cryptogenic (Pseudocosmopolitan) Type Locality: La Rochelle, France Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Platynereis dumerilii* is a well-known animal model used in a variety of molecular studies (Zantke *et al.*, 2014). However, the species itself consists of at least 10 divergent lineages (Teixeira *et al.*, 2022), which challenges its former cosmopolitan designation, but also makes it extremely difficult to determine which areas, if any, it has been introduced to. For example, a study by Kara *et al.* (2020) used molecular data to show that *P. dumerilii*, despite being reported from South Africa in the past, is actually absent from the region. Instead, a new *Platynereis* species was discovered and described, and a second potential species remains unresolved.

FAMILY NEPHTYIDAE

Nephtys incisa Malmgren, 1865

Synonymized names: Nephthys incisa Malmgren, 1865, Nephthys incisa bilobata Heinen, 1911, Nephthys lawrencii McIntosh, 1900 Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Sweden

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Nephtys incisa* has a reported amphi-Atlantic distribution (Hayward and Ryland, 1990; Dauvin *et al.*, 2003; Trott, 2004). Currently, there are no morphogenetic or biogeographic studies on the species to determine its status in the New England region.

FAMILY ORBINIIDAE

Leitoscoloplos robustus (Verrill, 1873)

Synonymized names: Anthostoma robustum Verrill, 1873, Haploscoloplos robustus (Verrill, 1873), Haploscoloplos tortugaensis Monro, 1933, Haploscoloplos bustorus (Eisig, 1914), Scoloplos bustorus Eisig, 1914, Scoloplos robustus (Verrill, 1873), Scoloplos rufa Treadwell, 1941

Status: Native

Type Locality: New England region, USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: The species is largely restricted to the northeastern United States (Trott, 2004), with Miloslavich *et al.* (2010) reporting additional records from the Caribbean Sea (see Table S5 in

7

Miloslavich *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, Blake (2021) has also reported the distribution of this species from eastern Canada to Florida.

FAMILY PHYLLODOCIDAE

Eulalia viridis (Linnaeus, 1767)

Synonymized names: Eracia virens (Ehlers, 1864), Eulalia (Eumida) microceros Claparède, 1868, Eulalia annulate Verrill, 1873, Eulalia brevisetis Saint-Joseph, 1899, Eulalia virens Ehlers, 1864, Eumidia vivida Verrill, 1873, Nereis viridis Linnaeus, 1767, Phyllodoce gervillei Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833, Pterocirrus microceros (Claparède, 1868)

Status: Cryptogenic (Pseudocosmopolitan) Type Locality: Western Europe

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Eulalia viridis* was discovered to be part of a cryptic complex by Bonse *et al.* (1996), who separated the species into *E. viridis* sensu-stricto, found in Sweden, Denmark, and Germany, and *E. clavigera* found in France and England. Using DNA barcoding, David and Krick (2019) identified the species found on the New England coast as belonging to *E. viridis*. However, a recent study by Teixeira *et al.* (2023) using three different molecular markers recovered six distinct lineages within this complex. Because none of the individuals sequenced were from the western Atlantic, the clade identity and status of *E. viridis* in New England remain unknown at this time.

vew England Temain unknown at this time

Phyllodoce arenae Webster, 1879

Synonymized names: Anaitides arenae (Webster, 1879) Status: Native Type Locality: New Jersey, USA

Barcodes available: No

Notes: *Phyllodoce arenae* has an exclusively western Atlantic distribution from Maine to the Gulf of Mexico (Pettibone, 1963; Felder and Camp, 2009), with one noted report from the southern Caribbean in Trinidad and Tobago (Gobin, 1990).

Phyllodoce groenlandica Örsted, 1842

Synonymized names: Anaitides groenlandica (Örsted, 1842), Phyllodoce (Anaitides) groenlandica Örsted, 1842, Phyllodoce arctica Hansen, 1882, Phyllodoce luetkeni Malmgren, 1867

Status: Native

Type Locality: Greenland Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Phyllodoce groenlandica* is noted as an Arctic-boreal species (Nygren *et al.*, 2009) ranging south to the Gulf of Maine (Verrill, 1874) and Long Island Sound (Verrill, 1875) and thence

to North Carolina (Pettibone, 1963). It should be noted that Magalhães *et al.* (2022) remarked on the morphological similarities of a large number of species of *Phyllodoce*, indicating that misidentification could be a possibility. Interestingly, David and Krick (2019), using DNA barcoding, were only able to recover *Phyllodoce maculata* and *Phyllodoce mucosa* from the 2018 rapid assessment survey. While *P. groenlandica* is reported from piling fouling communities (Pettibone, 1963), it may be largely sublittoral in the studied region, where it has been reported primarily in dredged samples (Verrill, 1874, 1875). Alternatively, records of this species in earlier RAS samples (for example, in the year 2003) may represent a misidentification of *P. maculata*, *P. mucosa*, or both. A targeted revision of *Phyllodoce* in this region would help clarify the diversity of this genus in the Northwest Atlantic.

Phyllodoce maculata (Linnaeus, 1767)

Synonymized names: Anaitides maculata (Linnaeus, 1767), Nereis maculata Linnaeus, 1767, Phyllodoce (Anaitides) maculata (Linnaeus, 1767), Phyllodoce pulchella Malmgren, 1867, Phyllodoce rinki Malmgren, 1867, Phyllodoce teres Malmgren, 1865

Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Western Europe Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: This species has been reported from the North Atlantic from Scandinavia to Iceland, Greenland, and Hudson Bay south to Rhode Island (Pettibone, 1963) south to New Jersey (Kennish, 2001), as well as in the North Pacific from Japan, Alaska, and British Columbia (Pettibone, 1963). Additional records are from West Africa (Pettibone, 1963) and the Mediterranean (Bakalem *et al.*, 2020). A species complex may be involved. Due to confusion with *Phyllodoce mucosa* (Pleijel, 1993), the full extent of the range of *P. maculata* is unknown (David and Krick, 2019).

Phyllodoce mucosa Örsted, 1843

Synonymized names: Anaitides mucosa (Örsted, 1843), Phyllodoce (Anaitides) mucosa Örsted, 1843 Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Western Europe Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Like many of the phyllodocids reported in the New England rapid assessment surveys, *P. mucosa* is another species with an amphi-Atlantic distribution. In addition, since this species is often easily confused with *P. maculata*, the full extent of its range is unknown. Both *P. mucosa* and *P. maculata* were found together at one site in Maine.

FAMILY POLYNOIDAE

Eunoe nodosa (Sars, 1861)

Synonymized names: Antinoe zetlandica Lankester, 1866, Eunoe islandica Hansen, 1878, Harmothoe (Eunoe) nodosa (Sars, 1861), Harmothoe nodosa (Sars, 1861), Lepidonotus pharetratus Johnston, 1865, Polynoe (Eunoe) islandica Hansen, 1878, Polynoe foraminifera Hansen, 1882, Polynoe nodosa Sars, 1861, Polynoe

> *spinulosa* Hansen, 1882 **Status**: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Norway

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Eunoe nodosa* has an amphi-Atlantic distribution (Dauvin *et al.*, 2003; Trott, 2004), with its status on the New England coast pending a detailed taxonomic study on the species.

Harmothoe extenuata (Grube, 1840)

Synonymized names: Evarnella triannulata (Moore, 1910), Harmothoe plumosa (Grube, 1840), Harmothoe sarniensis Lankester, 1866, Hermadion extenuata (Grube, 1840), Lagisca ehlersi Malmgren, 1867, Lagisca ehlersi pontica Czerniavsky, 1882, Lagisca extenuata (Grube, 1840), Lagisca propinqua Malmgren,

1867, Lepidonotus dumetosus Quatrefages, 1866, Lepidonotus leachii Quatrefages, 1866, Polynoe extenuata Grube, 1840, Polynoe

plumosa Grube, 1840 **Status**: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Mediterranean Sea Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Harmothoe extenuata* was recorded in four rapid assessment surveys dating as far back as 2007. Barnich and Fiege (2009), in a review of the genus *Harmothoe*, noted that the species has a primarily northeastern Atlantic distribution, with other reports being 'doubtful due to confusion with other species.' This implies that the species may have been inaccurately identified in other regions where it has been reported. Considering that the collections at the Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History house more than 900 lots

of *H. extenuata*, many of which have been sampled from the New England region with dates going back to the 1870s and

positively identified by both Addison Verrill and Marian Pettibone, this conclusion seems highly unlikely. Instead, we argue that further studies reconciling these vouchers with the most current descriptions, together with genetic studies, will be required to determine the species' status in New England and whether multiple lineages may be involved. As a consequence, we designated the species as cryptogenic for the U.S. Atlantic coast.

