

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF LOG-HYPONORMAL AND OF CLASS $A(s, t)$ OPERATORS

KÔTARÔ TANAHASHI¹

Department of Mathematics, Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, Sendai 981-8558, Japan
e-mail: tanahasi@tohoku-pharm.ac.jp

and MUNEO CHÔ²

Department of Mathematics, Kanagawa University, Yokohama 221-8686, Japan
e-mail: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

(Received 27 September, 2002; accepted 1 August, 2003)

Abstract. Let A (resp. B) be a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (resp. \mathcal{K}). We show that the tensor product $A \otimes B$ is log-hyponormal if and only if A and B are log-hyponormal, and that a similar result holds for class $A(s, t)$ operators.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A80, 47B20.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be complex Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ the tensor product of \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} ; i.e., the completion of the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} with the inner product $\langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$ for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{H}, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ (resp. $B(\mathcal{K})$) be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} (resp. \mathcal{K}). Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in B(\mathcal{K})$. $A \otimes B \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ denotes the tensor product of A and B ; i.e., $(A \otimes B)(x \otimes y) = Ax \otimes By$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathcal{K}$.

Let S and $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$. T is said to be *non-negative* if $T \geq 0$; i.e., $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. $S \leq T$ means $T - S$ is non-negative, and $S < T$ means $T - S$ is non-negative and invertible. T is said to be *p-hyponormal* ($0 < p$) if $(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p$. If $p = 1$, T is said to be *hyponormal*, and if $p = 1/2$, T is said to be *semi-hyponormal*. T is said to be *log-hyponormal* if T is invertible and $\log(TT^*) \leq \log(T^*T)$. If T is *p-hyponormal* and $0 < q < p$, then T is *q-hyponormal*. Invertible *p-hyponormal* operators are log-hyponormal.

Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\tilde{T}_{s,t} = |T|^s U |T|^t$ be the Aluthge transform for $s, t > 0$. T is called a *class $A(s, t)$ operator* if $|\tilde{T}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2t}$. T is called a *class $wA(s, t)$ operator* if T is a class $A(s, t)$ operator and $|T|^{2s} \geq |(\tilde{T}_{s,t})^*|^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$. A class $A(1, 1)$ operator is called a *class A operator* and a class $wA(1/2, 1/2)$ operator is called a *w-hyponormal operator* ([2, 8]). T is said to be *paranormal* if $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\| \|x\|$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is known that class A operators are paranormal.

D. Xia [16] investigated properties of hyponormal and semi-hyponormal operators. A. Aluthge [1] introduced *p-hyponormal* operators and investigated properties of a *p-hyponormal* operator by its Aluthge transform. The idea of log-hyponormal operator is due to T. Ando [3] and the first paper in which log-hyponormality appeared is [6]. See

¹This research was supported by Grant-in-Aid Research 1. No. 10640187, 2. No.14540190.

[2, 14, 15] for properties of log-hyponormal operators. M. Ito [8] proved p -hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators are class $wA(s, t)$ operators for all $s, t > 0$. (See [7, 14, 17, 18] for related results.) M. Ito and T. Yamazaki [9] proved that class $A(s, t)$ operators are class $wA(s, t)$ operators, and investigated the relations between these classes of operators.

There are many properties which are preserved under tensor product. For example, H. Jinchuan [11] proved that $A \otimes B$ is normal if and only if A, B are normal, where A, B are non-zero operators. Similar results were obtained for subnormal operators by B. Magajna [12], hyponormal operators by J. Stochel [13], p -hyponormal operators by B. P. Duggal [4], class A operators by I. H. Jeon and B. P. Duggal [10] and p -quasihyponormal operators by D. R. Farenick and I. H. Kim [5]. But T. Ando [3] proved that there exist paranormal operators A and B such that $A \otimes B$ is not paranormal. In this paper, we show that the tensor product $A \otimes B$ is log-hyponormal if and only if A and B are log-hyponormal, and that a similar result holds for class $A(s, t)$ operators.

2. Results. The following key lemma is due to J. Stochel [13].

LEMMA 1. [12] *Let $A_1, A_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}), B_1, B_2 \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be non-negative operators. If A_1 and B_1 are non-zero, then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) $A_1 \otimes B_1 \leq A_2 \otimes B_2$.
- (2) *There exists $c > 0$ such that $A_1 \leq cA_2$ and $B_1 \leq c^{-1}B_2$.*

The proofs of the following elementary properties are easy.

