

SOME REMARKS ON LOCAL RINGS

MASAYOSHI NAGATA

Previously C. Chevalley [1] proved the followings:

1. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be algebraically independent elements over a field \mathfrak{f} which has infinitely many elements. Then:

a) If y is an element of $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and if y is not in \mathfrak{f} , then there exist elements y_2, \dots, y_n of $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.

b) If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then there exist elements y_1, \dots, y_n of $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that i) $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and ii) $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_n] = (y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n)\mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ (with some $m \leq n$).

2. Any geometric local ring contains no nilpotent element; more generally, if \mathfrak{o} is a local ring which admits a nucleus and if \mathfrak{o} contains no nilpotent element then the completion of \mathfrak{o} contains no nilpotent element.

Further, O. Zariski [5] proved the following:

3. Let P be a point of an irreducible algebraic variety V and let \mathfrak{o} be the local ring of P on V . If V is locally normal at P , that is, if \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed, then the completion of \mathfrak{o} is also an integrally closed integrity domain.

On the other hand, P. Samuel [3] stated the following, but his proof contained a falsy argument:¹⁾

4. Let \mathfrak{o} be a local ring and let \mathfrak{o}^* be its completion. If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of \mathfrak{o} then $(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{o}^*$.

In the present note, we first give a corrected proof of 4 (for semi-local rings) (§ 1). In § 2 we prove a refinement of 1 dealing with finite ground field too (Theorems 2 and 3). § 3 gives a generalization of 2; we define a generalized notion of geometric local rings and that of nuclei and we prove 2 in our generalized sense. In § 4, 3 is proved also for geometric local rings in Chevalley's sense.

Received March 13, 1953.

¹⁾ Samuel [3] made use of the following lemma: Let \mathfrak{o} be a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . If \mathfrak{b} is an ideal of \mathfrak{o} and if a is an element of \mathfrak{o} , then $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{m}^n): a\mathfrak{o} \cong (\mathfrak{b} : a\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{m}^{s(n)})$ with $s(n)$ which increases infinitely with n .

He applied this lemma for a sequence $\{a_n\}$ ($\lim a_n = a$). These $s(n)$ are distinct for distinct a_n 's. $s(n)$ must be denoted by, say, $s(n, m)$ for a_m . Then the lemma asserts only that $s(n, m)$ increases infinitely with n for a fixed m , and we see easily that $s(n, n)$ may not increase. But his proof needs that $s(n, n)$ increases infinitely with n .

§1. LEMMA 1. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a semi-local ring \mathfrak{o} and let b an element of \mathfrak{o} . If \mathfrak{o}^* denotes the completion of \mathfrak{o} , then $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}^* : b\mathfrak{o}^* = (\mathfrak{a} : b\mathfrak{o})\mathfrak{o}^*$. (Zariski [4])

Proof. Since it is evident that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}^* : b\mathfrak{o}^*$ contains $(\mathfrak{a} : b\mathfrak{o})\mathfrak{o}^*$, we have only to prove $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}^* : b\mathfrak{o}^* \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : b\mathfrak{o})\mathfrak{o}^*$. Let u be an element of $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}^* : b\mathfrak{o}^*$. We take $u_i \in \mathfrak{o}$ such that $u_i \equiv u \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^i\mathfrak{o}^*}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), where \mathfrak{m} denotes the intersection of all maximal ideals of \mathfrak{o} . Then $u_i b \in (\mathfrak{a}b, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{m}^i\mathfrak{o}^*)$ and therefore $u_i b \in (\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}^*, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{m}^i\mathfrak{o}^*) = (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{m}^i)\mathfrak{o}^*$. Therefore $u_i b \in (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{m}^i)$, which shows $u_i \in ((\mathfrak{a} : b\mathfrak{o}), \mathfrak{m}^i)$.

LEMMA 2. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a commutative ring \mathfrak{o} and let b be an element of \mathfrak{o} . Then $\mathfrak{a} \cap b\mathfrak{o} = b(\mathfrak{a} : b\mathfrak{o})$.

Proof is easy.