Harmothoe imbricata (Linnaeus, 1767)

Synonymized names: Aphrodita cirrata Müller, 1776, Aphrodita imbricata Linnaeus, 1767, Aphrodita lepidota Pallas, 1766,

Aphrodita plana Gmelin in Linnaeus, 1788, Aphrodita varians Dalyell, 1853, Aphrodita violacea Strøm, 1768, Harmothoe hartmanae Pettibone, 1948, Harmothoe imbricata incerta (Bobretzky, 1881), Harmothoe levis Treadwell, 1937, Harmothoe maxillospinosa de Saint-Joseph, 1888, Harmothoe unicolor Baird, 1865,

Lepidonote cirrata Örsted, 1843, Polynoe (Harmothoe) imbricata (Linnaeus, 1767), Polynoe complanata Quatrefages, 1866, Polynoe

incerta Bobretzky, 1881 **Status**: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Western Europe Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Harmothoe imbricata* is a morphologically diverse species that exhibits remarkable color polymorphisms (Nygren *et al.*,

2011). The most detailed description of the species from the New England region can be found in Pettibone (1963). *Harmothoe imbricata* is also a frequent resident of floating dock communities in New England, found at almost every marina throughout the rapid assessment surveys, and usually associated with tunicate and mussel aggregations (David and Krick, 2019). A recent population genetic study by David and Cahill (2020) using the COI genetic marker uncovered high levels of genetic diversity across the species' known range, indicating that it might be part of a potential cryptic complex.

Lepidonotus squamatus (Linnaeus, 1758)

Synonymized names: Aphrodita armadillo Bosc, 1802, Aphrodita longirostra Bruguière, 1789, Aphrodita pedunculata Pennant, 1777, Aphrodita punctata Müller, 1771, Aphrodita squamata Linnaeus, 1758, Lepidonote armadillo (Bosc, 1802) sensu Leidy,

1855, Lepidonote punctata Örsted, 1843, Lepidonotus granularis Leach in Johnston, 1865, Lepidonotus verrucosus Leach in

Johnston, 1865, Polinoe scutellata Risso, 1826, Polynoe dasypus Quatrefages, 1866, Polynoe inflata Castelnau, 1842, Polynoe

punctata Castelnau, 1842, Polynoe squamata (Linnaeus, 1758)

Status: Cryptogenic (Pseudocosmopolitan)

Type Locality: Western Europe

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Lepidonotus squamatus*, like *H. imbricata*, is morphologically diverse but also genetically diverse. A large-scale study by Carr *et al.* (2011) found that the species exhibited an unusually high level of genetic divergence, particularly between specimens from the Atlantic and Pacific basins. This indicates that it is likely

a 'pseudo-cosmopolitan' species as defined by Darling and Carlton (2018) and proposed by David and Krick (2019). As a consequence, here we designate the species as being cryptogenic until more detailed morphogenetic studies can properly clarify the specimens from New England.

FAMILY SABELLIDAE

Parasabella microphthalma (Verrill, 1873) Synonymized names: Demonax microphthalma (Verrill, 1873),

Sabella microphthalma Verrill, 1873 Status: Native Type Locality: Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: The distribution of P. microphthalma is almost exclusive to the western Atlantic with at least one report of the species as far south as the Gulf of Mexico (Felder and Camp, 2009).

Potamilla neglecta (Sars, 1851)

Synonymized names: Aspeira modesta Bush, 1905, Sabella breviberbis Langerhans, 1881, Sabella neglecta Sars, 1851 Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Norway

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Potamilla neglecta has been reported from both Atlantic and Pacific basins (Imajima, 1961; Trott, 2004; Mark et al., 2010) with little or no genetic information available for the species.

FAMILY: SABELLARIIDAE

Sabellaria vulgaris Verrill, 1873

Synonymized names: Sabellaria falcigera Johansson, 1927, Sabellaria floridensis stephensoni Hartman, 1949, Sabellaria varians Webster, 1879, Sabellaria vulgaris beaufortensis Hartman, 1944, Sabellaria vulgaris vulgaris Verrill, 1873

Status: Native

Type Locality: Massachusetts, USA

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: This species has been reported from the northeastern United States to the Gulf of Mexico (Curtis, 1975; Felder and Camp, 2009).

FAMILY SERPULIDAE

Circeis spirillum (Linnaeus, 1758)

Synonymized names: Dexiospira spirillum (Linnaeus, 1758), Janua (Dexiospira) spirillum (Linnaeus, 1758), Janua (Dexiospira) spirillum lucida (Montagu, 1803), Serpula cornea Adams, 1800, Serpula lucida Montagu, 1803, Serpula sinistorsa Montagu, 1803, Serpula spirillum Linnaeus, 1758, Spirillum pellucidum Oken, 1815, Spirorbis comptus Bush, 1905, Spirorbis cornea (Adams, 1800), Spirorbis fewkesii Bush in Sumner, Osburn & Cole, 1913, Spirorbis lucida (Montagu, 1803), Spirorbis reflexa Adams in Morch, 1863, Spirorbis spirillum (Linnaeus, 1758) Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Europe

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Very little is known about the biogeography of C. spirillum.

Hydroides dianthus (Verrill, 1873)

Synonymized names: Eupomatus dianthus (Verrill, 1873), Serpula dianthus Verrill, 1873, Serpula dianthus citrina Verrill,

1873

Status: Native Type Locality: Connecticut, USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Hydroides dianthus is a well-known fouling polychaete in the New England region and has an almost cosmopolitan distribution (Sun et al., 2017). A previous study by Sun et al. (2017) found that populations of *H. dianthus* from the Mediterranean had higher levels of haplotype diversity compared to populations from the eastern United States, arguing that the former may be the native range for this worm. However, several factors elevate genetic diversity in a region (e.g., multiple introductions) or

reduce it (e.g., historical bottlenecks, sampling bias). In fact, a large portion of the eastern United States (e.g., Maine, Georgia, and the Carolinas) were not sampled in the Sun et al. (2017) study. Therefore, until a more comprehensive population genetic study is performed that adequately samples its eastern US range, we retain its native status in this region.

Janua heterostropha (Montagu, 1803)

Synonymized names: Bushiella (Jugaria) granulata (Linnaeus, 1767), Janua (Dexiospira) pagenstecheri (Quatrefages, 1866), Janua (Dexiospira) pusilloides (Bush, 1905), Janua pagenstecheri (Quatrefages, 1866), Jugaria granulata (Linnaeus, 1767), Laeospira granulata (Linnaeus, 1767), Mera pusilla Saint-Joseph, 1894, Serpula granulata Linnaeus, 1767, Serpula heterostropha Montagu, 1803, Serpula minuta Montagu, 1803, Serpula sulcuta Adams, 1797, Spirorbis (Dexiospira) pusilloides (Bush, 1905), Spirorbis (Janua) epichysis Bailey, 1969, Spirorbis (Janua) gnomonicus Bailey, 1969, Spirorbis affinis Levinsen, 1883, Spirorbis epichysis Bailey, 1970, Sprirorbis fabricii Malmgren, 1867, Spirorbis granulata (Linnaeus, 1767), Spirorbis granulatus (Linnaeus, 1767), Spirorbis pagenstecheri Quatrefages, 1866, Spirorbis pagenstecheri incoloris Gee, 1964, Spirorbis pusilloides Bush, 1905, Spirorbis sulcata Adams in Morch, 1863 Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: England Barcodes available: No

Notes: Janua heterostropha, like H. dianthus, is a well-known fouling serpulid reported under this and other names from all oceans of the world (Knight-Jones et al., 1975), doubtless in large part as a result of introductions via historical and contemporary shipping. Knight-Jones et al. (1975) suggested a European origin based on this species' breadth of physiological and ecological adaptations of this species to the climate of the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. However, in the absence of genetic data, and given the probability of a cryptic species complex, we conservatively treat it as cryptogenic here.

FAMILY SPIONIDAE

Dipolydora socialis (Schmarda, 1861)

Synonymized names: Leucodore socialis Schmarda, 1861,

Polydora socialis (Schmarda, 1861)

Status: Unresolved cosmopolitan species

Type Locality: Chile

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Dipolydora socialis is assumed to have a cosmopolitan distribution because of its occurrence in multiple oceanic basins across the globe (see David et al., 2021 and references therein); it falls into the 'unresolved cosmopolitan' category of Darling and Carlton (2018). Dipolydora socialis is frequently found associated with encrusting organisms such as sponges and coralline algae. In addition, the species has also been found associated with boring polychaetes that burrow into the shells of commercially reared shellfish such as oysters and abalone. As a consequence, it is

possible that through vectors such as shipping and the aquaculture trade, the species has been able to disperse to multiple biogeographic regions. It should also be noted that many of the

reports of *D. socialis* have not been accompanied by thorough taxonomic investigations, and considering the lack of genetic data

available (Radashevsky et al., 2023) especially from the type localities of this species, the possibility of the existence of a cryptic

complex cannot be ruled out. Polydora aggregata Blake, 1969

> Synonymized names: none Status: Native

Type Locality: Maine, USA Barcodes available: No

Notes: Adults of *Polydora aggregata* were first described by Blake (1971), preceded by his description of its larvae (Blake, 1969). It ranges from northern New England (its type locality) to the Gulf

of Mexico (Blake, 1971). Five specimens from Limfjord, Denmark, collected in 1981 and identified by Mary Petersen as *Polydora* cf. *aggregata*, on deposit at the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History (USNM 71444), require

re-examination.

Polydora colonia Moore, 1907 Synonymized names: Polydora ancistra Jones, 1962, Polydora hoplura inhaca Day, 1957 Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Massachusetts, USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Polydora colonia* is exclusively a sponge borer and does not appear to exhibit any host-specificity (David and Williams, 2012; Gouillieux *et al.*, 2022). The species is morphologically similar to another exclusive sponge borer, *Polydora spongicola*, but a study by McGrail (2023) found that both species were genetically dis-

tinct, with *P. colonia* possessing species-specific posterior recurved 'boat' hooks that *P. spongicola* lacked. There is a limited amount of genetic data available for this species on the GenBank database. Historical reports of the species have largely been confined to the east coast of the United States. Day (1967) reported the species from South Africa, but a revision of his work currently underway in light of modern integrative taxonomic methods has yet to report this species in the region (see Simon *et al.*, 2022). The species was described on the New England coast by Blake (1971) and has been frequently reported from various sites along the western Atlantic. However, due to gaps in our understanding of this species' biogeography, David and Williams (2012) categorized *P. colonia* as cryptogenic for the region, and we reiterate that status here.

