

## REDUCTIONS OF POINTS ON ALGEBRAIC GROUPS, II

PETER BRUIN

*Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden, Postbus 9512, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands*  
e-mail: [P.J.Bruin@math.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:P.J.Bruin@math.leidenuniv.nl)

ANTONELLA PERUCCA

*Department of Mathematics, University of Luxembourg, 6, Avenue de la Fonte,  
4364 Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg*  
e-mail: [antonella.perucca@uni.lu](mailto:antonella.perucca@uni.lu)

(Received 15 October 2019; revised 16 March 2020; accepted 26 June 2020; first published online 28 July 2020)

**Abstract.** Let  $A$  be the product of an abelian variety and a torus over a number field  $K$ , and let  $m \geq 2$  be a square-free integer. If  $\alpha \in A(K)$  is a point of infinite order, we consider the set of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  such that the reduction  $(\alpha \bmod \mathfrak{p})$  is well defined and has order coprime to  $m$ . This set admits a natural density, which we are able to express as a finite sum of products of  $\ell$ -adic integrals, where  $\ell$  varies in the set of prime divisors of  $m$ . We deduce that the density is a rational number, whose denominator is bounded (up to powers of  $m$ ) in a very strong sense. This extends the results of the paper *Reductions of points on algebraic groups* by Davide Lombardo and the second author, where the case  $m$  prime is established.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11F80; Secondary: 14L10, 11G05, 11G10

**1. Introduction.** This article is the continuation of the paper *Reductions of points on algebraic groups* by Davide Lombardo and the second author [4]. We refer to this other work for the history of the problem, which started in the 1960s with work of Hasse on the multiplicative orders of rational numbers modulo primes.

Let  $A$  be the product of an abelian variety and a torus over a number field  $K$ , and let  $m \geq 2$  be a square-free integer. If  $\alpha \in A(K)$  is a point of infinite order, we consider the set of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  such that the reduction  $(\alpha \bmod \mathfrak{p})$  is well defined and has order coprime to  $m$ . This set admits a natural density (see Theorem 7), which we denote by  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$ .

The main question is whether we can write

$$\text{Dens}_m(\alpha) = \prod_{\ell} \text{Dens}_{\ell}(\alpha), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\ell$  varies over the prime divisors of  $m$ . Let  $K(A[m])$  be the  $m$ -torsion field of  $A$ . We prove that (1.1) holds if  $K(A[m]) = K$  (i.e. if  $A(K)$  contains all  $m$ -torsion points) or, more generally, if the degree  $[K(A[\ell]) : K]$  is a power of  $\ell$  for every prime divisor  $\ell$  of  $m$  (see Corollary 18). Indeed, (1.1) holds if the torsion fields/Kummer extensions of  $\alpha$  related to different prime divisors of  $m$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$ . In general, (1.1) does not hold: see Section 7.2 for an explicit example.

We are able to express  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  as an integral over the image of the  $m$ -adic representation (see Theorem 16) and also as a finite sum of products of  $\ell$ -adic integrals (see

Theorem 19). The latter decomposition allows us to prove that  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  is a rational number whose denominator is uniformly bounded in a very strong sense (see Corollary 20).

Finally, we study Serre curves in detail in Section 6. With the partition given in Section 6.3, one can very easily compute  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  if the  $m^n$ -Kummer extensions of  $\alpha$  (defined in Section 3) have maximal degree for all  $n$  or, more generally, if the degrees of these extensions are known and are the same with respect to the base fields  $K$  and  $K(A[m])$ .

In general, to compute the density  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  for the product of an abelian variety and a torus, we only need information on the Galois group of the  $m^n$ -torsion fields/Kummer extensions of  $\alpha$  for some sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus, a theoretical algorithm to compute the density exists, because the growth in  $n$  of the  $m^n$ -torsion fields/Kummer extensions of  $\alpha$  is eventually maximal (see Proposition 5 and Remark 6 in view of [4, Lemma 11]).

Finally, we point out that since the category of algebraic groups that we consider is stable under products, our results allow us to replace  $\alpha$  by a finitely generated subgroup of  $A(K)$ ; see Remark 22.

**2. Integration on profinite groups.** For every profinite group  $G$ , we write  $\mu_G$  for the normalised Haar measure on  $G$ . More generally, if  $X$  is a  $G$ -torsor, we write  $\mu_X$  for the normalised Haar measure on  $X$ , defined by transporting  $\mu_G$  along any isomorphism  $G \cong X$  of  $G$ -torsors.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $G$  be a profinite group, and let  $H$  be an open subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that we have  $G = \prod_{\ell} G_{\ell}$ , where  $\ell$  varies in a finite set of prime numbers, and each  $G_{\ell}$  is a profinite group containing a pro- $\ell$ -group  $G'_{\ell}$  as an open subgroup. Let  $G' = \prod_{\ell} G'_{\ell}$  and  $H' = H \cap G'$ . For each  $x \in H/H'$ , let  $H(x)$  be the fibre over  $x$  of the quotient map  $H \rightarrow H/H'$ .*

- (1) *The subgroup  $H'$  is open in  $H$ , and for each  $x \in H/H'$ , the normalised Haar measure on the  $H'$ -torsor  $H(x)$  is*

$$\mu_{H(x)} = (H : H')\mu_H|_{H(x)}.$$

- (2) *We can write*

$$H' = \prod_{\ell} H'_{\ell},$$

where each  $H'_{\ell}$  is a pro- $\ell$ -group, and the normalised Haar measures on  $H'$  and the  $H'_{\ell}$  are related by

$$\mu_{H'} = \prod_{\ell} \mu_{H'_{\ell}}.$$

- (3) *We can write the  $H'$ -torsor  $H(x)$  as*

$$H(x) = \prod_{\ell} H_{\ell}(x),$$

where each  $H_{\ell}(x)$  is a  $H'_{\ell}$ -torsor, and the normalised Haar measures on  $H(x)$  and the  $H_{\ell}(x)$  are related by

$$\mu_{H(x)} = \prod_{\ell} \mu_{H_{\ell}(x)}.$$

*Proof.* The claim that  $H'$  is open in  $H$  holds because  $G'$  is open in  $G$ . The measure  $\mu_H|_{H(x)}$  is  $H'$ -invariant and satisfies  $\int_{H(x)} \mu_H = \frac{1}{(H:H')}$ ; this proves (1). Because  $G'$  is a

product of pro- $\ell$ -groups for pairwise different  $\ell$ , every closed subgroup of  $G'$  is similarly a product of pro- $\ell$ -groups. This shows the existence of the  $H'_\ell$  as in (2); the claim about  $\mu_{H'}$  follows because  $\prod_\ell \mu_{H'_\ell}$  satisfies the properties of the normalised Haar measure on  $H'$ . Finally, (3) is proved in the same way as (2).  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2. *With the notation of Lemma 1, let  $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an integrable function.*

(1) *We have*

$$\int_H f d\mu_H = \frac{1}{(H:H')} \sum_{x \in H/H'} \int_{H(x)} f d\mu_{H(x)}.$$

(2) *Suppose that for each  $x \in H/H'$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $H(x)$  admits a product decomposition*

$$f|_{H(x)} = \prod_\ell f_{x,\ell},$$

where the  $f_{x,\ell}: H_\ell(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are integrable functions. Then we have

$$\int_H f d\mu_H = \frac{1}{(H:H')} \sum_{x \in H/H'} \prod_\ell \int_{H_\ell(x)} f_{x,\ell} d\mu_{H_\ell(x)}.$$

*Proof.* Part (1) follows by rewriting  $\int_H f d\mu_H$  as  $\sum_{x \in H/H'} \int_{H(x)} f d\mu_H$  and applying Lemma 1(1). Part (2) follows from part (1), Lemma 1(3) and the assumption on  $f$ .  $\square$

**3. The arboreal representation.** Let  $K$  be a number field, and let  $\bar{K}$  be an algebraic closure of  $K$ . Let  $A$  be a connected commutative algebraic group over  $K$ , and let  $b_A$  be the first Betti number of  $A$ . We fix a square-free integer  $m \geq 2$ . Below, we let  $\ell$  vary in the set of prime divisors of  $m$ . We also fix a point  $\alpha \in A(K)$ .