LEMMA 2. *Let $A = U_A|A|$ and $B = U_B|B|$ be the polar decompositions of $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in B(\mathcal{K})$, respectively. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $|A \otimes B| = |A| \otimes |B|$.
- (2) $A \otimes B = (U_A \otimes U_B)(|A| \otimes |B|)$ is the polar decomposition of $A \otimes B$.
- (3) $(\widetilde{A \otimes B})_{s,t} = \widetilde{A}_{s,t} \otimes \widetilde{B}_{s,t}$ for $s, t > 0$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be non-zero operators. Then $A \otimes B$ is a class $A(s, t)$ operator if and only if A and B are class $A(s, t)$ operators for $s, t > 0$.*

Proof. Let A and B be class $A(s, t)$ operators. Then

$$|\widetilde{A}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \geq |A|^{2t},$$

$$|\widetilde{B}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \geq |B|^{2t}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(\widetilde{A \otimes B})_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} &= |\widetilde{A}_{s,t} \otimes \widetilde{B}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \\ &= |\widetilde{A}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \otimes |\widetilde{B}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \geq |A|^{2t} \otimes |B|^{2t} \\ &= (|A| \otimes |B|)^{2t} = |A \otimes B|^{2t}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 1 and 2. Hence $A \otimes B$ is a class $A(s, t)$ operator.

Conversely let $A \otimes B$ be a class $A(s, t)$ operator. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|A|^{2t} \leq c|\widetilde{A}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}},$$

$$|B|^{2t} \leq c^{-1}|\widetilde{B}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}},$$

by Lemma 1. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ be a unit vector. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \| |A|^t x \|^2 &= \langle |A|^{2t} x, x \rangle \leq c \langle |\tilde{A}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} x, x \rangle \\ &\leq c \| |\tilde{A}_{s,t}|^{\frac{t}{s+t}} \|^2 = c \| \tilde{A}_{s,t} \|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} = c \| |A|^s U |A|^t \|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \leq c \| |A|^t \|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = U|A|$ is the polar decomposition of A . Hence $\| |A|^t \|^2 \leq c \| |A|^t \|^2$ and $1 \leq c$.

Similarly we have $1 \leq c^{-1}$ because $|B|^{2t} \leq c^{-1} |\tilde{B}_{s,t}|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}}$. Thus $c = 1$. This implies that A and B are class $A(s, t)$ operators. \square

LEMMA 4. Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be invertible non-negative operators. Then

$$\log(A \otimes B) = (\log A) \otimes I + I \otimes (\log B),$$

where I denotes the identity operator.

Proof. Let $A = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda)$ and $B = \int_0^\infty \mu dF(\mu)$ be the spectral decompositions of A and B , respectively. Then

$$A \otimes B = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda \mu dG(\lambda, \mu),$$

where

$$G(\sigma \times \tau) = E(\sigma) \otimes F(\tau)$$

for all Borel sets $\sigma, \tau \subset [0, \infty)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log(A \otimes B) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \log(\lambda \mu) dG(\lambda, \mu) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\log \lambda + \log \mu) dG(\lambda, \mu) \\ &= (\log A) \otimes I + I \otimes (\log B). \end{aligned}$$

\square

THEOREM 5. Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $B \in B(\mathcal{K})$. Then $A \otimes B$ is log-hyponormal if and only if A and B are log-hyponormal.

Proof. Let A and B be log-hyponormal. Then A and B are invertible and

$$\log |A| \geq \log |A^*|,$$

$$\log |B| \geq \log |B^*|.$$

Hence $A \otimes B$ is invertible and

$$\begin{aligned} &\log |A \otimes B| - \log |(A \otimes B)^*| \\ &= \log(|A| \otimes |B|) - \log(|A^*| \otimes |B^*|) \\ &= (\log |A|) \otimes I + I \otimes (\log |B|) - (\log |A^*|) \otimes I - I \otimes (\log |B^*|) \\ &= (\log |A| - \log |A^*|) \otimes I + I \otimes (\log |B| - \log |B^*|) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 1 and 4. Thus $A \otimes B$ is log-hyponormal.