Now we prove

THEOREM 1. Let \mathfrak{o}^* be the completion of a semi-local ring \mathfrak{o} and let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ be ideals of \mathfrak{o} . Then $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{a}_n)\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{a}_n\mathfrak{o}^*$.

Proof. It is sufficient to treat the case $n = 2$: $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{a}_2\mathfrak{o}^*$.

1) When $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (b, \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)$: We may assume that $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 = (0)$. Then \mathfrak{a}_2 is principal: $\mathfrak{a}_2 = b\mathfrak{o}$. By Lemma 2 we have $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 = b(\mathfrak{a}_1 : b\mathfrak{o})$, $\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{a}_2\mathfrak{o}^* = b(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* : b\mathfrak{o}^*)$. Now by Lemma 1 we see that $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{a}_2\mathfrak{o}^*$.

2) The above case being settled, we consider the general case: Take b_1, \dots, b_r from \mathfrak{a}_2 so that $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (b_1, \dots, b_r, \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)$. We prove our assertion by induction on r . Set $\mathfrak{b} = (b_r, \mathfrak{a}_1)$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2$, $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 = (b_r, \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2) = (b_r, \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}, \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)\mathfrak{o}^* = (\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2))\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)\mathfrak{o}^*$ by 1).

$(\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{a}_2\mathfrak{o}^*$ by our induction assumption.

Thus $(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{a}_2\mathfrak{o}^* = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{o}^* \cap \mathfrak{a}_2\mathfrak{o}^*$.

COROLLARY. Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ be ideals of a semi-local ring \mathfrak{o} such that $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{a}_n = (0)$. Then \mathfrak{o} is a closed subspace of the direct sum of semi-local rings $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a}_n$.

For this, cf. Nagata [2], Theorems 2 and 3.

§2. THEOREM 2. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be algebraically independent elements over a field \mathfrak{k} . If an element y of $\mathfrak{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, which is not in \mathfrak{k} , is given, we can choose elements y_2, \dots, y_n of $\mathfrak{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ so that $\mathfrak{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{k}[y, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.

Proof. Let $M_i = x_1^{a_{i,1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{i,n}}$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) be monomials which occur in the polynomial $y : y = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i M_i$ ($a_i \in \mathfrak{k}, a_i \neq 0$). Then we can find non-negative integers m_2, \dots, m_n so that there exists one i , say 1, such that

$$\alpha_{1,1} + m_2\alpha_{1,2} + \dots + m_n\alpha_{1,n} > \alpha_{i,1} + m_2\alpha_{i,2} + \dots + m_n\alpha_{i,n} \quad (2 \leq i \leq N).$$

Set $y_i = x_i + x_1^{m_i}$ ($2 \leq i \leq N$). Then evidently $\mathfrak{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathfrak{k}[x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$,

and x_1 is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ as follows readily from our construction on m_i . Therefore $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.

THEOREM 3. *If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of the polynomial ring $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (in Theorem 2), then there exist elements y_1, \dots, y_n of $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that 1) $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and 2) $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_n] = (y_1, \dots, y_r)\mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ (with some $r \leq n$).*

Proof. Let y_1 be a non-zero element of \mathfrak{a} . Then by virtue of Theorem 2 we can find $y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{n,1}$ of $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y_1, y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{n,1}]$. Now we assume that there exist $y_1, \dots, y_s, y_{s+1,s}, \dots, y_{n,s}$ so that i) $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_s, y_{s+1,s}, \dots, y_{n,s}]$ and ii) $y_1, \dots, y_s \in \mathfrak{a}$. When $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_s, y_{s+1,s}, \dots, y_{n,s}] = (y_1, \dots, y_s)$, we may set $y_{s+j} = y_{s+j,s}$ ($j \geq 1$). In the contrary case, we can find a non-zero element y_{s+1} of $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{f}[y_{s+1,s}, \dots, y_{n,s}]$. Then by Theorem 2 we can choose elements $y_{s+2,s+1}, \dots, y_{n,s+1}$ of $\mathfrak{f}[y_{s+1,s}, \dots, y_{n,s}]$ so that $\mathfrak{f}[y_{s+1,s}, \dots, y_{n,s}]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y_{s+1}, y_{s+2,s+1}, \dots, y_{n,s+1}]$. Then evidently $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}, y_{s+2,s+1}, y_{n,s+1}]$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{s+1} \in \mathfrak{a}$.