We define  $T_m A$  as the projective limit of the torsion groups  $A[m^n]$  for  $n \geq 1$ ; we can write  $T_m A = \prod_\ell T_\ell A$ , where the Tate module  $T_\ell A$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module of rank  $b_A$ .

We define the *torsion fields*

$$K_{m^{-n}} := K(A[m^n]) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

and

$$K_{m^{-\infty}} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_{m^{-n}}.$$

The Galois action on the  $m$ -power torsion points of  $A$  gives the  $m$ -adic representation of  $A$ , which maps  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  to the automorphism group of  $T_m A$ . We can also speak of the *mod  $m^n$  representation*, which describes the Galois action on  $A[m^n]$ . Choosing a  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -basis for  $T_\ell A$  for every prime divisor  $\ell$  of  $m$ , we can identify the image of the  $m$ -adic representation with a subgroup of  $\prod_\ell \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  and the image of the mod  $m^n$  representation with a subgroup of  $\prod_\ell \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $m^{-n}\alpha$  be the set of points in  $A(\bar{K})$  whose  $m^n$ th multiple equals  $\alpha$ . We also write

$$m^{-\infty}\alpha = \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} m^{-n}\alpha.$$

This is the set of sequences  $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $m\beta_1 = \alpha$  and  $m\beta_{n+1} = \beta_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ; it is a torsor under  $T_m A$ . We note that  $m^{-n}0 = A[m^n]$  and  $m^{-\infty}0 = T_m A$ .

We define the fields

$$K_{m^{-n}\alpha} := K(m^{-n}\alpha) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

and

$$K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_{m^{-n}\alpha}.$$

We call the field extension  $K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K_{m^{-n}}$  the  $m^n$ -Kummer extension defined by the point  $\alpha$ . We view the  $m$ -adic representation as a representation of  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$ .

We fix an element  $\beta \in m^{-\infty}\alpha$  and define the arboreal representation

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\alpha, m^\infty} : \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K) &\longrightarrow T_m A \rtimes \text{Aut}(T_m A) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto (t, M), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is the image of  $\sigma$  under the  $m$ -adic representation and  $t = \sigma(\beta) - \beta$ . Then,  $\omega_{\alpha, m^\infty}$  is an injective homomorphism of profinite groups identifying  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$  with a subgroup of

$$T_m A \rtimes \text{Aut}(T_m A) \cong \prod_{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{b_A} \rtimes \prod_{\ell} \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \cong \prod_{\ell} (\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{b_A} \rtimes \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})).$$

Likewise, for each  $n \geq 1$ , the choice of  $\beta$  defines a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\alpha, m^n} : \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K) &\longrightarrow A[m^n] \rtimes \text{Aut}(A[m^n]) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto (t, M), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t$  and  $M$  are defined in a similar way as above. This identifies  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K)$  with a subgroup of

$$A[m^n] \rtimes \text{Aut}(A[m^n]) \cong \prod_{\ell} ((\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})^{b_A} \rtimes \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$  the image of the  $\ell$ -adic representation in  $\text{Aut}(T_\ell A) \cong \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  and by  $\mathcal{G}(\ell^n)$  the image of the mod  $\ell^n$  representation in  $\text{Aut}(A[\ell^n]) \cong \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})$ . Similarly, we denote by  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  the image of the  $m$ -adic representation in  $\text{Aut}(T_m A) \cong \prod_{\ell} \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  and by  $\mathcal{G}(m^n)$  the image of the mod  $m^n$  representation in  $\text{Aut}(A[m^n]) \cong \text{GL}_{b_A}(\mathbb{Z}/m^n \mathbb{Z})$ .

We write  $d_{A, \ell}$  for the dimension of the Zariski closure of  $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$  in  $\text{GL}_{b_A, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ , and we put

$$D_{A, m} = \prod_{\ell|m} \ell^{d_{A, \ell}}.$$

We note that the  $d_{A, \ell}$  and  $D_{A, m}$  do not change when replacing  $K$  by a finite extension. Moreover, assuming the Mumford–Tate conjecture, all  $d_{A, \ell}$  are equal to  $d_A$ , the dimension of the Mumford–Tate group, implying  $D_{A, m} = m^{d_A}$ . This is known, for example, when  $A$  is an elliptic curve; in this case,  $d_A$  equals 2 if  $A$  has complex multiplication, and 4 otherwise.

DEFINITION 3. We say that  $(A/K, m)$  satisfies *eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields* if there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $N \geq n \geq n_0$  we have

$$[K_{m^{-N}} : K_{m^{-n}}] = D_{A, m}^{N-n}.$$

We say that  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies *eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions* if there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $N \geq n \geq n_0$  we have

$$[K_{m^{-N}\alpha} : K_{m^{-n}\alpha}] = (m^{b_A} D_{A,m})^{N-n}. \tag{3.1}$$

REMARK 4. Condition (3.1) means that there is eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields, that  $K_{m^{-n}\alpha}$  and  $K_{m^{-N}}$  are linearly disjoint over  $K_{m^{-n}}$  and that we have

$$[K_{m^{-N}\alpha} : K_{m^{-N}}(m^{-n}\alpha)] = m^{b_A(N-n)}.$$

If there is eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions, the rational number

$$C_m := m^{b_A n} / [K_{m^{-n}\alpha} : K_{m^{-n}}] \tag{3.2}$$

is independent of  $n$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . In fact,  $C_m$  is an integer because  $\omega_{\alpha, m^n}$  maps  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K_{m^{-n}})$  injectively into  $A[m^n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{b_A}$ .

PROPOSITION 5. *If  $A$  is a semiabelian variety, then  $(A/K, m)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields. If  $A$  is the product of an abelian variety and a torus and  $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$  is Zariski dense in  $A$ , then  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions.*

*Proof.* By [4, Lemma 12], if  $A$  is a semiabelian variety and  $\ell$  is a prime divisor of  $m$ , then  $(A/K, \ell, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields. We also know that the degree  $[K_{\ell^{-n}} : K_{\ell^{-1}}]$  is a power of  $\ell$  for each  $n$ . Therefore, the extensions  $K_{m^{-1}}K_{\ell^{-n}}$  for  $\ell \mid m$  are linearly disjoint over  $K_{m^{-1}}$  and the first assertion follows. By [4, Remark 9], the second assertion holds for  $(A/K, \ell, \alpha)$ , where  $\ell$  is any prime divisor of  $m$ . We conclude because the degrees of these Kummer extensions are powers of  $\ell$ . □

#### 4. Relating the density and the arboreal representation.

**4.1. The existence of the density.** Let  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  be as in Section 3. From now on, we assume that  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions.

REMARK 6. This is not a restriction if  $A$  is the product of an abelian variety and a torus by Proposition 5. Indeed, consider the number of connected components of the Zariski closure of  $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$ . If this number is not coprime to  $m$ , then the density  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  is zero by [5, Main Theorem] while if it is coprime to  $m$  we may replace  $\alpha$  by a multiple to reduce to the case where the Zariski closure of  $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$  is connected. Finally, we may replace  $A$  by the Zariski closure of  $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$  and reduce to the case where  $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$  is Zariski dense. Also notice that if  $A$  is simple (i.e. has exactly two connected algebraic subgroups), then eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions is satisfied as soon as  $\alpha$  has infinite order.

