

## LIMITS OF PURE STATES, II

by R. J. ARCHBOLD and A. M. ZAKI

(Received 18th June 1990)

We answer a question raised in an earlier paper concerning the pure state space of a separable  $C^*$ -algebra.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (1985 Revision): 46L30

Let  $A$  be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra with state space  $S(A)$ . Let  $P(A)$  denote the set of pure states of  $A$  and let  $F(A)$  denote the set of factorial states. For  $\phi \in S(A)$  let  $\pi_\phi$  be the associated Gelfand–Naimark–Segal representation of  $A$ . It was shown in Archbold [1] that the mapping  $\theta$  defined by  $\theta(\phi) = \ker \pi_\phi$  is a continuous, open surjection from  $\overline{F(A)}$  (the weak\*-closure of  $F(A)$ ) onto  $\text{Primal}'(A)$  (the set of proper primal ideals of  $A$ ). Furthermore, the restriction  $\theta_0$  of  $\theta$  to the pure state space  $P(A)$  is also surjective (Archbold [2]).

Question 2 in Archbold [2] asks whether  $\theta_0$  is open. In certain special cases the answer is affirmative. For example, if  $A$  is antiliminal then  $\overline{P(A)} = \overline{F(A)}$  (Batty and Archbold [4]) and so  $\theta_0 = \theta$ . Furthermore, we show that  $\theta_0$  is always “almost open” in the sense that the image of any non-empty open set has dense interior. However,  $\theta_0$  can fail to be open and we give an example in which  $A$  is liminal and the primitive ideal space  $\text{Prim}(A)$  is Hausdorff.

We begin by recalling from Archbold and Batty [3] that a (closed two-sided) ideal  $J$  of  $A$  is said to be primal if whenever  $n \geq 2$  and  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n$  are ideals of  $A$  such that  $J_1 J_2 \dots J_n = \{0\}$  then  $J_i \subseteq J$  for at least one value of  $i$ . In this paper we shall be concerned with the weak topology  $\tau_w$  on  $\text{Primal}'(A)$  (see Archbold [1]). A base is given by the family of sets of the form

$$U(F) = \{I \in \text{Primal}'(A) : J \not\subseteq I \text{ for all } J \in F\}$$

where  $F$  is a finite set (possibly empty) of ideals of  $A$ . When restricted to  $\text{Prim}(A)$ ,  $\tau_w$  coincides with the Jacobson topology. If  $\text{Prim}(A)$  is Hausdorff then  $\text{Primal}'(A) = \text{Prim}(A)$  (see Archbold and Batty [3, p. 63]).

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\theta_0: \overline{P(A)} \rightarrow \text{Primal}'(A)$  be defined by*

$$\theta_0(\phi) = \ker \pi_\phi \quad (\phi \in \overline{P(A)}).$$

*Let  $U$  be any non-empty open subset of  $\overline{P(A)}$ . Then the interior of  $\theta_0(U)$  is dense in  $\theta_0(U)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $W = U \cap P(A)$ , a non-empty open subset of  $P(A)$ . By Pedersen [6, 4.3.3],  $\theta_0(W)$  is a non-empty open subset of  $\text{Prim}(A)$ . Hence there exists a non-zero ideal  $J$  of  $A$  such that

$$\theta_0(W) = \{P \in \text{Prim}(A) : P \not\supseteq J\}.$$

Define

$$V = \{I \in \text{Primal}'(A) : I \not\supseteq J\},$$

a  $\tau_w$ -open subset of  $\text{Primal}'(A)$ . Since  $W$  is dense in  $U$  and  $\theta_0$  is continuous (see Archbold [1, Section 2]), we have

$$\bar{V} \supseteq \overline{\theta_0(W)} \supseteq \theta_0(\bar{W}) \supseteq \theta_0(U)$$

(where the bars denote closures in the appropriate topologies). It remains only to show that  $V \subseteq \theta_0(U)$ .

