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#### Abstract

From ISO [Ne v] 14.3/24.3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ line flux ratios, we find that 10 out of 20 planetary nebulae (PNs) have measured ratios below the lowelectron density $\left(N_{e}\right)$ theoretical predicted limit. Such astronomical data serve to provide important tests of atomic data, collision strengths in this case. In principle, well-calibrated measurements of the [ Ne v ] 14.3/24.3 flux ratio could improve upon the existing atomic data.


## 1. Introduction

In an earlier study of PNs with Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) observations, Rubin et al. (2001) found evidence that the useful $N_{e}$ diagnostic line flux ratio [Ne v] $\mathrm{F}(14.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}) / \mathrm{F}(24.3 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ was out of range of theoretical predictions using current atomic data. In particular, NGC 6818 with a measured flux ratio of 0.71 was significantly out of bounds in the low- $N_{e}$ limit. Thus $N_{e}$ could not be derived; we concluded that perhaps the calculations of the effective collision strengths for these lines should be revisited. Now we include all PNs in the ISO archive that have both lines well measured.

## 2. Results, discussion, and conclusions

Table 1 presents our results. The last column has the derived $N_{e}$ or an $*$ when the flux ratio is out of bounds in the low- $N_{e}$ limit (see figure 5 and table 6 in Rubin et al. 2001) based on the effective collision strength from Lennon \& Burke (1994). Using more recent values from Griffin \& Badnell (2000), the discrepancy is somewhat less but still present.

- Ten out of 20 PNs and 15 of the 28 PN ISO/TDTs (TDT \# identifies an observation) in the Table yield [ Ne v ] $\mathrm{F}(14.3) / \mathrm{F}(24.3)$ below the low- $N_{e}$ theoretical limit. While there might be systematic errors affecting the ISO data, we note that the observed aperture sizes match for this line pair. The low- $N_{e}$ limit is governed by the effective collision strengths. We believe this work points to the need to reevaluate the effective collision strengths for this ion.
- Even when the line flux ratio is within "legal bounds", as is the case for the 3 symbiotic stars (HM Sge, RR Tel, and V 1016 Cyg) included in the Table, and a value for $N_{e}$ may be obtained, if the collision strengths are to be revised as indicated by much of the data here, then these derived $N_{e}$ values are likely to require a revision upward.

Table 1. Flux Measurements for [Ne V] 14.3 and $24.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Lines

| Name | ISO TDT\# | SWS <br> mode | Exp Time ( sec ) | $F(14)$ <br> (Watts $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ) | $F(24)$ <br> (Watts cm ${ }^{-2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} F(14) / \\ F(24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{e} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H 1-36 | 32400609 | 1 | 1140 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 5.97E-19 | 2.76 | 11600 |
| Hb 5 | 49400104 | 1 | 3454 | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 2.06 | 6520 |
| He 2-111 | 60700111 | 2 | 1828 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.683 | * |
| HM Sge | 31901701 | 1 | 1140 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3.15 | 15000 |
| Hu 1-2 | 35801255 | 1 | 1912 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.60 | 3680 |
|  | 54001009 | 2 | 1828 | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.790 | * |
| IC 2165 | 70201606 | 2 | 5084 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.14 | 1180 |
| Me 2-1 | 62803316 | 2 | 1828 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.794 | * |
| NGC 2022 | 69702701 | 2 | 1828 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.770 | * |
|  | 69201703 | 2 | 5084 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.801 | * |
| NGC 2440 | 72501762 | 1 | 1912 | $9.30 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.801 | * |
| NGC 3918 | 29900201 | 1 | 1140 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 5.94E-18 | 0.868 | * |
| NGC 5189 | 31800125 | 1 | 1140 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 0.473 | * |
| NGC 6302 | 9400716 | 1 | 6528 | $6.69 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 2.24 | 7760 |
|  | 28902017 | 2 | 2544 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.39 | 2520 |
| NGC 6445 | 48700507 | 1 | 1912 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.825 | * |
|  | 48700403 | 2 | 1828 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.833 | * |
| NGC 6537 | 70300475 | 1 | 3454 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.46 | 2860 |
|  | 47000722\# | 1 | 1912 | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.42 | 2690 |
| NGC 6720 | 36600207 | 1 | 1140 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 0.424 | * |
| NGC 6741 | 48001305 | 2 | 1828 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.10 | 960 |
|  | 13401806 | 1 | 1062 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.877 | * |
| NGC 6765 | 73600222 | 1 | 6538 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $4.15 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 0.648 | * |
| NGC 6818 | 34301004 | 2 | 4854 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $5.34 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.744 | * |
| NGC 6886 | 13400810 | 1 | 1062 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.959 | 260 |
|  | 53701808 | 2 | 1828 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.10 | 950 |
| NGC 7027 | 55800537 | 1 | 6537 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 3.59 | 19000 |
| NGC 7662 | 75101722 | 2 | 1562 | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.975 | 340 |
|  | 43700427 | 1 | 1912 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.756 | * |
| RR Tel | 54601404 | 2 | 1026 | $6.72 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3.39 | 16200 |
| V1016 Cyg | 55102706 | 1 | 1140 | $6.65 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3.03 | 14100 |
|  | 55102705 | 2 | 1628 | $7.56 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3.16 | 15100 |

* Observed flux ratio is below the low- $N_{e}$ theoretical limit.
\# Position different by $\sim 20^{\prime \prime}$ in FOV $33^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime}$.
Note: HM Sge, RR Tel, and V1016 Cyg are symbiotic stars.
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