The  $T_m A$ -torsor  $m^{-\infty}\alpha$  from Section 3 defines a Galois cohomology class

$$C_\alpha \in H^1(\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K), T_m A).$$

For any choice of  $\beta \in m^{-\infty}\alpha$ , this is the class of the cocycle

$$\begin{aligned} c_\beta : \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K) &\longrightarrow T_m A \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma(\beta) - \beta. \end{aligned}$$

We also consider the restriction map with respect to the cyclic subgroup generated by some element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$ :

$$\text{Res}_\sigma : H^1(\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K), T_m A) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, T_m A).$$

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions, then the density  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  exists and equals the normalised Haar measure in  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$  of the subset*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha &:= \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K) \mid C_\alpha \in \ker(\text{Res}_\sigma) \} \\ &= \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K) \mid \sigma(\beta) = \beta \text{ for some } \beta \in m^{-\infty}\alpha \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The generalisations of [2, Theorem 3.2] and [4, Theorem 7] to the composite case are straightforward. □

Similarly to [4, Remark 21], we may equivalently consider  $S_\alpha$  as a subset of either  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  or  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$  with their respective normalised Haar measures.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *If  $L/K$  is any Galois extension that is linearly disjoint from  $K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}$  over  $K$ , then we have  $\text{Dens}_L(\alpha) = \text{Dens}_K(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* The generalisation of [4, Proposition 22] to the composite case is straightforward. □

**4.2. Counting elements in the image of the arboreal representation.** By Theorem 7, computing  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  comes down to computing the Haar measure of  $S_\alpha$  in  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$ . This is why we now investigate the Galois groups  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K)$  for positive integers  $n$ .

For  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^n)$  we define

$$\mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M) := \{ t \in A[m^n] \mid (t, M) \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K) \} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$w_{m^n}(M) := \frac{\#(\text{Im}(M - I) \cap \mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M))}{\# \text{Im}(M - I)} \in \mathbb{Q}. \tag{4.2}$$

We note that  $\mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M)$  is a  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K_{m^{-n}})$ -torsor and in particular satisfies

$$\# \mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M) = [K_{m^{-n}\alpha} : K_{m^{-n}}].$$

For every prime divisor  $\ell$  of  $m$  and every  $n \geq 1$ , we consider the Galois group of the compositum  $K_{\ell^{-n}\alpha}K_{m^{-1}}$  over  $K$  and the inclusion

$$\iota_{\alpha, \ell^n} : \text{Gal}(K_{\ell^{-n}\alpha}K_{m^{-1}}/K) \hookrightarrow (A[\ell^n] \rtimes \mathcal{G}(\ell^n)) \times \mathcal{G}(m).$$

For all  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^n)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{W}_{x, \ell^n}(V) := \{ \tau \in A[\ell^n] \mid (\tau, V, x) \in \text{Im } \iota_{\alpha, \ell^n} \} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$w_{x, \ell^n}(V) := \frac{\#(\text{Im}(V - I) \cap \mathcal{W}_{x, \ell^n}(V))}{\# \text{Im}(V - I)} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]. \tag{4.4}$$

We denote by  $\pi_*$  the projection onto  $\mathcal{G}(*)$ .

PROPOSITION 9. *If  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$  and  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^n)$  are such that  $\pi_m M = x$ , then we have*

$$\mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M) = \prod_{\ell} \mathcal{W}_{x, \ell^n}(\pi_{\ell^n} M)$$

and

$$w_{m^n}(M) = \prod_{\ell} w_{x, \ell^n}(\pi_{\ell^n} M).$$

*Proof.* Since the extensions  $K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}}K_{m^{-1}}/K_{m^{-1}}$  have pairwise coprime degrees and hence are linearly disjoint, giving an element of  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n\alpha}}/K)$  mapping to  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$  is equivalent to giving, for each prime  $\ell \mid n$ , an element of  $\text{Gal}(K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}}K_{m^{-1}}/K)$  mapping to  $x$ . Hence, given an element  $t = \sum_{\ell} t_{\ell}$  in  $A[m^n] = \bigoplus_{\ell} A[\ell^n]$ , we have  $t \in \mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M)$  if and only if for every  $\ell$  we have  $t_{\ell} \in \mathcal{W}_{x, \ell^n}(\pi_{\ell^n} M)$ . Therefore  $(t, M)$  is in  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n\alpha}}/K)$  if and only if  $(t_{\ell}, \pi_{\ell^n} M, x)$  is in the image of  $\iota_{\alpha, \ell^n}$  for all  $\ell$ . This implies the first claim. The second claim follows because we have  $\text{Im}(M - I) = \bigoplus_{\ell} \text{Im}(\pi_{\ell^n} M - I)$ . □

LEMMA 10. *For all  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$ , the value  $w_{x, \ell^n}(V)$  is constant for  $n$  sufficiently large.*

*Proof.* This is proved as in [4, Lemma 25]. □

By Lemma 10, we can define

$$w_{x, \ell^\infty}(V) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{x, \ell^n}(V) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]. \tag{4.5}$$

From Proposition 9 we deduce that for all  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$ , the value  $w_{m^n}(M)$  is also constant for  $n$  sufficiently large, so we can analogously define

$$w_{m^\infty}(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{m^n}(M) \in \mathbb{Q}. \tag{4.6}$$

PROPOSITION 11. *If  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  is such that  $\pi_m M = x$ , then we have*

$$w_{m^\infty}(M) = \prod_{\ell} w_{x, \ell^\infty}(\pi_{\ell^\infty} M).$$

*Proof.* Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in Proposition 9 yields the claim. □

The following lemma gives sufficient conditions for the sets  $\mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M)$  and the functions  $w_{m^n}(M)$  and  $w_{m^\infty}(M)$  to admit product decompositions without a dependence on the element  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$ . It will not be used in the remainder of this article.

LEMMA 12. *For all primes  $\ell \mid m$  and all  $n \geq 1$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The intersection of the fields  $K_{m^{-1}}$  and  $K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}}$  is contained in  $K_{\ell^{-n}}$ .*
- (2) *The intersection of the fields  $K_{m^{-1}}K_{\ell^{-n}}$  and  $K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}}$  equals  $K_{\ell^{-n}}$ .*
- (3) *The fields  $K_{m^{-1}}K_{\ell^{-n}}$  and  $K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}}$  are linearly disjoint over  $K_{\ell^{-n}}$ .*
- (4) *We have  $[K_{m^{-1}}K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}} : K_{m^{-1}}K_{\ell^{-n}}] = [K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}} : K_{\ell^{-n}}]$ .*
- (5) *We have  $[K_{m^{-n}}K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}} : K_{m^{-n}}] = [K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}} : K_{\ell^{-n}}]$ .*

*If these conditions are satisfied for all primes  $\ell \mid m$  and all  $n \geq 1$ , then the following statements hold:*

- (6) *We have  $C_m = \prod_{\ell} C_{\ell}$ .*
- (7) *For all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^n)$  we have  $\mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M) = \prod_{\ell} \mathcal{W}_{\ell^n}(\pi_{\ell^n} M)$ .*

- (8) For all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^n)$  we have  $w_{m^n}(M) = \prod_{\ell} w_{\ell^n}(\pi_{\ell^n} M)$ .
- (9) For all  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  we have  $w_{m^\infty}(M) = \prod_{\ell} w_{\ell^\infty}(\pi_{\ell^\infty} M)$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of the conditions (1)–(4) follows from Galois theory, using the fact that all the fields involved are Galois extensions of  $K$ . The conditions (4) and (5) are equivalent because  $[K_{m^{-1}K_{\ell^{-n}\alpha}} : K_{m^{-1}K_{\ell^{-n}}}]$  is a power of  $\ell$  and  $[K_{m^{-n}} : K_{m^{-1}K_{\ell^{-n}}}]$  is prime to  $\ell$ . If condition (5) holds for a given  $n \geq 1$  and all primes  $\ell \mid m$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [K_{m^{-n\alpha}} : K_{m^{-n}}] &= \prod_{\ell} [K_{m^{-n}K_{\ell^{-n}\alpha}} : K_{m^{-n}}] \\ &= \prod_{\ell} [K_{\ell^{-n\alpha}} : K_{\ell^{-n}}]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if (5) is true for all primes  $\ell \mid m$  and all  $n \geq 1$ , then (6) and (7) hold. Finally, it is clear that (7) implies (8) and (9). □

**4.3. Partitioning the image of the  $m$ -adic representation.** We view elements of  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  as automorphisms of  $A[m^\infty] = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A[m^n]$ . We then classify elements  $M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  according to the group structure of  $\ker(M - I)$  and according to the projection  $\pi_m(M) \in \mathcal{G}(m)$ . Note that if  $\ker(M - I)$  is finite, then it is a product over the primes  $\ell \mid m$  of finite abelian  $\ell$ -groups that have at most  $b_A$  cyclic components.

