Adjudicated Law model of CIL, 60	non-binding nature of, 131–32
coherence and, 71	overview, 130
common law compared, 60	statement of reasons for tribunal
ECtHR and, 60–61	decision, 152, 154–55
ICJ and, 69	state-owned enterprises and, 138–41
interpretive path, 70	attribution
as justification-based source, 61, 62	Contras and, 132–33
Legislated Law model of CIL	ICJ and, 136, 141
compared, 72	ICTY and, 133-34, 136, 141
Negotiated Law model of CIL	state-owned enterprises and, 132,
compared, 61, 69	134–35, 150–51
overview, 53	
Adler, Ruth, 266	al-Bashir, Omar, 98-99, 282-83, 291
aggression, prohibition as jus cogens,	basic social entities, 38-39
219–20	Bodansky, Daniel, 18
Agreement on the European Economic	Boltzmann, Ludwig, 91
Area (EEA), 179	Bos, Maarten, 189
Alexy, Robert, 266	Bossa, Solomy Balungi, 94
ambiguity	Bradley, Curtis A, 13, 29
defined, 194	Broches, Aaron, 146
of indispensable parties	Brussels II Regulation, 182-83
principle, 199	-
judicial effectiveness contrasted,	Caflisch, Lucius, 221, 227
192, 194	Cassese, Antonio, 28, 97
Ammoun, Fouad, 244-45	chaotic systems, 82
analogy, 115–16	chess, 39-40, 50
anticipatory self-defence, 63	Choi, Stephen, 17-18, 268-69
application of CIL, interpretation prior	chronological paradox
to, 20	CIL metarule and, 42-43, 47
arbitration, 204–5	constitutive rules and, 36
Articles on Responsibility of States for	good faith principle and, 26-27,
Internationally Wrongful Acts	49-50
(ARSIWA)	ILC and, 26
case-by-case analysis, 154	opinio juris and, 28-29
control under, 149-50	overview, 25–26
factual context of rules, 142	rejection of obligation element as
judicial reasoning regarding	possible solution, 30
threshold of control, 150-53	risks of, 26
legal context of rules, 142	rule identification and, 31

CIL metarule	reflexivity and, 322-25. See also
chronological paradox and,	reflexivity
42–43, 47	self-defence and, 305
conditions, 41–43	substantive dimension, 300, 309,
as constitutive rule, 49	315–16, 325
first explanation, 43-44, 49	VCLT and, 301
good faith principle and, 44–50	collective acceptance, 30–31
is/ought gap, 43–44, 49	command responsibility, 273–74
jus cogens and, 48, 50	Committee against Torture, 226
legal nature of obligation, 44, 49	common law
legitimate expectations and, 45–47	Adjudicated Law model of CIL
overview, 40	compared, 60
second explanation, 43–44, 49–50	state practice compared, 13
as unspoken behind-the-scenes	'communities of practice', 233–34
rule, 50	complexity theory
circularity	complicatedness distinguished, 84
of CIL, 29–30	diversity of actors, 84–86, 88
of identification of CIL, 18	dynamic complexity, 79, 80–83
of opinio juris, 12–13, 16–17	judicial discretion and, 88
CJEU. See Court of Justice of the	shared understanding of CIL and, 86
European Union (CJEU)	social system, CIL as, 79-80, 83-88
Clausius, Rudolf, 90	two-level complexity in CIL, 88
coherence	comprehensiveness, coherence and,
Adjudicated Law model of CIL	300, 306–7, 308
and, 71	conflicting norms
comprehensiveness and, 300,	in ICC, 282
306–7, 308	as legal dilemma, 293–94
consistency and, 300, 302-4, 308	overview, 282–84
contextualisation and, 320-22. See	practical concordance. See practical
also contextualisation	concordance
correctness and, 300, 304-6, 308	reconciliation of, 288, 291-292,
defined, 300	295-296
dual role of, 300, 309, 314-16,	consistency, coherence and, 300,
325	302-4, 308
framing and, 317-20. See also	constitutive rules, 36, 40, 49
framing	constructive interpretation, 32-34, 97
ILC on, 301	constructive rules, 109–10
as independent concept with own	contextualisation, 320-22
content, 308–9	defined, 320
indicators of, 300, 316–17	head-of-state immunity and, 321–22
lack of scholarly attention to, 300–1	ICC and, 321–22
legal reasoning and, 300, 325	interpretation and, 320–21, 322
Legislated Law model of CIL and, 72	normative contextualisation and,
methodological dimension, 300, 309,	212–14
315–16, 325	
Negotiated Law model of CIL and,	order and priority, imposing, 325 overview, 300, 316
72–73	systemic contextualisation and,
overview, 71, 75, 299–300	212–14
OVELVIEW, / 1, / 3, 277-300	212-14