Polydora cornuta Bosc, 1802

Synonymized names: Polydora amarincola Hartman, 1936, Polydora ligni Webster, 1879, Polydora littorea Verrill, 1881, Spio caudatus Lamarck, 1818 Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: South Carolina, USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Polydora cornuta* is the type species for the genus *Polydora* (Blake, 1971). The species has a reported cosmopolitan distribution and is arguably the most widely distributed polydorid, being found within mudpacks on fouling communities and within the crevices of molluscs

(Radashevsky, 2005). The species was reported throughout New England as far back as 1884 (Webster and Benedict, 1884) and was at one point considered native to the region, prior to Rice *et al.*'s (2008) discovery of reproductively isolated cohorts. *Polydora cornuta* remains an unresolved species complex, but despite the genetic divergence, Radashevsky (2005), using an arteria mermbolagical dataset, concluded

(2005), using an extensive morphological dataset, concluded that there were insufficient taxonomically informative differences to warrant an assignment of unique species status

to any of the isolated populations. While found as early as 1802 on the U.S. Atlantic coast, shipping from Europe and eventually from around the world, has touched the South

Carolina coast since the 1500s. Nevertheless, it is regarded as introduced to the Pacific coast from British Columbia to California, initially with the extensive transport of

Atlantic oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) to the

Eastern Pacific commencing in the 1870s (Cohen and Carlton, 1995).

Polydora websteri Hartman in Loosanoff and Engle, 1943 Synonymized names: none Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Connecticut, USA

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Globally, *Polydora websteri* is an economically important and genetically homogenous species (Rice *et al.*, 2018; Rodewald *et al.*, 2021; Davinack *et al.*, 2024). It is an obligate shell borer where it is responsible for burrowing into the shells of commer-

cially reared shellfish on every continent except Antarctica (Radashevsky *et al.*, 2006; Read, 2010; Sato-Okoshi *et al.*, 2013; Waser *et al.*, 2020; Rodewald *et al.*, 2021; Davinack *et al.*, 2024). The species' global genetic homogeneity has been attributed to

repeated introductions as a result of the aquaculture trade (Rodewald *et al.*, 2021). *Polydora websteri* was once regarded as native to the New England region, but a study by Rice *et al.* (2018) focusing on the COI marker found that populations from Asia had the highest genetic diversity, indicating that this region could be the actual native range for *P. websteri*. However, it should be noted that genetic diversity can be elevated in a population if multiple introductions are occurring from different regions (Roman and Darling, 2007), while such diversity can be greatly reduced in another region as a result of historical bottlenecks. Therefore, we classify the species here as cryptogenic until a more comprehensive study incorporating additional markers and

population genetic analyses can further clarify the issue.

Spio setosa Verrill, 1873 Synonymized names: Spio robusta Verrill, 1873 Status: Native

Type Locality: Massachusetts (Vineyard Sound), USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Spio setosa* is arguably one of the most well-studied spionids ecologically. Its feeding behavior has been reported by Bock and Miller (1997) and Dauer (2000), its reproduction and larval development by Simon (1967), its growth rates by Hentschel and Herrick (2005), and its bioturbative contributions to its intertidal habitat by Waldbusser *et al.* (2004). Based on the most recent description by Maciolek (1990), the species can easily be distinguished from other members of the genus *Spio* and is therefore unlikely to be part of a cryptic complex. Based on all of the historic and current occurrence reports, along with location infor-

mation on every *S. setosa* voucher from the Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History, the species' range appears to be exclusive to the western Atlantic indicating that it might be endemic to this region.

Streblospio benedicti Webster, 1879

Synonymized names: Streblospio lutincola Hartman, 1936 Status: Native

Type Locality: New Jersey, USA

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Streblospio benedicti* has a relatively widespread distribution, being reported as introduced to the Pacific coasts of the United States and to several regions in western Europe (Pernet and McArthur, 2006). A genetic study by Mahon *et al.* (2009) confirmed *S. benedicti* as a distinct species, undoing a previous synonymization with *Streblospio shrubsolii* (Buchanan, 1890) (Foster, 1971) and reiterating the native status of the species in the western Atlantic.

FAMILY SYLLIDAE

Myrianida prolifera (Müller, 1788)

Synonymized names: Autolytus agassizii Quatrefages, 1866, Autolytus ehbiensis Saint Joseph, 1887, Autolytus hesperidum Claparède, 1868, Autolytus prolifer (Müller, 1788), Autolytus prolifera (Müller, 1788), Crithida prolifera (Müller, 1788), Nereis

prolifera Müller, 1788 Status: Cryptogenic Type Locality: Norway Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Myrianida prolifera* has been reported throughout the western and eastern Atlantic, Mediterranean Sea, and Arctic Oceans (Holly, 1938; Trott, 2004; Musco and Giangrande, 2005). Aside from a single *M. prolifera* barcode generated as a result of a redescription of another syllid (Nygren and Pleijel, 2010), there are no genetic data available for the species and very little identified material available in national collections. Further studies are needed to determine the status of this species in the New England region.

Proceraea prismatica (Müller, 1776)

Synonymized names: Amytis prismatica (Müller, 1776),

Autolytus (Proceraea) trilineatus Berkeley & Berkeley, 1945, Autolytus incertus Malmgren, 1867, Autolytus longosetosus (Örsted, 1843), Autolytus prismaticus (Müller, 1776), Autolytus trilineatus Berkeley & Berkeley, 1945, Nereis prismatica Müller, 1776, Nereisyllis prismatica (Müller, 1776), Polybostrichus longosetosus Örsted, 1843, Polybostrichus longosetus [auct.], Proceraea gracilis [auct. misspelling]

Status: Native

Type Locality: Greenland (Arctic Ocean) Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Proceraea prismatica* appears to have a very restricted range in the Arctic Ocean (Pettibone, 1963; Jørgensen and Gulliksen, 2001). Early reports from the eastern Atlantic were considered rare, isolated, or dubious by Hamond (2002). Very little is known about the worm's distribution in other regions where it has been reported, including the western Atlantic and the north Pacific. In New England, *P. prismatica* was recorded in only one survey (2000) at five marinas – three in the Gulf of Maine,

one in New Hampshire, and one in Boston, Massachusetts. Considering the latitudinal consistency, it is likely that these records may represent a part of its natural boreal and sub-boreal distribution.

Salvatoria clavata (Claparède, 1863)

Synonymized names: Brania clavata (Claparède, 1863), Grubea clavata (Claparède, 1863), Grubea dolichopoda Webster, 1879, Grubea fusifera Quatrefages, 1866, Grubea websteri Verrill, 1882, Grubeosyllis clavata (Claparède, 1863), Pseudobrania clavata (Claparède, 1863), Salvatoria dolichopoda (Marenzeller, 1874),

Syllis clavata Claparède, 1863

Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Normandy, France

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: Salvatoria clavata was reported as the most dominant polychaete associated with marinas on the Iberian Peninsula and was regarded as an 'opportunistic' syllid in that study since it thrived in areas of environmental stress (Fernandez-Romero *et al.*, 2019). In contrast, on the New England coast, the species was only reported in one survey in 2000 at two sites (Woods Hole Coast Guard Station and the Marine Biological Laboratory, both in

Woods Hole, Massachusetts) and has not appeared in any subsequent survey. The species has been reported worldwide (San Martín, 2003; Nascimento *et al.*, 2021). However, with only a single 18S rRNA sequence available on GenBank and no popu-

lation genetic or biogeographic information available, it is impossible to determine its introduced status in any of these

regions. To further complicate matters, San Martín (2003) noticed variation within taxonomically informative traits, indicative of a potential cryptic species complex.

Syllis gracilis Grube, 1840

Synonymized names: Syllis (Syllis) gracilis Grube, 1840, Syllis (Syllis) longissima Gravier, 1900, Syllis brachycirris Grube, 1857, Syllis buchholziana Grube, 1877, Syllis longissima Gravier, 1900, Syllis mixosetosa Bobretzky, 1870, Syllis navicellidens Czerniavksy, 1881, Syllis nigrovittata Czerniavsky, 1881, Syllis nigro-vittata Czerniavsky, 1881, Syllis palifica Ehlers, 1901, Syllis quadridentata Czerniavsky, 1881, Syllis vancaurica Grube, 1867

Status: Cryptogenic (Pseudocosmopolitan)

Type Locality: Gulf of Naples

Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Syllis gracilis* is an unresolved species complex and arguably one of the most difficult ones that has yet to be resolved. A complex of two lineages was first discovered using allozymes by Maltagliati *et al.* (2000). Since then, it has been shown that the species exhibits a remarkably high level of phenotypic plasticity in various regions (Langeneck *et al.*, 2020), which ultimately nullifies

general taxonomic keys. Furthermore, even more divergent lineages have been discovered that do not correspond to morphotypes (Alvarez-Campos *et al.*, 2017). Finally, different species delineation tests have yielded conflicting results (Langeneck *et al.*, 2020). This species can best be thought of as pseudocosmopolitan and is regarded as cryptogenic here.