Let  $F$  be a group of the form  $\prod_{\ell \mid m} F_\ell$ , where  $F_\ell$  is a finite abelian  $\ell$ -group with at most  $b_A$  cyclic components. We define the set

$$\mathcal{M}_F := \{M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty) \mid \ker(M - I : A[m^\infty] \rightarrow A[m^\infty]) \cong F\}, \tag{4.7}$$

and for every  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$  we define the set

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,F} := \{M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty) \mid \ker(M - I : A[m^\infty] \rightarrow A[m^\infty]) \cong F, \pi_m(M) = x\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_F(*)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F}(*)$ , respectively, the images of these sets under the reduction map  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(*)$ . We also write

$$\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_F \mathcal{M}_F = \bigcup_{x,F} \mathcal{M}_{x,F}, \tag{4.8}$$

the union being taken over all  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$  and over all groups  $F = \prod_{\ell} F_\ell$  as above, up to isomorphism.

**PROPOSITION 13.** *The following holds:*

- (1) The sets  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F}$  are measurable in  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$ , and the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of (4.8) is measurable in  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$ .
- (2) If  $n > v_\ell(\exp F)$  for all  $\ell \mid m$ , then we have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(\mathcal{M}_{x,F}) = \mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^n)}(\mathcal{M}_{x,F}(m^n)).$$

- (3) We have  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(\mathcal{M}_{x,F}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F} = \emptyset$ .
- (4) If  $(A/K, m)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields, then we have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(\mathcal{M}) = 1.$$

*Proof.* This is proved as in [4, Lemma 23]. □



**5. The density as an integral.** Suppose that  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions. Recall from Remark 6 that this is not a restriction if  $A$  is the product of an abelian variety and a torus. By Theorem 7, computing  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  comes down to computing the Haar measure of  $S_\alpha$  in  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$ . The generalisation of [4, Remark 19] to the composite case gives

$$S_\alpha = \{(t, M) \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K) \mid M \in \mathcal{G}(m^\infty) \text{ and } t \in \text{Im}(M - I)\}.$$

In view of (4.8), we consider the sets

$$S_{x,F} := \{(t, M) \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}_{x,F} \text{ and } t \in \text{Im}(M - I)\}.$$

By assertion (4) of Proposition 13 and our assumption that  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields, the set  $S_\alpha$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $S_{x,F}$  up to a set of measure 0. To see that the Haar measure of  $S_{x,F}$  is well defined and to compute it, we define for every  $n \geq 1$  the set

$$S_{x,F,m^n} = \{(t, M) \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}_{x,F}(m^n) \text{ and } t \in \text{Im}(M - I)\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 14.** *Suppose  $n > n_0$  and  $n > \max_\ell \{v_\ell(\exp F)\}$  for every  $\ell$ , where  $n_0$  is as in Definition 3. Then the set  $S_{x,F,m^n}$  is the image of  $S_{x,F}$  under the projection to  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K)$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $S_{x,F,m^n}$  clearly contains the reduction modulo  $m^n$  of  $S_{x,F}$ . To prove the other inclusion, consider  $(t_{m^n}, M_{m^n}) \in S_{x,F,m^n}$  and a lift  $(t, M) \in \text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$ . Since  $n$  is sufficiently large with respect to  $F$ , we have  $\ker(M - I) \cong F$ . Clearly,  $M_{m^n}$  and  $M$  have the same projection  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$ . To conclude, it suffices to ensure  $t \in \text{Im}(M - I)$ . Take  $\tau_{m^n} \in A[m^n]$  satisfying  $(M_{m^n} - I)(\tau_{m^n}) = t_{m^n}$ , and some lift  $\tau$  of  $\tau_{m^n}$  to  $T_m(A)$ : we may replace  $t$  by  $(M - I)\tau$  because the difference is in  $m^n T_m(A)$  and since  $n > n_0$  we know that  $\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-\infty}\alpha}/K)$  contains  $m^n T_m(A) \times \{I\}$ . □

**THEOREM 15.** *We have*

$$\mu(S_{x,F}) = \frac{C_m}{\#\mathbb{F}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{x,F}} w_{m^\infty}(M) d\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(M),$$

where  $C_m$  is the constant of (3.2) and  $w_{m^\infty}$  is as in (4.6).

*Proof.* Choose  $n$  large enough so that  $n > n_0$  and  $n > \max_\ell \{v_\ell(\exp F)\}$  for every  $\ell$ , where  $n_0$  is as in Definition 3. By definition (see (4.1)) we can write

$$\#S_{x,F,m^n} = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{x,F}(m^n)} \#(\text{Im}(M - I) \cap \mathcal{W}_{m^n}(M)).$$

By definition (see (4.2)), we can express the summand as

$$\#\text{Im}(M - I) \cdot w_{m^n}(M) = \frac{w_{m^n}(M) \cdot m^{bn}}{\#\mathbb{F}},$$

so from (3.2), we deduce

$$\frac{\#S_{x,F,m^n}}{\#\text{Gal}(K_{m^{-n}\alpha}/K)} = \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{G}(m^n)} \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{x,F}(m^n)} \frac{C_m}{\#\mathbb{F}} \cdot w_{m^n}(M).$$

By (3.1), the left-hand side is a non-increasing function of  $n$ , and therefore, it admits a limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which is  $\mu(S_{x,F})$ . The right-hand side is an integral over  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F}(m^n)$  with

respect to the normalised counting measure of  $\mathcal{G}(m^n)$ , and the matrices in  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F}$  are exactly the matrices in  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  whose reduction modulo  $m^n$  lies in  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F}(m^n)$ . Taking the limit over  $n$ , we thus find the formula in the statement.  $\square$

THEOREM 16. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dens}_m(\alpha) &= C_m \sum_F \frac{1}{\#F} \int_{\mathcal{M}_F} w_{m^\infty}(M) d\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(M) \\ &= C_m \int_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)} \frac{w_{m^\infty}(M)}{\#\ker(M - I)} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(M), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where the function  $w_{m^\infty}$  is as in (4.6), the constant  $C_m$  is as in (3.2), and  $F$  varies over the products over the primes  $\ell \mid m$  of finite abelian  $\ell$ -groups with at most  $b_A$  cyclic components.

*Proof.* To prove the first equality, note that  $\mathcal{M}_F$  is the disjoint union of the  $\mathcal{M}_{x,F}$  for  $x \in \mathcal{G}(m)$ . By Theorem 7, we may write  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha) = \mu(S_\alpha) = \sum_{x,F} \mu(S_{x,F})$  and then it suffices to apply Theorem 15. The second equality follows because the union of the sets  $\mathcal{M}_F$  from (4.7) has measure 1 in  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  by Proposition 13.  $\square$

COROLLARY 17 ([4, Theorem 1 and Remark 27]). *In the special case  $m = \ell$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dens}_\ell(\alpha) &= C_\ell \sum_F \frac{1}{\#F} \int_{\mathcal{M}_F} w_{\ell^\infty}(M) d\mu_{\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)}(M) \\ &= C_\ell \int_{\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)} \frac{w_{\ell^\infty}(M)}{\#\ker(M - I)} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)}(M), \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where  $F$  varies among the finite abelian  $\ell$ -groups with at most  $b_A$  cyclic components.

Notice that we have  $\#\ker(M - I) = \ell^{v_\ell(\det(M-I))}$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$ ; this shows the equivalence with [4, Theorem 1].