COROLLARY 1.²⁾ Let \mathfrak{o} be a ring which is generated by a finite number of elements over a field \mathfrak{f} . Then there exist elements x_1, \dots, x_r of \mathfrak{o} such that i) x_1, \dots, x_r are algebraically independent over \mathfrak{f} and ii) \mathfrak{o} is integral over $\mathfrak{f}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.

COROLLARY 2. Let \mathfrak{o} be the same as in Corollary 1 and let $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{q}$ be prime ideals of \mathfrak{o} . Then $\dim \mathfrak{q} - \dim \mathfrak{p} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{p} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore all maximal descending chains of prime ideals which begin from \mathfrak{p} and end to \mathfrak{q} have the same length.

§ 3. We define the notions of geometric local rings, nuclei of local rings, rings of type $r(n : \mathfrak{f})$ and rings of type $\bar{r}(n, m : \mathfrak{f})$ by a similar way as in Chevalley [1] but we drop the conditions on basic field \mathfrak{f} that \mathfrak{f} has infinitely many elements and that $[\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{f}^p] < \infty$. Then by virtue of our Theorem 3 we see easily in a same way as in Chevalley [1] that every geometric local ring admits a nucleus.

First we observe the following

LEMMA 3. Let \mathfrak{o} be a local integrity domain which admits a nucleus r . If a field L is a finite algebraic extension of the quotient field of \mathfrak{o} , then the totality $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$ of \mathfrak{o} -integers in L is a finite \mathfrak{o} -module. Therefore if \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$, then r is also a nucleus of $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof is easy.

The following two lemmas are due to Zariski [4].

²⁾ When \mathfrak{o} is an integrity domain, our result is the well known normalization theorem, which was proved by Noether when \mathfrak{f} contains infinitely many elements, and was proved in general case by Cohen (see Zariski [6]).

LEMMA 4. Let \mathfrak{o} be an integrally closed local integrity domain and let \mathfrak{o}^* be its completion. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathfrak{o} of rank 1. Assume that $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}^*$ is an intersection of prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i^* , \dots , \mathfrak{p}_h^* ($\mathfrak{p}_i^* \cong \mathfrak{p}_j^*$ if $i \neq j$). Then $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^*$ is a valuation ring, and therefore, each \mathfrak{p}_i^* contains a unique divisor \mathfrak{p}^* of the zero ideal of \mathfrak{o}^* and every formal power $\mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)}$ of \mathfrak{p}_i^* contains \mathfrak{P}^* . Further $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}\mathfrak{o}^* = \bigcap_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)}$.

Proof. Let w be an element of \mathfrak{p} which is not in $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$ and let a^* be an element of $\mathfrak{p}_h^* \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_1^*$ which is not in \mathfrak{p}_1^* (when $h=1$, we may set $a^*=1$). We take an element b of $w\mathfrak{o} : \mathfrak{p}$ which is not in \mathfrak{p} and set $c^* = a^*b$. Then $c^* \notin \mathfrak{p}_1^*$, $\mathfrak{p}_1^*c^* \subseteq \mathfrak{o}^*\mathfrak{p} \subseteq w\mathfrak{o}^*$. Since $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*}^*$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_1^*\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*}^*$, it is a principal ideal ring with unique maximal ideal $w\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*}^*$. Since there exists a prime divisor of zero ideal of \mathfrak{o}^* which is contained in \mathfrak{p}_1^* , $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*}^*$ is a valuation ring. This being proved, the else is easy.