FAMILY TEREBELLIDAE

Amphitrite cirrata Müller, 1776

Synonymiz1ed names: *Amphiro cirrata* (Müller, 1776), *Amphitrite palmata* Moore, 1906, *Amphitrite radiata* Moore, 1908, *Nereis cirrosa* Linnaeus, 1767, *Sabella cirrata* (Müller, 1776),

Spio cirrata König [pre-Linnaean], Terebella cirrata (Müller,

1776), Terebella cirrhata [auct. misspelling], Terebella montagui Quatrefages, 1866, Teredo arenaria Forsskål, 1775

Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: Scandinavia (exact location unknown) Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: The location of the type material for *A. cirrata* is unknown but is believed to have originated from the Scandinavian region

(Norway, Denmark, or Iceland). While this species has an extensive distribution with reports from the Mediterranean and the Pacific Ocean, and Africa, there are no molecular data to support these reports. Furthermore, Jirkov (2020) did not find the species in any of the Mediterranean or Pacific locations where it was reported. Jirkov (2020) also cast doubt on Day's (1967) report from the Cape of Good Hope and Senegal along with Hartman's (1969) description of the species from California. David and Krick (2019) used COI sequence data to report the species for the first time in rapid assessment surveys from New England (referred to as Amphitrite cf. cirrata), specifically at marinas located in Maine, Massachusetts, and New Hampshire. However, considering the lack of molecular data from many of the aforementioned regions, along with its challenging taxonomy (Hutchings and Kupriyanova, 2018; Jirkov, 2020), we categorize this species as cryptogenic to the New England coast.

Loimia sp.

Status: Cryptogenic

Notes: A *Loimia* species in our RAS samples (for example, from 2000 and 2003) has long been presented in Atlantic coast literature as *Loimia medusa* (Savigny, 1822), a tropical species with a type locality of the Gulf of Suez. *Loimia 'medusa'* (Savigny, 1822) has been reported from many habitats worldwide in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans, and doubtless represents a species complex, perhaps including introduced tropical or subtropical

populations. Our biofouling *Loimia* from the cold waters of New England represents an as-yet to be resolved species.

Neoamphitrite figulus (Dalyell, 1853)

Synonymized names: Amphitrite figulus (Dalyell, 1853), Amphitrite johnstoni Malmgren, 1866, Amphitrite nana Claparède, 1870, Amphitrite stimpsoni Meyer, 1912, Terebella elongata Quatrefages, 1866, Terebella figulus Dalyell, 1853 Status: Cryptogenic

Type Locality: United Kingdom Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Neoamphitrite figulus* has been reported in both the eastern and western Atlantic in addition to the North Sea and the Mediterranean (Hartmann-Schröder, 1996; Brunel *et al.*, 1998;

Bellan, 2001). The species is a well-known epibiont of the European oyster (Ostrea edulis) (Smyth and Roberts, 2010), which has had a long history of translocation to various parts of the world for oyster cultivation (Haydar and Wolff, 2011). As a consequence, it is difficult to determine the true native range for *N. figulus*, especially considering that there is a paucity of genetic data available for this species.

Nicolea sp.

Status: Native

Notes: While the European terebellid *Nicolea zostericola* Ørsted, 1844, has been long reported from the Northwestern Atlantic Ocean, including New England (e.g., Sebens, 1986, from a subtidal rock wall in Nahant, Massachusetts), and while Lopez *et al.* (2014) considered it a possible cryptogenic species in Long Island Sound, de Matos Nogueira (2008, a paper overlooked by Lopez *et al.*, 2014) suggested that records of this species likely represent native taxa, including *N. cetrata* (now *Pista cetrata*), *N. simplex*, or

N. lazowasemi (incorrectly originally spelled as *lazo-wasemi*). Reported in the RAS 2000, 2007, and 2013 surveys, we have not had access to these specimens to re-determine the species.

Pista palmata (Verrill, 1873)

Synonymized names: Scionopsis palmata Verrill, 1873 Status: Native Type Locality: Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts, USA Barcodes available: Yes

Notes: *Pista palmata* has a restricted distribution in the western Atlantic. The majority of occurrences of the species are between

the New England region, Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean (Hartman, 1951, 1959; Felder and Camp, 2009; Miloslavich *et al.*,

2010).

Terebella verrilli Holthe, 1986

Synonymized names: Lepraea rubra Verrill, 1873, Terebella rubra (Verrill, 1873)

Status: Native

Type Locality: Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts, USA Barcodes available: No

Notes: According to de Matos Nogueira (2008), who examined *T. verrilli* specimens from both the western Atlantic, including the type locality, and the Mexican-Caribbean, there is ample morphological variation in specimens. Coupled with similar findings from previous reports, this suggests that a species complex could be present within what is likely a group native to the Northwest Atlantic Ocean.

Discussion

The current study presents the first annotated checklist of polychaetes from floating dock communities in New England and adjacent New York areas, marking a significant advancement in our understanding of marine biodiversity in marina

environments. These Rapid Assessment Surveys allow for the detection of trends and anomalies, the latter being an important feature as they are often associated with the appearance of a nonindigenous species as a result of translocation or range expansion of southern fauna. The predominance of cryptogenic species (61%) in our study highlights a critical challenge in marine biodiversity studies - the difficulty in determining the native status of many species. This is particularly relevant for polychaetes, which have complex life histories and exhibit high levels of cryptic diversity (Nygren, 2014), complicating efforts to categorize them as native or non-native. The high proportion of cryptogenic species also echoes the findings of previous studies, emphasizing the need for comprehensive taxonomic and genetic analyses to unravel the origins of these species (Darling and Carlton, 2018; David and Krick, 2019). Equally problematic is that many of the polychaetes on this checklist have been the subject of various ecological and physiological experimental research, with some, such as P. dumerili, serving as important laboratory model systems despite their taxonomy being unresolved. The apparently low number of introduced species in the current data set (we report only one, Ctenodrilus serratus) should not be taken to mean that non-native polychaetes are rare in New England, but, rather, may reflect that a large number of species are currently categorized as cryptogenic, the majority of which likely arrived long before any biosecurity efforts (such as the control of ballast water) were in place.

The vast majority of the polychaete families recovered was tubicolous (e.g., Spionidae, Serpulidae, Terebellidae, Sabellidae) which is not surprising considering that this ecological niche easily lends itself to anthropogenic-mediated transport mechanisms. For example, the adults of spionids, sabellids and terebellids live within mud-tubes of varying strength that can be found lodged among a variety of fouling organisms (such as mussels, barnacles, sponges, and algae) that commonly attach themselves to vessels. Members of the Polydora-complex that parasitize commercially reared shellfish are a prime example of species that can quickly spread across multiple biogeographic regions by hitchhiking on imported oysters, as well as burrowing into calcareous substrates (such as barnacles and oysters) on vessels (Williams et al., 2017). Serpulids are unique due to their ability to create and reside within calcium carbonate tubes that can encrust on the hulls of ships, oysters, and hard structures that can be translocated from one region to the next (Bastida-Zavala et al., 2017). Pettengill et al. (2007) using microsatellite loci to assess the genetic connectivity of the serpulid Hydroides elegans found that its dispersal across multiple global regions was likely the result of biofouling on ships.

The current checklist consists of several species that are part of cryptic complexes making it difficult to determine the species' true range, that in turn also makes it difficult to determine their origin. The most problematic species are those that have historically been referred to as 'cosmopolitan'. In developing this checklist, we adopted Hutchings and Kupriyanova's (2018) null hypothesis of assuming that these species have a narrower range than reported in the literature. The only exception was for species whose populations have been assessed genetically and whose biogeography has been resolved.

Our findings also revealed no significant difference in polychaete composition across marinas but did show a significant difference across years sampled. As expert polychaete taxonomists were involved over the years, we believe that these differences are largely not related to different workers being involved over time, although there are possible exceptions (as noted below). This temporal variation underscores the dynamic nature of floating dock communities, which can be influenced by a variety of factors including changes in environmental conditions, marina management practices, and species dispersal events. The lack of distinct clustering in the NMDS plot suggests that while composition varies over time, these changes are not leading to the formation of distinct community assemblages by year. This could indicate a high degree of community resilience or reflect the influence of similar environmental and anthropogenic pressures across the study period.

The application of DNA barcoding in the identification process of the Rapid Assessment Survey, as initiated by David and Krick (2019) has proven to be invaluable in increasing the resolution of species diversity. For example, the phyllodocid *Phyllodoce groenlandica*, which has been reported on the New England coast for more than a century including during earlier surveys, was not recovered in the 2018 survey, but the morphologically similar *P. maculata* and *P. mucosa* were. This brings up the question of whether *P. groenlandica* had been misidentified during past biomonitoring surveys and opens the door for a revision of New England phyllodocids. As taxonomy continues to evolve with the integration of genetic data, future surveys should incorporate these methodologies including eDNA surveys to ensure accurate species identification and status determination.