COROLLARY 18. *Let  $\ell$  vary among the prime divisors of  $m$ . If the fields  $K_{\ell^\infty\alpha}$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$ , then we have*

$$\text{Dens}_m(\alpha) = \prod_\ell \text{Dens}_\ell(\alpha).$$

*Proof.* Note that we have  $C_m = \prod_\ell C_\ell$ . By assumption, we also have  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty) = \prod_\ell \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$ , which implies  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)} = \prod_\ell \mu_{\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)}$ , and  $w_{m^\infty}(M) = \prod_\ell w_{\ell^\infty}(\pi_{\ell^\infty} M)$ . We conclude that (5.1) is the product of the expressions (5.2) for  $\ell \mid m$ .  $\square$

The conditions of Corollary 18 are satisfied, for example, if  $K_{m-1} = K$ , or more generally if the degree  $[K_{\ell-1} : K]$  is a power of  $\ell$  for each  $\ell$ . Under weaker conditions,  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  is not in general the product of the  $\text{Dens}_\ell(\alpha)$ , but we can still express it as a sum of products of  $\ell$ -adic integrals, as the following result shows.

THEOREM 19. *Denote by  $H(x) = \prod_\ell H_\ell(x)$  the set of matrices in  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty) \subseteq \prod_\ell \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$  mapping to  $x$  in  $\mathcal{G}(m)$ . We then have*

$$\text{Dens}_m(\alpha) = \frac{C_m}{\#\mathcal{G}(m)} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}(m)} \prod_\ell \int_{H_\ell(x)} \frac{w_{x,\ell^\infty}(M)}{\#\ker(M - I)} d\mu_{H_\ell(x)}(M), \tag{5.3}$$

where  $w_{x,\ell^\infty}$  is as in (4.5).

*Proof.* Write  $S_x = \bigcup_F S_{x,F}$  and recall from Proposition 13 that the set of matrices  $M$  for which  $\ker(M - I)$  is infinite has measure zero in  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$ . By Theorem 15, we have

$$\mu(S_x) = \sum_F \mu(S_{x,F}) = C_m \int_{H(x)} \frac{w_{m^\infty}(M)}{\#\ker(M - I)} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)}(M).$$

The assertion follows from Propositions 2 and 11. □

**COROLLARY 20.** *The density  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$  is a rational number. Moreover, for every positive integer  $b$ , there exists a non-zero polynomial  $p_b(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  with the following property: whenever  $K$  is a number field and  $A$  is the product of an abelian variety and a torus such that the first Betti number of  $A$  equals  $b$ , then for all  $\alpha \in A(K)$  and all square-free integers  $m \geq 2$  such that  $(A/K, m, \alpha)$  satisfies eventual maximal growth of the Kummer extensions, we have*

$$\text{Dens}_m(\alpha) \cdot \prod_\ell p_b(\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/m],$$

where  $\ell$  varies over the prime divisors of  $m$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $C_m$  is an integer. In view of Lemma 10, we can consider each  $\ell$ -adic integral in (5.3) and proceed as in the proof of [4, Theorem 36]. □

**REMARK 21.** For elliptic curves, it is also possible to bound the minimal denominator of  $\text{Dens}_m(\alpha)$ . Indeed, let us consider (5.3), recalling that  $C_m$  is an integer. Each of the finitely many functions  $w_{x,\ell^\infty}$  takes only finitely many values: these are rational numbers whose minimal denominator divides  $\ell^{2n_0}$ , where  $n_0$  is large enough so that condition (3.1) holds for all  $N \geq n \geq n_0$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  (see Section 6.2), then  $\#\ker(M - I) = \ell^{2a+b}$ . The crucial fact is the independence of the number of lifts [3, Theorem 28]; the case distinction for the normaliser of a Cartan subgroup does not matter because we separately count the matrices in the Cartan subgroup and those in its complement. This means that the measure of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b) \cap H_\ell(x)$  is a fraction of that of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$ : this ratio can take only finitely many values and can be understood by working modulo  $\ell^{n_0}$ . We may then need to multiply the denominator in the measure of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  by an integer which is at most  $\#\text{GL}_2(\ell^{n_0})$ . Essentially we need to evaluate finitely many geometric series because of the eventual maximal growth of the torsion fields (the degrees  $[K(E[\ell^n]) : K]$  for  $n$  sufficiently large form a geometric progression) and we may reason as in [4, Theorems 5 and 6].

**REMARK 22.** We may replace the point  $\alpha$  by a finitely generated subgroup  $G$  of  $A(K)$ . Indeed, let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  be generators for  $G$ . We may then consider the point  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  in the product  $A^r(K)$ . Then the density  $\text{Dens}_m(\beta)$  for the single point  $\beta$  is exactly the density of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  such that the order of  $(G \bmod \mathfrak{p})$  is coprime to  $m$ .

## 6. Serre curves.

**6.1. Definition of Serre curves.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $K$ . We choose a Weierstrass equation for  $E$  of the form

$$E: y^2 = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3), \tag{6.1}$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in K(E[2])$  are the  $x$ -coordinates of the points of order 2. The discriminant of the right-hand side of (6.1) is  $\Delta = \sqrt{\Delta^2}$ , where

$$\sqrt{\Delta} = (x_1 - x_2)(x_2 - x_3)(x_3 - x_1).$$

We thus have  $K(\sqrt{\Delta}) \subseteq K(E[2])$ , and we define a character

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_E: \text{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) &\longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma(\sqrt{\Delta})/\sqrt{\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

For any choice of basis of the 2-torsion of  $E$ , we have the 2-torsion representation

$$\rho_{E,2}: \text{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let  $\psi$  be the unique non-trivial character  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ; this corresponds to the sign character under any isomorphism of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  with  $S_3$ . The character  $\psi_E$  factors as

$$\psi_E = \psi \circ \rho_{E,2}.$$

From now on, we take  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ . All number fields that we will consider will be subfields of a fixed algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $d$  be an element of  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ . Let  $m_d$  be the conductor of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ; this is the smallest positive integer such that  $\sqrt{d}$  lies in the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_d})$ . Let  $d_{\text{sf}}$  be the square-free part of  $d$ . We have

$$m_d = \begin{cases} |d_{\text{sf}}| & \text{if } d_{\text{sf}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 4|d_{\text{sf}}| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define a character

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_d: \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_d})/\mathbb{Q}) &\longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma(\sqrt{d})/\sqrt{d}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma$  is the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_d})$  defined by  $\sigma(\zeta_{m_d}) = \zeta_{m_d}^a$  with  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/m_d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , then  $\varepsilon_d(\sigma)$  equals the Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{d_{\text{sf}}}{a}\right)$ . We view  $\varepsilon_d$  as a character of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m_d\mathbb{Z})$  by composing with the determinant.

For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have a canonical projection

$$\pi_n: \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Fixing a  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -basis for the projective limit of the torsion groups  $E[n](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , we have a torsion representation

$$\rho_E: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

The image of  $\rho_E$  is contained in the subgroup

$$H_\Delta = \{M \in \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \psi(\pi_2(M)) = \varepsilon_\Delta(\pi_{m_\Delta}(M))\}$$

of index 2 in  $\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ . This expresses the fact that  $\sqrt{\Delta}$  is contained in both  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(E[m_\Delta])$ . An elliptic curve is said to be a *Serre curve* if the image of  $\rho_E$  is equal to  $H_\Delta$ . As proven by N. Jones [1], almost all elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are Serre curves.

**6.2. Counting matrices.** Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. For all integers  $a, b \geq 0$ , we write  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  for the set of matrices  $M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  such that the kernel of  $M - I$  as an endomorphism of  $(\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^a\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{a+b}\mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  that is the preimage in  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  of its reduction modulo  $\ell^n$  (which means that  $\mathcal{N}$  contains the intersection of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  with the set of preimages of  $(\mathcal{N} \bmod \ell^n)$  in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ ), then we have

$$\frac{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}(\mathcal{N})}{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}(\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b))} = \frac{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})}(\mathcal{N} \bmod \ell^n)}{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})}(\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b) \bmod \ell^n)} \tag{6.2}$$

by [3, Theorem 27] (where the number of lifts is independent of the matrix). Notice that if  $a \geq n$ , then  $(\mathcal{N} \bmod \ell^n)$  consists of the identity.