continental shelf, 42, 114, 115-16, 265, ICJ rulings, reliance on, 158–59 313 - 14identification of CIL in, 176 importance of CIL in, 160-63 control under ARSIWA, 149-50 indispensable parties principle in, case-by-case analysis, 136, 153-54 Contras and, 132-33 interpretation of CIL generally, corporate plus effective control, 156-60, 163-64, 165-66, 185 'mirroring' of CIL norms, 178 141, 150 'effective control' test. See 'effective 'multiplying' effect in, 166 control' test) natural resources, state sovereignty hybrid control tests, 141 over, 170-78, 183 ICJ and, 136, 141 obligation not to defeat object and ICTY and, 133-34, 136, 141 purpose of treaties and, international investment, control 178-80, 182 tests in, 137-42 PCIJ rulings, reliance on, 158–59 interpretation of, 132 reluctance to analyse CIL, 183-84 're-packaging' of CIL norms, 178 judicial reasoning regarding threshold of control, 143, 150-53 resources of, 184 lower thresholds for control, 138-41 self-determination and, 170-78, 183 statement of reasons for tribunal 'snowball' effect in, 166 decision, 152, 154-55 state immunity from jurisdiction state-owned enterprises, control and, 166-70, 182-83 tests, 132, 134-35, 138-41, 151-52 territorial scope of treaties and, Convention against Torture (1984), 180-81, 182 223, 272 two-pronged test for Convention on the Continental Shelf interpretation, 183 (1958), 265VCLT and, 161, 182 Convention on the Law of the Sea crimes against humanity (UNCLOS) (1982), 114 ICTR and, 95 Convention on the Settlement of individual criminal responsibility Investment Disputes between for, 289 States and Nationals of Other inhumane acts as, 95 States (ICSID Convention) (1965), jus cogens, prohibition as, 219-20 systemic interpretation and, 272-73 145–46, 148, 152, 314 customary rules correctness coherence and, 300, 304-6, 308 acceptance of, 121-22 applicability of, 38 demonstrable correctness, 304-5 determinate correctness, 304, 305 application of, 38 Court of Justice of the European Union basis for interpretation of, general (CIEU) principles of law as, 249, 252 Advocates General, 167-69 CIL metarule. See good faith boundaries of EU law and, 162 principle determination of CIL in, 122 conflicting norms. See conflicting as domestic court, 184 erga omnes obligations and, 166 constitutive rules, 36, 40, 49 EU institutions and, 162 constructive rules, 109-10 EU law, interpretation of, 164-65, critical mass for creation of, 105-6 181 - 83facts, emerging from, 11-12

customary rules (cont.)	UN General Assembly resolutions
general principles of law,	and, 123–24
interpretation by reference to,	deterministic chaos, 82
275–78, 280	Dewey, John, 322-23
hierarchy of, 220-21	diplomatic immunity, 116
obligation element, 24, 27	diuturnitas (practice)
'outer rules', 47	in customary rules, 24, 27
practice element (diuturnitas), 24, 27	as state practice, 27
system of rules as a whole,	doctrine of sources, 52, 325
interpretation by reference to,	dolus eventualis, 278
278–79	domestic courts
treaties, interpretation by reference	CJEU as, 184
to, 268–74, 280	general principles of law originating
cyberspace, 119	in, 245, 246–47
J'A	head-of-state immunity in, 115
d'Aspremont, Jean, 35, 42, 156	human rights law, role in, 232
Daudet, Yves, 46	due process, 69–70
declaratory treaties, 269	Dworkin, Ronald, 33–34
deduction	dynamic complexity, 79, 80–83
from analogy, 115–16 defined, 108	dynamic interpretation, 159
in determination of CIL, 119–20,	Eboe-Osuji, Chile, 94
123–24, 127, 165	ECtHR. See European Court of Human
in ICJ, 105, 112–21, 128–29	Rights (ECtHR)
in identification of CIL, 105, 108–10,	'effective control' test
118–19, 124–26	Geneva Conventions and, 274
from legal principles, 116–18	in ICJ, 132–33, 137–38, 141, 149–50
normative deduction, 113-14	in ICTY, 134
in PCIJ, 105	international armed conflict and, 274
'triangular reasoning', 116–17	international investment law,
without resort to elements, 112-21	flexibility in, 141, 143, 148-50, 154
definitional concepts, 9-10, 12	limitations of, 153-54
demonstrable correctness, 304-5	as standard of evaluation, 152-53
Descamps, Baron Édouard, 42, 44	state-owned enterprises and, 138
descriptive interpretation, 32-33	entropic approach to interpretation
determinate correctness, 304, 305	in ICC, 94, 95–97
determination of CIL	in ICJ, 98–100
in CJEU, 122	in ICTR, 95
deduction in, 119-20, 123-24,	in ICTY, 95
127, 165	in international criminal courts,
foreign ministry determinations,	93–100, 101
122-23	overview, 80, 93, 101
ICJ on, 122	shared understanding of CIL and, 94
identification distinguished, 106	in Special Tribunal for Lebanon,
by inference, 127–28	97–98
interpretation prior to, 20	entropy
judicial function and, 189	in CIL, 93
judicial process and, 189	information entropy, 90, 91
	17.