Limitations and Future Directions

One limitation of the rapid assessment survey is the reliance on presence/absence data, which does not account for the relative abundance of species. This built-in limitation is intentional as it allows for broader explorations, and can quickly detect anomalies and changes in species composition. Additionally, while our study has made significant strides in cataloguing polychaete diversity in the New England region, the high number of cryptogenic species identified points to the need for further taxonomic and biogeographic research to clarify the origins of many species. Unfortunately, due to a dearth of taxonomic specialists (Kholia and Fraser-Jenkins, 2011; McClain, 2011), the rate at which regional taxonomic revisions are occurring cannot keep pace with the speed of biotic changes. This disconnect can have severe consequences on biomonitoring surveys, which in turn can hamper conservation and management efforts. Finally, while DNA barcoding has invigorated the science of taxonomy, as Hutchings and Kupriyanova (2018) state, 'not all sequences are created equal.' Voucher-linked sequence data are good, voucher linked-sequence data from the type locality are even better, and barcodes obtained from type material are the gold-standard. Therefore, prudent mining of sequence data, regardless of which database they are pulled from, is a critical but often overlooked step in the barcoding workflow.

In conclusion, our findings provide not only a baseline for future research but also a framework for ongoing monitoring efforts aimed at understanding the integrity of marine ecosystems in the New England region. By highlighting the importance of these surveys and the complexities of polychaete taxonomy and biogeography, this study contributes to the broader understanding of marine biodiversity and the scale of non-native biodiversity in a rapidly changing marine environment.

Data. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [A. D.], upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to colleagues who have previously contributed to identifying species on the New England rapid assessments over nearly 20 years. These include Harlan Dean, Leslie Harris, Eric Lazo-Wasem, and Jason Williams. We also thank two anonymous reviewers of this paper for their useful comments and insights.

Author Contributions. A. A. D. formulated the research question and designed the study, A. A. D., J. T. C. and J. P. carried out the study,

A. A. D. and J. T. C. analysed the data and interpreted the findings. All authors contributed to writing the article.

Financial Support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interest. None.

Ethical Standards. All ethical standards were adhered to for this study.

References

- Abdelnaby FA (2019) Polychaetes from Suez Gulf (Gabel El Zeit), Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology & Fisheries 24, 47–68.
- **Alvarez-Campos P, Giribet G and Riesgo A** (2017) The *Syllis gracilis* species complex: a molecular approach to a difficult taxonomic problem (Annelida, Syllidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **109**, 138–150.
- Arenas F, Bishop JDD, Carlton JT, Dyrynda PJ, Farnham WF, Gonzalez DJ, Jacobs MW, Lambert C, Lambert G, Nielsen SE, Pederson JA, Porter JS, Ward S and Wood CA (2006) Alien species and other notable records from a rapid assessment survey of marinas on the south coast of England. *Journal* of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 86, 1329–1337.
- Ashton G, Boos K, Shucksmith R and Cook E (2006) Rapid assessment of the distribution of marine non-native species in marinas in Scotland. *Aquatic Invasions* **1**, 209–213.
- Bakalem A, Gillet P, Pezy J-P and Dauvin J-C (2020) Inventory and the biogeographical affinities of Annelida Polychaeta in the Algerian coastline (Western Mediterranean). *Mediterranean Marine Science* **21**, 157–182.
- Bakken T and Wilson RS (2005) Phylogeny of nereidids (Polychaeta, Nereididae) with paragnaths. *Zoologica Scripta* 34, 507–547.
- Barnich R and Fiege D (2009) Revision of the genus *Harmothoe* Kinberg, 1856 (Polychaeta: Polynoidae) in the Northeast Atlantic. *Zootaxa* 2104, 1–76.
- Bastida-Zavala R, McCann LD, Keppel E and Ruiz GM (2017) The fouling serpulids (Polychaeta: Serpulidae) from United States coastal waters: an overview. European Journal of Taxonomy 344, 1–76.
- Bellan G (2001) Polychaeta, *in*: Costello MJ *et al.* (2001). European register of marine species: a check-list of the marine species in Europe and a bibliography of guides to their identification. *Collection Patrimoines Naturels* 50, 214–231.
- Blake JA (1969) Reproduction and larval development of *Polydora* from northern New England (Polychaeta: Spionidae). *Ophelia* 7, 1–63.
- Blake JA (1971) Revision of the genus *Polydora* from the east coast of North America (Polychaeta: Spionidae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 71, 1–32.
- Blake JA (2015) New species of *Chaetozone* and *Tharyx* (Polychaeta: Cirratulidae) from the Alaskan and Canadian Arctic and the Northeastern Pacific, including a description of the lectotype of *Chaetozone setosa* Malmgren from Spitsbergen in the Norwegian Arctic. *Zootaxa* 3919, 501–552.
- **Blake JA** (2021) New species and records of Orbiniidae (Annelida, Polychaeta) from continental shelf and slope depths of the Western North Atlantic Ocean. *Zootaxa* **4930**, 1–123.
- Blake JA and Göransson P (2015) Redescription of *Tharyx killariensis* (Southern) from Ireland and description of two new species of *Tharyx* from the Kattegat, Sweden (Polychaeta, Cirratulidae). *Zootaxa* **4039**, 501–515.
- Blake JA, Grassle JP and Eckelbarger KJ (2009) *Capitella teleta*, a new species designation for the opportunistic and experimental *Capitella* sp. I, with a review of the literature for confirmed records. *Zoosymposia* **2**, 25–53.
- Bock MJ and Miller DC (1997) Particle-bound organic matter as a cue for suspension feeding in tentaculate polychaetes. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 215, 65–80.
- Bonse S, Schmidt H, Eibye-Jacobsen D and Westheide W (1996) *Eulalia viridis* (Polychaeta: Phyllodocidae) is a complex of two species in northern Europe: results from biochemical and morphological analyses. *Cahiers Biologie de Marine* **37**, 33–48.
- **Bosc LAG** (1802) Histoire Naturelle des Vers: contenant leur description et leurs moeurs, avec figures dessinées d'après nature. Guilleminet, Paris: chez Deterville.

- Brunel P, Bosse L and Lamarche G (1998) Catalogue of the marine invertebrates of the estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Canadian Special Publication* of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 126, 47–55.
- Carlton JT (1996) Biological invasions and cryptogenic species. *Ecology* 77, 1653–1655.
- Carr CM, Hardy SM, Brown TM, Macdonald TA and Herbert PDN (2011) A tri-oceanic perspective: DNA barcoding reveals geographic structure and cryptic diversity in Canadian polychaetes. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e22232.
- Catalano B, Moltedo G, Martuccio G, Gastaldi L, Virno-Lamberti C, Lauria A and Ausili A (2012) Can *Hediste diversicolor* (Nereidae, Polychaete) be considered a good candidate for evaluating PAH contamination? A multimarker approach. *Chemosphere* 86, 875–882.
- Christie H, Jørgensen NM, Norderhaug KM and Waage-Nielsen E (2003) Species distribution and habitat exploitation of fauna associated with kelp (Laminaria hyperborea) along the Norwegian Coast. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 83, 687–699.
- Claparède ARÉ (1863) Beobachtungen über Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte wirbelloser Thiere: an der Küste von Normandie angestellt. Leipzig: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann.
- **Cohen A and Carlton JT** (1995) Biological Study. Nonindigenous Aquatic Species in a United States Estuary: A Case Study of the Biological Invasions of the San Francisco Bay and Delta. A Report for the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC, and The National Sea Grant College Program, Connecticut Sea Grant, NTIS Report Number PB96-166525, 246pp.
- **Curtis LA** (1975) Distribution of *Sabellaria vulgaris* Verrill (Polychaeta: Sabellariidae) on a sandflat in Delaware Bay. *Chesapeake Science* **16**, 14–19.
- **Dalyell JG** (1853) The Powers of the Creator Displayed in the Creation: or, Observations on Life Amidst the Various Forms of the Humbler Tribes of Animated Nature: with Practical Comments and Illustrations, Volume II. London: John van Voorst, Paternoster Row, 359pp.
- Darling JA and Carlton JT (2018) A framework for understanding marine cosmopolitanism in the Anthropocene. Frontiers in Marine Science 5, 293.
- **Dauer DM** (2000) Functional morphology and feeding behavior of *Spio setosa* (Polychaeta: Spionidae). *Bulletin of Marine Science* **67**, 269–275.
- Dauvin J-C, Dewarumez J-M and Gentil F (2003) Liste actualisée des espèces d'Annélides Polychètes présentes en Manche [An up to date list of polychaetous annelids from the English Channel]. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* 44, 67–95.
- David AA and Cahill J (2020) Tri-oceanic connectivity of the supposedly cosmopolitan polychaete, *Harmothoe imbricata* (Annelida: Polynoidae): insights from the COI marker. *Marine Biology Research* 16, 256–264.
- David AA and Krick M (2019) DNA barcoding of polychaetes collected during the 2018 Rapid Assessment Survey of floating dock communities from New England. *Marine Biology Research* 15, 317–324.
- David AA and Williams JD (2012) Morphology and natural history of the cryptogenic sponge associate *Polydora colonia* Moore, 1907 (Polychaeta: Spionidae). *Journal of Natural History* 46, 1509–1528.
- David AA, Williams JD and Simon CA (2021) A new record of a cryptogenic Dipolydora species (Annelida: Spionidae) in South Africa. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 101, 271–278.
- Davinack AA, Strong M and Brennessel B (2024) Worms on the Cape: an integrative survey of polydorid infestation in wild and cultivated oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) from Massachusetts, USA. *Aquaculture* 581, 740366.
- **Davoult D, Dewarumez J-M, Luczak C and Migné A** (1999) Nouvelles signalisations d'espèces benthiques sur les côtes françaises de la Manche orientale et de la Mer du Nord [New reports of benthic species from the French coasts of the eastern English Channel and the North Sea]. *Cahiers Biologie de Marine* **40**, 121–127.
- **Day JH** (1967) A Monograph on the Polychaeta of Southern Africa. Part 1 Errantia. London: Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History).
- de Matos Nogueira JM (2008) Review of some terebelliform polychaetes (Polychaeta: Terebelliformia) at the Yale Peabody Museum. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History* **49**, 209–234.
- Fauchald K (1977) Polychaetes from intertidal areas in Panama, with a review of previous shallow-water records. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 221, 1–85.
- Felder DL and Camp DK (2009) *Gulf of Mexico: Origin, Waters, and Biota. Volume* 1, *Biodiversity.* College Station, TX: Texas A&M University Press, 1393pp.
- Fernandez-Romero A, Moreira J and Guerra-Garcia JM (2019) Marinas: an overlooked habitat for exploring the relation among polychaete assemblages and environmental factors. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **138**, 584–597.