LEMMA 5. Let \mathfrak{o} and \mathfrak{o}^* be the same as in Lemma 4. Assume that there exists a non-unit d of \mathfrak{o} such that for every prime divisor \mathfrak{p}_i ($1 \leq i \leq h$) of $d\mathfrak{o}$, $\mathfrak{p}_i\mathfrak{o}^*$ is an intersection of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{i,1}^*, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i,m(i)}^*$ ($\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}^* \cong \mathfrak{p}_{i,k}^*$ if $j \neq k$). Then \mathfrak{o}^* contains no nilpotent element.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{P}_1^*, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_g^*$ be the totality of prime divisors of zero ideal of \mathfrak{o}^* which are contained in at least one $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}^*$. Then

$$\mathfrak{P}_1^* \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_g^* \subseteq \bigcap_{i,j} (\mathfrak{p}_{i,1}^{*(j)} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i,m(i)}^{*(j)}) = \bigcap_{i,j} \mathfrak{p}_i^{(j)}\mathfrak{o}^* \subseteq \bigcap_k d^k\mathfrak{o}^* = (0).^{4)}$$

Now we prove

THEOREM 4. If a class \mathfrak{G} of local rings satisfies the following three conditions, then the completion \mathfrak{o}^* of a member \mathfrak{o} of \mathfrak{G} has no nilpotent element:

- 1) If $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{G}$, then \mathfrak{o} contains no nilpotent element;
- 2) If $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of \mathfrak{o} , then $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ is in \mathfrak{G} ;
- 3) If $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and if \mathfrak{o} is an integrity domain, then i) the integral closure $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$ of \mathfrak{o} in its quotient field is a finite \mathfrak{o} -module and ii) for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$, $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is in \mathfrak{G} .

Proof. When $\dim \mathfrak{o} = 0$ our assertion is evident. We prove our assertion by induction on the dimension of \mathfrak{o} ($\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{G}$).

By Theorem 1 and by conditions 1) and 2), we may assume that \mathfrak{o} is an integrity domain. Further by condition 3), we may assume that \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed. Then applying Lemma 5, we see that \mathfrak{o}^* contains no nilpotent element.

COROLLARY 1. Let \mathfrak{o} be a local ring which admits a nucleus. If \mathfrak{o} con-

³⁾ When \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of a ring \mathfrak{o} , $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ denotes the formal n -th power of \mathfrak{p} , i.e., $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{p}^n\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathfrak{o}$.

⁴⁾ That $\bigcap_{i,j} \mathfrak{p}_i^{(j)}\mathfrak{o}^* \subseteq \bigcap_k d^k\mathfrak{o}^*$ follows from Theorem 1 and from that $d\mathfrak{o}$ has no imbedded prime divisor (since \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed).

tains no nilpotent element, then also the completion of \mathfrak{o} contains no nilpotent element.

COROLLARY 2. Any geometric local ring contains no nilpotent element.

§ 4. LEMMA 6. Let \mathfrak{o} be an integrally closed local integrity domain and let \mathfrak{o}^* be its completion. Further let $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}^*$ be the integral closure of \mathfrak{o}^* in its total quotient ring. Assume that there exists an element $d (\neq 0)$ of \mathfrak{o} such that i) $d\bar{\mathfrak{o}}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{o}^*$ and ii) for every prime divisor \mathfrak{p} of $d\mathfrak{o}$, $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}^*$ is an intersection of prime ideals. Then \mathfrak{o}^* is an integrally closed integrity domain. (Zariski [5])

Proof is easy by virtue of Lemma 4.

Now we prove

THEOREM 5. Let \mathfrak{o} be an integrally closed local integrity domain which admits a nucleous \mathfrak{r} . Let R and K be the quotient field of \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{o} respectively. Assume that there exists a finite algebraic extension field R' of R such that i) the totality \mathfrak{r}' of \mathfrak{r} -integers in R' is a regular local ring and ii) $L = R'K$ is separable over R' . Then the completion \mathfrak{o}^* of \mathfrak{o} is an integrally closed integrity domain.