interpretation, entropic approach to. See entropic approach to	no falsification by, 10–11 state practice as fact element of
interpretation	CIL, 4
overview, 80	fair and equitable treatment clauses, 74,
in social context, 91–92 in statistical mechanics, 92–93	143–44 fairness, 69–70
in thermodynamics, 90–91	falsification by facts, 10–11
equidistance rule, 14, 42	Fitzmaurice, Gerald, 47, 255–56
equilibrium, 92	foreign ministry determinations,
erga omnes obligations	122–23
CIL norms as, 172	formal activism, 194-95
CJEU rulings and, 166	Forrester, Jay, 83
Geneva Conventions Common	fragmentation
Article 3 and, 95	ILC on, 301
in human rights law, 234 ICJ and, 234	judicial dialogue as remedy for, 233–35
self-determination as, 118, 174	Legislated Law model of CIL and, 72
state sovereignty over natural	Negotiated Law model of CIL and, 56
resources as, 174–75	framing, 317–20
EU-China Comprehensive Agreement	goals, formulating, 318
on Investment, 47	order and priority, imposing,
European Convention on Human	318–19, 325
Rights, 225, 226–27, 260, 267–68	overview, 300, 316
European Court of Human Rights	practice, identifying, 319
(ECtHR)	prima facie legal relevance and, 320
Adjudicated Law model of CIL and, 60–61	France, practical concordance in, 293
general principles of law in, 260	Gaja, Giorgio, 122
harmonisation through	general principles of law
interpretation, 283 indispensable parties principle in,	ascertainment of, 244–47
206–7	as basis for interpretation of customary rules, 249, 252
judicial dialogue and, 220–22, 224,	as category of rules, 241–44, 251
225, 226–28	CIL compared, 239–40
provisional measures and, 225,	courts and tribunals, role of, 241,
226–28	244–47
state policy, impact on, 100	customary rules, interpretation by
systemic interpretation and, 267–68	reference to general principles of
European Union	law, 275–78, 280
CIL, lack of consent to, 184	disagreement regarding, 240–41
CJEU. See Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)	domestic courts, originating in, 245, 246–47
exceptions, 165–66	in ECtHR, 260
Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts	elements of crime and, 278
of Cambodia (ECCC), 272–73, 278	embeddedness with custom, 275–77
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ICJ and, 276, 277
facts	under ICJ Statute, 241-44, 251, 260
customary rules emerging from, 11-12	ICTY and, 260-61, 277-78

general principles of law (cont.) identification of CIL contrasted to	Guillaume, Gilbert, 234–35, 271 Gulati, Mitu, 17–18, 268–69
ascertainment of, 247	Hagus Convention (1007) 116
ILC on, 240–41, 276	Hague Convention (1907), 116
international law, originating in, 245,	Hakimi, Monica, 12, 56, 129, 318
246–47	Hanson, Norwood Russell, 7
interpretation generally, 240, 263–64 overview, 239–40, 261–62	Hart, HLA, 8, 32, 78
PCIJ and, 276	head-of-state immunity contextualisation and, 321–22
principles <i>stricto sensu</i> distinguished,	in domestic courts, 115
242–44, 251, 260	foreign ministers and, 118–19
as 'relevant rules of international	ICC and, 94, 285, 286, 291, 321–22
law', 259–61	ICJ and, 98–100, 284–85, 286
as secondary rules, 240	ICTR and, 286
as source of rules of interpretation,	ICTY and, 286
252–55	ILC on, 284
systemic interpretation and, 240,	impunity and, 282-83, 284
258–59, 260, 275–78, 280	individual criminal responsibility
'systemic officials', role of, 241,	versus, 282–83, 284, 286–87,
244–47	288-89, 290-91, 296-98
transposition of, 245-46	interpretation of, 98-100
Geneva Conventions (1949)	practical concordance and, 296-98
Additional Protocols, 271, 273–74	rationale for, 284
Common Article 3, 95	shared understanding of CIL
'effective control' test and, 274	and, 94
erga omnes obligations and, 95	shift from absolute to qualified
torture prohibition as jus cogens	immunity, 287–88
and, 223	unease regarding, 288
genocide	VCLT and, 285
CIL and, 25	Heidegger, Martin, 8, 12, 20
jus cogens, prohibition as, 219–20	Helsinki Final Act, 174
Genocide Convention (1948), 58, 289	Hesse, Konrad, 292
Gény, François, 30, 41	Higgins, Rosalyn, 69, 185
Germany, practical concordance in,	Hofmanski, Piotr, 94
283, 291, 292–93	Hollis, Duncan, 248
gold-digging metaphor, 5	humanitarian intervention, 86–88
good faith principle chronological paradox and, 26–27,	human rights law
49–50	domestic courts, role of, 232 erga omnes obligations in, 234
CIL metarule and, 44–50	identification of, 215, 216
ICJ Statute and, 44, 45	judicial dialogue, role of in
legitimate expectations and, 45–46	identification and interpretation,
opinio juris, as basis of, 26–27	214, 219–24
in PCIJ, 45	jus cogens and, 216–17
Gorobets, Kostiantyn, 313	provisional measures, 225–28
grammatical interpretation, 164–65	public international order and,
Grotian moment, 79	230–31
Grotius, Hugo., 44	hypothetical inference, 128