- Foster NM (1971) Spionidae (Polychaeta) of the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea. *Studies on the Fauna of Curacao and other Caribbean Islands* **36**, 1–183.
- Gibson PH (2015) Augmented descriptions and nomenclature of the cirratulid polychaetes *Dodecaceria fimbriata* and *D. concharum. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **95**, 697–702.
- Glasby CJ, Read, GB, Lee KE, Blakemore, RJ, Fraser PM, Pinder AM, Erséus C, Moser WE, Burreson EM, Govedich FR, Davies RW and Dawson EW (2009) Phylum Annelida: bristleworms, earthworms, leeches. [Book chapter]. Chapt 17. In Gordon DP (ed.), New Zealand Inventory of Biodiversity: 1. Kingdom Animalia: Radiata, Lophotrochozoa, Deuterostomia. Christchurch: Canterbury University Press, pp. 312–358.
- Gobin J (1990) A checklist of marine polychaetous annelids (Polychaeta) for the Gulf of Paria, Trinidad, West Indies. *Caribbean Marine Studies* 1, 37–47.
- Gouillieux B, Masse C, Derrien-Courtel S, Bachelet G and Lavesque N (2022) A non-indigenous annelid (*Polydora colonia*) in an invasive sponge (*Celtodoryx ciocalyptoides*): double penalty in Arcachon Bay (NE Atlantic). *Cahiers Biologie de Marine* **63**, 29–38.
- Grube AE (1840) Actinien, Echinodermen und Würmer des Adriatischen- und Mittelmeers nach eigenen Sammlungen beschrieben. Königsberg: J.H. Bon, 92pp.
- Gunton LM, Zhang W, Kupriyanova EK and Hutchings PA (2023) New species of *Melinna* (Melinnidae, Annelida) from the Australian Abyss with comments on *M. albicincta*, *M. cristata* and *M. elisabethae. Records of the Australian Museum* **75**, 125–154.
- Hamond R (2002) The Norfolk marine fauna, past and present presidential address delivered to the society in October 2001. Transactions of the Norfolk & Norwich Naturalists' Society 35, 1–23.
- Hansen DJ, Mahony JD, Berry WJ, Benyi SJ, Corbin JM, Pratt SD, Di Toro DM and Abel MB (1996) Chronic effect of cadmium in sediments on colonization by benthic marine organisms: an evaluation of the role of interstitial cadmium and acid-volatile sulfide in biological availability. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 15, 2126–2137.
- Hartman O (1951) The literal marine annelids of the Gulf of Mexico. Publication of the Institute of Marine Science, Port Aransas, Texas 2, 7–124.
- Hartman O (1959) Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. Parts 1 and 2. Allan Hancock Foundation Occasional Paper 23, 1–628.
- Hartman O (1969) Atlas of the Sedentariate Polychaetous Annelids from California. Los Angeles, CA: California Allan Hancock Foundation University of Southern California, 812pp.
- Hartmann-Schröder G (1996) Annelida, Borstenwürmer, Polychaeta [Annelida, bristleworms, Polychaeta]. 2nd revised ed. The fauna of Germany and adjacent seas with their characteristics and ecology, 58. Gustav Fischer: Jena, Germany. ISBN 3-437-35038-2. 648pp.
- Haydar D and Wolff WJ (2011) Predicting invasion patterns in coastal ecosystems: relationship between vector strength and vector tempo. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 431, 1–10.
- Hayward PJ and Ryland JS (1990) The Marine Fauna of the British Isles and North-West Europe: 1. Introduction and Protozoans to Arthropods. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press, 627pp.
- Hentschel BT and Herrick BS (2005) Growth rates of interface-feeding spionid polychaetes in simulated tidal currents. *Journal of Marine Research* 63, 983–999. https://elischolar.library.yale.edu/journal_of_marine_research/109
- Hilbig B and Blake JA (2000) Long-term analysis of polychaete-dominated benthic infaunal communities in Massachusetts Bay, USA. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 67, 147–164.
- Holly M (1938) Polychaeten aus der Nordsee [Polychaetes from the North Sea]. Bulletin du Musee royal d'histoire naturelle de Belgique 14, 1–24.
- Holthe T (1986) Evolution, systematics, and distribution of the Polychaeta Terebellomorpha, with a catalogue of the taxa and a bibliography. *Gunneria* 55, 1–236.
- Hutchings P and Kupriyanova E (2018) Cosmopolitan polychaetes fact or fiction? Personal and historical perspectives. *Invertebrate Systematics* 32, 1–9.
- Imajima M (1961) Polychaetous annelids collected off the west coast of Kamchatka–I. Notes on species found in the collection of 1957–1958. *Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory* 9, 81–102.
- Jirkov IA (2020) Review of the European *Amphitrite* (Polychaeta: Terebellidae) with description of two new species. *Invertebrate Zoology* **17**, 311–360.

- Jørgensen LL and Gulliksen B (2001) Rocky bottom fauna in arctic Kongsfjord (Svalbard) studied by means of suction sampling and photography. *Polar Biology* 24, 113–121.
- Kara J, Santos CSG, Macdonald AHH and Simon CA (2020) Resolving the taxonomic identities and genetic structure of two cryptic *Platynereis* Kinberg species from South Africa. *Invertebrate Systematics* 34, 618–636.
- Kennedy C, Pappal AL, Carlton JT, David AA, Dijkstra JA, Duffey S, Gibson J, Grady SP, Green-Gavrielidis LA, Harris LG, Hobbs N-V, Mauk A, McCuller MI, Neefus C, O'Brien B, Osborne K, Pederson J, Robidoux J, Tyler M and Van Volkom K (2020) Report on the 2018 Rapid Assessment Survey of Introduced, Cryptogenic and Native Marine Species at New England Marinas: Massachusetts to Maine. Boston, MA: Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs, Office of Coastal Zone Management, 30pp.
- Kennish MJ (2001) Benthic communities of the Barnegat Bay-Little Egg Harbor estuary. *Journal of Coastal Research* **32**, 167–177.
- Kholia BS and Fraser-Jenkins CR (2011) Misidentification makes scientific publications worthless save our taxonomy and taxonomists. *Current Science* **100**, 458–461.
- Kinner P and Maurer D (1971) Polychaetous annelids of the Delaware Bay region. *Fishery Bulletin* **76**, 209.
- Knight-Jones P, Knight-Jones EW and Kawahara T (1975) A review of the genus Janua, including Dexiospira (Polychaeta: Spirorbidae). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 56, 91–129.
- Lana PC (1984) Anelídeos poliquetas errantes do litoral do Estado do Paraná (PhD thesis). Instituto ceanográfico, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, 275pp.
- Langeneck J, Scarpa F, Maltagliati F, Sanna D, Barbieri M, Cossu P, Mikac B, Curini-Galletti M, Castelli A and Casu M (2020) A complex species complex: the controversial role of ecology and biogeography in the evolutionary history of *Syllis gracilis* Grube, 1840 (Annelida, Syllidae). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 58, 66–78.
- Linnaeus C (1758) Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. *Editio decima, reformata [10th revised edition], vol. 1: 824pp. Laurentius Salvius: Holmiae.*
- Linnaeus C (1767) Systema naturae per regna tria naturae: secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Ed. 12. 1., Regnum Animale. 1 & 2. Holmiae [Stockholm], Laurentii Salvii.
- Loosanoff VL and Engle JB (1943) Polydora in oysters suspended in the water. Biological Bulletin Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole 85, 69–78.
- Lopez G, Carey D, Carlton JT, Cerrato R, Dam H, DiGiovanni R, Elphick C, Frisk M, Gobler C, Hice L, Howell P, Jordaan A, Lin S, Liu S, Lonsdale D, McEnroe M, McKown K, McManus G, Orson R, Peterson B, Pickerell C, Rozsa R, Shumway SE, Siuda A, Streich K, Talmage S, Taylor G, Thomas E, Van Patten M, Vaudrey J, Yarish C, Wikfors G and Zajac R (2014) Biology and ecology of Long Island sound. In Latimer JS, Tedesco MA, Swanson RL, Yarish C, Stacey PE and Garza C (eds.), Long Island Sound. New York, NY: Springer.
- Maciolek NJ (1990) A redescription of some species belonging to the genera Spio and Microspio (Polychaeta: Annelida) and descriptions of three new species from the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Natural History 24, 1109–1141.
- Magalhães WF, de Oliveira VM and Bailey-Brock JH (2022) Redescription of two Phyllodoce (Annelida: Phyllodocidae) species from Hawaii. Marine Biology Research 18, 409–414.
- Mahon AR, Mahon HK, Dauer DM and Halanych KM (2009) Discrete genetic boundaries of three *Streblospio* (Spionidae, Annelida) species and the status of *S. shrubsolii. Marine Biology Research* **5**, 172–178.
- Malmgren JA (1865) Nordiska Hafs-Annulater. [part one of three]. Öfversigt af Königlich Vetenskapsakademiens förhandlingar, Stockholm 22, 51–110.
- Maltagliati F, Peru AP, Casu M, Rossi F, Lardicci C, Curini-Galletti M and Castelli A (2000) Is *Syllis gracilis* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) a species complex? An allozyme perspective. *Marine Biology* **136**, 871–879.
- Mark S, Provencher L, Albert E and Nozères C (2010) Cadre de suivi écologique de la zone de protection marine Manicouagan (Québec): bilan des connaissances et identification des composantes écologiques à suivre. Rapp. tech. can. sci. halieut. aquat. 2914.
- Martinelli JC, Considine M, Casendino HR, Tarpey CM, Jimenez-Hidalgo I, Padilla-Gamino JL, King TL, Hauser L, Rumrill S and Wood CL (2024) Infestation of cultivated Pacific oysters by shell-boring polychaetes along the

