

STRONG MORITA EQUIVALENCE FOR THE DENJOY C^* -ALGEBRAS

BY
IAN F. PUTNAM

ABSTRACT. The C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations of the circle were classified up to strong Morita equivalence by M. A. Rieffel. As a corollary, he gave a complete classification of the C^* -algebras arising from irrational or Kronecker flows on the 2-torus up to $*$ -isomorphism. Here, we extend the result to the so-called Denjoy homeomorphisms. Specifically, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the strong Morita equivalence of two C^* -algebras arising from homeomorphisms of the circle without periodic points. As a corollary, we show that two C^* -algebras arising from flows on the 2-torus obtained from such homeomorphisms by the "flow under constant function" construction are $*$ -isomorphic if and only if the flows themselves are topologically conjugate.

1. Introduction.

Let φ be a homeomorphism of the circle, S^1 , without periodic points. We denote by $(\mathbf{Z}, S^1, \varphi)$ the free action of the group of integers which it generates, and by A_φ the associated crossed-product or transformation group C^* -algebra. Such transformation groups are classified (topologically) by a pair of invariants. The first is called the rotation number and denoted by $\rho(\varphi)$. It is an irrational number between 0 and 1. The second invariant, denoted $Q(\varphi)$, is a countable subset of the circle which is invariant under rotation by an angle of $2\pi\rho(\varphi)$. In fact, the set $Q(\varphi)$ is only defined up to a rigid rotation of the circle. For $\varphi = R_\alpha$, rotation through an angle of $2\pi\alpha$ (with α irrational), $\rho(\varphi) = \alpha$ and $Q(\varphi)$ is the empty set. The reader is referred to [6] or to Markley [3] for the appropriate definitions. Our set $Q(\varphi)$ is the complement of Markley's $T(\varphi)$.

The C^* -algebras A_φ were classified completely up to $*$ -isomorphism in [6]. Here, in Section 2, we give a complete classification of them up to strong Morita equivalence (see Rieffel [8]). In Section 3, we use this result to give a complete classification of the C^* -algebras associated with Denjoy flows on the 2-torus.

These results extend those of Rieffel [7] who considered irrational rotations. Rieffel's results in [7] depend heavily on the work of M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu ([4] and [5]) which completed the classification of the irrational

Received by the editors March 19, 1987.
AMS Subject Classification (1980): 47C15.
© Canadian Mathematical Society 1987.

rotation C^* -algebras up to $*$ -isomorphism. Similarly, the results of [6] also rely on [5].

The notation used here is the same as in [6]. The reader is referred there for a description of the dynamics and the basic facts regarding the C^* -algebras which we are considering here. We let \mathcal{K} denote the compact operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space, S^1 denote the circle and $[\]$ and $\{ \ }$ denote integer and fractional part, respectively. We use π to denote the usual covering map of \mathbf{R} onto S^1 and T_r to denote translation by r on \mathbf{R} .

I would like to thank M. A. Rieffel, whose supervision of the work was invaluable, and J. Harrison and C. Pugh for many helpful conversations. I would also like to acknowledge the hospitality of the mathematics department of the University of Victoria, where much of this research was done. This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 86-01740 and by a postgraduate fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

2. The main theorem.

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let φ and Ψ be homeomorphisms of the circle without periodic points. The C^* -algebras A_φ and A_Ψ are strongly Morita equivalent if and only if there is $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ such that*

- (i)
$$\rho(\Psi) = \frac{a\rho(\varphi) + b}{c\rho(\varphi) + d}, \text{ and}$$
- (ii)
$$\pi^{-1}(Q(\Psi)) \approx \frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \pi^{-1}(Q(\varphi)),$$

where \approx denotes equality up to translation.

We suppose that φ and Ψ are homeomorphisms of the circle without periodic points. We further suppose that the C^* -algebras A_φ and A_Ψ are strongly Morita equivalent. Since we are dealing with separable C^* -algebras, this is equivalent to the existence of a $*$ -isomorphism $\sigma: A_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ ([1]).