PROPOSITION 23. *If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  that is the preimage in  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$  of its reduction modulo  $\ell$ , then we have*

$$\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}(\mathcal{N}) = \mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})}(\mathcal{N} \bmod \ell) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b = 0 \\ \ell^{-b}(\ell - 1) & \text{if } a = 0, b \geq 1 \\ \ell^{-4a} \cdot \ell(\ell - 1)^2(\ell + 1) & \text{if } a \geq 1, b = 0 \\ \ell^{-4a-b} \cdot (\ell - 1)^2(\ell + 1)^2 & \text{if } a \geq 1, b \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We are working with  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , so we can apply [3, Proposition 33] (see also [3, Definition 19]). This gives the assertion for the set  $\mathcal{M}_\ell(a, b)$ ; we can conclude because of (6.2). □

We now collect some results in the case  $\ell = 2$ . From [3, Theorem 2], we know

$$\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathcal{M}_2(a, b)) = \begin{cases} 1/3 & \text{if } a = b = 0 \\ 1/2 \cdot 2^{-b} & \text{if } a = 0, b \geq 1 \\ 2^{-4a} & \text{if } a \geq 1, b = 0 \\ 3/2 \cdot 2^{-4a-b} & \text{if } a \geq 1, b \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We consider the action of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^3\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^3})$  defined by  $M\zeta_{2^3} = \zeta_{2^3}^{\det M}$ . The matrices  $M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^3\mathbb{Z})$  that fix  $\sqrt{-1}$  are those with  $\det(M) = 1, 5$ . The ones that fix  $\sqrt{2}$  are those with  $\det(M) = 1, 7$ . The ones that fix  $\sqrt{-2}$  are those with  $\det(M) = 1, 3$ .

For  $a, b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $z \in \{-1, 2, -2\}$ , we write  $\mathcal{N}_2(a, b, z)$  for the set of matrices in  $\mathcal{M}_2(a, b)$  that fix  $\sqrt{z}$ .

LEMMA 24. *We have*

$$\frac{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathcal{N}_2(a, b; -1))}{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathcal{M}_2(a, b))} = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{for } a = 0, b \geq 0 \\ 2/3 & \text{for } a = 1, b = 0 \\ 1/3 & \text{for } a = 1, b \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } a \geq 2, b \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathcal{N}_2(a, b; \pm 2))}{\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathcal{M}_2(a, b))} = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{for } a \leq 1, b \geq 0 \\ 2/3 & \text{for } a = 2, b = 0 \\ 1/3 & \text{for } a = 2, b \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } a \geq 3, b \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $a, b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^3\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , let  $h(a, b, d)$  be the number of matrices  $M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^3\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\det(M) = d$  and  $\ker(M - I) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^a\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{a+b}\mathbb{Z}$ . Using [9] one can easily count these matrices:

- $h(0, 0, d) = 128, h(0, 1, d) = 96$  and  $h(0, 2, d) = h(0, 3, d) = 48$  for all  $d$ ;
- $h(1, 0, d) = 32$  for  $d = 1, 5$  and  $h(1, 0, d) = 16$  for  $d = 3, 7$ ;
- for  $b = 1, 2$  we have  $h(1, b, d) = 12$  for  $d = 1, 5$  and  $h(1, b, d) = 24$  for  $d = 3, 7$ ;
- $h(2, 0, 1) = 4, h(2, 0, 5) = 2$  and  $h(2, 0, d) = 0$  for  $d = 3, 7$ ;
- $h(2, 1, 1) = 3, h(2, 1, 5) = 6$  and  $h(2, 1, d) = 0$  for  $d = 3, 7$ ;
- $h(3, 0, 1) = 1$  (the identity matrix) and  $h(3, 0, d) = 0$  for  $d = 3, 5, 7$ .

This classification and (6.2) lead to the measures in the statement. □

LEMMA 25. For all  $a, b \geq 0$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{M}_2(a, b)$ , we have

$$\psi(M) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } b \geq 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Consider matrices  $M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . The matrices

$$M \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

satisfy  $\psi(M) = 1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \ker(M - I) \in \{0, 2\}$ . The matrices

$$M \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

satisfy  $\psi(M) = -1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \ker(M - I) = 1$ . This implies the claim. □

Now let  $\ell$  be an odd prime number. We write

$$\ell^* = (-1)^{(\ell-1)/2}\ell,$$

so  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*}$  is a character of  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and also of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  via the determinant.

LEMMA 26. Let  $M$  vary in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{I\}$ , where  $\ell$  is an odd prime number.

- (1) There are  $\frac{1}{2}(\ell + 1)^2(\ell - 2)$  matrices  $M$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*}(M) = 1$  and  $\ell \mid \det(M - I)$ .
- (2) There are  $\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell^3 - 2\ell^2 - \ell + 4)$  matrices  $M$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*}(M) = 1$  and  $\ell \nmid \det(M - I)$ .
- (3) There are  $\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell^2 - 1)$  matrices  $M$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*}(M) = -1$  and  $\ell \mid \det(M - I)$ .
- (4) There are  $\frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell - 2)$  matrices  $M$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*}(M) = -1$  and  $\ell \nmid \det(M - I)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Write  $\chi(M)$  for the characteristic polynomial of  $M$ . The condition  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*}(M) = 1$  is equivalent to  $\det(M) = \chi(0)$  being a square in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , and the condition  $\ell \mid \det(M - I)$  is equivalent to  $\chi(1) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, the matrices  $M$  satisfying both conditions are those for which there exists  $s \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$  with

$$\chi(M) = (x - 1)(x - s^2).$$

The matrices with  $\chi(0) \neq 1$  (giving  $\frac{\ell-1}{2} - 1$  possibilities for  $\chi$ ) are diagonalisable, and we only have to choose the two distinct eigenspaces; this gives  $(\ell + 1)\ell$  matrices for every such  $\chi$ . The matrices with  $\chi(0) = 1$  are the identity (which we are excluding) and the  $\ell^2 - 1$  matrices conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Note that (1) can also be obtained from [7, Table 1].

- (2) There are  $\frac{1}{2}\#\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  matrices satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*} = 1$ , and we only need to subtract the identity and the matrices from (1).
- (3) There are  $\ell^3 - 2\ell$  matrices in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  having 1 as an eigenvalue (see for example [3, Proof of Theorem 2]), and we only need to subtract the identity and the matrices from (1).
- (4) There are  $\frac{1}{2}\#\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  matrices satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\ell^*} = -1$ , and we only need to subtract the matrices from (3). Alternatively, there are  $\#\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) - (\ell^3 - 2\ell)$  matrices that do not have 1 as eigenvalue, and we only need to subtract the matrices from (2). □

**6.3. Partitioning the image of the  $m$ -adic representation.** Let  $E$  be a Serre curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the minimal discriminant of  $E$ , and let  $\Delta_{\text{sf}}$  be its square-free part. We write  $\Delta_{\text{sf}} = zu$ , where  $z \in \{1, -1, 2, -2\}$  and where  $u$  is an odd fundamental discriminant. Then  $|u|$  is the odd part of  $m_\Delta$ , and we have  $\varepsilon_\Delta = \varepsilon_z \cdot \varepsilon_u$  as characters of  $(\mathbb{Z}/m_\Delta\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

Now let  $m$  be a square-free positive integer. If  $m = 2$ , or if  $m$  is odd, or if  $u$  does not divide  $m$ , then we have

$$\mathcal{G}(m^\infty) = \prod_{\ell} \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty).$$