Conversely let $A \otimes B$ be log-hyponormal. Since $A \otimes B$ is invertible and

$$\sigma(A \otimes B) = \{\lambda\mu \mid \lambda \in \sigma(A), \mu \in \sigma(B)\},$$

we have that A and B are invertible and

$$\begin{aligned} \log |A \otimes B| - \log |(A \otimes B)^*| \\ = (\log |A| - \log |A^*|) \otimes I + I \otimes (\log |B| - \log |B^*|) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ((\log |A| - \log |A^*|) \otimes I)x \otimes y, x \otimes y \rangle \\ \geq -\langle (I \otimes (\log |B| - \log |B^*|))x \otimes y, x \otimes y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathcal{K}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\log |A| - \log |A^*|)x, x \rangle \\ \geq -\langle (\log |B| - \log |B^*|)y, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathcal{K}$. This implies that there exists a real number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle (\log |A| - \log |A^*|)x, x \rangle &= c \\ \geq \sup_{\|y\|=1} \langle -(\log |B| - \log |B^*|)y, y \rangle \\ &= -\inf_{\|y\|=1} \langle (\log |B| - \log |B^*|)y, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log |A| - \log |A^*| &\geq cI, \\ \log |B| - \log |B^*| &\geq -cI. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\log(|kA|) - \log(|(kA)^*|) = \log |A| - \log |A^*|$$

for all $k > 0$, we may assume that $I < |A|, e^c|A^*|, |B|, e^{-c}|B^*|$ by taking kA, kB instead of A, B for some large $k > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\log |A|)^{\frac{1}{2}}x\|^2 &= \langle (\log |A|)x, x \rangle \\ &\geq \langle (\log (e^c|A^*|))x, x \rangle \\ &= \|(\log (e^c|A^*|))^{\frac{1}{2}}x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\log \|A\|)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= (\log \| |A| \|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|(\log |A|)^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \geq \|(\log (e^c|A^*|))^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \\ &= (\log (e^c\|A^*\|))^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\log (e^c\|A\|))^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and $1 \geq e^c$.

Similarly we have that $1 \geq e^{-c}$ by $\log |B| - \log |B^*| \geq -cI$. Thus $c = 0$ and this implies that A and B are log-hyponormal. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor In Ho Jeon for his helpful suggestions. Also, the authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee, who located for us the reference [12] and reformulated Lemma 4.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aluthge, On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **13** (1990), 307–315.
2. A. Aluthge and D. Wang, An operator inequality which implies paranormality, *Math. Inequalities and Applications* **2** (1999), 113–119.
3. T. Ando, Operators with a norm condition, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **33** (1972), 169–178.
4. B. P. Duggal, Tensor products of operators – strong stability and p -hyponormality, *Glasgow Math. J.* **42** (2000), 371–381.
5. D. R. Farenick and I. H. Kim, Tensor products of quasihyponormal operators, *Proceedings of Kotac* **4** (2002), 113–119.
6. M. Fujii, C. Himeji and A. Matsumoto, Theorems of Ando and Saito for p -hyponormal operators, *Math. Japonica* **39** (1994), 595–598.
7. T. Huruya, A note on p -hyponormal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 3617–3624.
8. M. Ito, Some classes of operators with generalized Aluthge transformations, *SUT J. Math.* **35** (1999), 149–165.
9. M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, Relations between two inequalities $(B^{\frac{t}{p}} A^p B^{\frac{t}{p}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \leq B^r$ and $A^p \leq (A^{\frac{t}{p}} B^r A^{\frac{t}{p}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$ and their applications, preprint.
10. I. H. Jeon and B. P. Duggal, On operators with an absolute value condition, preprint.
11. H. Jinchuan, On the tensor products of operators, *Acta Math. Sinica* **9** (1993), 195–202.
12. B. Magajna, On subnormality of generalized derivations and tensor products, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **31** (1985), 235–243.
13. Jan Stochel, Seminormality of operators from their tensor products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 435–440.
14. K. Tanahashi, On log-hyponormal operators, *Integral. Equations Operator Theory* **34** (1999), 364–372.
15. K. Tanahashi, Putnam’s inequality for log-hyponormal operators, *Integral. Equations Operator Theory* to appear.
16. D. Xia, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators* (Birkhauser-Verlag, 1983).
17. M. Yanagida, Powers of class $wA(s, t)$ operators associated with generalized Aluthge transformations, preprint.
18. T. Yoshino, The p -hyponormality of the Aluthge transform, *Interdisciplinary Information Sciences* **3** (1997), 91–93.