Let  $I \in V$ . Since  $I \not\supseteq J$  there exists a primitive ideal  $P_1$  of  $A$  such that  $P_1 \supseteq I$  and  $P_1 \not\supseteq J$  (Dixmier [5, 2.9.7(ii)]). Hence  $P_1 \in \theta_0(W)$  and so there exists  $\phi_1 \in W$  such that  $P_1 = \ker \pi_{\phi_1}$ . Since  $A$  is separable there is a countable family  $P_1, P_2, \dots$  of distinct elements of  $\text{Prim} A$  whose intersection is  $I$  (Pedersen [6, 4.3.4]). We shall assume that this family is infinite (in the finite case, a similar but easier argument applies).

For  $i \geq 2$  let  $\phi_i$  be a pure state such that  $P_i = \ker \pi_{\phi_i}$ . For  $n \geq 1$  let

$$\psi_n = \frac{n-1}{n} \phi_1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} 2^{-i+1} \phi_i.$$

Since  $I$  is primal, it follows from Archbold and Batty [3, Proposition 3.1] that there is a net  $(\pi_\alpha)$  of irreducible representations of  $A$  such that  $\pi_\alpha \rightarrow \pi_{\phi_i}$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . By Archbold [2, Theorem 2 ((ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii))],  $\psi_n \in \overline{P(A)}$ . Since  $\|\psi_n - \phi_1\| \leq 2/n$  and  $\phi_1 \in U$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $\psi_N \in U$ . However,

$$\ker \pi_{\psi_N} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \ker \pi_{\phi_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = I.$$

Hence  $I \in \theta_0(U)$  as required. □

We now give an example in which the map  $\theta_0$  is not open. Let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Let  $B$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M_6(\mathbb{C})$  consisting of all matrices of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$  where  $S \in M_4(\mathbb{C})$  and  $T \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We

define  $A$  to be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all sequences  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , where  $x_n = \begin{bmatrix} S_n(x) & 0 \\ 0 & T_n(x) \end{bmatrix} \in B$ , which are convergent to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(x) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $T(x) \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . The algebraic operations in  $A$  are defined pointwise and the norm is the supremum norm.

For each  $n \geq 1$  there are irreducible representations  $\pi_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  of  $A$  given by  $\pi_n(x) = S_n(x)$  and  $\sigma_n(x) = T_n(x)$  for  $x \in A$ . The only other irreducible representation is the representation  $\sigma_\infty$  given by  $\sigma_\infty(x) = T(x)$  for  $x \in A$ . Hence  $\text{Prim}(A)$  consists of the ideals  $P_n, Q_n (n \geq 1)$  and  $Q_\infty$  where  $P_n = \ker \pi_n, Q_n = \ker \sigma_n$  and

$$Q_\infty = \ker \sigma_\infty = \{x \in A : x_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Each  $P_n$  and each  $Q_n$  is an isolated point in  $\text{Prim}(A)$ . However  $P_n \rightarrow Q_\infty$  and  $Q_n \rightarrow Q_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular,  $\text{Prim}(A)$  is Hausdorff and so  $\text{Primal}'(A) = \text{Prim}(A)$ . We note also that  $A$  is unital, separable and liminal.

We define  $\phi \in S(A)$  by

$$\phi(x) = \text{tr}(\sigma_\infty(x)) = \text{tr}(T(x)) \quad (x \in A)$$

where  $\text{tr}$  is the (unique) tracial state of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We shall show that  $\phi \in \overline{P(A)}$  and that there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\phi$  in  $\overline{P(A)}$  such that  $\theta_0(U)$  is not a neighbourhood of  $\theta_0(\phi) (= Q_\infty)$ . To see that  $\phi \in \overline{P(A)}$ , let  $\xi = 2^{-1/2}(1, 0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^4$  and define  $\phi_n \in P(A)$  by

$$\phi_n(x) = \langle \pi_n(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \quad (x \in A).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2}[(S_n(x))_{11} + (S_n(x))_{14} + (S_n(x))_{41} + (S_n(x))_{44}] \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}[T(x)_{11} + 0 + 0 + T(x)_{22}] \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  (weak\*) and so  $\phi \in \overline{P(A)}$ .

Now let  $U$  be the complement in  $\overline{P(A)}$  of the weak\*-closure of the set

$$W = \{\psi \in \overline{P(A)} : \ker \pi_\psi = Q_n \text{ for some } n\}.$$

It suffices to show that  $\phi \in U$  for then  $Q_\infty = \theta_0(\phi) \in \theta_0(U)$  but  $\theta_0(U)$  is not a neighbourhood of  $Q_\infty$  since  $Q_n \notin \theta_0(U)$  for each  $n$ .