Proof. By Theorem 4, we have only to prove the existence of a non-zero element d of \mathfrak{o} which satisfies the condition i) in Lemma 6. Let \mathfrak{J} and \mathfrak{i} be the totalities of \mathfrak{r} -integers in L and K respectively. Further let \mathfrak{J}^* and \mathfrak{i}^* be the completions of \mathfrak{J} and \mathfrak{i} respectively and let $\bar{\mathfrak{J}}^*$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^*$ be the integral closure of \mathfrak{J}^* and \mathfrak{i}^* in their respective total quotient rings. We take an element a of \mathfrak{J} so that $L = R'(a)$. Let d' be the discriminant of the irreducible polynomial over R' which is satisfied by a . Then $d'\bar{\mathfrak{J}}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{r}'^*[a] \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{J}}^*$, where \mathfrak{r}'^* is the completion of \mathfrak{r}' . Therefore $\bar{\mathfrak{J}}^*$ is integrally closed by virtue of Lemma 6.⁵⁾ Now let $1, a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathfrak{i}$ be a linearly independent basis of K over R and let $1, b_1, \dots, b_r \in \mathfrak{J}$ be a linearly independent basis of L over R with $a_i = b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Now $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^* \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{J}}^*$, because $\bar{\mathfrak{J}}^*$ is integrally closed. Let d be a non-zero element of \mathfrak{r} such that $d\bar{\mathfrak{J}} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}[b_1, \dots, b_r]$. Then $d\bar{\mathfrak{J}}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{r}^*[b_1, \dots, b_r]$ and therefore $d\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{r}^*[b_1, \dots, b_r]$, where \mathfrak{r}^* is the completion of \mathfrak{r} . That $d\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{r}^*[b_1, \dots, b_r]$ shows $d\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{r}^*[a_1, \dots, a_s]$ and therefore $d\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^* \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{i}}^*$, which shows, by virtue of Lemma 6, $\bar{\mathfrak{i}}^*$ and therefore \mathfrak{o}^* are integrally closed again.

COROLLARY 1. Let \mathfrak{o} be an integrally closed local integrity domain such that either \mathfrak{o} admits a nucleous of type $\mathfrak{r}(n:\mathfrak{f})$ or \mathfrak{o} admits a nucleous and has a basic field \mathfrak{f} such that $[\mathfrak{f}:\mathfrak{f}^{\mathfrak{f}}] < \infty$. Then the completion of \mathfrak{o} is an integrally closed integrity domain.

COROLLARY 2. Let \mathfrak{o} be a local integrity domain such that either \mathfrak{o} admits a nucleous of type $\mathfrak{r}(n:\mathfrak{f})$ or \mathfrak{o} admits a nucleous and has a basic field \mathfrak{f} such

⁵⁾ It is easy to generalize Lemma 6 for integrally closed semi-local integrity domains.

that $[\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{f}^b] < \infty$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$ be the integral closure of \mathfrak{o} in its quotient field. Then the completion $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}^*$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$ is the integral closure of the completion \mathfrak{o}^* of \mathfrak{o} in its total quotient ring.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] C. Chevalley, Intersections of algebraic and algebroid varieties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **57** (1945), pp. 1-85.
- [2] M. Nagata, Some studies on semi-local rings, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **3** (1951), pp. 23-30.
- [3] P. Samuel, Sur les variétés algebroides, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **2** (1950), pp. 147-160.
- [4] O. Zariski, Analytical irreducibility of normal varieties, *Ann. of Math.*, **49** (1948), pp. 352-361.
- [5] O. Zariski, Sur la normalité analytique des variétés normales, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **2** (1950), pp. 161-164.
- [6] O. Zariski, Foundations of a general theory of birational correspondences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53** (1943), pp. 490-542.

*Mathematical Institute,
Nagoya University*

Added in Proof

1. Our proof of Theorem 5 is not correct unless that \mathfrak{o}^* is an integrity domain is proved. We can correct our proof. Further we can prove that if an integrally closed local integrity domain \mathfrak{o} admits a nucleus (in our generalized sense), then the completion of \mathfrak{o} is an integrally closed integrity domain. This will be proved in a latter paper "Some remarks on local rings II" to appear in *Memo. Kyôto*.

2. As for the proof of Lemma 3 for the case that the nucleus \mathfrak{r} is of type $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}(n, m : \mathfrak{f})$, see appendix of the above paper.

3. It was communicated to the writer that some of our results was discussed independently by P. Samuel (*Algèbre Locale, Mémo. Sci. Math. No. 128* (1953)).