ICC. See International Criminal	uncertainty regarding, 77
Court (ICC)	immunities rationae personae. See
ICJ. See International Court of	head-of-state immunity
Justice (ICJ)	immunity, 63
ICJ Statute	impunity, head-of-state immunity and,
CIL under, 27	282–83, 284
general principles of law under,	indigenous peoples, 86
241–44, 251, 260	indispensable parties principle
good faith principle and, 44, 45	ambiguity of, 199
indispensable parties principle as	application of, 191
'embodied' in, 198-99, 210	application versus recognition, 208
on interpretation, 34–35	in arbitration, 204-5
on legitimate expectations, 45-46	in CJEU, 205-6
two-element test for CIL, 52	consolidation of, 207-8
ICSID Convention (1965), 145-46, 148,	development of, 190-91
152, 314	in ECtHR, 206-7
ICTR. See International Criminal	effect on absent state and, 201-2
Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)	as 'embodied' in ICJ Statute,
ICTY. See International Criminal	198-99, 210
Tribunal for the Former	expansion of, 201-2
Yugoslavia (ICTY)	in ICC, 206
identification of CIL	in ICJ, 198-203
circularity of, 18	identification of, 191
in CJEU, 176	judicial activism and, 188, 200, 202,
deduction in, 105, 108-10, 118-19,	203, 209–10
124–26	judicial effectiveness and, 209
determination distinguished, 106	judicial restraint and, 200, 205-6, 208
Draft Conclusions on Identification of	lack of relevance, 205–7
Customary International Law	in other international tribunals,
(ILC), 52, 157–58, 177, 264, 268, 272	204-5
general principles of law, contrasted	overview, 188, 210
to ascertainment of, 247	public function, 208-9
human rights law, 215, 216	temporal preconditions, 202-3
in ICJ, 106, 109–10	uncertainty in, 210
ILC on, 106, 111, 254-55	in WTO, 206
indispensable parties principle, 191	individual criminal responsibility
induction in, 105, 108-10,	for crimes against humanity, 289
126–27, 165	head-of-state immunity versus,
as 'interconnected judicial	282-83, 284, 286-87, 288-89,
operation', 217–18	290-91, 296-98
interpretation and, 32, 217-18	ICTY and, 290
investigation of state practice and, 5	ILC on, 289–90
lack of precision in, 187	Nuremberg Principles, 289-90
non-textual evidence, 34	for piracy, 289
opinio juris and, 215–16	practical concordance and, 296-98
in PCIJ, 111	induction
state practice and, 215-16	within application of state practice
textual evidence, 31–32	and opinio juris elements, 121-28

induction (cont.)	judicial dialogue and, 221–22,
criticism of, 5–6 defined, 107–8	223, 225
in identification of CIL, 105, 108–10,	jurisdiction, 211 non-compliance with obligations
126–27, 165	and, 98–100
problems with, 6–8	opinio juris in, 111–12
in Special Tribunal for Lebanon, 126	practical concordance in, 294,
state practice and, 5	295–97
inference	provisional measures and, 225
determination of CIL by, 127-28	state practice in, 111-12
hypothetical inference, 128	Statute. See ICJ Statute
predictive inference, 127–28	systemic interpretation and, 266
universal inference, 128	on torture prohibition as jus
information entropy, 90, 91	cogens, 223
Institut de Droit International, 266	on treaties, 270–72, 273
Inter-American Court of Human	uti possidetis and, 115, 319
Rights (IACtHR), 60-61, 226	International Covenant on Civil and
Inter-American Treaty of Reciprocal	Political Rights, 174, 223
Assistance (Rio Treaty) (1947),	International Covenant on Economic,
270-71	Social and Cultural Rights, 174
international armed conflict, 'effective	International Criminal Court (ICC)
control' test and, 274	conflicting norms in, 282
International Court of Justice (ICJ)	contextualisation and, 321-22
Adjudicated Law model of CIL	entropic approach to interpretation
and, 69	in, 94, 95–97
analogy in, 115–16	gap-filling and, 82-83
attribution and, 136, 141	head-of-state immunity and, 94, 285,
CJEU reliance on rulings of, 158-59	286, 291, 321–22
control and, 136, 141	indispensable parties principle
deduction in, 105, 112-21, 128-29	in, 206
on determination of CIL, 122	interpretation in, 94, 95-97
'effective control' test, 132–33,	judicial discretion and, 88
137–38, 141, 149–50	Rome Statute. See Rome Statute
'elementary considerations of	war crimes and, 95-97
humanity' and, 113	international criminal courts
entropic approach to interpretation	entropic approach to interpretation
in, 98–100	in, 93–100, 101
erga omnes obligations and, 234	interpretation in, 80, 93-100
general principles of law and,	International Criminal Tribunal for
276, 277	Rwanda (ICTR)
head-of-state immunity and, 98–100,	crimes against humanity and, 95
284–85, 286	entropic approach to interpretation
identification of CIL in, 106, 109-10	in, 95
indispensable parties principle in,	head-of-state immunity and, 286
198–203	interpretation in, 95
induction in, 125-26, 128-29	International Criminal Tribunal for the
inference in, 128	Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)
interpretation in, 98-100	attribution and, 133-34, 136, 141