For any C^* -algebra, \mathcal{A} , there is an order-preserving bijection between the ideals of \mathcal{A} and those of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ ([8]). There is also a natural isomorphism between the groups $K_*(\mathcal{A})$ and $K_*(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and in the case of K_0 -groups it is actually an order isomorphism. We will suppress this in our notation. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are the unique maximal ideals in $A_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $A_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K}$, respectively, so that σ must carry the former to the latter isomorphically. Recall from [6] that \mathcal{I}_φ decomposes into the direct sum of ideals $\mathcal{I}_\varphi^k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n(\varphi)$, corresponding to the connected components of $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{I}_\varphi)$. The ideals \mathcal{I}_φ^k are uniquely determined up to a choice of indexing and each is $*$ -isomorphic to $C_0(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. As $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{I}_\varphi)$ and $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{I}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K})$ are homeomorphic, we conclude that

(after a suitable choice of indexing) σ carries $\mathcal{I}_\varphi^k \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{I}_\Psi^k \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n(\varphi) = n(\Psi)$.

We will suppress the natural $*$ -isomorphism between $A_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{I}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $(A_\varphi/\mathcal{I}_\varphi) \otimes \mathcal{K} = D_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$. As σ takes $\mathcal{I}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{I}_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K}$, we obtain a $*$ -isomorphism between $D_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $D_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K}$. This will also be denoted by σ . We then have the following commutative diagram.

$$(I) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & A_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & D_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & A_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & D_\Psi \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

From Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 of [7] and the uniqueness of the traces on D_φ and D_Ψ , we conclude that there is a positive real number r such that

$$\hat{T}r_\Psi \circ \sigma_* = r \cdot \hat{T}r_\varphi : K_0(D_\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

In [6], it was shown that $K_0(A_\varphi)$ is a subgroup of $K_0(D_\varphi)$ and, from the commutativity of the right square of diagram (I), it must be carried to $K_0(A_\Psi)$ in $K_0(D_\Psi)$ by σ_* . Again from [6],

$$\hat{T}r_\varphi(K_0(A_\varphi)) = \mathbf{Z} + \rho(\varphi)\mathbf{Z}.$$

We conclude that

$$r(\mathbf{Z} + \rho(\varphi)\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} + \rho(\Psi)\mathbf{Z}.$$

An elementary calculation then shows that

$$r = \frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \text{ and } \rho(\Psi) = \frac{a\rho(\varphi) + b}{c\rho(\varphi) + d}$$

for some integers a, b, c and d with $ad - bc = \pm 1$. It remains to verify that condition (ii) is satisfied.

We recall the notation of [6]. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n(\varphi)}$ be generators for the groups $K_1(\mathcal{I}_\varphi^1), K_1(\mathcal{I}_\varphi^2), \dots, K_1(\mathcal{I}_\varphi^{n(\varphi)})$, respectively, such that $i_*(x_1) = \dots = i_*(x_{n(\varphi)})$ in $K_1(A_\varphi)$. Lemma 6.7 of [6] then asserts that

$$Q(\varphi) \approx \pi \left(\pm \hat{T}r_\varphi \left(\bigcup_k \exp^{-1}(x_1 - x_k) \right) \right).$$

From the commutativity of diagram (I) above we obtain the following commutative diagram of K -groups.

$$(II) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & K_0(A_\varphi) & \longrightarrow & K_0(D_\varphi) & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} & K_1(\mathcal{I}_\varphi) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & K_1(A_\varphi) \\ & \downarrow \sigma_* & & \downarrow \sigma_* & & \downarrow \sigma_* & & \downarrow \sigma_* \\ \longrightarrow & K_0(A_\Psi) & \longrightarrow & K_0(D_\Psi) & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} & K_1(\mathcal{I}_\Psi) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & K_1(A_\Psi) \end{array}$$