US West Coast: prevalence is associated with season, culture method, and pH. *Aquaculture* **580**, 740290.

- Mathieson AC, Pederson JR, Neefus CD, Dawes CJ and Bray TL (2008) Multiple assessments of introduced seaweeds in the Northwest Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 65, 730–741.
- McClain C (2011) The mass extinction of scientists who study species. *Reptiles* & *Amphibians* 18, 39–41.
- McGrail O (2023) Unraveling a potential cryptic species complex of Polydora colonia and Polydora spongicola through morphology and DNA barcoding (Senior Honors thesis). Norton, MA: Wheaton College Massachusetts, 47pp.
- McIntyre CM, Pappal AL, Bryant J, Carlton JT, Cute K, Dijkstra J, Erickson R, Garner Y, Gittenberger A, Grady SP, Haram L, Harris L, Hobbs NV, Lambert CC, Lambert G, Lambert WJ, Marques AC, Mathieson AC, McCuller M, Mickiewicz M, Pederson J, Rock-Blake R, Smith JP, Sorte C, Stefaniak L and Wagstaff M (2013) Report on the 2010 Rapid Assessment Survey of Marine Species at New England Floating Docks and Rocky Shores. Boston, MA: Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs, Office of Coastal Zone Management, 35pp.
- Miloslavich P, Diaz JM, Klein E, Alvarado JJ, Diaz C, Gobin J, Escobar-Briones E, Cruz-Motta JJ, Weil E, Cortes J, Bastidas A, Robertson R, Zapata F, Martin A, Castillo J, Kazandjian A and Ortiz M (2010) Marine biodiversity in the Caribbean: regional estimates and distribution patterns. *PLoS one* 5, e11916.
- Moltedo G, Martuccio G, Catalano B, Gastaldi L, Maggi C, Virno-Lamberti C and Cicero AM (2019) Biological responses of the polychaetae *Hediste diversicolor* (O.F. Muller, 1776) to inorganic mercury exposure: a multimarker approach. *Chemosphere* 219, 989–996.
- **Montagu G** (1803) Testacea Britannica or natural history of British shells, marine, land, and fresh-water, including the most minute: Systematically arranged and embellished with figures. J. White, London, Vol. 1, xxxvii + 291pp, Vol. 2, pp. 293–606.
- Moore JP (1907) Descriptions of new species of spioniform annelids. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 59, 195–207, plates XV–XVI.
- Müller OF (1774) Vermium terrestrium et fluviatilium, seu animalium infusoriorum, helminthicorum, et testaceorum, non marinorum, succincta historia. Helminthica 1–72.
- Müller OF (1776) Zoologiae Danicae prodromus, seu Animalium Daniae et Norvegiae indigenarum: characteres, nomina, et synonyma imprimis popularium. Havni, Copenhagen: Typis Hallagerii.
- Müller OF (1788) Zoologia Danica seu animalium Daniae et Norvegiae rariorum ac minus notorum descriptiones et historia. Volumen secundum: pp. [1–3], 1–56, pls. 41–80. Havniae [Copenhague], N. Möller.
- Musco L and Giangrande A (2005) Mediterranean Syllidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) revisited: biogeography, diversity and species fidelity to environmental features. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **304**, 143–153.
- Nall CR, Guerin AJ and Cook EJ (2015) Rapid assessment of marine nonnative species in northern Scotland and a synthesis of existing Scottish records. *Aquatic Invasions* **10**, 107–121.
- Nascimento RL, Fukuda MV, Paresque K, Nogueira JMDM and Paiva PCD (2021) A synopsis of *Salvatoria* McIntosh, 1885 (Annelida: Syllidae: Exogoninae) from Brazilian coastal and oceanic waters. *PLoS ONE* 16, e0250472.
- Nygren A (2014) Cryptic polychaete diversity: a review. Zoologica Scripta 43, 172–183.
- Nygren A, Eklöf J and Pleijel F (2009) Arctic-boreal sibling species of *Paranaitis* (Polychaeta, Phyllodocidae). *Marine Biology Research* 5, 315–327.
- Nygren A, Norlinder E, Panova M and Pleijel F (2011) Colour polymorphism in the polychaete *Harmothoe imbricata* (Linnaeus, 1767). *Marine Biology Research* 7, 54–62.
- Nygren A and Pleijel F (2010) Redescription of *Imajimaeae draculai* a rare syllid polychaete associated with the sea pen *Funiculina quadrangularis*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **90**, 1441–1448.
- Örsted AS (1842) Udtog af en Beskrivelse af Grönlands Annulata dorsibranchiata. Naturhistorisk Tidsskrift, Köbenhavn 4, 109–127.
- **Örsted AS** (1843) Annulatorum danicorum conspectus. Auctore A.S. Örsted. Fasc. I. Maricolæ. (Quæstio ab universitate Hafniensi ad solvendum proposita et proemio ornata).

- O'Shaughnessy KA, Lyons D, Ashelby CW, Counihan R, Pears S, Taylor E, Davies R and Stebbing PD (2023) Rapid assessment of marine non-native species in Irish marinas. *Management of Biological Invasions* 14, 245–267.
- Pederson J, Bullock R, Carlton J, Dijkstra J, Dobroski N, Dyrynda P, Fisher R, Harris L, Hobbs N, Lambert C, Lazo-Wasem E, Mathieson A, Miglietta A-P, Smith J, Smith III J and Tyrrell M (2005) Marine Invaders in the Northeast. Rapid assessment survey of non-native and native marine species of float dock communities. August 2003. MIT Sea Grant College Program Publication No. 05-3, Cambridge, MA, 40pp.
- Pederson J, Carlton JT, Bastidas C, David A, Grady S, Green-Gavrielidis L, Hobbs N-V, Kennedy C, Knack J, McCuller M, O'Brien B, Osborne K, Pankey S and Trott T (2021) 2019 rapid Assessment Survey of marine bioinvasions of southern New England and New York, USA, with an overview of new records and range expansions. *BioInvasions Records* 10, 227–237.
- Pernet B and McArthur L (2006) Feeding by larvae of two different developmental modes in *Streblospio benedicti* (Polychaeta: Spionidae). *Marine Biology* 149, 803–811.
- Pettengill JB, Wendt DE, Schug MD and Hadfield MG (2007) Biofouling likely serves as a major mode of dispersal for the polychaete tubeworm *Hydroides elegans* as inferred from microsatellite loci. *Biofouling* 23, 161–169.
- **Pettibone MH** (1963) Marine polychaete worms of the New England region. I. Aphroditidae through Trochochaetidae. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum*, 361pp.
- Pleijel F (1993) Phylogeny of Phyllodoce (Polychaeta, Phyllodicidae). Zoological Journal of the Linnaean Society 108, 287–299.
- Radashevsky VI (2005) On adult and larval morphology of *Polydora cornuta* Bosc, 1802 (Annelida: Spionidae). *Zootaxa* **1064**, 1–24.
- Radashevsky VI, Lana PC and Nalesso RC (2006) Morphology and biology of *Polydora* species (Polychaeta: Spionidae) boring into oyster shells in South America, with the description of a new species. *Zootaxa* 1353, 1–37.
- Radashevsky VI, Pankova VV, Malyar VV and Carlton JT (2023) Boring can get so far: shell-boring *Dipolydora* from temperate northern Pacific, with emphasis on the global history of *Dipolydora giardi* (Mesnil, 1893) (Annelida: Spionidae). *Biological Invasions* 25, 741–772.
- Read GB (2010) Comparison and history of Polydora websteri and P. haswelli (Polychaeta: Spionidae) as mud-blister worms in New Zealand shellfish. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 44, 83–100.
- Rice SA, Karl S and Rice KA (2008) The Polydora cornuta complex (Annelida: Polychaeta) contains populations that are reproductively isolated and genetically distinct. *Invertebrate Zoology* 127, 45–64.
- Rice LN, Lindsay S and Rawson P (2018) Genetic homogeneity among geographically distant populations of the blister worm *Polydora websteri*. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions* **10**, 437–446.
- Rizzo AE and Salazar-Vallejo SI (2014) Hesionidae Grube, 1850 (Annelida: Polychaeta) from South-Southeastern Brazil, with descriptions of four new species. *Zootaxa* 3856, 267–291.
- Rodewald N, Snyman R and Simon CA (2021) Worming its way in-*Polydora websteri* (Annelida: Spionidae) increases the number of non-indigenous shell-boring polydorin pests of cultured molluscs in South Africa. *Zootaxa* 4969, 255279.
- Roman J and Darling JA (2007) Paradox lost: genetic diversity and the success of aquatic invasions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 22, P454-P464.
- Rouse G, Pleijel F and Tilic E (2022) Annelida. Oxford University Press.
- San Martín G (2003) Annelida Polychaeta II: Syllidae. In Ramos MA (ed.), Fauna Ibérica, Vol. 21. Madrid: Museu Nacional de Ciencias Naturales de Madrid, CSIC, p. 55.
- Sars M (1835) Beskrivelser og lagttagelser over nogle moerkelige eller nye i Havet ved den Bergenske Kyst levende Dyr af Polypernes, Acalephernes, Radiaternes, Annelidernes og Molluskernes classer, med en kort Oversigt over de hidtil af Forfatteren sammesteds fundne Arter og deres Forekommen.
- Sars M (1851) Beretning om i Sommeren 1849 Fortagen Zoologisk Reise i Lofoten og Finmarken. Nyt Magazin Naturvidenskaberne 6, 121–211.
- Sars M (1861) Om de ved Norges Kyster forekommende Arter af Annelideslaegten Polynoë. Forhandlinger i Videnskabs-Selskabet i Christiania 1860, 54–62.
- Sato-Okoshi W, Okoshi K, Abe H and Li J-Y (2013) Polydorid species (Polychaeta, Spionidae) associated with commercially important mollusk shells from eastern China. *Aquaculture* 406, 153–159.
- Savigny J-C (1822) Système des annélides, principalement de celles des côtes de l'Égypte et de la Syrie, offrant les caractères tant distinctifs que naturels des Ordres, Familles et Genres, avec la description des Espèces. Description de