control and, 133-34, 136, 141 'relevant rules of international law', crimes against humanity and, 95 'effective control' test and, 134 International Law Association (ILA), entropic approach to interpretation 111, 122-23, 163-64 International Law Commission (ILC) gap-filling and, 82-83 on ascertainment of general general principles of law and, principles of law, 244–47 260-61, 277-78 chronological paradox and, 26 head-of-state immunity and, 286 on coherence, 301 individual criminal responsibility Draft Articles on State and, 290 Responsibility, 57–58, 124–25 Draft Conclusions on Identification interpretation in, 95 judicial dialogue and, 222, 224 of Customary International Law, state responsibility and, 278-79 52, 157-58, 177, 264, 268, 272 systemic interpretation in, 272 on fragmentation, 301 on torture prohibition as jus cogens, on general principles of law, 240-41, 276 222, 224 on head-of-state immunity, 284 on treaties, 273-74 international humanitarian law, on identification of CIL, 106, 111, nuclear weapons and, 271 254 - 55international investment law on individual criminal responsibility, case-by-case analysis, 154 289 - 90control tests in, 137-42 on interpretation, 35 corporate plus effective control in, legal reasoning and, 313 141, 150 on non-state actors, 27 'effective control' test, flexibility of, on opinio juris, 215-16, 254-55 141, 143, 148-50, 154 on state practice, 215-16, 254-55 fair-and-equitable-treatment clauses, on state responsibility, 37 74, 143-44 international organisations, role in investment neutrality, 154 interpretation, 157 judicial reasoning regarding International Tin Council, 110 International Tribunal for the Law of threshold of control, 143, 150-53 legal reasoning in, 313 the Sea (ITLOS), 205 most-favoured-nation clauses, interpretation 143 - 44in Adjudicated Law model of CIL, 70 state-led investment activities, of agreements, 68 caution regarding, 143-47 application, prior to, 20 of arguments, 64-65 international law general principles of law originating in CJEU. See Court of Justice of the in, 245, 246-47 European Union (CJEU) harmonisation, role of judicial constructive interpretation, dialogue in, 231-32 32 - 34,97judicial activism in context of, contextualisation and, 320-21, 322 196-98 of control, 132 judicial restraint in context of, of customary rules, role of general principles of law, 249, 252 modern perception of, 188 of decisions, 70

interpretation (cont.)	teleological interpretation, 97, 159,
defined, 264	164–65, 218
descriptive interpretation, 32-33	of text, 18–19, 21
determination, prior to, 20	treaties generally, 263-64
dynamic interpretation, 159	'treaty focus' of, 248
entropic approach to. See entropic	VCLT and, 67-68, 97, 248, 252-53
approach to interpretation	written versus unwritten rules,
evolutive function of, 94	248-49
of exceptions, 165-66	investigation of state practice
general principles of law generally,	identification of CIL and, 5
240, 263–64	opinio juris as starting point for, 6
grammatical interpretation, 164-65	investor–state arbitration. See
harmonisation through, 283	international investment law
of head-of-state immunity, 98–100	Iran-US Claims Tribunal (IUSCT),
in ICC, 94, 95–97	135–36, 137, 142
in ICJ, 98–100	is/ought gap, 43-44, 49
ICJ Statute on, 34–35	Israel, individual criminal
in ICTR, 95	responsibility in, 290
in ICTY, 95	iteration. See reflexivity
identification of CIL and, 32, 217–18	10010010101010101111101
ILC on, 35	Jennings, Robert, 253-54
as 'interconnected judicial	judicial activism
operation', 217–18	abstract principles, deriving legal
in international criminal courts, 80,	reasoning from, 197–98
93–100	in context of international law,
international organisations, role	196–98
of, 157	defined, 194–95
judicial activism and, 195	formal activism, 194–95
legal reasoning and, 313–14	indispensable parties principle and,
in Legislated Law model of CIL, 68	188, 200, 202, 203, 209–10
legitimacy of, 52–53, 64	interpretation and, 195
maxims of, 249–51	judicial effectiveness, relation to, 191
in Negotiated Law model of CIL,	judicial restraint compared, 194,
64–65	195–96
norms of, 249–51	legal reasoning and, 197–98
reflexivity and, 324–25	modification of law, 197
rules of. See rules of interpretation	overview, 187–88
rule-to-case interpretation, 34, 36, 37	process or procedure, changing, 197
source interpretation, 34, 36, 37	substantive activism, 194–95
source of rules of, general principles	will of parties and state consent,
of law as, 252–55	ruling against, 197
in Special Tribunal for Lebanon,	judicial dialogue
97–98	'communities of practice' and,
of state immunity from jurisdiction,	233–34 defined 212
182–83	defined, 212
systemic interpretation. See systemic	ECtHR and, 220–22, 224, 225,
interpretation	226–28