For each k , $\sigma_*(x_k)$ is a generator of $K_1(\mathcal{A}_\Psi^k)$. Moreover, the commutativity of the right square in diagram (II) implies that $i_*(\sigma_*(x_1)) = \dots = i_*(\sigma_*(x_{n(\varphi)}))$ in $K_1(\mathcal{A}_\Psi)$. By Lemma 6.7 of [6], we have

$$Q(\Psi) \approx \pi\left(\pm \hat{T}r_\Psi\left(\bigcup_k \exp^{-1}(\sigma_*(x_1) - \sigma_*(x_k))\right)\right).$$

Now we apply the commutativity of the centre square of diagram (II), so that

$$\exp^{-1}(\sigma_*(x_1) - \sigma_*(x_k)) = \sigma_*(\exp^{-1}(x_1 - x_k)),$$

for each k . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\Psi) &\approx \pi\left(\pm \hat{T}r_\Psi\left(\bigcup_k \sigma_*(X_k)\right)\right) \\ &\approx \pi\left(\pm \hat{T}r_\Psi \circ \sigma_*\left(\bigcup_k X_k\right)\right) \\ &\approx \pi\left(\pm r \hat{T}r_\varphi\left(\bigcup_k X_k\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{T}r_\varphi(X_k)$ is invariant under translation by 1 and by $\rho(\varphi)$, we may conclude that

$$\pi^{-1}(Q(\Psi)) \approx \pm r \hat{T}r_\varphi\left(\bigcup_k X_k\right) \approx \frac{\pm 1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \pi^{-1}(Q(\varphi)),$$

as desired. Note that in order to remove the possibility of -1 in the numerator, we can simply replace a, b, c and d by their negatives. This completes the proof of the necessity of the condition.

In the proof of the sufficiency of the condition, the homeomorphisms φ and Ψ will be treated asymmetrically. Beginning with φ , we obtain a lifting $\tilde{\varphi}:\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(0) \in (0, 1)$. This is equivalent to the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}^n(x)}{n} = \rho(\varphi), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

without taking fractional part of the left hand side. (See [6].)

We then have an action of \mathbf{Z}^2 on \mathbf{R} generated by the (commuting) homeomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi}$ and T_1 . For i and j in \mathbf{Z} and x in \mathbf{R} , define $(i, j) \cdot x = \tilde{\varphi}^i \circ T_1^j(x)$. We use $\langle (i, j) \rangle$ to denote the subgroup of \mathbf{Z}^2 generated by (i, j) . Notice that $C^*(\langle (1, 0) \rangle, \mathbf{R}/\langle (0, 1) \rangle)$ is just A_φ .

In the general situation of a locally compact group G acting on a locally compact space X , we say that the action is wandering if, for every compact set $K \subset X$, the set $\{g \in G | g \cdot K \cap K \text{ is non-empty}\}$ is precompact in G . This condition will guarantee that the orbit space X/G is Hausdorff.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathbf{Z}^2 act on a locally compact space X so that the action, restricted to any cyclic subgroup of \mathbf{Z}^2 , is wandering. Let u and v be in \mathbf{Z}^2 , be such that $\langle u \rangle + \langle v \rangle = \mathbf{Z}^2$. Let $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} i & j \\ k & l \end{bmatrix}$ be in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and denote by $A(\alpha, u, v)$ the automorphism of \mathbf{Z}^2 defined by sending u to $iu + jv$ and v to $ku + lv$. Then $C^*(U, X/V)$ and $C^*(A(\alpha, u, v)(U), X/(A(\alpha, u, v)(V)))$ are strongly Morita equivalent.*

PROOF. See the discussion on page 421 of Rieffel [7]. □

We will apply the result to the case of our action of \mathbf{Z}^2 on \mathbf{R} , with $u = (1, 0)$ and $v = (0, 1)$, and

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},$$

the element of $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ given in our hypothesis relating φ and Ψ .