If  $m \neq 2$  is even and  $u$  divides  $m$ , then  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  has index 2 in  $\prod_{\ell} \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$ . The defining condition for the image of the  $m$ -adic representation is then  $\psi = \varepsilon_\Delta$ , or equivalently

$$\psi \cdot \varepsilon_z = \varepsilon_u.$$

We may then partition  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty) \subseteq \prod_{\ell|m} \mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty)$  into two sets that are products, namely

$$(\mathcal{G}(2^\infty) \cap \{\psi \cdot \varepsilon_z = 1\}) \times (\mathcal{G}(|u|^\infty) \cap \{\varepsilon_u = 1\}) \times \mathcal{G}\left(\left|\frac{m}{2u}\right|^\infty\right)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{G}(2^\infty) \cap \{\psi \cdot \varepsilon_z = -1\}) \times (\mathcal{G}(|u|^\infty) \cap \{\varepsilon_u = -1\}) \times \mathcal{G}\left(\left|\frac{m}{2u}\right|^\infty\right).$$

The set  $\mathcal{G}(|u|^\infty) \cap \{\varepsilon_u = 1\}$  is the disjoint union of sets of the form  $\prod_{\ell|u} (\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty) \cap \{\varepsilon_{\ell^*} = \pm 1\})$ , choosing an even number of minus signs; for the set  $\mathcal{G}(|u|^\infty) \cap \{\varepsilon_u = -1\}$  we have to choose an odd number of minus signs. Since each  $\ell \mid u$  is odd, the two sets  $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\infty) \cap \{\varepsilon_{\ell^*} = \pm 1\}$  can be investigated with the help of Lemma 26. Finally, the two sets  $\mathcal{G}(2^\infty) \cap \{\psi \cdot \varepsilon_z = \pm 1\}$  can be investigated using Lemmas 24 and 25.

7. Examples.

7.1. Example (non-surjective mod 3 representation). Consider the non-CM elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + 6x + 27$$

of discriminant  $-3^{19} \cdot 17$  and conductor  $153 = 3^2 \cdot 17$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [8, label 153.b2]. The group  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is infinite cyclic and is generated by the point

$$\alpha = (5, 13).$$

We will compute the following values (by testing the primes up to  $10^6$ , we have computed an approximation to  $\text{Dens}_6(\alpha)$  using [9]):

Point	Dens <sub>2</sub>	Dens <sub>3</sub>	Dens <sub>6</sub>	primes < 10 <sup>6</sup>
$\alpha = (5, 13)$	11/21	23/104	253/2184 = 11.584...%	11.624%
$2\alpha = (-1, 4)$	16/21	23/104	46/273 = 16.849...%	16.885%
$3\alpha = (-7/4, -31/8)$	11/21	77/104	121/312 = 38.782...%	38.730%
$6\alpha = (137/16, 1669/64)$	16/21	77/104	22/39 = 56.410...%	56.373%
$4\alpha = (3, -9)$	37/42	23/104	851/4368 = 19.482...%	19.479%
$9\alpha = (\frac{19649}{12100}, -\frac{9216643}{1331000})$	11/21	95/104	1045/2184 = 47.847...%	47.791%

The image of the 3-adic representation is the inverse image of its reduction modulo 3, the image of the mod 3 representation is isomorphic to the symmetric group of order 6 and the 3-adic Kummer map is surjective [4, Example 6.4]. The image of the mod 3 representation has a unique subgroup of index 2, so the field  $\mathbb{Q}(E[3])$  contains as its only quadratic subextension the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .

The image of the 2-adic representation is  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ ; see [8]. By [2, Theorem 5.2], the 2-adic Kummer map is surjective: the assumptions of that result are satisfied because the prime  $p = 941$  splits completely in  $E[4]$ , but the point  $(\alpha \bmod p)$  is not 2-divisible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Since the image of the mod 2 representation has a unique subgroup of index 2, the field  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  contains as its only quadratic subextension the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51})$  (the square-free part of the discriminant of  $E$  is  $-51$ ).

We have  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[9]) = \mathbb{Q}$  because the residual degree modulo 22699 of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2], E[9])/\mathbb{Q}(E[9])$  is divisible by 3 and the degree of this extension is even because  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51})$  is not contained in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[3])$ . We deduce  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[3^\infty]) = \mathbb{Q}$  by applying [4, Theorem 14 (i)] (where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ ).

Moreover, we have  $\mathbb{Q}(E[3]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[4]) = \mathbb{Q}$  because  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  is not contained in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ : the prime 941 is not congruent to 1 modulo 3 and splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ . By [4, Theorem 14 (i)], we conclude that  $\mathbb{Q}(E[3]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[2^\infty]) = \mathbb{Q}$ .

The 2-adic Kummer extensions of  $\alpha$  have maximal degree also over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[3])$ , in view of the maximality of the 2-Kummer extension, because the prime 4349 splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{-2}\alpha)$  but not in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ ; see [4, Theorem 14 (ii)] (where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ ).

The 3-adic Kummer extensions of  $\alpha$  have maximal degree also over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  because the prime 217981 splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(3^{-2}\alpha)$  but 3 divides the residual degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ ; see [4, Theorem 14 (ii)] (where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ ).



We thus have  $\mathcal{G}(6^\infty) = \mathcal{G}(2^\infty) \times \mathcal{G}(3^\infty)$ , the  $2^\infty$  Kummer extensions are independent from  $\mathbb{Q}(E[3])$ , and the  $3^\infty$  Kummer extensions are independent from  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ . We are thus in the situation that the fields  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{-\infty}\alpha)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(3^{-\infty}\alpha)$  are linearly disjoint over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We deduce from Corollary 18 that the equality

$$\text{Dens}_6(\alpha) = \text{Dens}_2(\alpha) \cdot \text{Dens}_3(\alpha)$$

holds for  $\alpha$  and for its multiples. The 2-densities can be evaluated by [4, Theorem 35], for the 3-densities see [4, Example 6.4].

**7.2. The Serre curve  $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2$ .** The elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2$$

of discriminant  $-43$  and conductor  $43$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [8, label 43.a1] is a Serre curve [6, Example 5.5.7]. The group  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is infinite cyclic and is generated by the point

$$\alpha = (0, 0).$$

The point  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$\text{Dens}_2(\alpha) \cdot \text{Dens}_{43}(\alpha) \neq \text{Dens}_{2 \cdot 43}(\alpha)$$

because, as we will show below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dens}_2(\alpha) &= \frac{11}{21}, & \text{Dens}_{43}(\alpha) &= \frac{143510179}{146927088}, \\ \text{Dens}_2(\alpha) \cdot \text{Dens}_{43}(\alpha) &= \frac{143510179}{280497168} \sim 51.16279\%, \\ \text{Dens}_{2 \cdot 43}(\alpha) &= \frac{526206455}{1028489616} \sim 51.16303\%. \end{aligned}$$

We will also compute the following values (by testing the primes up to  $10^6$ , we have computed an approximation to  $\text{Dens}_{2 \cdot 43}(\alpha)$  using [9]):

Point	$\text{Dens}_{2 \cdot 43}$	primes $< 10^6$
$\alpha = (0, 0)$	$526206455/1028489616 = 51.163 \dots \%$	51.136%
$2\alpha = (-1, -1)$	$42521603/57138312 = 74.418 \dots \%$	74.397%
$4\alpha = (2, 3)$	$1769960107/2056979232 = 86.046 \dots \%$	86.072%

By looking at the reduction modulo 293, we see that  $\alpha$  is not divisible by 2 over the 4-torsion field of  $E$ . Therefore, by [2, Theorem 5.2], for every prime number  $\ell$  and for every  $n \geq 1$ , the degree of the  $\ell^n$ -Kummer extension is maximal, i.e.

$$[\mathbb{Q}_{\ell^{-n}\alpha} : \mathbb{Q}_{\ell^{-n}}] = \ell^{2n}.$$

The 43-adic Kummer extensions have maximal degree also over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ , i.e.

$$[\mathbb{Q}_{43^{-n}\alpha}(E[2]) : \mathbb{Q}_{43^{-n}}(E[2])] = 43^{2n},$$

because the degree  $[\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$  is coprime to 43.