fragmentation, as remedy for,	. 1
233–35	jure
harmonisation of international law,	jure
role in, 231–32	juri
ICJ and, 221–22, 223, 225	
ICTY and, 222, 224	jus
identification of human rights law,	a
role in, 214, 219–24	(
as improving legal decisions, 230	(
interpretation of human rights law,	C
role in, 214, 219–24	,
jurisprudential objectivism, impact	(
on, 214, 228–32	٤
normative contextualisation and,	ŀ
212-14	I
overview, 211–12, 233–35	I
provisional measures and, 225–28	a
systemic contextualisation and,	
212–14	F
judicial discretion, 88	r
judicial effectiveness	S
ambiguity contrasted, 192, 194	t
elements of, 192–93	1
harmonisation and, 192–93	17
indispensable parties principle	Kas
and, 209	Kh
judicial activism, relation to, 191	Kol
of judicial function, 191–92	Ko
overview, 186–87, 188	Kos
public versus private function, 192 rapprochement and, 192–93	Kyl
role of judge in, 193	Lac
judicial function	Lau
determination of CIL and, 189	Lav
judicial effectiveness of, 191-92. See	lega
also judicial effectiveness	a
judicial process, determination of CIL	C
and, 189	Ċ
judicial restraint	I
abstract principles, deriving legal	i
reasoning from, 197–98	
in context of international law,	i
196-98	j
defined, 195	j
indispensable parties principle and,	a
200, 205–6, 208	a
judicial activism compared, 194,	t
195–96	

legal reasoning and, 197–98 e gestionis, 167, 168–69 e imperii, 167, 168–69 isprudential objectivism, 214, 228 - 32cogens aggression and, 219–20 CIL as basis for, 219–20 CIL metarule and, 48, 50 crimes against humanity and, 219 - 20Geneva Conventions and, 223 genocide prohibition as, 219–20 human rights law and, 216–17 [CJ and, 223 ICTY and, 222, 224 as overriding conflicting nonperemptory norms, 220-21 piracy and, 219-20 racial discrimination and, 219–20 slavery and, 219-20 torture and, 214, 219-20, 222-24 VCLT and, 216

Kassoti, Eva, 181 Khalilian, Tags Seyed Khalil, 135–36 Kolver, Anatoly, 227 Kooijmans, Pieter, 195, 198, 208 Koskenniemmi, Martti, 18 Kylián, Jiří, 77, 101

chs, Manfred, 120 aterpacht, Hersch, 195, 198, 200 w of the Sea Convention, 25 al reasoning action intended by, 312-13 coherence and, 300, 325 defeasible nature of, 314 ILC and, 313 in international investment law, interpretation and, 313–14 udicial activism and, 197-98 udicial restraint and, 197–98 as practical reasoning, 312-14 as purposive activity, 313 theoretical versus practical reasoning, 309-11

Legislated Law model of CIL, 57 non-state actors Adjudicated Law model of CIL CIL and, 27 ILC on, 27 compared, 72 self-defence and, 62 coherence and, 72 normative contextualisation, 212-14 fragmentation and, 72 interpretive path, 68 normative deduction, 113-14 as justification-based source, 61, 62 nuclear weapons, international modern custom and, 59 humanitarian law and, 271 Negotiated Law model of CIL nullum crimen sine lege, 69-70 compared, 59-60, 67, 68, 72 Nuremberg Principles, 289-90 overview, 53 treaties and, 58 obligation element VCLT and, 57-58, 68 in customary rules, 24, 27 legitimate expectations, 45-46 in opinio juris, 27-28 Lerche, Peter, 293 Odermatt, Jed, 181 Llewellyn, Karl, 7, 13 opinio juris Luhmann, Niklas, 83 belief in obligation, 28 chronological paradox and, 28-29 MacCormick, Neil, 303 circularity of, 12-13, 16-17 Mahoney, Paul, 195-96 as claims, 17-18 Mallarmé, Stéphane, 77 collective acceptance of, 30-31 maritime boundaries, 115, 319 conditions of, 15-16 marriage, 11 as element of CIL, 111-12 McDougal, Myres, 55 evidence gathering, 31-32, 35 McLachlan, Campbell, 259 good faith principle as basis Mendelson, Maurice, 18 of, 26-27 Mengozzi, Paolo, 168 habitual practice distinguished, Merkouris, Panos, 5, 67-68, 165 28 - 29Morrison, Howard, 94 in ICI, 111-12 most-favored nation clauses, 143-44 identification of CIL and, 215-16 ILC on, 215-16, 254-55 investigation of state practice, as natural resources, state sovereignty over, 170-78, 183 starting point for, 6 Negotiated Law model of CIL, 57 as normative element of CIL, 4 obligation element, 27-28 Adjudicated Law model of CIL compared, 61, 69 in PCIJ, 111-12 coherence and, 72-73 plural form of, 22 forward-looking nature of, 66 prescriptive role of, 30-31 fragmentation and, 56 presumption of, 121 interpretive path, 64-65 rejection of obligation element, as justification-based source, 61, 62 Legislated Law model of CIL state practice as based in, 3-4, 16 compared, 59-60, 67, 68, 72 as subjective element of CIL, overview, 53 refutation of, 3, 4 textual interpretation and, 66 usage distinguished, 14-16 traditional custom and, 56 Organization of American States traditional model, 59 (OAS), 270-71, 272