We must still verify that our action satisfies the wandering hypothesis of the theorem stated above and show that the transformation groups (\mathbf{Z}, S^1, Ψ) and $(\langle (a, b) \rangle, \mathbf{R}/\langle (c, d) \rangle)$ are isomorphic. Denote the homeomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi}^a \circ T_1^b$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^c \circ T_1^d$ of \mathbf{R} by ξ and η , respectively.

We begin with a simple lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let i and j be any integers. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\tilde{\varphi}^i \circ T_1^j)^n(x)}{n} = i\rho(\varphi) + j, x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\tilde{\varphi}^j \circ T_1^i)^n(x)}{n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}^{in}(x) + jn}{n} \\ &= i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}^{in}(x)}{in} + j \\ &= i\rho(\varphi) + j. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Throughout the rest of the proof, we will assume that $c\rho(\varphi) + d$ is positive. The other case is similar.

It is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3 that our action of \mathbf{Z}^2 on \mathbf{R} satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.

From Lemma 3, we see that we may write \mathbf{R} as a disjoint union

$$\mathbf{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [\eta^k(0), \eta^{k+1}(0)).$$

Define $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$g(t) = k + \eta(0)^{-1} \eta^{-k}(t), t \in [\eta^k(0), \eta^{k+1}(0)).$$

It is easy to verify that g is a homeomorphism of \mathbf{R} and that $g \circ \eta \circ g^{-1} = T_1$.

Define a homeomorphism Ψ_0 of S^1 by

$$\Psi_0(\pi(x)) = \pi(g \circ \xi \circ g^{-1}(x)), x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Since ξ and η commute, so must $g \circ \xi \circ g^{-1}$ and $g \circ \eta \circ g^{-1} = T_1$, from which we see that Ψ_0 is well defined. We also remark that we have an obvious choice for a lifting $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ of Ψ_0 , namely, $g \circ \xi \circ g^{-1}$. It is clear that g implements an isomorphism between the transformation groups $(\langle (a, b) \rangle, \mathbf{R}/\langle (c, d) \rangle)$ and $(\mathbf{Z}, S^1, \Psi_0)$. It now remains for us to show that the latter is isomorphic to (\mathbf{Z}, S^1, Ψ) . To do this, we calculate $\rho(\Psi_0)$ and $Q(\Psi_0)$.

LEMMA 4.

$$\rho(\Psi_0) = \frac{a\rho(\varphi) + b}{c\rho(\varphi) + d}.$$

PROOF. For each positive integer k , there is an integer m_k such that

$$\eta^{m_k}(0) \leq \xi^k(0) \leq \eta^{m_k+1}(0).$$

Applying g , we obtain

$$T_1^{m_k}(0) \leq \tilde{\Psi}_0^k(0) \leq T_1^{m_k+1}(0), \text{ i.e. } m_k \leq \tilde{\Psi}_0^k(0) \leq m_k + 1,$$

and so,

$$\frac{m_k}{k} \leq \frac{\tilde{\Psi}_0^k(0)}{k} \leq \frac{m_k + 1}{k}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{k} = \frac{a\rho(\varphi) + b}{c\rho(\varphi) + d}.$$

Recalling the first inequality above, we see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi^k(0)}{\eta^{m_k}(0)}$$

exists and equals one. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{k} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{\eta^{m_k}(0)} \frac{\xi^k(0)}{k} \\ &= (c\rho(\varphi) + d)^{-1}(a\rho(\varphi) + b), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3 applied to ξ and η . □