We show first of all that  $W \subseteq P(A)$ . So let  $\psi \in P(A)$  with  $\ker \pi_\psi = Q_n$  for some  $n$ . There exists a net  $(\psi_\alpha)$  in  $P(A)$  such that  $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \psi$ . Since  $\theta_0$  is continuous,  $\ker \pi_{\psi_\alpha} \rightarrow Q_n$  in  $\text{Prim}(A)$ . Hence  $\ker \pi_{\psi_\alpha}$  is eventually equal to  $Q_n$ . Regarding  $\psi$  as a state of  $A/Q_n (\cong M_2(\mathbb{C}))$  in the usual way, we obtain that

$$\psi \in \overline{P(A/Q_n)} = P(A/Q_n),$$

as required.

Let us suppose that  $\phi \notin U$ . Then there exists a net  $(\phi_\alpha)$  in  $W$  such that  $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow \phi$ . Let  $Q_{n_\alpha} = \ker \pi_{\phi_\alpha}$ . Since  $\phi_\alpha$  is pure, there exists a unit vector  $\xi_\alpha \in C^2$  such that

$$\phi_\alpha(x) = \langle \sigma_{n_\alpha}(x)\xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha \rangle \quad (x \in A).$$

By passing to a subnet if necessary we may suppose that the net  $(\xi_\alpha)$  is convergent to some unit vector  $\xi \in C^2$ . Since  $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow \phi$  and  $\theta_0$  is continuous,  $Q_{n_\alpha} \rightarrow Q_\infty$  in  $\text{Prim}(A)$ .

Let  $x \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\|T_n(x) - T(x)\| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Since  $Q_{n_\alpha} \rightarrow Q_\infty$ , there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that  $n_\alpha \geq N$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . By increasing  $\alpha_0$  if necessary, we may assume that

$$\|\xi_\alpha - \xi\| < \varepsilon(1 + 4\|T(x)\|)^{-1}$$

for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Then for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_\alpha(x) - \langle T(x)\xi, \xi \rangle| &= |\langle T_{n_\alpha}(x)\xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha \rangle - \langle T(x)\xi, \xi \rangle| \\ &< |\langle T(x)\xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha \rangle - \langle T(x)\xi, \xi \rangle| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \|T(x)(\xi_\alpha - \xi)\| \|\xi_\alpha\| + \|T(x)\xi\| \|\xi_\alpha - \xi\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\phi(x) = \lim \phi_\alpha(x) = \langle T(x)\xi, \xi \rangle$ . This shows that  $\phi$  is pure, contradicting the fact that  $\phi$  is defined to be the average of two distinct pure states. This contradiction shows that  $\phi \in U$ , as required.

We note that in this example

$$\theta_0(U) = \{P_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{Q_\infty\}.$$

The interior of  $\theta_0(U)$  is  $\{P_n : n \geq 1\}$ . This is dense in  $\theta_0(U)$  (since  $P_n \rightarrow Q_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) as predicted by the theorem.

**Acknowledgement.** Part of this work was done while the first-named author was visiting the University of Aswan. The support of the British Council and the hospitality of the host institution are gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

1. R. J. ARCHBOLD, Topologies for primal ideals, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **36** (1987), 524–542.
2. R. J. ARCHBOLD, Limits of pure states, *Proc. Edinbrugh Math. Soc.* **32** (1989), 249–254.
3. R. J. ARCHBOLD and C. J. K. BATTY, On factorial states of operator algebras, III, *J. Operator Theory* **15** (1986), 53–81.
4. C. J. K. BATTY and R. J. ARCHBOLD, On factorial states of operator algebras, II, *J. Operator Theory* **13** (1985), 131–142.
5. J. DIXMIER, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations* (2nd edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969).
6. G. K. PEDERSEN,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and their Automorphism Groups* (Academic Press, London, 1979).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
THE EDWARD WRIGHT BUILDING  
DUNBAR STREET  
ABERDEEN AB9 2TY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
ASWAN  
EGYPT