'outer rules', 47

Nicaragua, Contras in, 132-33

Pair, Lara, 195 Parsons, Talcott, 83 Pellet, Alain, 122 Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA), 204	principles <i>stricto sensu</i> , general principles of law distinguished, 242–44, 251, 260 provisional measures, 225–28
Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ) CJEU reliance on rulings of, 158–59	questions of fact, 106–7, 129 questions of law, 106–7, 129
deduction in, 105 general principles of law and, 276 good faith principle in, 45	racial discrimination, prohibition as <i>jus cogens</i> , 219–20 rape, 277–78
identification of CIL in, 111 opinio juris in, 111–12	Rawls, John, 322–23 reflective equilibrium, 323
state practice in, 111–12 Pescatore, Pierre, 194	reflexivity, 322–25 interpretation and, 324–25 order and priority, imposing, 325
piracy CIL and, 25 individual criminal responsibility	overview, 300, 316 reflective equilibrium, 323
for, 289 <i>jus cogens</i> , prohibition as, 219–20	Ricoeur, Paul, 21 Roberts, Anthea Elizabeth, 5
Postema, Gerald, 20, 56, 65, 66 practical concordance as balancing of interests, 291, 292–93	Robinson, Patrick Lipton, 114 Rome Statute. <i>See also</i> International Criminal Court (ICC)
broader picture, consideration of, 295–96	gap-filling and, 82–83 Legislated Law model of CIL and, 58
defined, 291 fundamental rights and, 292–93 head-of-state immunity and, 296–98	non-compliance with obligations, 98–100 war crimes and, 95–97
in ICJ, 294, 295–97 individual criminal responsibility	Rozakis, Christos, 221 rule-based social entities, 39, 40
and, 296–98 in international courts, 294–95 as methodological lens, 295, 296	rules of interpretation candidates for, 255–58 general principles of law as source of,
overview, 283–84, 298 proportionality and, 292 'thinking outside the box', 296	252–55 overview, 249–51 VCLT and, 252, 255–56
unequal legal norms, inapplicable to, 291	rule-to-case interpretation, 34, 36, 37 Russell, Bertrand, 42
practical reasoning challenging, 311 legal reasoning as, 312–14	Scelle, Georges, 81, 96 Schauer, Frederick, 13
plausibility and, 311 reconciling and prioritising in,	secondary rules, 129, 240 self-defence
310–11 theoretical reasoning versus, 309–11	anticipatory self-defence, 63 coherence and, 305
precedents, 13–14 predictive inference, 127–28	non-state actors and, 62 treaties and, 270–71

self-determination CJEU and, 170–78, 183 as <i>erga omnes</i> obligation, 118, 174	in CJEU, 166–70, 182–83 interpretation of, 182–83 <i>jure gestionis</i> and, 167, 168–69
state sovereignty over natural	jure imperii and, 167, 168–69
resources, relation to, 170-78, 183	torture and, 221–22
sexual slavery, 11	state-owned enterprises
Shahabuddeen, Mohamed, 201, 273–74	ARSIWA and, 138–41
Shannon, Claude E, 92–93	attribution of conduct to state, 132,
shared understanding of CIL	134–35, 150–51
complexity theory and, 86	control tests, 132, 134–35, 138–41,
entropic approach to interpretation	151–52
and, 94	corporate plus effective control,
head-of-state immunity and, 94	141
humanitarian intervention and, 88	defined, 130
slavery	'effective control' test, 138
CIL and, 25	hybrid control tests, 141
<i>jus cogens</i> , prohibition as, 219–20 social entities	international investment law,
basic social entities, 38–39	caution regarding in, 143–47 lower thresholds for control, 138–41
defined, 38	political risk and, 143
rule-based social entities, 39, 40	state practice
social ontology, 30–31, 38	common law compared, 13
social system, CIL as, 79–80, 83–88	definitional concepts, 12
Société Nationale des Autoroutes du	diuturnitas as, 27
Maroc (Moroccan state-owned	as element of CIL, 111–12
enterprise), 140	evidence gathering, 31–32, 35
SODIGA (Spanish state-owned	as fact element of CIL, 4
enterprise), 139–40	in ICJ, 111–12
Sørenson, Max, 273	identification of CIL and, 215–16
source interpretation, 34, 36, 37	ILC on, 215–16, 254–55
sources of CIL	induction and, 5
Adjudicated Law model, 60. See also	investigation of, 5, 6
Adjudicated Law model of CIL	as objective element of CIL,
doctrine of sources, 52	refutation of, 3, 4, 22
justification-based sources, 61, 62	opinio juris, as based in, 3-4, 16
Legislated Law model, 57. See also	in PCIJ, 111–12
Legislated Law model of CIL	presumption of, 121
multiplicity of, 76	treaties and, 270
Negotiated Law model, 57. See also	state responsibility
Negotiated Law model of CIL overview, 54	applicability versus application of rule, 37
Special Court for Sierra Leone, 290	ARSIWA and. See Articles on
Special Tribunal for Lebanon	Responsibility of States for
entropic approach to interpretation in, 97–98	Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA))
induction in, 126	Draft Articles on State Responsibility
interpretation in, 97–98	(ILC), 57–58, 124–25
state immunity from jurisdiction	ICTY and, 278–79