In order to find $Q(\Psi_0)$, we must find the semiconjugacy for Ψ_0 . (See [6] or Markley [3].) Let h be the unique semiconjugacy for φ (i.e., $h \circ \varphi = R_{\rho(\varphi)} \circ h$)

such that $h(0) = 0$. Let \tilde{h} be the unique lifting of h to \mathbf{R} such that $\tilde{h}(0) = 0$. It is immediate that $\tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = T_{\rho(\varphi)} \circ \tilde{h}$ and $\tilde{h} \circ T_1 = T_1 \circ \tilde{h}$. So, for any integers i and j , $\tilde{h} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^j \circ T_1^i) = T_{i\rho(\varphi)+j} \circ \tilde{h}$. Define $h_0: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ as follows:

$$h_0(\pi(x)) = \pi\left(\frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \tilde{h} \circ g^{-1}(x)\right), x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The fact that h_0 is well defined is seen from the following:

$$\tilde{h} \circ g^{-1} \circ T_k = \tilde{h} \circ \eta^k \circ g^{-1} = T_{c\rho(\varphi)+d}^k \circ \tilde{h} \circ g^{-1}.$$

It is routine to verify that h_0 is a semi-conjugacy for Ψ_0 , i.e. $h_0 \circ \Psi_0 = R_{\rho(\Psi_0)} \circ h_0$.

LEMMA 5.

$$\pi^{-1}(Q(\Psi_0)) \approx \frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \pi^{-1}(Q(\varphi)).$$

PROOF. We begin by identifying the unique maximal open Ψ_0 -invariant proper subset of S^1 . Let Y be the unique maximal open φ -invariant proper subset of S^1 and let $\tilde{Y} = \pi^{-1}(Y)$. It is clear that \tilde{Y} is open and invariant under $\tilde{\varphi}$ and T_1 and that among proper subsets of \mathbf{R} , it is the unique maximal one with these properties. Then it follows that $g(\tilde{Y})$ is the unique maximal open $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ - and T_1 -invariant proper subset of \mathbf{R} . Thus, $\pi(g(\tilde{Y}))$ is the unique maximal open Ψ_0 -invariant proper subset of S^1 . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\Psi_0) &\approx h_0(\pi(g(\tilde{Y}))) \\ &\approx \pi\left(\frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \tilde{h} \circ g^{-1}(g(\tilde{Y}))\right) \\ &\approx \pi\left(\frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \tilde{h}(\tilde{Y})\right). \end{aligned}$$

The set $(1/(c\rho(\varphi) + d))\tilde{h}(\tilde{Y})$ is invariant under translation by 1, so

$$\pi^{-1}(Q(\Psi_0)) \approx \frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \tilde{h}(\tilde{Y}).$$

Since \tilde{h} and \tilde{Y} are liftings, $Q(\varphi)$, which is $h(Y)$, is the same as $\pi(\tilde{h}(\tilde{Y}))$. Again, the translation invariance of $\tilde{h}(\tilde{Y})$ then yields $\pi^{-1}(Q(\varphi)) \approx \tilde{h}(\tilde{Y})$. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Denjoy flows on the 2-torus.

We begin by setting out some notation and recalling the “flow under constant function” construction. Let φ be a homeomorphism of the circle without

periodic points. We start with the topological space $[0, 1] \times S^1$ and identify the point $(1, s)$ with $(0, \varphi(s))$, for every $s \in S^1$. This quotient space is homeomorphic to the usual 2-torus $S^1 \times S^1$. We obtain an action, F_φ , of \mathbf{R} on the quotient space by

$$F_{\varphi_t}(r, s) = (\{r + t\}, \varphi^{\lfloor t+r \rfloor}(s)),$$

$t \in \mathbf{R}$, $r \in [0, 1]$, and $s \in S^1$.

For our purposes, it will be more useful to describe this action as follows. First, consider the following action of \mathbf{Z}^2 on \mathbf{R}^2 :

$$\Phi_{(i,j)}(r, s) = (r - i, \tilde{\varphi}^i(s) + j), (i, j) \in \mathbf{Z}^2, (r, s) \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

Define a flow F on \mathbf{R}^2 by $F_t(r, s) = (r + t, s)$. It is clear that these two actions commute and so we obtain a flow, F_φ , on the quotient space \mathbf{R}^2/Φ . Henceforth, we will denote this space by X_φ . It is homeomorphic to the quotient space defined above in an obvious way.