The extensions  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{-1}\alpha)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2 \cdot 43])$  are linearly disjoint over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ , as can be seen by investigating the residual degree for the reduction modulo the prime 29327, which splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ . Indeed, the residual degree of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{-1}\alpha)$  equals 4, while the residual degree of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2 \cdot 43])$  is odd because the prime is congruent to 1 modulo 43, and there are points of order 43 in the reductions (the subgroup of the upper untriangular matrices in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z})$  has order 43).

The 2-adic Kummer extensions have maximal degree also over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[43])$ , i.e.

$$[\mathbb{Q}_{2^{-n}\alpha}(E[43]) : \mathbb{Q}_{2^{-n}}(E[43])] = 2^{2n}.$$

To see this, we consider the intersection  $L$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{-n}\alpha}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(E[43])$ . This is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is a quotient of both  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z})$ . Because  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z})$  has no non-trivial quotient that can be embedded into a quotient of  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ , the quotient map  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$  factors as

$$\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\det} (\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z})^\times \longrightarrow G$$

This implies that  $L$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{43})$ . Furthermore,  $L$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-43})$ . Because  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$  does not have any quotient group of odd order, the maximal subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{43})$  that can be embedded into  $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{-n}\alpha}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-43})$ , and we conclude that  $L$  equals  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-43})$ .

It follows that for  $m = 2 \cdot 43$ , we have the maximal degree  $[\mathbb{Q}_{m^{-n}\alpha} : \mathbb{Q}_{m^{-n}}] = m^{2n}$  and, more generally, that for every multiple  $P$  of  $\alpha$  we have  $[\mathbb{Q}_{m^{-n}P} : \mathbb{Q}_{m^{-n}}] = [\mathbb{Q}_{2^{-n}P} : \mathbb{Q}_{2^{-n}}] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}_{43^{-n}P} : \mathbb{Q}_{43^{-n}}]$ . We may then apply [4, Example 28] and various results in this paper to compute the exact densities in the above table, and we use [9] to numerically verify them for the primes up to  $10^6$ .

We conclude by sketching the computations for the point  $\alpha$ . The 43-adic representation is surjective, and the 43-Kummer extensions have maximal degree. By parts (3) and (4) of Lemma 26, we find that  $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 42}$  (respectively,  $\frac{41}{2 \cdot 42}$ ) is the counting measure in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z})$  of the matrices such that  $\varepsilon_{-43} = -1$  and that are in  $(\mathcal{M}_{43}(0, b) \bmod \ell)$  for some  $b > 0$  (respectively, for  $b = 0$ ). By multiplying this quantity by  $43^{-b} \cdot 42$ , we obtain by Proposition 23 that  $\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{43})}(\mathcal{M}_{43}(0, b)) = \frac{1}{2} 43^{-b}$  for  $b > 0$ . By [4, Example 28], the contribution to  $\text{Dens}_{43}$  coming from the matrices in  $\mathcal{G}(43^\infty)$  such that  $\varepsilon_{-43} = -1$  is then

$$\text{Dens}_{43}(\varepsilon_{-43} = -1) = \frac{41}{2 \cdot 42} + \sum_{b>0} \frac{1}{2} \cdot 43^{-2b} = \frac{1805}{2 \cdot 42 \cdot 44}.$$

From [4, Theorem 35] we know that  $\text{Dens}_{43}(\alpha) = 143510179/146927088$ , and hence the contribution to  $\text{Dens}_{43}(\alpha)$  coming from the matrices in  $\mathcal{G}(43^\infty)$  such that  $\varepsilon_{-43} = +1$  equals

$$\text{Dens}_{43}(\varepsilon_{-43} = 1) = \frac{3261637}{6678504}.$$

Now we work with the 2-adic representation, which is surjective and restrict to counting the contribution to  $\text{Dens}_2(\alpha)$  coming from the matrices satisfying  $\psi = -1$ . In view of Lemma 25 and Proposition 23, we find  $\mu_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)}(\mathcal{M}_2(0, b)) = 1/2 \cdot 2^{-b}$  for  $b > 0$ . By [4, Example 28], the contribution to  $\text{Dens}_2(\alpha)$  coming from the matrices in  $\mathcal{G}(2^\infty)$  such that  $\psi = -1$  is therefore

$$\text{Dens}_2(\psi = -1) = \sum_{b>0} 1/2 \cdot 2^{-2b} = 1/6. \tag{7.1}$$

From [4, Theorem 35] we know that  $\text{Dens}_2(\alpha) = 11/21$ , and hence the contribution to  $\text{Dens}_2$  coming from the matrices in  $\mathcal{G}(2^\infty)$  such that  $\psi = 1$  is

$$\text{Dens}_2(\psi = 1) = 5/14.$$

Finally, by the partition in Section 6.3, we can compute the requested density as the following combination of the above quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dens}_{2,43}(\alpha) &= 2(\text{Dens}_2(\psi = 1) \cdot \text{Dens}_{43}(\varepsilon_{-43} = 1) \\ &\quad + \text{Dens}_2(\psi = -1) \cdot \text{Dens}_{43}(\varepsilon_{-43} = -1)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Indeed, let us consider Theorem 19, recalling that  $C_m = 1$ . Let us call  $H_+$  the subset of  $\mathcal{G}(m^\infty)$  consisting of elements whose image in  $\mathcal{G}(2)$  satisfies  $\psi = 1$  and whose image in  $\mathcal{G}(43)$  satisfies  $\varepsilon_{-43} = 1$  and define analogously  $H_-$  with  $\psi = -1$  and  $\varepsilon_{-43} = -1$ . Write  $H_+ = H_{2,+} \times H_{43,+}$ , where  $H_{2,+} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(2^\infty)$  and  $H_{43,+} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(43^\infty)$ . Similarly, write  $H_- = H_{2,-} \times H_{43,-}$ . The formula of Theorem 19, considering the two contributions for  $\text{Dens}_{2,43}(\alpha)$  coming from  $H_+$  and  $H_-$ , gives

$$\text{Dens}^+ = \frac{\#\mathcal{G}(2)\#\mathcal{G}(43)}{\#\mathcal{G}(2 \cdot 43)} \int_{H_{2,+}} \frac{w_{2^\infty}(M)}{\#\ker(M-I)} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}_{2^\infty}}(M) \cdot \int_{H_{43,+}} \frac{w_{43^\infty}(M)}{\#\ker(M-I)} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}_{43^\infty}}(M),$$

and similarly for  $\text{Dens}^-$ . This yields formula (7.2).

For the point  $2\alpha$ , by [4, Example 28], we only need to scale (7.1) by a factor 2, giving  $1/3$  and  $3/7$  as the two contributions to  $\text{Dens}_2(2\alpha)$  by [4, Theorem 35]. For the point  $4\alpha$ , we adapt (7.1) as  $2 \cdot 1/2 \cdot 2^{-2} + \sum_{b>1} 4 \cdot 1/2 \cdot 2^{-2b}$  and obtain  $5/12$  and  $13/28$  as the two contributions to  $\text{Dens}_2(4\alpha)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors would like to thank Davide Lombardo and the referee for their valuable comments on the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Jones, Almost all elliptic curves are Serre curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**(3) (2010), 1547–1570.
2. R. Jones and J. Rouse, Galois theory of iterated endomorphisms, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **100**(3) (2010), 763–794. Appendix A by Jeffrey D. Achter.
3. D. Lombardo and A. Perucca, The 1-eigenspace for matrices in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , *New York J. Math.* **23** (2017), 897–925.
4. D. Lombardo and A. Perucca, Reductions of points on algebraic groups, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* (2020), 1–33. doi:[10.1017/S1474748019000598](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748019000598).
5. A. Perucca, Prescribing valuations of the order of a point in the reductions of abelian varieties and tori, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), 469–476.
6. J.-P. Serre, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15**(4) (1972), 259–331.
7. A. V. Sutherland, Computing images of Galois representations attached to elliptic curves, *Forum Math. Sigma* **4**(e4) (2016), 79.
8. The LMFDB Collaboration, The L-functions and modular forms database (2016). <http://www.lmfdb.org>.
9. The Sage Developers, *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 7.3)* (2016). <http://www.sagemath.org>.