ILC on, 37 systemic interpretation and, 278–79, 280 statistical mechanics, entropy in, 92–93 Stockholm Declaration, 58 substantive activism, 194–95 Sudan, head-of-state immunity and, 94 Switzerland, practical concordance in, 293 Syria, air strikes in, 86–88 system dynamics, 83 systemic contextualisation, 212–14 systemic interpretation in CJEU, 159, 164–65 crimes against humanity and, 272–73 ECtHR and, 267–68 gap-filling and, 266–67, 268, 280 general principles of law and, 240, 258–59, 260, 275–78, 280 ICJ and, 266 ICTY and, 272 normative conflict, resolving, 267–68, 280 ordinary meaning of words and, 265–66, 268, 280 state responsibility and, 278–79, 280 systemic argument and, 266, 268, 280 torture and, 272 treaties and, 268–74, 280	theoretical framework, law as, 9 theoretical reasoning challenging, 310 practical reasoning versus, 309–11 thermodynamics, entropy in, 90–91 Thirlway, Hugh, 194–95 Tladi, Dire, 219–20 Tokyo Charter, 289–90 torture CIL and, 25 Geneva Conventions and, 223 ICJ on, 223 ICTY on, 222, 224 jus cogens, prohibition as, 214, 219–20, 222–24 state immunity from jurisdiction and, 221–22 systemic interpretation and, 272 treaties customary rules, interpretation by reference to treaties, 268–74, 280 declaratory treaties, 269 elements of treaty interpretation, interpretation of CIL and, 270 ICJ on, 270–72, 273 ICTY on, 273–74 interpretation generally, 263–64 Legislated Law model of CIL and, 58 obligation not to defeat object and
VCLT and, 265–68, 280 systems theory, 83	purpose of, 178–80, 182 provisions, interpretation of CIL
Szpunar, Maciej, 157 Talmon, Stefan, 5, 69, 108, 113, 116, 127, 129 Tanaka, Kotaro, 34–35, 218 Tarski, Alfred, 47 Tassinis, Orfeas Chasapis., 65, 177–78 teleological interpretation, 97, 159, 164–65, 218 telos, 20–21 Templeman, Lord Sydney, 110 text identification of CIL, textual evidence, 31–32 interpretation of, 18–19, 21 Negotiated Law model of CIL, textual interpretation and, 66	and, 270 as running parallel with custom, 269–71 self-defence and, 270–71 state practice and, 270 systemic interpretation and, 268–74, 280 territorial scope of, 180–81, 182 travaux préparatoires, 269 'treaty focus' of interpretation, 248 VCLT. See Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) Treaty on European Union (TEU), 156 'triangular reasoning', 116–17 Trindade, Antônio Augusto Cançado, 277 Truman, Harry, 313–14

Türmen, Riza, 227 vaccine donation, 29, 48–49 two-level complexity, 88 Van den Wyngaert, Christine, 116, Tzekvelos, Vassilis, 265 Verdross, Alfred, 266 Vienna Convention on the Law of uncertainty content of rules, regarding, 77 Treaties (VCLT) identification of CIL, regarding, 77 'any relevant rules of international in indispensable parties law', 263, 265 principle, 210 capacity to bind states under, 124–25 unpredictability and, 78 CJEU and, 161, 182 United Kingdom, humanitarian coherence and, 301 intervention and, 86-88 head-of-state immunity and, 285 United Nations interpretation and, 67-68, 97, 248, ARSIWA. See Articles on 252 - 53Responsibility of States for jus cogens and, 216 Internationally Wrongful Acts Legislated Law model of CIL and, (ARSIWA)) 57-58, 68 Charter, 58, 244, 271 obligation not to defeat object and Commission on International Trade purpose of treaties and, Law (UNCITRAL), 152, 318-19 178-79, 182 provisional measures and, 225 Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), rules of interpretation and, 252, 318 - 19255-56 Convention on the Law of the Sea systemic interpretation and, 265–68, 280 (UNCLOS), 114 Declaration on Friendly territorial scope of treaties and, 180, Relations, 58 181, 182 General Assembly resolutions, 123-24 Human Rights Committee, 60-61, war crimes, 95-97 216-17, 226 Washington Convention, 140-41 Resolution on Principles of Wathelet, Melchior, 209 International Law Concerning Westerman, Pauline, 30 Friendly Relations and Co-Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 40, 41–42 World Bank, 144 operation among States, 173 Security Council, 282 World Trade Organization (WTO) Universal Declaration of Human Appellate Body, 60–61, 73–74 Dispute Settlement Understanding Rights, 223, 229 universal inference, 128 (DSU), 73 usage, opinio juris distinguished, 14-16 indispensable parties principle uti possidetis, 115, 319 in, 206