Following Rieffel, we use C_φ to denote the transformation group C^* -algebra $C(X_\varphi)_{X_{F_\varphi}} \mathbf{R}$.

THEOREM 6. *Let φ and Ψ be homeomorphisms of the circle having no periodic points. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *There is $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ such that*

$$\rho(\Psi) = \frac{a\rho(\varphi) + b}{c\rho(\varphi) + d},$$

$$\pi^{-1}(Q(\Psi)) \approx \frac{1}{c\rho(\varphi) + d} \pi^{-1}(Q(\varphi)).$$

(ii) *C_φ and C_Ψ are strongly Morita equivalent.*

(iii) *C_φ and C_Ψ are $*$ -isomorphic.*

(iv) *There is a homeomorphism $\sigma: X_\varphi \rightarrow X_\Psi$ and a non-zero real number e such that*

$$\sigma \circ F_{\varphi_t} \circ \sigma^{-1} = F_{\Psi_{et}}, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

That is, the flows (X_φ, F_φ) and (X_Ψ, F_Ψ) are topologically conjugate.

PROOF. The implications (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) are immediate. Theorem 1, along with the fact that C_φ is $*$ -isomorphic to $A_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{K}$ ([2]), yields the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

For (i) \Rightarrow (iv), we will produce a homeomorphism $\bar{\sigma}: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ and a non-zero e in \mathbf{R} such that

(a) $\bar{\sigma} \circ \Phi_{(a,b)} \circ \bar{\sigma}^{-1} = \Psi_{(1,0)}$,

(b) $\bar{\sigma} \circ \Phi_{(c,d)} \circ \bar{\sigma}^{-1} = \Psi_{(0,1)}$,

(c) $\bar{\sigma} \circ F_t \circ \bar{\sigma}^{-1} = F_{et}, t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Since (a, b) and (c, d) together generate \mathbf{Z}^2 , it will follow that $\bar{\sigma}$ carries Φ -orbits to Ψ -orbits and so $\bar{\sigma}$ drops to a homeomorphism from $X_\varphi = \mathbf{R}^2/\Phi$ to $X_\Psi = \mathbf{R}^2/\Psi$ which conjugates F_{φ_t} with $F_{\Psi_{et}}$ by condition (c).

Let \tilde{h} and g be as in Section 2. For convenience we will assume that Ψ and Ψ_0 are actually equal, rather than just conjugate. Set $e = c\rho(\varphi) + d$ and $f = -c$.

Define $\bar{\sigma}$ by $\bar{\sigma}(r, s) = (er - f\tilde{h}(s), g(s))$, $(r, s) \in \mathbf{R}^2$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\bar{\sigma}$ satisfies (a), (b), and (c). \square

REFERENCES

1. L. G. Brown, P. Green, and M. A. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), pp. 349-363.
2. P. Green, *The structure of imprimitivity algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **36** (1980), pp. 88-104.
3. N. G. Markley, *Homeomorphisms of the circle without periodic points*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **20** (1970), pp. 688-698.
4. M. V. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebra into an AF -algebra*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), pp. 201-210.
5. ———, *Exact sequences for K -groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-product C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), pp. 93-118.
6. I. F. Putnam, K. Schmidt and C. Skau, *C^* -algebras associated with Denjoy homeomorphisms of the circle*, J. Operator Theory **16** (1986), pp. 99-126.
7. M. A. Rieffel, *C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations*, Pacific J. Math. **93** (1981), pp. 415-429.
8. ———, *Morita equivalence for operator algebras*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **38** (1982), pp. Part 1, 285-298.
9. ———, *Applications of strong Morita equivalence to transformation group C^* -algebras*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **38** (1982), Part 1, pp. 299-310.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
HALIFAX, N.S. B3H 3J5 CANADA