l'Égypte ou Recueil des Observations et des Recherches qui ont été faitesen Égypte pendant l'Expédition de l'Armée française, publié par les Ordres de sa Majésté l'Empereur Napoléon le Grand. Histoire Naturelle, Paris 1, 1–128.

- Scaps P (2002) A review of the biology, ecology and potential use of the common ragworm *Hediste diversicolor* (O.F. Muller) (Annelida: Polychaeta). *Hydrobiologia* 470, 203–218.
- Schmarda LK (1861) Neue Wirbellose Thiere: Beobachted und Gesammelt auf einer Reise um die Erdr 1853 bis 1857. In Turbellarien, Rotatorien und Anneliden. Leipzig, Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann. Erster Band, Zweite Hälfte.
- Schmidt O (1857) Zur Kenntnis der Turbellaria, Rhabdocoela und einiger anderer Wuermer des Mittelmeeres. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 23, 347–366.
- Sebens KP (1986) Spatial relationships among encrusting marine organisms in the New England subtidal zone. *Ecological Monographs* 56, 73–96.
- Silva MSS, Pires A, Almeida M and Oliveira M (2020) The use of *Hediste* diversicolor in the study of emerging contaminants. Marine Environmental Research 159, 105013.
- Simon JL (1967) Reproduction and larval development of *Spio setosa* (Spionidae; Polychaeta). *Bulletin of Marine Science* 17, 398–431.
- Simon CA, Kara J, Clarke DT and Sedick S (2022) Revisiting 'A monograph on the Polychaeta of southern Africa': establishing taxonomic research priorities in southern Africa. African Journal of Marine Science 44, 83–100.
- Simon CA and Sato-Okoshi W (2015) Polydorid polychaetes on farmed molluscs: distribution, spread and factors contributing to their success. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions* 7, 147–166.
- Smyth D and Roberts D (2010) The European oyster (Ostrea edulis) and its epibiotic succession. Hydrobiologia 655, 25–36.
- Sun Y, Wong E, Keppel E, Williamson JE and Kupriyanova EK (2017) A global invader or a complex of regionally distributed species? Clarifying the status of an invasive calcareous tubeworm *Hydroides dianthus* (Verrill, 1873) (Polychaeta: Serpulidae) using DNA barcoding. *Marine Biology* 164, 1–12.
- Teixeira MAL, Langeneck J, Vieira PE, Hernandez JC, Sampieri BR, Kasapidis P, Mucciolo S, Bakken T, Ravara A, Nygren A and Costa FO (2022) Reappraisal of the hyperdiverse *Platynereis dumerilii* (Annelida: Nereididae) species complex in the Northern Atlantic, with the description of two new species. *Invertebrate Systematics* **36**, 1017–1061.
- Teixeira MAL, Vieira PE, Fenwick D, Langeneck J, Pleijel F, Sampieri BR, Hernandez JC, Ravara A, Costa FO and Nygren A (2023) Revealing the diversity of the green *Eulalia* (Annelida, Phyllodocidae) species complex along the European coast, with the description of three new species. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* 23, 477–503.
- Tomioka S, Kondoh T, Sato-Okoshi W, Ito K, Kakui K and Kajihara H (2016) Cosmopolitan or cryptic species? A case study of *Capitella teleta* (Annelida: Capitellidae). *Zoological Science* **33**, 545–554.
- Trott TJ (2004) Cobscook Bay inventory: a historical checklist of marine invertebrates spanning 162 years. Northeastern Naturalist 11, 261–324.
- Verrill AE (1873) XVIII. Report upon the invertebrate animals of Vineyard Sound and the adjacent waters, with an account of the physical characters of the region. *Report on the condition of the sea fisheries of the south coast of New England [later becomes Reports of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries]* 1, 295–778.
- Verrill AE (1874) Brief contributions to zoology from the museum of Yale College. No. XXVIII. Results of recent dredging expeditions on the coast of New England. No. 6. American Journal of Science and Arts 7, 405–414.
- Verrill AE (1875) Brief contributions to zoology from the museum of Yale College. No. XXXIII. Results of recent dredging expeditions on the coast of New England, in 1874. American Journal of Science and Arts 10, 36–43.
- Villalobos-Guerrero TF and Bakken T (2018) Revision of the Alitta virens species complex (Annelida: Nereididae) from the North Pacific Ocean. Zootaxa 4483, 201–257.
- Virgilio M, Fauvelot C, Costantini F, Abbiati M and Backeljau T (2009) Phylogeography of the common ragworm *Hediste diversicolor* (Polychaeta: Nereididae) reveals cryptic diversity and multiple colonization events across its distribution. *Molecular Ecology* 18, 1980–1994.
- Waldbusser GG, Marinelli RL, Whitlatch RB and Visscher PT (2004) The effects of infaunal biodiversity on biogeochemistry of coastal marine sediments. *Limnology & Oceanography* 49, 1482–1492.
- Waser AM, Lackschewitz D, Knol J, Reise K, Mathias Wegner K and Thieltges DW (2020) Spread of the invasive shell-boring annelid

Polydora websteri (Polychaeta, Spionidae) into naturalized oyster reefs in the European Wadden Sea. *Marine Biodiversity* **50**, 63.

- Webster HE (1879) The Annelida Chaetopoda of New Jersey. Annual Report of the New York State Museum of Natural History 32, 101–128.
- Webster HE and Benedict JE (1884) The Annelida Chaetopoda from Provincetown and Wellfleet, Massachusetts. Annual Report of the United States Commission of Fish and Fisheries, Washington for 1881, 699–647.
- Webster HE and Benedict JE (1887) The Annelida Chaetopoda, from Eastport, Maine. U.S. Commission of Fish & Fisheries. Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries. 1885.
- Weidhase M, Bleidorn C and Simon CA (2016) On the taxonomy and phylogeny of *Ctenodrilus* (Annelida: Cirratulidae) with a first report from South Africa. *Marine Biodiversity* **46**, 243–252.
- Wells CD, Pappal AL, Cao Y, Carlton JT, Currimjee Z, Dijkstra JA, Edquist SK, Gittenberger A, Mathieson AC, McCuller MI, Osborne K, Pederson JA, Ros M, Smith JP, Stefaniak LM and Stevens A (2014) Report on the

2013 Rapid Assessment Survey of Marine Species at New England Bays and Harbors. Boston, MA: Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management, 26pp.

- Westheide W, Haß-Cordes E, Krabusch M and Müller M (2003) *Ctenodrilus* serratus (Polychaeta: Ctenodrilidae) is a truly amphi-Atlantic meiofauna species – evidence from molecular data. *Marine Biology* **142**, 637–642.
- Williams L, Matthee CA and Simon CA (2017) Dispersal and genetic structure of *Boccardia polybranchia* and *Polydora hoplura* (Annelida: Spionidae) in South Africa and their implications for aquaculture. *Aquaculture* 465, 235–244.
- **WoRMS Editorial Board** (2024) World Register of Marine Species. Available from https://www.marinespecies.org at VLIZ (Accessed 24 August 2024). doi: 10.14284/170.
- Zantke J, Bannister S, Babu V, Rajan V, Raible F and Tessmar-Raible K (2014) Genetic and genomic tools for the marine annelid *Platynereis dumerilii. Genetics* **197**, 19–31.